

Trace Elements in Soils and Plants

Alina Kabata-Pendias, Ph.D., D.Sc.

Professor Emeritus Department of Soil Science and Land Protection Institute of Soil Science and Cultivation of Plants Pulawy, Poland

The late Henryk Pendias, Ph.D. Associate Professor Emeritus Department of Petrography and Mineralogy National Geological Institute Warsaw, Poland



CRC Press Boca Raton London New York Washington, D.C.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Kabata-Pendias, Alina.
Trace elements in soils and plants / authors, Alina Kabata-Pendias, Henryk Pendias.—3rd ed. p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references (p. 331).
ISBN 0-8493-1575-1 (alk. paper)
1. Soils—Trace element content. 2. Plants, Effect of trace elements on. 3. Plants—Composition. 4. Trace elements. 5. Plant-soil relationships. I. Pendias, Henryk. II. Title
S592.6.T7 K3 2000

631.4'1-dc21

00-057964

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reprinted material is quoted with permission, and sources are indicated. A wide variety of references are listed. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or for the consequences of their use.

Neither this book nor any part may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publisher.

The consent of CRC Press LLC does not extend to copying for general distribution, for promotion, for creating new works, or for resale. Specific permission must be obtained in writing from CRC Press LLC for such copying.

Direct all inquiries to CRC Press LLC, 2000 N.W. Corporate Blvd., Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

Trademark Notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation, without intent to infringe.

Visit the CRC Press Web site at www.crcpress.com

© 2001 by CRC Press LLC

No claim to original U.S. Government works International Standard Book Number 0-8493-1575-1 Library of Congress Card Number 00-057964 Printed in the United States of America 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 Printed on acid-free paper

Preface to the Third Edition

Eight years have passed since the second edition of *Trace Elements in Soil and Plants* appeared. During this period there has been a real explosion in research and public interest related to trace elements in the environment. An incredible number of publications have recently focused on the significance of chemical balance to a safe environment and human health.

I have tried to continue the goal we set for the two earlier editions of this book, which was to provide a brief but informative introduction to the biogeochemistry of trace elements in the soil–plant system. The new edition incorporates up-to-date data from about 400 recent sources and highlights the significance of anthropogenic factors in changing the trace element status in soils and plants. Some of the most destructive impacts of man are changes in the natural cycling of chemical elements, and in particular of trace elements.

New data are especially selected to present knowledge on hot topics, such as (a) assessment of natural/background content of trace elements in soil, (b) bioindication of chemical status of environmental compartments, (c) soil remediation, and (d) hyperaccumulation and hyperextraction of trace metals from soil.

Contemporary legislation related to environmental protection and public health, at national and international levels, is based on data that characterize chemical properties of environmental compartments, especially of our food chain. Thus, quality of the environment and food is now a matter of public concern, and, therefore, a system in metrology in trace analysis has recently been developed at the international level.

As stated previously, the author's intent is to provide a broad but concise background on the subject, and to accomplish this, selectivity of data is necessary. This inevitably leaves the work of many investigators uncited. I regret the many omissions that such an approach has necessitated.

This volume offers a current review of recent soil-plant chain findings and is an excellent text that should be a requirement for all students entering environmental and agricultural professions. The information on the health-related significance of trace elements in foods makes this edition valuable for other readers as well.

The third edition of this book has been written without the second author, my late husband Henryk. The lack of his assistance was a real burden.

Alina Kabata-Pendias August 2000

The Authors

Alina Kabata-Pendias, Ph.D., D.Sc., Professor Ordinary of Environmental Geochemistry, was head of the Trace Elements Laboratory of the Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation in Pulawy, Poland, for over 30 years. Today, after 50 years at the Institute in Pulawy, Alina Kabata-Pendias holds the title of Professor Emeritus. She is the author of over 290 publications, including three chapters and four books on the occurrence of trace elements in natural and contaminated soil–plant environments. She is also co-editor of 14 volumes on ecological and methodical problems related to trace elements in the environment (published mainly in Polish).

Professor Kabata-Pendias also worked for 30 years at the Geological Survey in Warsaw on the mobility of trace elements and on the alteration of minerals in weathered zones of various geological formations.

She has been a visiting scientist at various scientific centers in several countries and was a Fellow of the Rockefeller Foundation at the U.S. Soil Plant and Nutrition Laboratory in Ithaca, NY.

Professor Kabata-Pendias is a member of international scientific societies and belongs to scientific societies and committees in Poland. She has been active as a member of workshops and advisory boards of various groups of FAO, UNEP, MAB, and SCOPE. She has also served as an invitational reviewer for numerous manuscripts in international journals and is currently serving on the editorial board of *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*.

It is with sadness that we report that Dr. Henryk Pendias (1921–1994), co-author of the first and second editions, has passed away.

He was for 28 years a head of the Department of Petrography, Mineralogy, and Geochemistry of the Geological Survey in Warsaw, Poland. He had been a member of the staff of this institute since 1950. His geochemical studies resulted in over 60 publications, including three books.

The chemistry of various geological formations, the distribution of trace elements in rocks and minerals, and the impact of biogeochemical processes on the mobility of elements in geological environments were the main subjects of his research. He initiated research on the distribution of trace elements in coals of Lower Silesia. He also devoted much time and attention to methodical and analytical studies in geochemistry.

Dr. Pendias attended many national and international scientific meetings. He was a founding member of the Mineralogical Society of Poland and a vice chairman of its Warsaw branch. For many years he was a member of the Geological Society.

THE PERIODIC TABLE OF ELEMENTS

The elements are arranged in order of atomic number, within the groups and subgroups. The symbols given in thin letters indicate the elements not occurring naturally in the environment.

Ia																	0
1]																2
H	IIa											IIIa	IVa	Va	VIa	VIIa	He
3	4]										5	6	7	8	9	10
Li	Be											B	C	N	0	F	Ne
11	12											13	14	15	16	17	18
Na	Mg	IIIb	IVb	Vb	VIb	VIIb	<i></i>	-VIII -		Ib	IIb	Al	Si	P	S	Cl	Ar
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	I	Xe
55	56	57 *	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86
Cs	Ba	La	Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	Tl	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn
87	88	89 **	104	105	106	107	108	109	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Fr	Ra	Ac	Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	Hs	Mt									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	-								
			r	T	·····			.	.		·····	·				·····	-
			58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	
* lant	hanide	series	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	
																	-
			90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	
** ac	ctinide	series	Th	Pa	U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm	Bk	Cf	Es	Fm	Md	No	Lr	

Note: According to an IUPAC recommendation, the main and subgroups should be numbered 1-18. The subgroup VIIIb has three columns numbered 8, 9 and 10.

LIST OF UNITS, SYMBOLS, AND ABBREVIATIONS

Basic units of the International System (SI) have been guidelines for the units used in this book. Some notable exceptions have been made in order to maintain the necessary links with practical usage.

Unless otherwise specified, the concentration of a trace element in soils and plants is based on the total content by weight of the element in air-dried or oven-dried material.

Units

kg	— kilogram; kg = 10^3 g
g	— gram = 10^{-3} kg
mg	— milligram; mg = 10^{-3} g
μg	— microgram; $\mu g = 10^{-3} \text{ mg}$
ng	— nanogram; ng = $10^{-3} \mu g$
pg	— picogram; pg = 10^{-3} ng
°C	 temperature in degrees Celsius
Bq	— Becquerel
Ci	— Curie
mCi	— milliCurie; μCi, microCurie; nCi, nanoCurie; pCi, picoCurie
ha	— hectare; ha = $10,000 \text{ m}^2$
L	— liter; $L = 1 \text{ dm}^3$
mL	— milliliter; 10^{-3} L
m ³	— cubic meter; $m^3 = dm^3 \times 10^3$

Symbols

ppm		$\mu g g^{-1} = mg kg^{-1} = g t^{-1}$
ppb		10^{-3} ppm = ng g ⁻¹ = μ g kg ⁻¹ = mg t ⁻¹
M		concentration in terms of moles per liter
mM	_	millimole; µM, micromole; nM, nanomole
eq	_	equivalent of an ion is expressed as a ratio of molar weight to the valency of this ion
meq	_	milliequivalent
N		concentration in terms of milliequivalents per liter
hr	—	hour

Constants

Eh	—	electrical potential (volts, millivolts)
pH		minus logarithm, base 10, of H ⁺ concentration
р		minus logarithm, base 10, of an ion activity
G	—	free energy (enthalpy) (kcal)

Abbreviations

AAAc		ammonium acetic acid
ADI		acceptable daily intake
ADP		adenosine 5'-(trihydrogen diphosphate)
ATP		adenosine 5'-(tetrahydrogen triphosphate)
AW		ash weight basis of samples
BAC		biological absorption coefficient
B.P.	_	before the present time (used in expressing geologic time)
DNA		deoxyribonucleic acid
DOC	_	dissolved organic carbon
DTPA	_	diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid
DW	—	air dried or oven dried (up to 70°C) weight basis of samples; weights in text are DW unless otherwise stated
EDDHA		ethylenediamine-di(o-hydroxyphenylacetic acid)
EDTA		ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FA		fulvic acid
FW		fresh or wet weight basis of samples (used mainly in relation to plant material)
HA		humic acid
IBA	—	index of bioaccumulation

OM	—	organic matter
PTWI		provisional tolerable weekly intake
RDA		recommended daily allowance
REE		rare earth elements
RNA		ribonucleic acid
sp.		species
TEA		triethanolamine
TF	_	transfer factor

Contents

Chapter 1

The Biosphere

Chapter 2

The Anthroposphere

- I. Introduction
- II. Air Pollution
- III. Water Pollution
- IV. Soil
 - A. Soil Contamination
 - B. Soil Remediation
- V. Plants
 - A. Biomonitoring

Chapter 3

Soils and Soil Processes

- I. Introduction
- II. Weathering Processes
- III. Pedogenic Processes
 - A. Dissolution and Mobilization
 - B. Transport and Budget
 - C. Sorption and Adsorption
 - D. Speciation

Chapter 4

Soil Constituents

- I. Introduction
- II. Trace Elements
- III. Minerals
 - A. Clay Minerals and Other Aluminosilicates
 - B. Oxides and Hydroxides
 - C. Carbonates
 - D. Phosphates
 - E. Sulfides, Sulfates, and Chlorides
- IV. Organisms in Soils
 - A. Microorganisms
 - B. Invertebrates
- V. Organic Matter

Chapter 5

Trace Elements in Plants

- I. Introduction
- II. Absorption
 - A. Root Uptake
 - B. Foliar Uptake
- III. Translocation
- IV. Availability
- V. Essentiality, Deficiency, and Excess

VI. Toxicity and Tolerance

VII. Interaction

VIII. Bioindication

Chapter 6

Elements of Group I

- I. Introduction
- II. Lithium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- III. Rubidium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- IV. Cesium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
 - C. ¹³⁷Cesium
- V. Copper
 - A. Soils
 - 1. Reactions with Soil Components
 - 2. Contamination of Soils
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Functions
 - 3. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 4. Concentrations in Plants
- VI. Silver
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VII. Gold
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants

Chapter 7

Elements of Group II

- I. Introduction
- II. Beryllium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- III. Strontium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- IV. Barium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- V. Radium
- VI. Zinc
 - A. Soils
 - 1. Reactions with Soil Components
 - 2. Contamination of Soils

- B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Functions
 - 3. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 4. Concentrations in Plants
- VII. Cadmium
 - A. Soils
 - 1. Reaction with Soil Components
 - 2. Contamination of Soil
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Roles
 - 3. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 4. Concentrations in Plants
- VIII. Mercury
 - A. Soils
 - 1. Reactions with Soil Components
 - 2. Contamination of Soil
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Biochemical Roles
 - 2. Concentrations in Plants

Chapter 8

Elements of Group III

- I. Introduction
- II. Boron
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Functions
 - 3. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 4. Concentrations in Plants
- III. Aluminum
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- IV. Gallium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- V. Indium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VI. Thallium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VII. Scandium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VIII. Yttrium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants

- IX. Lanthanides
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- X. Actinides
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants

Chapter 9

Elements of Group IV

- I. Introduction
- II. Silicon
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- III. Germanium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- IV. Tin
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
 - V. Lead
 - A. Soils
 - 1. Reactions with Soil Components
 - 2. Contamination of Soils
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Roles
 - 3. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 4. Concentrations in Plants
- VI. Titanium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VII. Zirconium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VIII. Hafnium

Chapter 10

Elements of Group V

- I. Introduction
- II. Arsenic
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- III. Antimony
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- IV. Bismuth
- V. Vanadium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VI. Niobium
- VII. Tantalum

Chapter 11

Elements of Group VI

- I. Introduction
- II. Selenium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- III. Tellurium
- IV. Polonium
- V. Chromium
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VI. Molybdenum
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Biochemical Functions
 - 2. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 3. Concentrations in Plants
- VII. Tungsten

Chapter 12

Elements of Group VII

- I. Introduction
 - II. Fluorine
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Roles
 - 3. Concentrations in Plants
- III. Chlorine
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- IV. Bromine
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- V. Iodine
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
- VI. Manganese
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Functions
 - 3. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 4. Concentrations in Plants
- VII. Technetium
- VIII. Rhenium

Chapter 13

Elements of Group VIII

- I. Introduction
- II. Iron

- A. Soils
- B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Functions
 - 3. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 4. Concentrations in Plants
- III. Cobalt
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Transport
 - 2. Biochemical Functions
 - 3. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 4. Concentrations in Plants
- IV. Nickel
 - A. Soils
 - B. Plants
 - 1. Absorption and Biochemical Functions
 - 2. Interactions with Other Elements
 - 3. Concentrations in Plants
- V. Platinum-Group Metals
 - A. Ruthenium
 - B. Rhodium
 - C. Palladium
 - D. Osmium
 - E. Iridium
 - F. Platinum

Appendix

References

Acknowledgment

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all the scientists who have worked with me and encouraged me for the past 50 years, since I first began my study of the occurrence and behavior of cobalt in the soil–plant system. There are too many, all over the world, to mention all, but I would especially like to express my appreciation to Dr. Anna S. Knox, Dr. Arun B. Mukherjee, and Prof. Dr. Alexander A. Ponizovsky for readily providing me with relevant publications. I would also like to thank all my colleagues and Prof. Dr. Severyn Kukula, Director of the Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation in Pulawy, for their support during the preparation of the third edition of this book.

Dedicated to the Memory of My Husband

Henryk Pendias, co-author of the 1st and 2nd editions

References*

- 1. Aaby, B. and Jacobsen, J., Changes in biotic conditions and metal deposition in the last millennium as reflected in ombrotrophic peat in Draved Moses, Denmark, *Geol. Surv. Denmark, Yearb.*, 1978, 5.
- 2. Abd-Elfattah, A. and Wada, K., Adsorption of lead, copper, zinc, cobalt and cadmium by soils that differ in cation-exchange materials, *J. Soil Sci.*, 32, 271, 1981.
- Adams, F., Interaction of phosphorous with other elements in soils and in plants, in *Proc. Symp. The* Role of Phosphorus in Agriculture, Khasawneh, F. E., Ed., Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI, 1980, 655.
- 4. Adierikhin, P. G., Protasova, N. A., and Shcheglov, D. J., Microelements in system soil-plant in Central-Chernozem regions, *Agrokhimiya*, 6, 102, 1978 (Ru).
- 5. Ahlrichs, J. L., The soil environment, in *Organic Chemicals in the Soil Environment*, Goring, C. A. I. and Hamaker, J. W., Eds., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972, 3.
- 6. Aichberger, K., Schwermetallgehalte einiger Bodenprofile Oberösterrichs, Bodenkultur; 31, 215, 1980.
- 7. Aidiniyan, N. Kh., Distribution of rare alkalies in colloids of soils and the participation of vegetation in this process, *Geokhimiya*, 4, 346, 1959 (Ru).
- 8. Aidiniyan, N. Kh., Triotskiy, A. I., and Balavskaya, G. A., Distribution of mercury in various soils of the USSR and Vietnam, *Geokhimiya*, 7, 654, 1964 (Ru).
- 9. Akhtirtsev, B. P., Content of trace elements in grey forest soils of the central chernozem belt, *Agrokhimiya*, 9, 72, 1965 (Ru).
- Alexander, J., Koshut, R., Keefer, R., Singh, R., Horvarth, D. J., and Chaney, R., Movement of nickel from sewage sludge into soil, soybeans and voles, in *Trace subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 12, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1978, 377.
- 11. Alikhanova, O. J., Toxic effects of boron on plants, Agrokhimiya, 7, 98, 1980 (Ru).
- 12. Alikhanova, O. J., Zyrianova, A. N., and Cherbar, V. V., Minor elements in soils of some regions in the western Pamirs, *Pochvovedenie*, 11, 54, 1977 (Ru).
- 13. Allaway, W. H., Control of the environmental levels of selenium, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 2, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1968, 181.
- Allaway, W. H. and Hodgson, J. F., symposium on nutrition, forage and pastures: selenium in forages as related to the geographic distribution of muscular dystrophy in livestock, J. Anim. Sci., 23, 271, 1964.
- 15. Allen, V. G. and Robinson, D. L., Occurrence of Al and Mn in grass tetany cases and their effects on the solubility of Ca and Mg *in vitro*, *Agron. J.*, 72, 957, 1980.
- Alvarez-Tinaut, M. C., Leal, A., and Recalde-Martinez, L. R., Iron-manganese interaction and its relation to boron levels in tomato plants, *Plant Soil*, 55, 377, 1980.
- 17. **Amy, J. P.,** Contribution a 1 Étude de la Migration du Ruthenium-106 dans les sols, these présentée à la Faculte des Sciences de l'Universite de Nancy, France, 1970, 79.
- 18. Andersson, A., On the influence of manure and fertilizers on the distribution and amounts of plantavailable Cd in soils, *Swed. J. Agric. Res.*, 6, 27, 1976a.
- 19. Andersson, A., On the determination of ecologically significant fractions of some heavy metals in soils, *Swed. J. Agric. Res.*, 6, 19, 1976b.
- 20. Andersson, A., Some aspects on the significance of heavy metals in sewage sludge and related products used as fertilizers, *Swed. J. Agric. Res.*, 7, 1, 1977a.

* Publications written in languages other than English, French, and German are indicated by letters in parentheses: (Be) Belarussian, (Bu), Bulgarian; (Ch), Chinese; (Cz), Czech; (Du), Dutch; (Es), Spanish; (Hu) Hungarian; (Ja) Japanese; (Po), Polish; (Ro), Romanian; (Ru), Russian; (Sh), Serbo-Croatian; (Sv), Swedish; and (Uk); Ukrainian.

- 21. Andersson, A., Heavy metals in Swedish soils; on their retention, distribution and amounts, *Swed. J. Agric. Res.*, 7, 7, 1977b.
- 22. Andersson, A. and Hahlin, M., Cadmium effects from fertilization in field experiments, *Swed. J. Agric. Res.*, 11, 3, 1981.
- 23. Anderson, A. J., Meyer, D. R., and Mayer, F. K., Heavy metal toxicities: levels of nickel, cobalt and chromium in the soil and plants associated with visual symptoms and variation in growth of an oat crop, *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 24, 557, 1973.
- 24. Andersson, A. and Nilsson, K. O., Enrichment of trace elements from sewage sludge fertilizer in soils and plants, *Ambio*, 1, 176, 1972.
- 25. Andersson, A. and Nilsson, K. O., Influence on the levels of heavy metals in soil and plant from sewage sludge used as fertilizers, *Swed. J. Agric. Res.*, 6, 151, 1976.
- 26. Andersson, A. and Pettersson, O., Cadmium in Swedish winter wheat, Swed. J. Agric. Res., 11, 49, 1981.
- 27. Andrzejewski, M., Baluk, A., and Czekala, J., Chromium content of grasslands as affected by fertilizers and cutting, *Pr. Kom. Nauk Roln. Lesn. PTPN*, 31, 11, 1971 (Po).
- 28. Andrzejewski, M. and Rosikiewicz, D., Ability of some trace elements to form complexes with humic acids, in *Trans. 7th Int. Symp. Humus et Planta*, Praha, 1975, 107.
- 29. Angino, E. E., Cannon, H. L., Hambidge, K. M., and Voors, A. W., Lithium, in *Geochemistry and the Environment*, Vol. 1, Mertz, W., Ed., N.A.S., Washington, D.C., 1974, 36.
- 30. Anikina, A. P., Iodine in soils of geochemical landscapes of Central Baraba, Pochvovedenie, 1, 66, 1975 (Ru).
- 31. Anke, M., Der Spurenelementgehalt von Grünland- und Ackerpflanzen verschiedener Böden in Thüringen, *Sonderdruck Z. Acker-u. Pflanzenbau*, 112, 113, 1961.
- Anke, M., Groppel, B., Lüdke, H., Grün, M., and Kleemann, J., Die Spurenelementversorgung der Wieder-käuer in der Deutschen Demokratischen Republik. Kupferversorgung, Arch. Tierernaehr., 25, 257, 1975.
- 33. Antonovics, J., Bradshaw, A. D., and Turner, R. G., Heavy metal tolerance in plants, *Adv. Ecol. Res.*, 7, 1, 1971.
- 34. Archer, F. C., Trace elements in some Welch upland soils, J. Soil Sci., 14, 144, 1963.
- 35. Aristovskaya, T. V., Microbiology of Podsols, Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1965, 187 (Ru).
- 36. Aristovskaya, T. V. and Zykina, L. V., Microorganisms as indicators of R₂O₃ and manganese accumulation processes in soils, *Pochvovedenie*, 1, 88, 1979 (Ru).
- 37. Arvy, M. P., Lamand, M., and Bonnemain, J. L., Teneuer en selenium de quelques légumineuses et graminées cultivées dans le Limousin, C. R. Acad. Agric. Fr., 7, 481, 1974.
- 38. Ashton, W. M., Nickel pollution, Nature (London), 237, 46, 1972.
- 39. Aubert, H. and Pinta, M., Trace Elements in Soils, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1977, 395.
- Augustyn, D. and Urbaniak, H., Retention of cations of humic acids from Polish brown coal and some properties of metal-humic compounds, paper presented at 7th Int. Symp. Humus and Planta, Brno, Czechoslovakia, August 20, 1979.
- 41. Babich, H. and Stotzky, G., Effects of cadmium on the biota: influence of environmental factors, *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.*, 23, 55, 1978.
- 41a. Bain, C. D., A titanium-rich soil clay, J. Soil Sci., 27, 68, 1976.
- 42. Bajescu, J. and Chiriac, A., Some aspects of the distribution of copper, cobalt, zinc and nickel in soils of the Dobrudja, *Anal. Inst. Cent. Cerc. Agric.*, 30A, 79, 1962 (Ro).
- Bäjescu, J. and Chiriac, A., Trace element distribution in brun lessivé and lessivé soils, *Stiinta Solului*, 6, 45, 1968 (Ro).
- 44. Baker, D. E., Acid soils, in Proc. of Workshop on Plant Adaptation to Mineral Stress in Problem Soils, Wright, J., Ed., Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, No. 4, 1976, 127.
- 45. Bakulin, A. A. and Mokiyenko, V. F., Microelement content of sands from Lower Volga Basin, *Pochvovedenie*, 4, 66, 1966 (Ru).
- 46. Balks, R., Untersuchungen über den Bleigehalt des Bodens, Kali-Briefe, 1, 1, 1961.
- 47. Ballantyne, D. J., Fluoride inhibition of the Hill reaction in bean chloroplasts, *Atmos. Environ.*, 6, 267, 1972.
- 48. **Baltrop, D.,** Significance of lead-contaminated soils and dusts for human populations, paper presented at *Int. Symp. on Environ. Lead Research,* Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia, May 14, 1975.
- 49. Barrer, R. M., Zeolites and Clay Minerals as Sorbents and Molecular Sieves, Academic Press, New York, 1978, 497.
- 50. Bartlett, R. J. and James, B., Behavior of chromium in soils. III. Oxidation, J. Environ. Qual., 8, 31, 1979.

- 51. Bartlett, R. J. and Kimble, J. M., Behavior of chromium in soils. I. Trivalent forms. II. Hexavalent forms, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 5, 379 and 383, 1976.
- 52. Bartlett, R. J. and Picarelli, C. J., Availability of boron and phosphorus as affected by liming and acid potato soil, *Soil Sci.*, 116, 77, 1973.
- Baszyński, T., Król, M., and Wolińska, D., Photosynthetic apparatus of *Lemna minor* L. as affected by chromate treatment, in *Photosynthesis. II. Electron Transport and Photophosphorylation*, Akoyunoglou, G., Ed., Balaban Intern. Sci. Service, Philadelphia, 1981, 111.
- 54. Baszyński, T., Wajda, L., Król, M., Wolińska, D., Krupa, Z., and Tukendorf, A., Photosynthic activities of cadmium-treated tomato plants, *Physiol. Plant.*, 4, 365, 1980.
- 55. Baumeister, W. and Ernst, W., Mineralstoffe und Pflanzenwachstum, Fischer, Stuttgart, 1978, 416.
- 56. Bazzaz, F. A., Carlson, R. W., and Rolfe, G. L., The effect of heavy metals on plants, *Environ*. *Pollut.*, 7, 241, 1974.
- 57. Beavington, F., Heavy metal contamination of vegetables and soil in domestic gardens around a smelting complex, *Environ. Pollut.*, 9, 211, 1975.
- 58. Beckert, W. F., Moghissi, A. A., Au, F. H. E., Bretthauer, F. W., and McFarlane, M., Formation of methyl mercury in a terrestrial environment, *Nature (London)*, 249, 674, 1974.
- 59. Beckett, P. H. T., Davis, R. D., Brindley, P., and Chem, C., The disposal of sewage sludge onto farmland: the scope of the problem of toxic elements, *Water Pollut. Control*, 78, 419, 1979.
- Beckwith, R. S., Tiller, K. G., and Suwadji, E., The effects of flooding on the availability of trace metals to rice in soils of different organic matter content, in *Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems*, Nicholas, D. J. D. and Edan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 135.
- 61. Beer, K., Untersuchungen über Vorkommen und Bindungszustand des Mangans in typischen thüringer Boden, *Chem. Erde*, 25, 282, 1966.
- 62. Bell, M. C. and Sneed, N. N., Metabolism of tungsten by sheep and swine, in *Trace Element Metabolism in Animals*, E. S. Livingston, Edinburgh, 1970, 70.
- 63. Bender, J. and Szalonek, J., Biological activation of polluted areas and the possibilities of their rational utilization, *Arch. Ochrony Srodowiska*, 1, 99, 1980 (Po).
- 64. Benes, S., The occurrence and migration of copper in soils from different parent rocks, *Polnohospodarstvo*, 10, 837, 1964 (Cz).
- 65. Bergmann, W., Ed., Mikronährstoff-Grenzwertbereiche in Pflanzen zur Diagnose des Ernährungszustandes der Pflanzen, Institute für Pflanzenernährung, Jena-Zwätzen, East Germany, 1975.
- 66. Bergmann, W. and Cumakov, A., *Diagnosis of Nutrient Requirement by Plants*, G. Fischer Verlag, Jena, and Priroda, Bratislava, 1977, 295 (Cz).
- Berrow, M. L. and Burridge, J. C., Sources and distribution of trace elements in soils and related crops, in *Proc. Int. Conf. on Management and Control of Heavy Metals in the Environment*, CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, U.K., 1979, 304.
- Berrow, M. L. and Burridge, J. C., Persistence of metals in available form in sewage sludge treated soils under field conditions, in *Proc. Int. Conf. Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Amsterdam, CEP Consultants Ltd., Edinburgh, U.K., 1981, 201.
- 69. Berrow, M. L. and Mitchell, R. L., Location of trace elements in soil profile: total and extractable contents of individual horizons, *Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh Earth Sci.*, 71, 103, 1980.
- 70. Berrow, M. L. and Webber, J., Trace elements in sewage sludges, J. Sci. Food Agric., 23, 93, 1972.
- 71. Berrow, M. L., Wilson, M. J., and Reaves, G. A., Origin and extractable titanium and vanadium in the A horizons of Scottish podzols, *Geoderma*, 21, 89, 1978.
- 72. Bhumbla, D. R. and Chhabra, R., Chemistry of sodic soils, in Review of Soil Research in India, in *Trans. 12th Int. Congr. Soil Sci.*, New Delhi, India, 1982, 169.
- 73. **Bieliyakova, T. M.,** Fluorine in soils and plants as related to endemic fluorosis, *Pochvovedenie*, 8, 55, 1977 (Ru).
- 74. Bierdnikova, A. V., Manganese contents in soils of Astrakhan region, Agrokhimiya, 2, 128, 1978 (Ru).
- 75. Bieus, A. A., Grabovskaya, L. I., and Tikhonova, N. V., Geochemistry of the Surrounding Environment, Nedra, Moscow, 1976, 247 (Ru).
- Bingham, F. T., Page, A. L., Mahler, R. J., and Ganje, T. J., Yield and cadmium accumulation of forage species in relation to cadmium content of sludge-amended soil, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 5, 57, 1976.
- Bingham, F. T., Page, A. L., and Strong, J. E., Yield and cadmium content of rice grain in relation to addition rates of cadmium, copper, nickel, and zinc with sewage sludge and liming, *Soil Sci.*, 130, 32, 1980.

- Biswas, T. D. and Gawande, S. P., Relation of manganese in genesis of catenary soils, *J. Indian Soc. Soil. Sci.*, 12, 261, 1964.
- Blanton, C. J., Desforges, C. E., Newland, L. W., and Ehlmann, A. J., A survey of mercury distributions in the Terlinqua area of Texas, in *Trace Subst. in Environ. Health*, Vol. 9, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1975, 139.
- Bloom, P. R. and McBride, M. B., Metal ion binding and exchange with hydrogen ions in acidwashed peat, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 43, 687, 1979.
- Bloomfield, C., The translocation of metals in soils, in *The Chemistry of Soil Processes*, Greenland, D. J. and Hayes, M. H. B., Eds., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981, 463.
- 82. Bloomfield, C. and Pruden, G., The behavior of Cr (VI) in soil under aerobic and anaerobic conditions, *Environ. Pollut.*, 23a, 103, 1980.
- 83. Boardman, N. K., Trace elements in photosynthesis, in *Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems*, Nicholas, P. J. D. and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 199.
- 84. Bohn, H. L. and Seekamp, G., Beryllium effects on potatoes and oats in acid soil, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 11, 319, 1979.
- Bolewski, A., Fijal, J., Klapyta, Z., Manecki, A., Ptasińska, M., and Zabiński, W., Geochemical changes in natural environment within zones of industrial emission of fluorine compounds, *PAN Miner*. *Trans.*, 50, 7, 1976 (Po).
- Bolt, G. H. and Bruggenwert, M. G. M., Eds., Soil Chemistry. A. Basic Elements, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1976, 281.
- Bolter, E., Butz, T., and Arseneau, J. F., Mobilization of heavy metals by organic acids in the soils of a lead mining and smelting district, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 9, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1975, 107.
- 88. Bolton, J., Liming effects on the toxicity to perennial ryegrass of a sewage sludge contaminated with zinc, nickel, copper and chromium, *Environ. Pollut.*, 9, 295, 1975.
- Bondarenko, G. P., Seasonal dynamics of mobile forms of trace elements and iron in bottomland soils of the Ramenskoe widening of the Moscow river, *Nauch. Dokl. Vyssh. Shk. Biol. Nauki*, 4, 202, 1962 (Ru).
- 90. Bonilla, I., Cadania, C., and Carpena, O., Effects of boron on nitrogen metabolism and sugar levels of sugar beet, *Plant Soil*, 57, 3, 1980.
- 91. Boratyński, K., Roszyk, E., and Ziątecka, M., Review on research on microelements in Poland (B, Cu and Mn), *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 22, 205, 1971 (Po).
- 92. Borovik-Romanova, T. F. and Bielova, I. E. A., About geochemistry of lithium, in *Problems of Geochemical Ecology of Organism*, Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1974, 118 (Ru).
- Bowden, J. W., Nagarajah, S., Barrow, N. J., Posner, A. M., and Quirk, J. P., Describing the adsorption of phosphate, citrate and selenite on a variable-charge mineral surface, *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 18, 49, 1980.
- 94. Bowen, H. J. M., Environmental Chemistry of the Elements, Academic Press, New York, 1979, 333.
- 95. Bowen, J. E., Kinetics of boron, zinc and copper uptake by barley and sugarcane, paper presented at *Int. Symp. Trace Element Stress in Plants*, Los Angeles, November 6, 1979, 24.
- 96. Boyle, R. W., The geochemistry of silver and its deposits, Geol. Surv. Can. Bull., 160, 264, 1968.
- Boyle, R. W., Alexander, W. M., and Aslin, G. E. M., Some observations on the solubility of gold, *Geol. Surv. Can. Pap.*, 75, 24, 1975.
- 98. Boyle, R. W. and Jonasson, I. R., The geochemistry of arsenic and its use as an indicator element in geochemical prospecting, *J. Geochem. Explor.*, 2, 251, 1973.
- 99. Bradford, G. R., Bair, F. L., and Hunsaker, V., Trace and major element contents of soil saturation extracts, *Soil Sci.*, 112, 225, 1971.
- 100. Bradley, R. I., Trace elements in soils ground, Llechryd, Wales, Geoderma, 24, 17, 1980.
- Bradshaw, A. D., The evolution of metal tolerance and its significance for vegetation establishment on metal contaminated sites, paper presented at *Int. Conf. on Heavy Metals*, Toronto, October 27, 1975, 599.
- 102. Braude, G. L., Nash, A. M., Wolf, W. J., Carr, R. L., and Chaney, R. L., Cadmium and lead content of soybean products, *J. Food Sci.*, 45, 1187, 1980.
- 103. **Bromfield, S. A.**, The effect of manganese-oxidizing bacteria and pH on the availability of manganeous ions and manganese oxides to oats in nutrient solutions, *Plant Soil*, 49, 23, 1978.
- 104. Brooks, R. R., Copper and cobalt uptake by Hausmaniastrum species, Plant Soil, 48, 545, 1977.
- 104a. Brown, J. C., Iron deficiency and boron toxicity in alkaline soils, in Workshop on Plant Adaptation to Mineral Stress in Problem Soils, Wright, M. J., Ed., Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 1976, 83.

- 105. Brown, J. C. and Devine, T. E., Inheritance of tolerance or resistance to manganese toxicity in soybeans, Agron. J., 72, 898, 1980.
- 106. Brown, B. D. and Rolston, D. E., Transport and transformation of methyl bromide in soils, *Soil Sci.*, 130, 68, 1980.
- 107. Browne, C. L. and Fang, S. C., Uptake of mercury vapour by wheat. An assimilation model, *Plant Physiol.*, 61, 430, 1978.
- Broyer, T. C., Johnson, C. N., and Paull, R. E., Some aspects of lead in plant nutrition, *Plant Soil*, 36, 301, 1972.
- 109. **Bublinicc, E.,** Uptake of Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, Mo and B by forest ecosystem and the possibility of meeting requirements of these elements from import by precipitation, paper presented at *Int. Soil Science Conf.*, Prague, Czechoslovakia, August 1981, 61 (Ru).
- Buliński, R., Kot, A., Kutulas, K., and Szydlowska, E., Impact of automotive and industrial pollution on contents of cadmium, lead, mercury, zinc, and copper in cereals, *Bromatol. Chem. Toksykol.*, 10, 395, 1977 (Po).
- 111. Bull, K. R., Roberts, R. D., Inskip, M. J., and Godman, G. T., Mercury concentrations in soils, grass, earthworms and small mammals near an industrial emission source, *Environ. Pollut.*, 12, 135, 1977.
- 112. Burridge, J. C. and Scott, R. O., A rotating briquetted-disk method for determination of boron and other elements in plant material by emission spectroscopy, *Spectrochim. Acta*, 30, 479, 1975.
- 113. **Burton, M. A. S.,** Vegetation damage during an episode of selenium dioxide pollution, paper presented at *Int. Symp. Trace Element Stress in Plants*, Los Angeles, November 6, 1979, 67.
- 114. **Bussler, W.,** Microscopical possibilities for the diagnosis of trace element stress in plants, paper presented at *Int. Symp. Trace Element Stress in Plants*, Los angeles, November 7, 36, 1979.
- Bussler, W., Physiological functions and utilization of copper, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loneragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, Sydney, 1981, 213.
- 116. Byrne, A. R. and Kosta, L., Studies on the distribution and uptake of mercury in the area of the mercury mine at Idrija, Slovenia, Yugoslavia, *Vestn. Slov. Kem. Drus.*, 17, 5, 1970.
- 117. Byrne, A. R. and Ravnik, V., Trace element concentrations in higher fungi, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 6, 65, 1976.
- 118. Cannon, H. L., Lead in vegetation, in Lead in the Environment, Lovering, T. G., Ed., U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 957, 23, 1976.
- 119. Cannon, H. L., Miesch, A. T., Welch, R. M., and Nielsen, F. H., Vanadium, in *Geochemistry and the Environment*, Vol. 2, Hopkins, L. L., Ed., N. A. S., Washington, D. C., 1977, 93.
- Cannon, H. L., Shacklette, H. T., and Bystron, H., Metal absorption by *Equisetum* (Horsetail), U. S. Geol. Surv. Bull., 1278a, 21, 1968.
- 121. Carlisle, E. M., McKeague, J. A., Siever, R., and van Soest, P. J., Silicon, in *Geochemistry and the Environment*, Vol. 2, Hopps, H. C., Ed., N.A.S., Washington, D.C., 1974, 54.
- Cary, E. E., Allaway, W. H., and Olson, O. E., Control of chromium concentrations in food plants.
 I. Absorption and translocation of chromium in plants. II. Chemistry of chromium in soils and its availability to plants, J. Agric. Food Chem., 25, I, 300; II, 305, 1977.
- 123. Case, A. A., Selby, L. A., Hutcheson, D. P., Ebens, R. J., Erdman, J. A., and Feder, G. L., Infertility and growth suppression in beef cattle associated with abnormalities in their geochemical environment, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 6, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, Mo., 1972, 15.
- 124. Cataldo, D. A., Garland, T. R., and Wildung, R. E., Nickel in plants, *Plant Physiol.*, 62, I, 563; II, 566, 1978.
- 125. Chamel, A. and Garbec, J. P., Penetration of fluorine through isolated pear leaf cuticles, *Environ. Pollut.*, 12, 307, 1977.
- 126. Chaney, R. L., Crop and food chain effects of toxic elements in sludges and effluents, in *Proc. 1st Conf. on Recycling Municipal Sludges and Effluents on Land*. National Association State University and Land Grant Colleges, Washington, D.C., 1973, 120.
- Chaney, R. L., Sludge management: risk assessment for plant and animal life, in *Proc. Spring Seminar* on Sludge Management, Washington, D.C., 1980, 19.
- 128. Chaney, R. L., Brown, J. C., and Tiffin, L. O., Obligatory reduction of ferric chelates in iron uptake by soybeans, *Plant Physiol.*, 50, 208, 1972.
- 129. Chaney, R. L. and Hornick, S. B., Accumulation and effects of cadmium on crops, paper presented at *Int. Cadmium Conf.*, San Francisco, January 31, 1977, 125.

- 130. Chaney, R. L., Hornick, S. B., and Sikora, L. J., Review and preliminary studies of industrial land treatment practice, in *Proc. 7th Annu. Res. Symp. Land Disposal: Hazardous Waste*, Cincinnati, OH, 1981, 201.
- 131. Chapman, H. D., Ed., *Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soils*, University of California, Riverside, 1972, 793.
- Chattopadhyay, A. and Jervis, R. E., Multielement determination in market-garden soils by instrumental photon activation analysis, *Anal. Chem.*, 46, 1630, 1974.
- 133. Chaudan, R. P. S. and Powar, S. L., Tolerance of wheat and pea to boron in irrigated water, *Plant Soil*, 50, 145, 1978.
- 134. Chaudry, F. M., Wallace, A., and Mueller, R. T., Barium toxicity in plants, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 795, 1977.
- 135. Cheng, B. T., Soil organic matter as a plant nutrient, in *Proc. Ser. Soil Organic Matter Studies*, IAEG, Vienna, 1977, 31.
- 136. Cheshire, M. V., Berrow, M. L., Goodman, B., and Mundie, C. M., Metal distribution and nature of some Cu, Mn and V complexes in humic and fulvic acid fractions of soil organic matter, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 41, 1131, 1977.
- 137. Chhabra, R., Singh, A., and Abrol, I. P., Fluorine in sodic soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 44, 33, 1980.
- Chisholm, D., Lead, arsenic and copper content of crops grown on lead-arsenic-treated and untreated soils, *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 52, 583, 1972.
- 139. **Chudecki, Z.,** Studies of iodine content and distribution in soils of the West Coast, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 9d, 113, 1960 (Po).
- 140. Chukhrov, F. V., Gorshkov, A. I., Tiuriukanov, A. N., Beresovskaya, V. V., and Sivtsov, A. W., About geochemistry and mineralogy of manganese and iron in young hypergenic products, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser Geol.*, 7, 5, 1980 (Ru).
- 141. Clark, R. B., Effect of aluminum on the growth and mineral elements of Al-tolerant and Al-intolerant corn, *Plant Soil*, 47, 653, 1977.
- 142. Clarkson, D. T. and Hanson, J. B., The mineral nutrition of higher plants, in *Annual Review of Plant Physiology*, Vol. 31, Briggs, W. R., Green, P. W., and Jones, R. L., Eds., Ann. Reviews Inc., Palo Alto, CA, 1980, 239.
- 143. Clayton, P. M. and Tiller, K. G., A chemical method for the determination of the heavy metal content of soils in environmental studies, *CSIRO Aust. Div. Soils Techn. Pap.*, 4, 1, 1979.
- 144. Colbourn, P., Allaway, B. J., and Thornton, J., Arsenic and heavy metals in soils associated with regional geochemical anomalies in south-west England, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 4, 359, 1975.
- 145. Connor, J. J. and Shacklette, H. T., Background geochemistry of some rocks, soils, plants and vegetables in the conterminous United States, U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 574f, 168, 1975.
- 146. Cooke, J. A., Johnson, M. S., Davison, A. W., and Bradshaw, A. D., Fluoride in plants colonising fluorspar mine waste in the Peak District and Weardale, *Environ. Pollut.*, 11, 9, 1976.
- 147. **Coppenet, M., Moré, E., Le Covre, L., and Le Mao, M.,** Variations de la teneur en cobalt de raygrass étude de techniques d'enrichissement, *Ann. Agron.*, 23, 165, 1972.
- 148. Cottenie, A., Verloo, M., Kiekens, L., Camerlynck, R., Velghe, G., and Dhaese, A., *Essential and Non Essential Trace Elements in the System Soil-Water-Plant*, I.W.O.N.L., Brussels, 1979, 75.
- 149. Cox, R. M. and Hutchinson, T. C., Multiple metal tolerance in the grass Deschampsia cespitosa L. *Beauv.* from the Sudbury Smelting area, *New Phytol.*, 84, 631, 1980.
- Cox, R. M. and Hutchinson, T. C., Environmental factors influencing the rate of spread of the grass Deschampsia caespitosa invading areas around the Sudbury Nickel-Copper Smelter, Water Air Soil Pollut., 16, 83, 1981.
- Cox, F. R. and Kanprath, E. J., Micronutrient soil tests, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 289.
- 152. Craze, B., Restoration of Captains Flat mining area, J. Soil Conserv. N.S.W., 33, 98, 1977.
- 153. Crecelius, E. A., Johnson, C. J., and Hofer, G. C., Contamination of soils near a copper smelter by arsenic, antimony and lead, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 3, 337, 1974.
- 154. Cumakov, A. and Neuberg, J., Bilanz der Spurenelemente in der Pflanzenproduktion der Tschechoslowakei, *Phosphorsaeure*, 28, 198, 1970.
- 155. Cunningham, L. M., Collins, F. W., and Hutchinson, T. C., Physiological and biochemical aspects of cadmium toxicity in soybean, paper presented at *Int. Conf. on Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Toronto, October 27, 1975, 97.

- 156. **Curylo, T.,** The effect of some soil properties and of NPK fertilization levels on the uptake of cobalt by plants, *Acta Agrar. Silvestria*, 20, 57, 1981 (Po).
- 157. Curzydlo, J., Kajfosz, J., and Szymczyk, S., Lead and bromine in plants grown along roadsides, in *Proc. Conf. No. 2, Effects of Trace Element Pollutants and Sulfur on Agric. Environmental Quality,* Kabata-Pendias, A., Ed., IUNG, Pulawy, Poland, 1980, 181 (Po).
- 158. Czarnowska, K., The accumulation of heavy metals in soils and plants in Warsaw area, *Pol. J. Soil Sci.*, 7, 117, 1974.
- 159. Czarnowska, K., Heavy metal contents of surface soils and of plants in urban gardens, paper presented at *Symp. on Environmental Pollution*, Plock, November 12, 1982 (Po).
- 160. Czarnowska, K. and Jopkiewicz, K., Heavy metals in earthworms as an index of soil contamination, *Pol. J. Soil Sci.*, 11, 57, 1978.
- 161. Dabin, P., Marafante, E., Mousny, J. M., and Myttenaere, C., Adsorption, distribution and binding of cadmium and zinc in irrigated rice plants, *Plant Soil*, 50, 329, 1978.
- 162. **Dabrowski, J., Poliborski, M., Majchrzak, J., and Polak, J.,** Studies on mercury content of cereals collected from the fields at harvest time of 1975, in *Proc 17th Natl. Semin. of the Institute of Plant Protection*, Poznan, 1977, 95 (Po).
- 163. **Dassani, S. D., McClellan, E., and Gordon, M.,** Submicrogram level determination of mercury in seeds, grains, and food products by cold-vapor atomic absorption spectrometry, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 23, 671, 1975.
- 164. Davey, B. G. and Wheeler, R. C., Some aspects of the chemistry of lithium in soils, *Plant Soil*, 5, 49, 1980.
- 164a. Davies, B. E., Mercury content of soil in Western Britain with special reference to contamination from base metal mining, *Geoderma*, 16, 183, 1976.
- 165. **Davies, B. E.,** Heavy metal pollution of British agricultural soils with special reference to the role of lead and copper mining, in *Proc. Int. Semin. on Soil Environment and Fertility Management in Intensive Agriculture*, Tokyo, 1977, 394.
- 166. Davies, B. E., Ed., Applied Soil Trace Elements, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1980, 482.
- 167. Davies, B. E., Cartwright, J. A., and Hudders, G. L., Heavy metals in soils and plants of urban England, in *Proc. Conf. No. 2, Effects of Trace Element Pollutants on Agric. Environ. Quality*, Kabata-Pendias, A., Ed., IUNG, Pulawy, Poland, 1978, 117.
- 168. Davies, B. E. and Ginnever, R. C., Trace metal contamination of soils and vegetables in Shipham, Somerset, J. Agric. Sci., Camb., 93, 753, 1979.
- 169. Davies, T. C. and Bloxam, T. W., Heavy metal distribution in laterites, Southwest of Regent, Freetown Igneous Complex, Sierra Leone, *Econ. Geol.*, 74, 638, 1979.
- 169a. Davies, B. E. and White, H. M., Trace elements in vegetable grown on soils contaminated by base metal mining, J. Plant Nutr., 3, 387, 1981.
- 170. Davis, R. D., Uptake of copper, nickel and zinc by crops growing in contaminated soils, *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 30, 937, 1979.
- 171. Davis, R. D., Beckett, P. H. T., and Wollan, E., Critical levels of twenty potentially toxic elements in young spring barley, *Plant Soil*, 49, 395, 1978.
- 172. Davis, G. K., Jorden, R., Kubota, J., Laitinen, H. A., Matrone, G., Newberne, P. M., O'Dell, B. L., and Webb, J. S., Copper and molybdenum, in *Geochemistry and Environment*, Vol. 1, Davis, G. K., Ed., N.A.S., Washington, D.C., 1974, 68.
- 173. Davison, A. W., Blakemore, J., and Wright, D. A., A re-examination of published data on the fluoride content of pastures, *Environ. Pollut.*, 10, 209, 1976.
- 174. Dekock, R. C., Iron nutrition under conditions of stress, J. Plant Nutr., 3, 513, 1981.
- 175. Dev, G., Experiment on plant uptake of radio-strontium from contaminated soils. Effect of phosphate fertilization, *Sci. Rep. Agric. Coll. Norway*, 44, 1, 1965.
- 176. Diez, Th. and Rosopulo, A., Schwermetallgehalte in Böden und Pflanzen nach extrem hohen Klärschlammgaben, *Sonderdruck Landw, Forsch.*, 33, 236, 1976.
- 177. Dimitrov, G., Iodine content and migration in the Bulgarian soils, Nauchni Tr., 17, 319, 1968 (Bu).
- 178. Dirven, J. G. P. and Ehrencron, V. K. P., Trace elements in pasture soils, *Surinaamse Landbouw*, 12, 11, 1964 (Du).
- 179. **Dmowski, K. and Karolewski, A.,** Cumulation of zinc, cadmium and lead in invertebrates and in some vertebrates according to the degree of an area contamination, *Ekol. Pol.*, 27, 333, 1979 (Po).

- 180. Dobritskaya, U. I., Distribution of vanadium in natural objects, Agrokhimiya, 3, 143, 1969 (Ru).
- Dobrowolski, J. W., Investigation of environmental conditionings of proliferative diseases with particular consideration of cattle leukaemia, *Sci. Bull. S. Staszic Univ. Min. Metall.*, 877, 139, 1981 (Po).
- Dobrzański, B., Dechnik, I., Gliński, J., Pondel, H., and Stawiński, J., Surface area of arable soils of Poland, *Rocz. Nauk Roln.*, 165d, 7, 1977 (Po).
- 183. Dobrzański, B., Gliński, J., and Uziak, S., Occurrence of some elements in soils of Rzeszów voyevodship as influenced by parent rocks and soil types, *Ann. UMCS*, 24e, 1, 1970 (Po).
- Doelman, P., Effects of lead on properties of lead-sensitive and lead-tolerant cells, in *Environmental Biogeochemistry and Geomicrobiology*, Krumbein, W. E., Ed., Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, MI, 1978, 989.
- Doelman, P. and Haanstra, L., Effect of lead on soil respiration and dehydrogenase activity, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 11, 475, 1979.
- 186. **Dolobovskaya, A. S.,** The character of biogenic accumulation of minor elements in forest litter, *Pochvovedenie,* 3, 63, 1975 (Ru).
- Dommerques, Y. R. and Krupa, S. V., Eds., Interactions Between Non-Pathogenic Soils Microorganisms and Plants, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978, 475.
- 188. Donchev, I. and Mirchev, S., Molybdenum in soils of Bulgaria, *Izv. Centr. Nauch. Inst. Pozyozn.* Agrotekh. "Nikola Pushkarov," 1, 5, 1961 (Bu).
- 189. Dormaar, J. E., Alkaline cupric oxide oxidation of roots and alkaline-extractable organic matter on chernozemic soils, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 59, 27, 1979.
- 190. van Dorst, S. H. and Peterson, P. J., Selenium speciation in the solution and its relevance to plant uptake, in *Proc. 9th Int. Plant Nutrition Colloquium*, Scaife, A., Ed., Commonwealth Agriculture, Bureaux, Bucks., 1, 134, 1982.
- 191. Dossis, P. and Warren, L. J., Distribution of heavy metals between the minerals and organic debris in a contaminated marine sediment, in *Contaminants and Sediments*, Ann Arbor Sci., Ann Arbor, MI, 1980, 119.
- 192. Doyle, P. J. and Fletcher, K., Molybdenum content of bedrocks, soils and vegetation and the incidence of copper deficiency in cattle in western Manitoba, in *Molybdenum in the Environment*, Chappell, W. R. and Peterson, K. K., Eds., Marcel Decker, New York, 1977, 371.
- 193. Doyle, P., Fletcher, W. K., and Brink, V. C., Trace element content of soils and plants from Selwyn Mountains, yukon and Northwest Territories, *Can. J. Bot.*, 51, 421, 1973.
- 194. **Dudal, R.,** Land resources for agricultural development, in Plenary Papers, *11th Congress ISSS*, Edmonton, Canada, 1978, 314.
- Dudas, M. J. and Pawluk, S., Heavy metals in cultivated soils and in cereal crops in Alberta, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 57, 329, 1977.
- 196. **Duddy, I. R.,** Redistribution and fractionation of rare-earth and other elements in a weathering profile, *Chem. Geol.*, 30, 363, 1980.
- 197. Duke, J. A., Ethnobotanical observations on the Chocó Indians, Econ. Bot., 23, 344, 1970.
- 198. **Dvornikov, A. G. and Petrov, V. Ya.,** Some data on the mercury content in soils of the Nagolnyi Mt. Range, *Geokhimiya*, 10, 920, 1961 (Ru).
- 199. Dvornikov, A. G., Ovsyannikova, L. B., and Sidenko, O. G., Some peculiarities of biological absorption coefficients and of biogeochemical coefficient in hydrothermal deposits of the Donbas in connection with the prognostication of hidden mercury mineralization, *Geokhimiya*, 4, 626, 1976 (Ru).
- 200. Ebens, R. and Shacklette, H. T., Geochemistry of some rocks, mine spoils, stream sediments, soils, plants, and waters in the Western Energy Region of the conterminous United States, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 1237, 173, 1982.
- 201. Eglinton, G. and Murphy, M. T. J., Eds., Organic Geochemistry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969, 828.
- 202. Ehlig, C. F., Allaway, W. H., Cary, E. E., and Kubota, J., Differences among plant species in selenium accumulation from soil low in available selenium, *Agron. J.*, 60, 43, 1968.
- 203. Ekman, P., Karlsson, N., and Svanberg, O., Investigations concerning cobalt problems in Swedish animal husbandry, *Acta Agric. Scand.*, 11, 103, 1952.
- 204. El-Bassam, N., Keppel, H., and Tietjen, C., Arsenic transfer in soils, in Abstr. ESNA Environ. Pollut. Working Group, Cadarache, 1975a, 1.
- 205. El-Bassam, N., Poelstra, P., and Frissel, M. J., Chrom und Quecksilber in einem seit 80 Jahren mit städtischen Abwasser berieselten Boden, Z. *Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenkd.*, 3, 309, 1975b.

- 206. El-Bassam, N. and Tietjen, C., Municipal sludge as organic fertilizer with special reference to the heavy metals constituents, in *Soil Organic Matter Studies*, Vol. 2, IAEA, Vienna, 1977, 253.
- 207. Elek, E., Investigation of the manganese supply in the drainage basin of the Lókos brook, *Agrokem. Talajtan*, 15, 277, 1966 (Hu).
- 207a. Ellis, B. G. and Knezek, B. D., Adsorption reactions of micronutrients in soils, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison WI, 1972, 59.
- Elfving, D. C., Haschek, W. M., Stehn, R. A., Bache, C. A., and Lisk, D. J., Heavy metal residues in plants cultivated on and in small mammals indigenous to old orchard soils, *Arch. Environ. Health*, 3–4, 95, 1978.
- Elgawhary, S. M., Malzer, G. L., and Barber, S. A., Calcium and strontium transport to plant roots, Soil Sci. Am. Proc., 36, 794, 1972.
- 210. Elinder, C. G. and Friberg, L., Antimony, in *Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals*, Friberg, L., Ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1979, 283.
- 211. El-Sheik, A. M. and Ulrich, A., Interactions of rubidium, sodium and potassium on the nutrition of sugar beet plants, *Plant Physiol.*, 46, 645, 1970.
- 212. Elsokkary, I. H., Selenium distribution, chemical fractionation and adsorption in some Egyptian alluvial and lacustrin soils, Z. *Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenkd.*, 143, 74, 1980.
- 213. Elsokkary, I. H. and Låg, J., Status of some trace elements in Egyptian soils and in wheat grains, *Jordundersokelsens Saertrykk*, 285, 35, 1980.
- 214. **Anon.,** Environmental Mercury and Man, Report of the Department of the Environ. Central Unit on Environ. Pollut., London, 1976, 92.
- 215. Erämetsä, O., Haarala, A., and Yliruokanen, I., Lanthanoid content in three species of *Equisetum*, *Suom. Kemistil.*, 46b, 234, 1973.
- 216. Erämetsä, O., Viinanen, R., and Yliruokanen, I., Trace elements in three species of *Lycopodium*, *Suom. Kemistil.*, 46b, 355, 1973.
- 217. Erämetsä, O. and Yliroukanen, I., The rare earths in lichens and mosses, Suom. Kemistil., 44b, 121, 1971.
- Erdman, J. A., Shacklette, H. T., and Keith, J. R., Elemental composition of selected native plants and associated soils from major vegetation-type areas in Missouri, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 954c, 30, 1976a.
- Erdman, J. A., Shacklette, H. T., and Keith, J. R., Elemental composition of corn grains, soybean seeds, pasture grasses and associated soils from selected areas in Missouri, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 954d, 23, 1976b.
- 220. Ermolaev, I., The effect of trace molybdenum element dressing of fodder legumes on the content of the trace element in the feed in respect to animal health, *Pochvozv. Agrokhim.*, 4, 77, 1970 (Bu).
- 221. Ettala, E. and Kossila, V., Mineral content in heavily nitrogen fertilized grass and its silage, *Ann. Agric. Fenn.*, 18, 252, 1979.
- 222. Evans, Ch. S., Asher, C. J., and Johnson, C. M., Isolation of dimethyl diselenide and other volatile selenium compounds from Astragalus racemosus, *Austr. J. Biol. Sci.*, 21, 13, 1968.
- 223. van Faassen, G. H., Effects of mercury compounds on soil microbes, Plant Soil, 38, 485, 1973.
- 224. Faber, A. and Niezgoda, J., Contamination of soils and plants in a vicinity of the zinc and lead smelter, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 33, 93, 1982 (Po).
- 225. Fang, C. L., Sung, T. C., and Yeh Bing, Trace elements in the soils of north-eastern China and eastern Inner Mongolia, *Acta Pedol. Sin.*, 11, 130, 1963 (Ch).
- 226. FAO/UNESCO, Soil Map of the World, Vol. I, Legend, UNESCO, Paris, 1974.
- 227. Farrah, H., Hatton, D., and Pickering, W. F., The affinity of metal ions for clay surface, *Chem. Geol.*, 28, 55, 1980.
- 228. Farrah, H. and Pickering, W. F., The sorption of lead and cadmium species by clay minerals, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 30, 1417, 1977.
- 229. Farrah, H. and Pickering, W. F., The sorption of mercury species by clay minerals, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 9, 23, 1978.
- 230. Fiadotau, U. L., Bagdanau, M. P., and Brysan, M. C., Iodine content of plants as influenced by plant kind and stage of growth, *Vesti ANBSSR*, 4, 16, 1975 (Ru).
- 231. Fiskell, J. G. A. and Brams, A., Root desorption analysis as a diagnostic technique for measuring soil-plant relationships, *Soil Crop Sci. Soc. Fla.*, 25, 128, 1965.
- 232. Fiskesjö, G., Mercury and selenium in a modified Allium test, Hereditas, 91, 169, 1979.

- 233. Fleischer, M., Sarofim, A. F., Fassett, D. W., Hammond, P., Shacklette, H. T., Nisbet, I. C. T., and Epstein, S., Environmental impact of cadmium, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 5, 253, 1974.
- 234. Fleming, G. A., Selenium in Irish soils and plants, Soil Sci., 94, 28, 1968.
- Fleming, G. A., Mineral composition of herbage, in *Chemistry and Biochemistry of Herbage*, Butler, G. W. and Bailey, R. W., Eds., Academic Press, London, 1973, 529.
- 236. Fleming, G. A., Walsh, T., and Ryan, P., Some factors influencing the content and profile distribution of trace elements in Irish soils, in *Proc. 9th Int. Congr. Soil Sci.*, Vol. 2, Adelaide, Australia, 1968, 341.
- 237. Fletcher, K. and Brink, V. C., Content of certain trace elements in range forages from south central British Columbia, *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 49, 517, 1969.
- 238. Folkeson, L., Interspecies calibration of heavy metal concentrations in nine mosses and lichens: applicability to deposition measurements, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 11, 253, 1979.
- 239. Folkeson, L., Heavy-metal accumulation in the moss *Pleurozium schreberi* in the surroundings of two peat-fired power plants in Finland, *Ann. Bot. Fenn.*, 18, 245, 1981.
- 240. Forbes, E. A., Posner, A. M., and Quirk, J. P., The specific adsorption of divalent Cd, Co, Cu, Pb and Zn on goethite, *J. Soil Sci.*, 27, 154, 1976.
- 241. Foy, C. D., Chaney, R. L., and White, M. C., The physiology of metal toxicity in plants, *Annu. Rev. Physiol.*, 29, 511, 1978.
- 242. Förstner, U. and Müller, G., Schwermetalle in Flüssen und Seen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974, 225.
- 243. Frank, R., Ishida, K., and Suda, P., Metals in agricultural soils of Ontario, Can. J. Soil Sci., 56, 181, 1976.
- 244. Frank, R., Stonefield, K. I., and Suda, P., Metals in agricultural soils of Ontario, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 59, 99, 1979.
- Freedman, B. and Hutchinson, T. C., Pollutant inputs from the atmosphere and accumulations in soils and vegetation near a nickel-copper smelter at Sudbury. Ontario, Canada, *Can. J. Bot.*, 58, 108, 1980.
- 246. Frost, R. R. and Griffin, R. A., Effect of pH on adsorption of arsenic and selenium from landfill leachate by clay minerals, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 41, 53, 1977.
- 247. Frøslie, A., Karlsen, J. T., and Rygge, J., Selenium in animal nutrition in Norway, *Acta Agric. Scand.*, 30, 17, 1980.
- 248. Fuchs, W. A. and Rose, A. W., The geochemical behavior of platinum and palladium in weathering cycle in the Stillwater Complex, Montana, *Econ. Geol.*, 69, 332, 1974.
- 249. Furr, A. K., Stoewsand, G. S., Bache, C. A., and Lisk, D. J., Study of guinea pigs fed Swiss chard grown on municipal sludge-amended soil, *Arch. Environ. Health*, 3/4, 87, 1976.
- 250. Furr, A. K., Parkinson, T. F., Bache, C. A., Gutenmann, W. H., Pakkala, I., and Lisk, D. J., Multielement absorption by crops grown on soils amended with municipal sludges ashes, J. Agric. Food Chem., 28, 660, 1980.
- 251. Gadd, G. M. and Griffiths, A. J., Microorganisms and heavy metal toxicity, Microb. Ecol., 4, 303, 1978.
- 252. Gadde, R. R. and Laitinen, H. A., Study of the interaction of lead with corn root exudate, *Environ. Lett.*, 5, 91, 1973.
- Gadde, R. R. and Laitinen, H. A., Studies of heavy metal adsorption by hydrous iron and manganese oxides, *Anal. Chem.*, 46, 2022, 1974.
- 253a. Gallego, R. and Fernandez, E., Trace elements in soils of the Vegas Altas plain of Guadiana, Ann. Edafol. Agrobiol., 22, 307, 1963 (Es).
- 254. Ganther, H. E., Biochemistry of selenium, in Selenium. Van Nostrand, New York, 1974, 546.
- 255. Garbanov, S., Content and distribution of chromium in main soil types of Bulgaria, *Pochvozn.* Agrokhim., 4, 98, 1975 (Bu).
- 256. Garrels, R. M. and Christ, C. L., Solutions, Minerals and Equilibria. Harper & Row, New York, 1965, 450.
- 257. Gartrell, J. W., Distribution and correction of copper deficiency in crops and pastures, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loneragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 313.
- Gartrell, J. W., Robson, A. D., and Loneragan, J. F., A new tissue test for accurate diagnosis of copper deficiency in cereals, J. Agric. West Aust., 20, 86, 1979.
- 259. Gatz, D. F., Barlett, J., and Hassett, J. J., Metal pollutants in agricultural soils and the St. Louis urban rainfall anomaly, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 15, 61, 1981.
- 260. Gemmell, R. P., Novel revegetation techniques for toxic sites, paper presented at *Int. Conf. on Heavy Metals in Environment*, Toronto, October 27, 579, 1975.

- 261. Gilbert, O. L., Effects of air pollution on landscape and land-use around Norwegian aluminium smelters, *Environ. Pollut.*, 8, 113, 1975.
- Gilpin, L. and Johnson, A. H., Fluorine in agricultural soils of Southeastern Pennsylvania, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 44, 255, 1980.
- 263. Girling, C. A., Peterson, P. J., and Warren, H. V., Plants as indicators of gold mineralization at Waston Bar, British Columbia, Canada, *Econ. Geol.*, 74, 902, 1979.
- 264. Gish, C. D. and Christensen, R. E., Cadmium, nickel, lead and zinc in earthworms from roadside soil, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 7, 1060, 1973.
- 265. Gissel-Nielsen, G., Selenium concentration in Danish forage crops, Acta Agric. Scand., 25, 216, 1975.
- 266. Gladstones, J. S. and Loneragan, J. F., Nutrient elements in herbage plants in relation to soil adaptation and animal nutrition, in *Proc. 9th Int. Grassland Congr.*, University of Queensland Press, Brisbane, Australia, 350, 1970.
- 267. **Glinski, J. and Baran, S.,** Content of trace elements in winter wheat at different stages of development correlated with NPKCa fertilization and irrigation of soil, *Ann. UMCS*, 28/29e, 129, 1974 (Po).
- 268. Glinski, J., Melke, J., and Uziak, S., Trace elements content in silt soils of the Polish Carpathian footland region, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 19d, 73, 1968.
- 269. Gluskoter, H. J., Ruch, R. R., Miller, W. G., Cahill, R. A., Dreher, G. B., and Kuhn, J. K., Trace elements in coal: occurrence and distribution, *Ill. State Geol. Surv. Circ.*, 499, 1977, 154.
- Godo, G. H. and Reisenauer, H. M., Plant effects on soil manganese availability, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 44, 993, 1980.
- 271. Golovina, L. P., Lysenko, M. N., and Kisiel, T. J., Content and distribution of zinc in soils of Ukrainian Woodland (Polessie), *Pochvovedenie*, 2, 72, 1980 (Ru).
- 272. Goodman, B. A. and Cheshire, M. V., The bonding of vanadium in complexes with humic acid, an electron paramagnetic resonance study, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 39, 1711, 1975.
- 273. **Goodroad, L. L.,** Effects of P fertilizers and lime on the As, Cr, Pb and V content of soil and plants, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 8, 493, 1979.
- 274. Gorbacheva, A. J., Distribution of molybdenum in the soil/parent rock system, *Pochvovedenie*, 6, 129, 1976 (Ru).
- 275. Gorlach, E. and Gorlach, K., The effect of liming on the solubility on molybdenum in the soil, *Acta Agrar. Silvestria*, 16, 20, 1976 (Po).
- 276. Goswani, S. C., Gulati, K. L., and Nagpaul, K. K., Estimation of uranium and boron contents in plants and soils by nuclear particle etch technique, *Plant Soil*, 48, 709, 1977.
- 277. Gotoh, S., Tokudome, S., and Koga, H., Mercury in soil derived from igneous rock in northern Kyushu, Japan, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 24, 391, 1978.
- 278. Gough, L. P. and Severson, R. C., Impact of point source emission from phosphate processing on the element content of plants and soils, Soda Spring, Idaho, in *Trace Substance Environ. Health*, Vol. 10, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 225, 1976.
- 279. Gough, L. P., Shacklette, H. T., and Case, A. A., Element concentrations toxic to plants, animals, and man, U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull., 1466, 1979, 80.
- 279a. Gracey, H. I. and Stewart, J. W., Distribution of mercury in Saskatchewan soils and crops, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 54, 105, 1974.
- 280. **Graham, R. D.,** Absorption of copper by plant roots, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loneragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 141.
- Greenland, D. J. and Hayes, M. H. B., Eds., *The Chemistry of Soil Constituents*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978, 469.
- 282. Gregory, R. P. G. and Bradshaw, A. D., Heavy metal tolerance in populations of *Agrostis tenuis Sibth*. and other grasses, *New Phytol.*, 64, 131, 1965.
- 283. Gribovskaya, I. F., Letunova, S. W., and Romanova, S. N., Microelements in the organs of legume plants, *Agrokhimiya*, 3, 81, 1968 (Ru).
- 284. Griffin, R. A., Au, A. K., and Frost, R. R., Effect of pH on adsorption of chromium from landfill leachate by clay minerals, *J. Environ. Sci. Health*, 12a, 431, 1977.
- 285. Griffitts, W. R., Allaway, W. H., and Groth, D. H., Beryllium, in *Geochemistry and the Environment*, Vol. 2, Griffitts, W. R., Ed., NAS, Washington, D.C., 1977, 7.
- 286. Griffitts, W. R. and Milne, D. B., Tin, in *Geochemistry and the Environment*, Vol. 2, Beeson, K. C., Ed., N.A.S., Washington, D.C., 1977, 88.

- 287. Grim, R. E., Clay Mineralogy, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968, 596.
- 288. Groth, E., Fluoride pollution, Environment, 17, 29, 1975.
- 289. Grove, J. H. and Ellis, B. G., Extractable chromium as related to soil pH and applied chromium, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 44, 238, 1980.
- 290. Guliakin, I. V., Yudintseva, E. V., and Gorina, L. J., Accumulation of Cs-137 in yield as dependent on species peculiarities of plants, *Agrokhimiya*, 7, 121, 1975a (Ru).
- 291. Guliakin, I. V., Yudintseva, E. V., and Rulevskaya, N. N., Fractional composition of strontium-90 and potassium in plants as influenced by the application of Ca, Mg, K and Na into soil, *Agrokhimiya*, 8, 95, 1975b (Ru).
- 292. Guliakin, I. V., Yudintseva, E. V., and Levina, E. M., Effect of soil moisture on supply of strontium-90 and cesium-137 to plants, *Agrokhimiya*, 2, 102, 1976 (Ru).
- 293. Gupta, U. C., Boron and molybdenum nutrition of wheat, barley and oats grown in prince Edward Island soils, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 51, 415, 1971.
- 294. Gupta, U. C. and Winter, K. A., Selenium content of soils and crops and the effects of lime and sulphur on plant selenium, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 55, 161, 1975.
- 295. **Gurievich, V. J.,** Some data on iodine accumulation by plants in region of iodine-rich waters, *Geokhim, Razviedka*, 6, 123, 1963 (Ru).
- 296. Gutenmann, W. H., Bache, C. A., Youngs, W. D., and Lisk, D. J., Selenium in fly ash, *Science*, 191, 966, 1976.
- 297. **de Haan, F. A. M.,** The effects of long-term accumulation of heavy metals and selected compounds in municipal wastewater on soil, in *Wastewater Renovation and Reuse*, D'Itri, F. M., Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977, 283.
- Hädrich, F., Stahr, K., and Zöttl, H. W., Die Eignung von Al₂O₃-Keramik-platten und Ni-Sinterkerzen zur Gewinnung von Bodenlösung für die Spurenelementanalyse, *Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenkdl. Ges.*, 25, 151, 1977.
- 299. Halbach, P., Borstel, D., and Gundermann, K. D., The uptake of uranium by organic substances in a peat bog environment on a granitic bedrock, *Chem. Geol.*, 29, 117, 1980.
- 300. Hall, R. J., The presence and biosynthesis of carbo-fluorine compounds in tropical plants and soils, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. II, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1977, 156.
- Halstead, R. L., Finn, B. J., and MacLean, A. J., Extractability of nickel added to soils and its concentration in plants, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 49, 335, 1969.
- 302. Halvorsen, A. D. and Lindsay, W. L., The critical Zn²⁺ concentration for corn and the nonabsorption of chelated zinc, *J. Soil Sci. Soc. Am.*, 41, 531, 1977.
- 303. Hanada, S., Nakano, M., Saitoh, H., and Mochizuki, T., Studies on the pollution of apple orchard surface soils and its improvement in relation to inorganic spray residues, I., *Bull. Fac. Agric, Hirosaki* Univ., 25, 13, 1975 (Ja).
- 304. Hansen, J. A. and Tjell, J. C., Guidelines and sludge utilization practice in Scandinavia, paper presented at *Conf. Utilization of Sewage Sludge on Land*, Oxford, April 10, 1978.
- 305. Harmsen, K., Behaviour of Heavy Metals in Soils, Doctoral thesis, Centre for Agric. Publications and Documents, Wageningen, 1977, 170.
- 306. Harmsen, K. and de Haan, F. A. M., Occurrence and behavior of uranium and thorium in soil and water, *Neth. J. Agric. Sci.*, 28, 40, 1980.
- 307. Harter, R. D., Adsorption of copper and lead by Ap and B2 horizons of several northeastern United States soils, *Soil Sci. Am. J.*, 43, 679, 1979.
- 308. Hartmans, J., Factors affecting the herbage iodine content, Neth. J. Agric. Sci., 22, 195, 1974.
- Heenan, D. P. and Campbell, L. C., Transport and distribution of manganese in two cultivars of soybean, Aust. J. Agric. Res., 31, 943, 1980.
- 310. Heinrichs, H. and Mayer, R., Distribution and cycling of major and trace elements in two central European forest ecosystems, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 6, 402, 1977.
- 311. Heinrichs, H. and Mayer, R., The role of forest vegetation in the biogeochemical cycle of heavy metals, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 9, 111, 1980.
- 312. Hem, J. D., Chemical behavior of mercury in aqueous media. U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 713, 19, 1970.
- 313. Hem, J. D., Redox processes at surface of manganese oxide and their effects on aqueous metal ions, *Chem. Geol.*, 21, 199, 1978.

- Hemkes, O. J., Kemp, A., and Broekhoven, L. W., Accumulation of heavy metals in the soil due to annual dressing with sewage sludge, *Neth. J. Agric. Sci.*, 28, 228, 1980.
- 315. Hendrix, D. L. and Higinbotham, N., Heavy metals and sulphhydryl reagents as probes of ion uptake in pea stem, in *Membrane Transport in Plants*, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, 1974, 412.
- 316. Hennig, A., Mineralstoffe, Vitamine, Ergotropika, DDR-VEB, Berlin, 1972, 263.
- 317. **Hewitt, E. J.,** Sand and Water Culture Methods Used in the Study of Plant Nutrition, Commonwealth Agriculture Bureaux, Bucks., U.K., 1966, 547.
- 318. Hildebrand, E. E., Die Bindung von Immissionsblei in Böden, Freiburger Bodenkundliche Abhandlungen, 4, 1, 1974.
- 319. Hildebrand, E. E. and Blume, W. E., Lead fixation by clay minerals, *Naturwissenschaften*, 61, 169, 1974.
- 320. Hodgson, J. F., Geering, H. R., and Norvell, W. A., Micronutrient cation complexes in soil solution, *Soil Sci., Soc. Am. Proc.*, I, 29, 665, 1965; II, 30, 723, 1966.
- 321. Hogg, T. J., Stewart, J. W. B., and Bettany, J. R., Influence of the chemical form of mercury on its adsorption and ability to leach through soils, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 7, 440, 1978.
- 322. Hondenberg, A. and Finck, A., Ermittlung von Toxizitäts-Grenzwerter für Zink, Kupfer und Blei in Hafer und Rotklee, *Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenkd.*, 4/5, 489, 1975.
- 323. Horak, O., Untersuchung der Schwermetallbelastung von Pflanzen durch Klärschlammgaben in Gefässversuch, *Sonderdruck. Bodenkultur*, 31, 172, 1980.
- 324. Hornick, S. B., Baker, D. E., and Guss, S. B., Crop production and animal health problems associated with high molybdenum soils, paper presented at *Symp. on Molybdenum in the Environment*, Denver, June 16, 1975, 12.
- 325. Horowitz, C. T., Schock, H. H., and Horowitz-Kisimova, L. A., The content of scandium, thorium, silver, and other trace elements in different plant species, *Plant Soil*, 40, 397, 1974.
- 326. Horst, W. J. and Marschner, H., Effect of silicon on manganese tolerance of bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), *Plant Soil*, 50, 287, 1978.
- 327. Horváth, A. and Möller, F., Der Arsengehalt des Bodens, in *Proc. Arsen Symp.*, Anke, M., Schneider, H. J., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1980, 95.
- 328. Horváth, A., Bozsai, G., Szabados, M., Károlyi, E., and Szaló, M., Study of heavy metal soil pollution in the environment of lead-works, *Magy. Kem. Lapja*, 35, 135, 1980 (Hu).
- 329. Huang, P. M., Retention of arsenic by hydroxy-aluminum on surface of micaceous mineral colloids, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, 39, 271, 1975.
- 330. Huff, L. C., Migration of lead during oxidation and weathering of lead deposits. In *Lead in the Environment*, Lovering, T. G., Ed., *Geol. Survey Prof. Pap.*, 957, 21, 1976.
- 330a. Huffman, E. W. and Hodgson, J. F., Distribution of cadmium and zinc/cadmium ratios in crops from 19 states east of the Rocky Mountains, J. Environ. Qual., 2, 289, 1973.
- 331. Hughes, M. K., Lepp, N. W., and Phipps, D. A., Aerial heavy metal pollution and terrestrial ecosystems, *Adv. Ecol. Res.*, 11, 217, 1980.
- 332. Hutchinson, T. C., Czuba, M., and Cunningham, L., Lead, cadmium, Zinc, copper and nickel distributions in vegetables and soils of an intensely cultivated area and levels of copper, lead and zinc in the growers, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 8, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1974, 81.
- 333. Hutchinson, T. C. and Whitby, L. M., A study of airborne contamination of vegetation and soils by heavy metals from the Sudbury, Ontario, copper-nickel smelters, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 7, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO., 1973, 179.
- 334. **Hutton, J. T.,** Titanium and zirconium minerals, in *Minerals in Soil Environments*, Dixon, J. B. and Weed, S. B., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1977, 673.
- 335. Ignatowicz, I. and Zmigrodzka, T., Microelements (Mn, Cu, Mo) in grains of winter cereals from area of Zielonagóra voyevodship, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 23, 113, 1972 (Po).
- 336. Iimura, K., Ito, H., Chino, M., Morishita, T., and Hirata, H., Behavior of contaminant heavy metals in soil-plant system, in *Proc. Inst. Sem. SEFMIA*, Tokyo, 1977, 357.
- 337. Ilyin, V. B., Biogeochemistry and Agrochemistry of the Trace Elements (Mn, Cu, Mo, B) in the South of Western Siberia, Izd. Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1973, 389 (Ru).
- 338. **Ilyin, V. B. and Stiepanova, M. D.,** Distribution of lead and cadmium in wheat plants growing on soils contaminated with these metals, *Agrokhimiya*, 5, 114, 1980 (Ru).

- 339. Ireland, M. P., Metal accumulation by the earthworms *Lumbricus rubellus, Dendrobaena veneta* and *Eiseniella tetraedra* living in heavy metal polluted sites, *Environ. Pollut.*, 13, 205, 1979.
- 340. **Isermann, K.,** Method to reduce contamination and uptake of lead by plants from car exhaust gases, *Environ. Pollut.*, 12, 199, 1977.
- 341. Itoh, S., Tokumaga, Y., and Yumura, Y., Concentration of heavy metals contained in the soil solution and the contamination of vegetable crops by the excessive absorption of heavy metals, *Bull. Veg. Ornamental Crops Res. Stn.*, 5a, 145, 1979 (Ja).
- 342. Itoh, S. and Yumura, Y., Studies on the contamination of vegetable crops by excessive absorption of heavy metals, *Bull. Veg. Ornamental Crops Res. Stn.*, 6a, 123, 1979 (Ja).
- 343. Ivanov, G. M. and Cybzhitov, C. H., Microelements in steppe facies of Southern Zabaykalie, *Agrokhimiya*, 2, 103, 1979 (Ru).
- 344. **Iverson, W. P. and Brinckman, E. F.,** Microbial transformation of heavy metals, in *Water Pollution Microbiology*, Vol. 2, Mitchell, R., Ed., Wiley Interscience, New York, 1978, 201.
- 345. Ivlev, A. M., Teno-Chak-Mun, and Zbruyeva, A. I., On biogeochemistry of manganese in southern part of Sakhalin, in *Biogeokhimiya zony Gipergeneza*, NEDRA, Moscow, 1971, 92 (Ru).
- 346. Izerskaya, L. A. and Pashnieva, G. E., Manganese, copper and cobalt in soils of the Tomsk Region, *Agrokhimiya*, 5, 94, 1977 (Ru).
- 347. Jacks, G., Vanadium in an area just outside Stockholm, Environ. Pollut., 11, 289, 1976.
- 348. Jackson, J. F. and Chapman, K. S. R., The role of boron in plants, in Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems, Nicholas D. J. D. and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 213.
- 349. Jagodin, B. A., Troykaya, G. N., Genepozoba, I. P., Sawich, M. S., and Ovcharenko, G. A., Cobalt in plant metabolism, in *Biologicheskaya Rol Mikroelementov i ich Primienieniye v Selskom Khozaystvie i Medicinie*, Nauka, Moscow, 1974, 329 (Ru).
- 350. Jakubick, A. T., Geochemistry and physics of plutonium migration, in *Origin and Distribution of the Elements*, Vol. 11, Ahrens, L. H., Ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979, 775.
- 351. Jakushevskaya, I. V. and Martynienko, A. G., Minor elements in the landscapes of separated forest stand steppe, *Pochvovedenie*, 4, 44, 1972 (Ru).
- 352. James, R. O. and Barrow, N. J., Copper reactions with inorganic components of soils including uptake by oxide and silicate minerals, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loneragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 47.
- 353. Jarvis, S. C. and Jones, L. H. P., The contents and sorption of cadmium in some agricultural soils of England and Wales, *J. Soil Sci.*, 31, 469, 1980.
- 354. Jasiewicz, C., The effect of copper and fertilization with various forms of nitrogen on some physiological indices in maize, *Acta Agrar. Silvestria*, 20, 95, 1981 (Po).
- 355. Jaworowski, Z. and Grzybowska, D., Natural radionuclides in industrial and rural soils, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 7, 45, 1977.
- 356. Jenne, E. A., Trace element sorption by sediments and soils-sites and processes, in *Molybdenum in the Environment*, Vol. 2, Chappell, W. R. and Petersen, K. K., Eds., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977, 555.
- 357. Jennett, J. Ch., Wixon, B. G., Lowsley, I. H., and Purushothaman, K., Transport and distribution from mining, milling, and smelting operations in a forest ecosystem, in *Lead in the Environment*, Bogges, W. R. and Wixon, B. G., Eds., Report for NSF and RANN, Washington, D. C., 1977, 135.
- 358. Jermakov, V. V. and Kovalskiy, V. V., Geochemical ecology of organisms at elevated concentrations of selenium in environment, *Tr. Biogeokhim. Lab.*, 12, 204, 1968 (Ru).
- 359. Jernelöv, A., Microbial alkylation of metals, paper presented at *Int. Conf. on Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Toronto, October 27, 1975, 845.
- 360. Johansen, O. and Steinnes, E., Routine determination of traces of cobalt in soils and plant tissue by instrumental neutron activation analysis, *Acta Agric. Scand.*, 22, 103, 1972.
- 361. John, M. K., Cadmium adsorption maxima of soil as measured by the Langmuir isotherm, *Can. J. Soil, Sci.*, 52, 343, 1972.
- 362. John, M. K., Chauh, H. H., and van Laerhoven, C. J., Boron response and toxicity as affected by soil properties and rates of boron, *Soil Sci.*, 124, 34, 1977.
- 363. John, M. K., van Laerhoven, C. J., and Cross, Ch. H., Cadmium, lead and zinc accumulation in soil near a smelter complex, *Environ. Lett.*, 10, 25, 1975.

- 364. Johnson, C. M., Selenium in soils and plants: contrasts in conditions providing safe but adequate amounts of selenium in the food chain, in *Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems*, Nicholas, D. J. D. and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 165.
- 365. Johnson, R. D., Miller, R. E., Williams, R. E., Wai, C. M., Wiese, A. C., and Mitchell, J. E., The heavy metal problem of Silver Valley, Northern Idaho, paper presented at *Int. Conf. Heavy Metals in Environment*, Toronto, October 27, 1975, 465.
- 366. Johnston, W. R. and Proctor, J., Metal concentrations in plants and soils from two British serpentine sites, *Plant Soil*, 46, 275, 1977.
- 367. Jonasson, I. R., Mercury in the natural environment: a review of recent work, *Geol. Surv. Can.*, 70/57, 37, 1970.
- 368. Jonasson, I. R. and Boyle, R. W., Geochemistry of mercury and origins of natural contamination of the environment, *Can. Min. Metall. Bull.*, 1, 1, 1972.
- Jones, J. B., Plant tissue analysis for micronutrients, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 319.
- 369a. Jones, L. H. P. and Clement, C. R., Lead uptake by plants and its significance for animals, in *Lead in the Environment*, Hepple, P., Ed., Institute of Petroleum, London, 1972, 29.
- 370. Jones, L. H. P., Jarvis, S. C., and Cowling, D. W., Lead uptake from soils by perennial ryegrass and its relation to the supply of an essential element (sulphur), *Plant Soil*, 38, 605, 1973.
- Jönson, G. and Pehrson, B., Selenium—a trace element of great significance for the health of livestock, in *Geochemical Aspects in Present and Future Research*, Låg, J., Ed., Universitesforlaget, Oslo, 1980, 103.
- 372. von Jung, J., Isermann, K., and Henjes, G., Einfluss von cadmiumhaltigen Düngerphosphaten auf die Cadmiumanreicherung von Kulturböden und Nutzpflanzen, *Landwirtsch. Forsch.*, 32, 262, 1979.
- 373. Juszkiewicz, T. and Szprengier, T., Mercury content of feed cereal grains, *Med. Weterynaryjna*, 32, 415, 1976 (Po).
- 373a. **Kabata-Pendias, A.,** The sorption of trace elements by soil-forming minerals, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 19d, 55, 1968.
- 374. Kabata-Pendias, A., Leaching of micro- and macro-elements in columns with soil derived from granite, *Pamiet. Pulawski*, 38, 111, 1969 (Po).
- 375. Kabata-Pendias, A., Chemical composition of soil solutions, Rocz. Glebozn., 23, 3, 1972 (Po).
- 376. Kabata-Pendias, A., Effects of inorganic air pollutants on the chemical balance of agricultural ecosystems, paper presented at *United Nations-ECE Symp. on Effects of Air-borne Pollution on Vegetation*, Warsaw, August 20, 1979, 134.
- 376a. Kabata-Pendias, A., Current problems in chemical degradation of soils, paper presented at *Conf. on Soil and Plant Analyses in Environmental Protection*, Falenty/Warsaw, October 29, 1979, 7 (Po).
- 377. Kabata-Pendias, A., Heavy metal sorption by clay minerals and oxides of iron and manganese, *Mineral. Pol.*, 11, 3, 1980.
- 378. **Kabata-Pendias, A.,** Heavy metal concentrations in arable soils of Poland, *Pamiet, Pulawski*, 74, 101, 1981 (Po).
- Kabata-Pendias, A. and Gondek, B., Bioavailability of heavy metals in the vicinity of a copper smelter, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 12, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia MO, 1978, 523.
- 380. Kabata-Pendias, A., Bolibrzuch, E., and Tarlowski, P., Impact of a copper smelter on agricultural environments, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 32, 207, 1981.
- 381. Kabata-Pendias, A. and Pendias, H., Trace Elements in the Biological Environment, Wyd. Geol., Warsaw, 1979, 300 (Po).
- 382. Kabata-Pendias, A. and Piotrowska, M., Total contents of trace elements in soils of Poland, *Materialy IUNG*, Pulawy, Poland, 8s, 7, 1971, (Po).
- 383. Kaniuga, Z., Ząbek, J., and Sochanowicz, B., Photosynthetic apparatus in chilling-sensitive plants, *Planta*, 144, 49, 1978.
- 384. Karlsson, N., On molybdenum in Swedish soil and vegetation and some related questions, *Statens Lantbrukskem, Kontrollanst. Medd.*, 23, 1961, 243 (Sv).
- 385. Karoń, B., Vanadium content of cultivated plants, Z. Prob. Post. Nauk Roln., 179, 361, 1976 (Po).
- 386. Kashin, V. K., Osipov, K. J., Utinova-Ivanowa, L. P., and Jefimov, M. V., Iodine in sward of haylands of Mujsk valley of Buratia, *Angrokhimiya*, 6, 99, 1980 (Ru).

- 387. Kaufman, P. B., Bigelow, W. C., Petering, L. B., and Drogosz, F. B., Silica in developing epidermal cells of *Avena* internodes, *Science*, 166, 1015, 1969.
- 388. Kähäri, J. and Nissinen, H., The mineral element contents of timothy (*Phleum pratense* L.) in Finland, *Acta Agric. Scand. Suppl.*, 20, 26, 1978.
- 389. Khalid, B. Y. and Tinsley, J., Some effects of nickel toxicity on ryegrass, *Plant Soil*, 55, 139, 1980.
- 390. Kick, H., Bürger, H., and Sommer, K., Gesamthalte an Pb, Zn, Sn, As, Cd, Hg, Cu, Ni, Cr, und Co in landwirtschaftlich und g\u00e4rtnerisch genutzten B\u00f6den Nordrhein-Westfalens, *Landwirtsch. Forsch.*, 33, 12, 1980.
- 391. King, P. M. and Alston, A. M., Diagnosis of trace element deficiencies in wheat on Eyre Peninsula, South Australia, in *Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems*, Nicholas, D. J. D. and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 339.
- 392. Kinniburgh, D. G., Jackson, M. L., and Syers, J. K., Adsorption of alkaline earth, transition, and heavy metal cations by hydrous oxide gels of iron and aluminum, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 40, 796, 1976.
- 393. Kirchmann, R. and D'Souza, T. J., Behaviour of ruthenium in an established pasture soil and its uptake by grasses, in *Isotopes and Radiation in Soil-Plant Relationship*, IAEA, Vienna, 1972, 587.
- 394. **Kiriluk, V. P.,** Accumulation of copper and silver in chernozems of vineyards, in *Microelements in Environment*, Vlasyuk, P. A., Ed., Naukova Dumka, Kiyev, 1980, 76 (Ru).
- 395. Kitagishi, K. and Yamane, I., Eds., *Heavy Metal Pollution in Soils of Japan*, Japan Science Society Press, Tokyo, 1981, 302.
- 396. Klein, D. H., Mercury and other metals in urban soils, Environ. Sci. Technol., 6, 560, 1972.
- 397. Kloke, A., Blei-Zink-Cadmium Anreicherung in Böden und Pflanzen, *Staub Reinhalt. Luft*, 34, 18, 1974.
- 398. Kloke, A., Content of arsenic, cadmium chromium, fluorine, lead, mercury and nickel in plants grown on contaminated soil, paper presented at *United Nations-ECE Symp. on Effects of Air-borne Pollution on Vegetation*, Warsaw, August 20, 1979, 192.
- Kloke, A., Der Einfluss von Phosphatdüngern auf den Cadmiumgehalt in Pflanzen, *Gesunde Pflanz.*, 32, 261, 1980a.
- 400. Kloke, A., Materialien zur Risikoeinschätzung des Quecksilberproblems in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, *Nachrichtenbl. Dtsch. Pflanzenschutz.*, 32, 120, 1980b.
- 401. **Kluczyński, B.,** The influence of fluorine and its compounds on plants, *Arbor. Kornickie*, 21, 401, 1976 (Po).
- 402. Knälmann, M., Sorption of iodine in soils, paper presented at *Annu. Meeting of ESNA*, Budapest, September 26, 1972.
- 403. **Kobayashi, J.**, Air and water pollution by cadmium, lead and zinc attributed to the largest zinc refinery in Japan, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 5, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1971, 117.
- 404. **Kobayashi, J.,** Effect of cadmium on calcium metabolism of rats, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health,* Vol. 7, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1973, 295.
- 405. Kobayashi, J., Morii, F., and Muramoto, S., Removal of cadmium from polluted soil with the chelating agent, EDTA, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 8, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1974, 179.
- 406. Kodama, H. and Schnitzer, M., Effect of fulvic acid on the crystallization of aluminum hydroxides, *Geoderma*, 24, 195, 1980.
- 407. Kokke, R., Radioisotopes applied to environmental toxicity research with microbes, in *Radiotracer* Studies of Chemical Residues in Food and Agriculture, IAEA, Vienna, 1972, 15.
- 408. **Koljonen, T.,** The availability of selenium as nutrient in different geological environments, with special reference to Finland and Iceland, *Ambio*, 7, 169, 1978.
- 409. Koons, R. D. and Helmke, P. A., Neutron activation analysis of standard soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 42, 237, 1978.
- 410. **de Koning, H. W.,** Lead and cadmium contamination in the area immediately surrounding a lead smelter, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 3, 63, 1974.
- 411. Korkman, J., The effect of selenium fertilizers on the selenium content of barley, spring wheat and potatoes, J. Sci. Agric. Soc. Finland, 52, 495, 1980.
- 412. Kosanovic, V. and Halasi, R., Boron in soils of Voyvodine, Letop. Nauch. Radova, 6, 167, 1962 (Sh).

- 413. Kosta, L., Byrne, A. R., Zelenko, V., Stegnar, P., Dermelj, M., and Ravnik, V., Studies on the uptake and transformations of mercury in living organisms in the Idrija region and comparative area, *Vestn. Slov. Kem. Drus.*, 21, 49, 1974.
- 414. Kosta, L., Zelenko, V., and Ravnik, V., Trace elements in human thyroid with special reference to the observed accumulation of mercury following long-term exposure, in *Comp. Studies of Food and Environ. Contam.*, IAEA, Vienna, 1974, 541.
- 415. Kosta, L., Zelenko, V., Stegnar, P., Ravnik, V., Dermelj, M., and Byrne, A. R., Fate and significance of mercury residues in an agricultural ecosystem, paper presented at *Meeting Isotope Tracer Studies of Chemical Residues in Food and in the Agricultural Environment*, Vienna, October 30, 1972, 87.
- 416. Kothny, E. L., Palladium in plant ash, Plant Soil, 53, 547, 1979.
- 417. Kovalevskiy, A. L., *Biogeochemical Exploration for Mineral Deposits*, published for the USDI and the NSF, Amerind Publ. Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1979, 136.
- 418. Kovalskiy, V. V., Geochemical Ecology, Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1974a, 298 (Ru).
- 419. Kovalskiy, V. V., Geochemical environment, health and diseases, in *Trace Subst. Environ Health*, Vol, 8, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 137, 1974.
- 419a. Kovalskiy, V. V. and Andryanova, G. A., *Trace Elements (Cu, Co, Zn, Mo, Mn, B, I, Sr) in Soils of USSR*, Buryatskoye Knigi Izd., Ulan-Ude, 1968, 56 (Ru).
- 420. Kovalskiy, V. V. and Yarovaya, G. A., Biogeochemical province enriched in molybdenum, *Agrokhimiya*, 8, 68, 1966 (Ru).
- 421. Kovalskiy, V. V. and Letunova, S. V., Geochemical ecology of microorganisms, *Tr. Biogeochim. Lab.*, 13, 3, 1974 (Ru).
- 422. Kovalskiy, V. V., Letunova, S. V., and Aleksieyeva, S. A., Accumulation of nickel and other elements in the microbiota of a soil in South Ural Subregion of the biosphere, in *Proc. Nickel Symp.*, Anke, M., Schneider, H. J., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1980, 163.
- 423. Kovalskiy, V. V., Vorotnickaya, I. J., Lekarev, V. S., and Nikitina, V. J., Biogeochemical changes in uranium levels in pasture of Issyk-kul valey, *Tr. Biogeochim. Lab.*, 12, 5, 1968 (Ru).
- 424. Kozak, L. and Tarkowski, C., The Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe and Mg contents at different growth stages of triticale, wheat and rye, *Rocz. Nauk Roln. Ser. A*, 104, 113, 1979 (Po).
- 425. **Krampitz, G.,** Die biologische Bedeutung von Beryllium-Verbindungen, in *Proc. Arsen Symposium, Anke*, M., Schneider, H. J., Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1980, 245.
- 426. **Krasinskaya, N. P. and Letunova, S. V.,** Accumulation of Zn, Mo and B by biomass of soil microflora with changing level of content of these elements in pseudo-podzolized brown soils of subtropical zone of Abkhazia, *Agrokhimiya*, 6, 111, 1981 (Ru).
- 427. Krauskopf, K. B., Geochemistry of micronutrients, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 7.
- 428. Krähmer, R. and Bergmann, W., Verteilung verschiedener Kupfer-Fraktionen in Acker- und Grünlandprofilen sowie deren Bezichung zum salpetersäurelöslichen Kupfer nach Westerhoff, *Arc. Ackeru, Pflanzenbau-u, Bodenk.*, 22, 405, 1978.
- 429. Kristensen, K. K. and Bonde, G. J., The current status of bacterial and other pathogenic organisms in municipal wastewater and their potential health hazards with regard to agricultural irrigation, in *Wastewater Renovation and Reuse*, D'Itri, F. M., Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977, 705.
- 430. Kronemann, H., Anke, M., Grün, M., and Partschefeld, M., The cadmium concentration of feedstuffs, foodstuffs and of water in the GDR and in an area with nonferrous metal industry, in *Proc. Kadmium Symp.*, Anke, M. and Schneider, H. J., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1979, 230.
- 431. Kronemann, H., Anke, M., Thomas, S., and Riedel, E., The nickel concentration of different food and feed-stuffs from areas with and without nickel exposure, in *Proc. Nickel Symp.*, Anke, M., Schneider, H. J., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1980, 221.
- 432. Krupskiy, N. K., Golovina, L. P., Aleksandrova, A. M., and Kisiel, T. I., Distribution of manganese in soils of Ukrainian forest-steppe zone, *Pochvovedenie*, 11, 41, 1978 (Ru).
- 433. **Kubota**, **J.**, Distribution of cobalt deficiency in grazing animals in relation to soils and forage plants of the United States, *Soil Sci.*, 106, 122, 1968.
- 434. **Kubota**, **J.**, Areas of molybdenum toxicity to grazing animals in the Western States, *J. Range Manage.*, 28, 252, 1975.

- 435. **Kubota, J.,** Molybdenum status of United States soils and plants, in *Molybdenum in the Environment,* Chappell, W. R. and Peterson, K. K., Eds., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977, 555.
- 436. **Kubota, J. and Allaway, W. H.,** Geographic distribution of trace element problems in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 525.
- 436a. Kubota, J., Cary, E. E., and Gissel-Nielsen, G., Selenium in rainwater the United States and Denmark, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 9, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1975, 123.
- 437. Kukurenda, H. and Lipski, R., Solubility of manganese in different soils and its availability to plants, *Pamiet. Pulawski*, 76, 172, 1982 (Po).
- 438. Kulikova, A. Kh. and Nurgaleyeva, G. M., Dynamics of mercury organic compounds in leaching chernozems and their supply to agricultural crops, *Pochvovedenie*, 12, 142, 1979 (Ru).
- 439. Kunishi, H. M. and Taylor, A. W., Immobilization of radio-strontium in soil by phosphate addition, *Soil Sci.*, 113, 1, 1972.
- 440. Kuo, S. and Mikkelsen, D. S., Zinc adsorption by two alkaline soils, Soil Sci., 128, 274, 1979.
- 441. Låg, J., Soil science and geomedicine, Acta Agric. Scand., 22, 150, 1972.
- 442. Låg, J., Soil selenium in relation to precipitation, Ambio, 3, 237, 1974.
- 443. Låg, J. and Dev, G., Distribution of exchangeable manganese in some Norwegian podzol profiles, J. *Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 12, 215, 1964.
- 444. Låg, J. and Steinnes, E., Study of mercury and iodine distribution in Norwegian forest soils by neutron activation analysis, in *Nuclear Techniques in Environmental Pollution*, IAEA, Vienna, 1971, 429.
- 445. Låg, J. and Steinnes, E., Regional distribution of halogens in Norwegian forest soils, *Geoderma*, 16, 317, 1976.
- 446. Låg, J. and Steinnes, E., Contents of some trace elements in barley and wheat grown in Norway, *Meld. Nor. Landrukshoegsk*, 57, 1, 1978a.
- 447. Låg, J. and Steinnes, E., Regional distribution of mercury in humus layers of Norwegian forest soils, *Acta Agric. Scand.*, 28, 393, 1978b.
- 447a. Lagerwerff, J. V., Lead, mercury and cadmium in environmental contaminants, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. P., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 593.
- 448. Lagerwerff, J. V., Armiger, W. H., and Specht, A. W., Uptake of lead by alfalfa and corn from soil and air, *Soil Sci.*, 115, 455, 1973.
- 449. Lagerwerff, J. V. and Biersdorff, G. T., Interaction of zinc with uptake and translocation of cadmium in radish, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 5, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1972, 515.
- 450. Lagerwerff, J. V. and Kemper, W. D., Reclamation of soils contaminated with radioactive strontium, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, 39, 1077, 1975.
- 451. Langerwerff, J. V. and Milberg, R. P., Sign-of-charge of species of Cu, Cd and Zn extracted from sewage sludge, and effect of plants, *Plant and Soil*, 49, 117, 1978.
- 452. Lahann, R. W., Molybdenum hazard in land disposal of sewage sludge, *Water Air and Soil Pollut.*, 6, 3, 1976.
- 453. Lakin, H. W., Curtin, C. G., and Hubert, A. E., Geochemistry of gold in the weathering cycle, U.S. *Geol. Surv. Bull.*, 1330, 80, 1974.
- 454. Lakin, H. W., and Dawidson, D. F., The relation of the geochemistry of selenium to its occurrence in soil, in *Proc. Selenium in Biomedicine*, Westport, CT, 1967, 27.
- 455. Lal, F. and Biswas, T. D., Factors affecting the distribution and availability of micronutrient elements in major soil groups of Rajasthan, *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 22, 333, 1974.
- 456. Lambert, D. H., Baker, D. E., and Cole, H., Jr., The role of mycorrhizae in the interactions of P with Zn, Cu and other elements, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 43, 976, 1979.
- 457. Lambert, D. H., Cole. H., and Baker, D. E., The role of boron in plant response to mycorrhizal infection, *Plant Soil*, 57, 431, 1980.
- 458. Landa, E. R., The retention of metallic mercury vapor by soils, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 42, 1407, 1978.
- 459. Landa, E. R., The volatile loss of mercury from soils amended with methyl-mercury chloride, *Soil Sci.*, 128, 9, 1979.

- 460. Lane, S. D., Martin, E. S., and Garrod, J. F., Lead toxicity effects on indole-3-acetic acid-induced cell elongation, *Planta.*, 144, 79, 1978.
- 461. Larsen, S. and Widdowson, A. E., Soil fluorine, J. Soil Sci., 22, 210, 1971.
- 462. Laul, J. C., Weimer, W. C., and Rancitelli, L. A., Biogeochemical distribution of rare earths and other trace elements in plants and soils, in *Origin and Distribution of the Elements*, Vol. 11, Ahrens, L. H., Ed., Pergamon Press, oxford, 1979, 819.
- 463. Leal, A., Gomez, M., Sanchez-Raya, J. A. Yanez, J., and Recalde, L., Effect of boron absorption on accumulation and distribution of phosphate, paper presented at 3rd Coll. Le Contrôle de l'Adimentation des Pantes Cultivées, Budapest, September 4, 1972, 763.
- 464. Letunova, S. V., Geochemical ecology of soil microorganisms, in *Trace Element Metabolism in Animals*, Mills, C. F., Ed., Churchill Livingston, Edinburgh, 1970, 549.
- 465. Letunova, S. V. and Gribovskaya, I. F., Influence of soil microflora on biogenic migration of copper, molybdenum and lead in some biogeochemical provinces of Armenia, *Agrokhimiya*, 3, 123, 1975 (Ru).
- 466. Letunova, S. V., Kovalskiy, V. V., and Bochkova, L. P., Importance of soil microflora in biogenic migration of manganese in manganese biochemical province of Georgia, *Agrokhimiya*, 12, 88, 1976 (Ru).
- 467. Letunova, S. V. and Krivitskiy, V. A., Concentration of zinc in biomass of soil microflora in South-Urals copper-zinc subregion of biosphere, *Agrokhimiya*, 6, 104, 1979 (Ru).
- 468. Lévesque, M. and Vendette, E. D., Selenium determination in soil and plant materials, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 51, 142, 1971.
- 469. Lewin, V. H. and Beckett, P. H. T., Monitoring heavy metal accumulation in agricultural soils treated with sewage sludge, *Effluent Water Treat. J.*, May, p. 217, 1980.
- 470. Lexmond, Th. M., de Haan, F. A. M., and Frissel, M. J., On the methylation of inorganic mercury and the decomposition of organomercury compounds—a review, *Neth. Agric. Sci.*, 24, 79, 1976.
- 471. Liang, C. N. and Tabatabai, M. A., Effects of trace elements on nitrogen mineralisation in soils. *Environ. Pollut.*, 12, 141, 1977.
- 472. Lin, H. C., Problems of soil arsenic, Mem. Coll. Agric. Natl. Taiwan Univ., 11, 1, 1977.
- 473. Lindberg, P. and Bingefors, S., Selenium levels of forages and soils in different regions of Sweden, *Acta Agric Scand.*, 20, 133, 1970.
- 474. Lindberg, P. and Lannek, N., Amounts of selenium in Swedish forages, soils and animal tissues, in Trace Element Metabolism in Animals, Mills, C. F., Ed., Churchill, Livingston, Edinburgh, 1970, 421.
- 475. Lindsay, W. L., Inorganic phase equilibria of micronutrients in soils, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972a, 41.
- 476. Lindsay, W. L., Zinc in soils and plant nutrition, Adv. Agron., 24, 147, 1972b.
- 477. Lindsay, W. L., Chemical Equilibria in Soils, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1979, 449.
- 478. Linzon, S. N., Fluoride effects on vegetation in Ontario, paper presented at 2nd Int. Clean Air Congr., Washington, D. C., December 6, 1970.
- Linzon, S. N., Phytotoxicology Excessive Levels for Contaminants in Soil and Vegetation, report of Ministry of the Environment, Ontario, Canada, 1978.
- 480. Linzon, S. N., Chai, B. L., Temple, P. J., Pearson, R. G., and Smith, M. L., Lead contamination of urban soils and vegetation by emissions from secondary lead industries, *APCA J.*, 26, 651, 1976.
- 481. Lipsey, R. L., Accumulation and physiological effects of methyl mercury hydroxide on maize seedlings, *Environ. Pollut.*, 8, 149, 1975.
- 482. Little, P. and Martin, M. H., A survey of zinc, lead and cadmium in soil and natural vegetation around a smelting complex, *Environ. Pollut.*, 3, 241, 1972.
- 483. Liu, D. J., Pomeranz, Y., and Robins, G. S., Mineral content of developing and malted barley, *Cereal Chem.*, 52, 678, 1975.
- 484. Liu, D. J. and Robbins, G. S., and Pomeranz, Y., Composition and utilization of milled barley products, *Cereal Chem.*, 51, 309, 1974.
- 485. Lodenius, M., Regional distribution of mercury in *Hypogumnia physodes* in Finland, *Ambio*, 10, 183, 1981.
- 486. Loganathan, P., Burau, R. G., and Fuerstenau, D. W., Influence of pH on the sorption of Co²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Ca by a hydrous manganese oxide, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 41, 51, 1977.
- 487. Lognay, G., Spectrofluorometric determination of selenium in plants, *Bull. Rech. Agron. Gembloux*, 15, 71, 1980.

- 488. Lokay, D. and Pavel, J., Das Anzeigevermögen der Kadmium-belastung durch Ackerrotklee, Weizen und Roggen, in *Proc. Kadmium Symposium*, Anke, M. and Schneider, H. J., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1979, 221.
- 488a. van Loon, J. C., Agricultural use of sewage treatment plant sludges, a potential source of mercury contamination, *Environ. Lett.*, 4, 529, 1973.
- 489. Loneragan, J. F., The availability and absorption of trace elements in soil-plant systems and their relation to movement and concentration of trace elements in plants, in *Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems*, Nicholas, D. J. D. and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 109.
- 490. Loneragan, J. F., Distribution and movement of copper in plants, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loneragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 165.
- 491. Loneragan, J. F., Grove, T. S., Robson, A. D., and Snowball, K., Phosphorus toxicity as a factor in zinc-phosphorus interactions in plants, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 43, 966, 1979.
- 492. Lorenz, K., Reuter, F. W., and Sizer, C., The mineral composition of triticales and triticale milling fractions by X-ray fluorescence and atomic absorption, *Cereal Chem.*, 51, 534, 1974.
- 493. Lukashev, K. J. and Pietukhova, N. N., Minor elements in landscapes of Byelorussian S.S.R., *Pochvovedenie*, 8, 47, 1974 (Ru).
- 494. Lyon, G. L., Brooke, R. R., Peterson, P. J., and Butler, G. W., Trace elements in a New Zealand serpentine flora, *Plant Soil*, 29, 225, 1968.
- 495. Lyon, G. L., Brooks, R. R. Peterson, P. J., and Butler, G. W., Some trace elements in plants from serpentine soils, *N. Z. J. Sci.*, 13, 133, 1970.
- 496. MacLean, A. J., Mercury in plants and retention of mercury by soils in relation to properties and added sulfur, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 54, 287, 1974.
- 497. MacLean, A. J., Cadmium in different plant species and its availability in soils as influenced by organic matter and addition of lime, P, Cd, and Zn, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 56, 129, 1976.
- 498. MacLean, A. J., Stone, B., and Cordukes, W. E., Amounts of mercury of some golf course sites, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 53, 130, 1973.
- 499. MacLean, D. C. and Schneider, R. E., Effect of gaseous hydrogen fluoride on the yield of fieldgrown wheat, *Environ. Pollut.*, 24a, 39, 1981.
- 500. Macuch, P., Hluchan, P., Mayer, J., and Abel, E., Air pollution by fluoride compounds near an aluminum factory, *Fluoride Q. Rep.*, 2, 28, 1969.
- 501. Makarov, V. A., Output of trace elements with yield of agricultural crops, Zap. Leningr. Skh. Inst., 160, 23, 1971 (Ru).
- 502. Malaiškaite, B. S. and Radišauskas, J. G., Nickel supplies to bulls in the Lithuanian SSR, in *Proc. Nickel Symp.*, Anke, M., Schneider, H. J., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany. 1980, 253.
- 503. Malgin, M. A., Iodine in Altai soils, Pochvovedenie, 8, 74, 1980 (Ru).
- Maliszewska, W., Influence de certains oligo-éléments sur l'activité de quelques processus microbiologiques du sol, *Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol.*, 9, 505, 1972.
- 505. Maliszewska, W. and Wierzbicka, H., The influence of lead, zinc, and copper on the development and activity of microorganisms in soils, in *Proc. Effects of Trace Element Pollutants on Agric. Environ. Quality*, Volume I; Kabata-Pendias, A., Ed., IUNG, Pulawy, Poland, 1978, 135 (Po).
- 506. Malone, G., Koeppe, D. E., and Miller, R. J., Localization of lead accumulated by corn plants, *Plant Physiol.*, 53, 388, 1974.
- 507. Manecki, A., Klapyta, Z., Schejbal-Chwastek, M., Skowronski, A., Tarkowski, J., and Tokarz, M., The effect of industrial pollutants of the atmosphere on the geochemistry of natural environment of the Niepolomice forest, *PAN Miner. Trans.*, 71, 7, 1981 (Po).
- 508. Marjanen, H., On the relationship between the contents of trace elements in soils and plants and the cancer incidence in Finland, in *Geochemical Aspects in Present and Future Research*, Låg, J., Ed., Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1980, 149.
- 509. Martell, E. A., Tobacco radioactivity and cancer in smokers, Am. Sci., 7/8, 404, 1975.
- 510. Martin, H., Ed., Pesticide Manual, British Crop Protection Council, 1968, 464.
- 510a. Martin, J. P., Side effects of organic chemicals, in *Organic Chemicals in the soil Environment*, Goring, C. I., and Hamaker, J. W., Eds., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972, 733.
- Marutian, S. A., Activity of micro- and macroelements in vine shoots during nongrowing season, paper presented at 3rd Coll. Le Controle de l'Alimentation des Plantes Cultivées, Budapest, September 4, 1972, 763.
- 512. Maszner, P., The influence of industrial dusts and gases on soils of Luboń region, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 30, 199, 1979 (Po).
- Mathews, H. and Thornton, I., Agricultural implication of Zn and Cd contaminated land at Shipham, Somerset, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 14, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1980, 478.
- 514. Mathur, S. P., Hamilton, H. A., and Preston, C. M., The influence of variation in copper content of an organic soil on the mineral nutrition of oats grown *in situ, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 10, 1399, 1979.
- 515. Mathur, S. P., Macdougall, J. I., and McGrath, M., Levels of activities of some carbohydrates, proteases, lipase and phosphatase in organic soils of differing copper content, *Soil Sci.*, 129, 376, 1980.
- 516. Matsumoto, H., Syo, S., and Takahashi, E., Translocation and some forms of germanium in rice plants, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 21, 273, 1975.
- 517. McBride, M. B., Retention of Cu²⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ and Mn²⁺ by amorphous alumina, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am.* J, 42, 27, 1978.
- 518. McBride, M. B., Forms and distribution of copper in solid and solution phases of soil, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loeragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 25.
- 519. McBride, M. B. and Blasiak, J. J., Zinc and copper solubility as a function of pH in an acid soil, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 43, 866, 1979.
- 520. McKeague, J. A. and Kloosterman, B., Mercury in horizons of some profiles in Canada, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 54, 503, 1974.
- 521. McKeague, J. A. and Wolynetz, M. S., Background levels of minor elements in some Canadian soils, *Geoderma*, 24, 299, 1980.
- 522. McKenzie, R. M., Trace elements in some South Australian terra rossa and rendzina soils, *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 10, 52, 1959.
- 523. McKenzie, R. M., The mineralogy and chemistry of soil cobalt, in *Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems*, Nicholas, D. J. D. and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 83.
- 524. **McKenzie, R. M.,** Manganese oxides and hydroxides, in *Minerals in Soil Environment*, Dixon, J. B. and Weed, S. B., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1977, 181.
- 524a. McKenzie, R. M., The effect of two manganese dioxides on the uptake of lead, cobalt, nickel, copper and zinc by subterraneum clover, *Austr. J. Soil Res.*, 16, 209, 1978.
- 525. McKenzie, R. M., The adsorption of lead and other heavy metals on oxides of manganese and iron, *Austr. J. Soil Res.*, 18, 61, 1980a.
- 526. McKenzie, R. M., The manganese oxides in soils, in *Geology and Geochemistry of Manganese*, Varentsov, I. M. and Grasselly, G., Eds., Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1980b, 259.
- 527. McLaren, R. G. and Crawford, D. V., Studies on soil copper, J. Soil Sci., I, 24, 172; II, 24, 433; III. 25, 111, 1973.
- 528. McLean, E. D., Chemistry of soil aluminum, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant. Anal., 7, 619, 1976.
- 529. Megumi, K. and Mamuro, T., Concentration of uranium series nuclides in soil particles in relation to their size, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 82, 353, 1977.
- 530. Mekaru, T. and Uehara, G., Anion adsorption in ferruginous tropical soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, 36, 296, 1972.
- 531. Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E. A., *Principles of Plant Nutrition*, International Potash Institute, Worblaufen-Bern, 1978, 593.
- 532. Menzies, J. D. and Chaney, R. L., Waste characteristics, in Factors Involved in Land Application of Agricultural and Municipal Wastes, National Program Staff, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, MD, 1974, 18.
- 533. Merry, R. H. and Tiller, K. G., The contamination of pasture by a lead smelter in semi-arid environment, *Austr, J. Exp. Agric. Anim. Husb.*, 18, 89, 1978.
- 534. Mertz, W., Chromium occurrence and function in biological systems, Physiol. Rev., 49, 163, 1969.
- 535. Mertz, W., Angino, E. E., Cannon, H. L., Hambidge, K. M., and Voors, A. W., Chromium, in *Geochem-istry and the Environment*, Vol. 1, Mertz, W., Ed., N.A.S., Washington, D.C., 1974, 29.
- 536. Metson, A. J., Gibson, E. J., Hunt, J. L., and Sauders, W. M. H., Seasonal variations in chemical composition of pasture, *N. Z. J. Agric. Res.*, 22, 309, 1979.
- 537. Mickievich, B. F., Sushchyk, J. J., Yermolenko, W. I., Babak, K. A., and Kornienko, T. G., Berylium in the Hipergenic Zone, Naukova Dumka, Kiyev, 1977, 167 (Ru).

- 538. Miesch, A. T. and Huffman, C., Abundance and Distribution of Lead, Zinc, Cadmium, and Arsenic in Soils, in Helena Valley, Montana, Area Environmental Pollution Study, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, 1972, 65.
- 539. Miller, G. W., Yu, M. H., and Psenak, M., Presence of fluoro-organic compounds in higher plants, *Fluoride*, 6, 203, 1973.
- 540. Mills, J. G. and Zwarich, M. A., Heavy metal content of agricultural soils in Manitoba, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 55, 295, 1973.
- 541. Mirchev, S., Zinc and copper compounding forms in chernozems and forest soils, *Pochvozn. Agrokhim.*, 13, 84, 1978 (Bu).
- 542. Mishra, D. and Kar, M., Nickel in plant growth and metabolism, Bot. Rev., 40, 395, 1974.
- 543. Mitchell, R. L., Trace elements in some constituent species of moorland grazing, J. Br. Grass. Soc., 9, 301, 1954.
- 544. Mitchell, R. L., Cobalt in soil and its uptake by plants, paper presented at 9th Simposio Int. di Agrochimica, Punta Ala, Argentina, October 2, 1972, 521.
- 545. Mitev, Kh. and Gyurov, G., Manganese in Bulgarian pseudopodsols, Nauchni Tr., 22, 63, 1973 (Bu).
- 546. Miyake, Y. and Takahashi, E., Silicon deficiency of tomato plant, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 24, 175, 1978.
- 547. Montford, M. A., Shank, K. E., Hendricks, C., and Oakes, T. W., Elemental concentrations in food products, in *Trace Subst. in Environ. Health*, Vol. 14, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1980, 155.
- 548. **Moore, D. P.,** Mechanisms of micronutrient uptake by plants, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture,* Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 17.
- 549. Moore, H. E., Martell, E. A., and Poet, S. E., Sources of Polonium-210 in atmosphere, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 10, 586, 1976.
- 550. Moore, H. E. and Poet, S. E., Background levels of Ra-226 in the lower troposphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 10, 381, 1976.
- 551. Moré, E. and Coppnet, M., Teneurs en sélénium des plantes fourragères influence de la fertilisation et des apports de sélénite, *Ann. Agron.*, 31, 297, 1980.
- 552. Morris, D. F. C. and Short, E. L., Minerals of rhenium, Mineral. Mag., 35, 871, 1966.
- 553. Morrison, R. S., Brooks, R. R., and Reeves, R. D., Nickel uptake by *Alyssum* species, *Plant Sci. Lett.*, 17, 451, 1980.
- 554. Mortvedt, J. J., soil reactions of Cd contaminants in P fertilizers, Agron. Abstr., Dec. 3, 1978.
- 555. Moxon, A. L. and Olson, O. E., Selenium in agriculture, in *Selenium*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1974. 675.
- 556. Murphy, L. S. and Walsh, L. M., Correction of micronutrient deficiencies with fertilizers, in *Micro-nutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 347.
- 557. **Murray, F.,** Effects of fluorides on plant communities around an aluminium smelter, *Environ. Pollut.*, 24, 45, 1981.
- 557a. Nalovic, L. and Pinta, M., Recherches sur les éléments traces dans les sols tropicaux; étude de quelques sols de Madagascar, *Geoderma*, 3, 117, 1970.
- 558. Naidenov, M. and Travesi, A., Nondestructive neutron activation analysis of Bulgarian soils, *Soil Sci.*, 124, 152, 1977.
- 559. Nakos, G., Lead pollution. Fate of lead in the soil and its effects on *Pinus halepensis*. *Plant Soil*, 53, 427, 1979.
- 560. Nambiar, K. K. M. and Motiramani, D. P., Tissue Fe/Zn ratio as a diagnostic tool for prediction of Zn deficiency in crop plants, *Plant Soil*, 60, 357, 1981.
- 561. Nasseem, M. G. and Roszyk, E., Studies on copper and zinc forms in some Polish and Egyptian soils, *Pol. J. Soil Sci.*, 10, 25, 1977.
- 562. Neuberg, J., Zeleny, F., and Zavadilova, L., Investigation on manganese content in the chief plants of crop rotation, *Rostl. Vyroba*, 24, 347, 1978a (Cz).
- 563. Neuberg, J., Zelený, F., Hovorkova-Zavadilová, L., and Hrozinková, A., Molybdenum content of main crops of the field crop rotation, *Rostl. Vyroba*, 24, 567, 1978b (Cz).
- 564. Nicholas, D. J. D., The functions of trace elements, in *Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems*, Nicholas, D. J. D., and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 181.

- 565. Nicolls, K. D. and Honeysett, J. L., The cobalt status of Tasmanian soils, *Austr. J. Agric. Res.*, 13, 368, 1964.
- 566. Nielsen, F. H., Reno, H. T., Tiffin, L. O., and Welch, R. M., Nickel, in *Geochemistry and the Environment*, Vol. 2, Nielsen, F. H., Ed., N.A.S., Washington, D.C., 1977, 40.
- 567. Niyazova, G. A. and Letunova, S. V., Microelements accumulation by soil microflora at the conditions of the Sumsarsky lead-zinc biogeochemical province in Kirghizya, *Ekologiya*, 5, 89, 1981 (Ru).
- 568. Nommik, H., Fluorine in Swedish Agricultural Products, Soil and Drinking Water, Esselte Aktiebolag, Stockholm, 1953, 121.
- 569. Nordberg, G. F., Health hazards of environmental cadmium pollution, Ambio, 3, 55, 1974.
- 570. Norrish, K., The geochemistry and mineralogy of trace elements, in *Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems*, Nicholas, D. J. D. and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 55.
- 571. Norvell, W. A., Equilibria of metal chelates in soil solution, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 115.
- 572. Nowosielski, O., The use of simplified *Aspergillus niger* method for chemical analysis of agricultural materials, *Rocz. Nauk Roln.*, 87a, 201, 1963 (Po).
- 573. Nwankwo, J. N. and Elinder, C. G., Cadmium, lead and zinc concentrations in soils and food grown near a zinc and lead smelter in Zambia, *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 22, 265, 1979.
- 574. Oakes, T. W., Shank, K. E., Easterly, C. E., and Quintana, L. R., Concentrations of radionuclides and selected stable elements in fruits and vegetables, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. II, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1977, 123.
- 575. **Obukhov, A. I.,** Minor element content and distribution in soils of the aridic tropical zone in Burma, *Pochvovedenie,* 2, 93, 1968 (Ru).
- 576. Oelschläger, W., Zusammensetzung staubförmiger Kraftfahrzeug-Emissionen, Sonderdruck Staub Reinhalt. Luft., 6, 3, 1972.
- 576a. **Oelschläger, W.,** Die Problematik bei der Probeentnahme von Futtermitteln in und ausserhalb von Emissionsgebieten, *Sonderdruck Landwirtsch. Forsch.*, 26, 89, 1973.
- 577. Oelschäger, W., Über die Kontamination von Futtermitteln und Nahrungsmitteln mit Cadmium, Landwirtsch, Forsch., 27, 247, 1974.
- 578. Oelschäger, W. and Menke, K. H., Über Selengehalte pflanzlicher, tierischer und anderer Stoffe, Sonderdruck Z. Ernaehrungswiss., 9, 208, 216, 1969.
- 579. Oelschiäger, W., Wöhlbier, W., and Menke, K. W., Über Fluor-Gehalte pflanzlicher tierischer und anderer Stoffe aus Gebieten ohne und mit Fluoremissionen, *Landwirtsch. Forsch.*, 20, 199, 1968.
- Ogoleva, V. P. and Tcherdakoa, L. N., Nickel in soils of the Volgograd region, *Agrokhimiya*, 9, 105, 1980 (Ru).
- 581. **Olsen, S. R.,** Micronutrient interactions, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 243.
- 582. Olson, K. W. and Skogerboe, R. K., Identification of soil lead compounds from automotive sources, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 9, 277, 1975.
- 583. Omueti, J. A. I. and Jones, R. L., Fluoride adsorption by Illinois soils, J. Soil Sci., 28, 564, 1977.
- 584. Omueti, J. A. I. and Jones, R. L., Fluorine distribution with depth in relation to profile development in Illinois, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 44, 247, 1980.
- 585. Ormrod, D. P., Pollution in Horticulture, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978, 280.
- 586. Orlova, E. D., Influence of microfertilizers on microelement uptake by leaves, grain and straw of spring wheat, in *Mikroelementy v Pochvakh, Rastieniyakh i Vodakh Yuzhnoy Chasti Zapadnoy Sibiriy*, Kovalev, R. V., Ed., Izd. Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1971, 98 (Ru).
- 587. Ovcharenko, F. D., Gordienko, S. A., Glushchenko, T. F., and Gavrish, I. N., Methods and results of the complex formation of humic acids from peat, in *Trans. 6th Int. Symp. Humus Planta*. Praha, 1975, 137.
- 588. Ozoliniya, G. R. and Kiunke, L. M., Content of little investigated elements in parts of flax, barley and lettuce, in *Fizyologo-Biokhimicheskiye Issledovaniya Rasteniy*, Zinante, Riga, 1978, 111 (Ru).
- 589. Ozoliniya, G. R. and Zariniya, V., Accumulation of copper, molybdenum, cobalt and nickel in seeds of kidney beans, in *Mikroelementy w Kompleksie Mineralnogo Pitaniya Rasteniy*, Zinante, Riga, 1975, 117 (Ru).
- 590. **Paasikallio, A.,** The mineral element contents of timothy (*Phleum pratense* L.) in Finland, *Acta Agric. Scand. Suppl.*, 20, 40, 1978.
- 591. **Paasikallio, A.,** The effect of soil pH and Fe on the availability of Se-75 in sphagnum peat soil, *Ann. Agric. Fenn.*, 20, 15, 1981.

- 592. Padzik, A. and Wlodek, S., Beryllium contamination in the rural and industrial areas in Poland, *Rocz. Panstw. Zakl. Hig.*, 30, 397, 1979 (Po).
- 593. **Page, A. L.,** Fate and Effects of Trace Elements in Sewage Sludge when Applied to Agricultural Lands, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Report, Cincinnati, OH, 1974, 97.
- 594. Page, A. L., Ganje, T. J., and Joshi, M. S., Lead quantities in plants, soil and air near some major highways in Southern California, *Hilgardia*, 41, 1, 1971.
- 595. Page, N. J. and Carlson, R. R., Review of platinum-group metal chemistry and the major occurrences in the world, U.S. Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep., 80–90, 21, 1980.
- 596. Pahl, E., Voigländer, G., and Kirchgessner, M., Untersuchungen über Spurenelementgehalt des Weidefutters einer mehrfach genutzten Weidelgrass—Weissklee weide währent zweier Vegetationsperioden, *Z. Acker Pflanzenbau*, 131, 70, 1970.
- 597. Pais, I., Fehér, M., Farkas, E., Szabó, Z., and Cornides, I., Titanium as a new trace element, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 8, 407, 1977.
- 598. Palsson, P. A., Georgsson, G., and Petruson, G., Fluorosis in farm animals in Iceland related to volcanic eruptions, in *Geomedical Aspects in Present and Future Research*, Låg, J., Ed., Universites-forlaget, Oslo, 1980, 123.
- 599. Panov, E. N., Behavior of minor elements under the treatment of microcline by solution of organic acids, *Geokhimiya*, 10, 1568, 1980 (Ru).
- 600. Parker, R. D. R., Sharma, R. P., and Miller, G. W., Vanadium in plants, soil and water in the Rocky Mountain region and its relationship to industrial operations, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 12, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1978, 340.
- 601. Pauli, W. F., Heavy metal humates and their behavior against hydrogen sulfide, Soil Sci., 119, 98, 1975.
- 602. **Pavlotskaya, F. I.,** On the role of organic matter in the migration of radioactive products of global fallout, in *Contributions to Recent Geochemistry and Analytical Chemistry*, Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1972, 521 (Ru).
- 603. Pavlotskaya, F. I., Migration in Soils of Radioactive Products of Global Nuclear Processes, Atomizdat, Moscow, 1974, 215 (Ru).
- 604. Pavlotskaya, F. I., Goriachenkova, T. A., and Blokhina, M. I., Behavior of Sr-90, in the system: ammonium humate-stable strontium-iron (III), *Pochvovedenie*, 11, 33, 1976 (Ru).
- 605. **Pawlak, L.,** Trace Element Pollution of Soils and Plants in the Vicinity of the Oil Refinery Plant near Plock, Doctoral thesis, Agricultural University, Warsaw, 1980, 165 (Po).
- 605a. **Peneva**, N., Effect of organic matter on zinc retention and liability in the soil, *Pochvozn. Agrokhim.*, 11, 14, 1976 (Bu).
- 606. Perkins, D. F., Millar, R. O., and Neep, P. E., Accumulation of airborne fluoride by lichens in the vicinity of an aluminium reduction plant, *Environ. Pollut.*, 21, 155, 1980.
- 607. Perrott, K. W., Smith, B. F. L., and Inkson, R. H. E., The reaction of fluoride with soils and soil minerals, *J. Soil Sci.*, 27, 58, 1976.
- 608. Pesek, F. and Kolsky, V., Studies on trace contaminants of edible parts of crops, *Rostl. Vyroba*, 13, 445, 1967 (Cz).
- 609. Peterson, P. J., Unusual accumulations of elements by plants and animals, Sci. Prog., 59, 505, 1971.
- 610. Peterson, P. J., Burton, M. A. S., Gregson, M., Nye, S. M., and Porter, E. K., Tin in plants and surface waters in Malaysian ecosystems, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 10, Hemphill, D, D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1976, 123.
- 611. Petrov, I. I. and Tsalev, D. L., Atomic absorption methods for determination of soil arsenic based on arsine generation, *Pochvozn. Agrokhim.*, 14, 20, 1979 (Bu).
- 612. Petrov, I. I., Tsalev, D. L., and Lyotchev, I. S., Investigation on arsenic and cadmium content in soils, *Higiena Zdravyeopazv*, 22, 574, 1979 (Bu).
- 613. **Petrunina, N. S.,** Geochemical ecology of plants from the provinces of high trace element contents, in *Problems of Geochemical Ecology of Organisms*, Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1974, 57 (Ru).
- 614. **Peyve, I. V.,** About biochemical role of microelements in fixation of molecular nitrogen, in *Biologicheskaya Rol Mikorelementov i ikh Primeneniye w Sielskom Khozyastvie i Medicinie*, Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1974, 3 (Ru).
- 615. Pike, J. A., Golden, M. L., and Freedman, J., Zinc toxicity in corn as a result of a geochemical anomaly, *Plant Soil*, 50, 151, 1978.
- 616. Pilegaard, K., Heavy metal uptake from soil in four seed plants, Bot. Tidsskr., 73, 167, 1978.

- 617. Pinta, M. and Ollat, C., Recherches physicochimiques des éléments traces dans les sols tropicaux, Étude de quelques sols due Dahomy, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 25, 14, 1961.
- 618. **Piotrowska, M.,** The mobility of heavy metals in soils contaminated with the copper smelter dusts, and metal uptake by orchard grass, *Materialy IUNG*, 159-R, Pulawy, Poland, 1981, 88 (Po).
- 618a. Piotrowska, M., Occurrence of selenium in arable soils of Poland, Rocz. Glebozn., 35, 23, 1984 (Po).
- 619. Piotrowska, M. and Wiacek, K., Fluorine content in some Polish soils. *Rocz. Nauk Roln.*, 101a, 93, 1975 (Po).
- 620. Piotrowska, M. and Wiacek, K., Effect of the long-term phosphorus fertilization on the content of some minor elements in soils and plants, *Rocz. Nauk Roln.*, 103a, 7, 1978 (Po).
- 621. **Pokatilov, J. G.,** Content of iodine in soils of Barguzine depression in Buriatia, *Agrokhimiya*, 8, 96, 1979 (Ru).
- 622. **Ponnamperuma, F. N.,** Screening rice for tolerance to mineral stress, paper presented at *Workshop* on Plant Adaptation to Mineral Stress in Problem Soils, Wright, M. J., Ed., Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 1976, 341.
- 623. **Popović, Z., Pantovic, M., and Jakovljevic, M.,** The content of micronutrients in alfalfa fertilized with monoammonium phosphate, *Agrokhimiya*, 11–12, 497, 1981 (Sh).
- 624. Porter, E. K. and Peterson, P. J., Arsenic accumulation by plants on mine waste, United Kingdom, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 4, 365, 1975.
- 625. Powley, F., Denmark's heavy report on cadmium, Ambio, 10, 190, 1981.
- 626. **Prather, R. J.,** Sulfuric acid as an amendment for reclaiming soils high in boron, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 41, 1098, 1977.
- 627. **Preer, J. R. and Rosen, W. G.,** Lead and cadmium content of urban garden vegetables, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 11, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1977, 399.
- 628. Preer, J. R., Sekhon, H. S., Weeks, J., and Stephens, B. R., Heavy metals in garden soil and vegetables in Washington, D.C., in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health.* Vol. 14, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1980, 516.
- 629. **Presant, E. W.,** Geochemistry of iron, manganese, lead, copper, zinc, antimony, silver, tin and cadmium in the soils of the Bathurst area, New Brunswick, *Geol. Surv. Can. Bull.*, 174, 1, 1971.
- 630. Price, C. A., Clark, H. E., and Funkhouser, E. A., Functions of micronutrients in plants, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 231.
- 631. **Prikhodko, N. N.,** Vanadium, chromium, nickel and lead in soils of Pritissenskaya lowland and piedmonts of Zakarpatie, *Agrokhimiya*, 4, 95, 1977 (Ru).
- 632. Prince, N. B. and Calvert, S. E., The geochemistry of iodine in oxidised and reduced recent marine sediments, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 37, 2149, 1973.
- 633. **Pulford, I. D.,** Controls on the solubility of trace metals in soils, paper presented at *9th Int. Coll. Plant Nutrition,* Coventry, August 22, 1982, 486.
- 634. **Purves, D.,** The contamination of soil and food crops by toxic elements normally found in municipal wastewaters and their consequences for human health, in *Wastewater Renovation and Reuse*, D'Itri, F. M., Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977, 257.
- 635. Quinche, J. P., La pollution mercurielle de divèrses espéces de champignons. Rev. Suisse Agric., 8, 143, 1976.
- 636. Quinche, J. P., L'Agaricus bitorquis, un champignon accumulateur de mercure, de sélénium et de cuivre, *Rev. Suisse Vitic. Arboric. Hortic.*, 11, 189, 1979.
- 637. Quinche, J. P., Bolay, A., and Dvorak, V., La pollution par le mercure des vegetaux et des sols de la suisse romande, *Rev. Suisse Agric.*, 8, 130, 1976.
- 638. Quirk, J. P. and Posner, A. M., Trace element adsorption by soil minerals, in Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems, Nicholas, D. J. D., Ed., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 95.
- 639. Rajaratnam, J. A., Lowry, J. B., and Hock, L. I., New method for assessing boron status of the oil palm, *Plant Soil*, 40, 417, 1974.
- 640. Ranadive, S. J., Naik, M. S., and Das, N. B., Copper and zinc status of Naharashtra soils, J. Ind. Soc. Soil. Sci., 12, 243, 1964.
- 641. Randhawa, S. J., Kanwar, J. S., and Nijhawan, S. D., Distribution of different forms of manganese in the Punjab soils, *Soil Sci.*, 92, 106, 1961.
- 642. Rashid, M. A., Amino acids associated with marine sediments and humic compounds and their role in solubility and complexing of metals, in *Proc. 24th Int. Geol. Congr.*, Sect. 10, Montreal, 1979, 346.

- 643. **Rauser, W. E.,** Entry of sucrose into minor veins of bean seedlings exposed to phytotoxic burdens of Co, Ni or Zn, paper presented at *Int. Symp. Trace Element Stress in Plants,* Los Angeles, November 6, 1979, 33.
- 644. Ravikovitch, S., Margolin, M., and Navroth, J., Microelements in soils of Israel, Soil Sci., 92, 85, 1961.
- 645. Raychaudhuri, S. P. and Biswas, D. N. R., Trace element status of Indian soils, J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 12, 206, 1964.
- 646. Reid, D. A., Aluminum and manganese toxicities to the cereal grains, in *Proc. Of Workshop on Plant Adaptation to Mineral Stress in Problem soils*, Wright, M. J., Ed., Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 1976, 55.
- 647. Reilly, A. and Reilly, C., Copper-induced chlorosis in *Becium homblei* (De Wild) Duvig. et Plancke, *Plant Soil*, 38, 671, 1973.
- 648. Reisenauer, H. M., Walsh, L. M., and Hoeft, R. G., Testing soil for sulphur, boron, molybdenum, and chlorine, in *Soil Testing and Plant Analysis*, Walsh, L. M. and Beaton, J. D., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1973, 173.
- 649. Reuter, D. J., The recognition and correction of trace element deficiencies, *in Trace Elements in Soil*plant-animal Systems, Nicholas, D. J. D., and Egan, A. R., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, 291.
- 650. **Riadney, C.,** Techniques d'Études des Carens en Molybdène Pouvant Affecter les Cultures en Régions Tropicales, Thèse, Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers, Paris, 1964, 117.
- 651. Richards, B. N., Introduction to the Soil Ecosystem, Logman, Essex, 1974, 266.
- 652. Rieder, W. and Schwertmann, U., Kupferanreichung in hopfengenutzen Böden der Hallertau, *Landwirtsch. Forsch.*, 25, 170, 1972.
- 653. Riffaldi, R., Levi-Minzi, R., and Soldatini, G. E., Pb absorption by soils, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 6, 119, 1976.
- 654. Rinkis, G. J., Optimalization of Mineral Nutrition of Plants, Zinante, Riga, 1972, 355 (Ru).
- 655. **Rippel, A., Balažova, G., and Palušova, O.,** Das Kadmium in der Lebensumwelt, *in Proc. Kadmium Symp.*, Anke, M. and Schneider, H. J., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1979, 238.
- 656. **Risch, M. A., Atadschanev, P., and Teschabaev, C.,** Arsenhaltige biogeochemische Provinzen Usbekistans, in *Proc. Arsen Symp.*, Anke, M., Schneider, H. J., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1980, 91.
- 657. Roberts, T. M., A review of some biological effects of lead emissions from primary and secondary smelters, paper presented at *Int. Conf. on Heavy Metals*, Toronto, October 27, 1975, 503.
- 658. Roberts, T. M., Gizyn, W., and Hutchinson, T. C., Lead contamination of air, soil, vegetation and people in the vicinity of secondary lead smelters, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 8, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1974, 155.
- 659. Roberts, R. D., Johnson, M. S., and Firth, J. N. M., Predator-prey relationship in the food-chain transfer of heavy metals, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 12, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1979, 104.
- 660. Robson, A. D. and Reuter, D. J., Diagnosis of copper deficiency and toxicity, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loneragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 287.
- 661. Rolfe, G. L. and Bazzaz, F. A., Effect of lead contamination on transpiration and photosynthesis of Loblolly Pine and Autumn Olive, *Forest Sci.*, 21, 33, 1975.
- 662. Romney, E. M., Wallace, A., and Alexander, G. V., Responses of bush bean and barley to tin applied to soil and to solution culture, *Plant Soil*, 42, 585, 1975.
- 663. **Roques, A., Kerjean, M., and Auclair, D.,** Effects de la pollution atmospherique par le fluor et le dioxyde de soufre sur l'appareil reproducteur femelle de *Pinus silvestris* en foret de Roumare, *Environ. Pollut.*, 21, 191, 1980.
- 664. Roslakov, N. A., Geochemistry of Gold in Hypergene Zone, Idz. Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1981, 237 (Ru).
- 665. **Roszyk, E.,** Contents of vanadium, chromium, manganese, cobalt, nickel, and copper in Lower Silesian soils derived from loamy silts and silts, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 19, 223, 1968 (Po).
- 666. **Roucoux, P. and Dabin, P.,** The effect of cadmium on the nitrogen fixation, paper presented at *Semin. Carbohydrate and Protein Synthesis,* Giessen, September 7, 1977, 215.
- 667. **Ruebenbauer, T. and Stopczyk, K.,** The content and distribution of some microelements in wheat, diploid an tetraploid rye as well as wheat-rye, *Acta Agar. Silvestria*, 12, 75, 1972 (Po).
- 668. Rühling, A. and Tyler, G., An ecological approach to the lead problem, Bot. Not., 121, 321, 1968.
- 669. **Rühling, A. and Tyler, G.,** Ecology of heavy metals: a regional and historical study, *Bot. Not.*, 122, 248, 1969.

- 670. **Russel, S. and Šwiecicki, C.,** Fluorine effect on biological activity of black earth and pseudopodsolic soil, *Rocz. Nauk Roln.*, 103a, 47, 1978 (Po).
- 671. **Ruszkowska, M.,** Ed., Dynamics and balance of mineral nutrients in a lysimetric experiment. *Rocz. Nauk Roln.*, 173d, 1, 1979 (Po).
- 672. Ruszkowska, M., Lyszcz, S., and Sykut, S., The activity of catechol oxidase in sunflower leaves as indicator of copper supply in plants, *Pol. J. Soil Sci.*, 8, 67, 1975.
- 673. Ryan, J., Miyamoto, S., and Stroehlein, J. L., Solubility of manganese, iron, and zinc as affected by application of sulfuric acid to calcareous soils, *Plant Soil.*, 40, 421, 1974.
- 674. Ryan, J., Miyamoto, S., and Stroehlein, J. L., Relation of solute and sorbed boron to the boron hazard in irrigation water, *Plant Soil*, 47, 253, 1977.
- 675. Saeed, M. and Fox, R. L., Influence of phosphate fertilization on Zn adsorption by tropical soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 43, 683, 1979.
- 676. Salnikov, V. G., Pavlotskaya, F. J., and Moisieyev, J. T., Effect of lime and peat on the bonding of Sr-90 with the components of soil organic matter, *Pochvovedenie*, 5, 87, 1976 (Ru).
- 677. Sanders, J. R. and Bloomfield, C., The influence of pH, ionic strength and reactant concentrations on copper complexing by humified organic matter, *J. Soil Sci.*, 31, 53, 1980.
- 678. Sandmann, G. and Boger, O., Copper-mediated lipid peroxidation process in photosynthetic membranes, *Plant Physiol.*, 66, 797, 1980.
- 679. Sankla, N. and Sankla, D., Effects of germanium on growth of higher plants, *Naturwissenschaften*, 54, 621, 1967.
- 680. Sanko, P. M. and Anoshko, V. S., Zinc in meadow soils and grasses of Byelorussia, *Agrokhimiya*, 7, 109, 1975 (Ru).
- 681. Sapek, A., The role of the humus substances in podzol soil development, Stud. Soc. Sci. Torun., 7, 1, 1971 (Po).
- 682. Sapek, B., Copper behavior in reclaimed peat soil of grassland, Rocz. Nauk Roln., 80f, 13, and 65, 1980.
- 683. **Sapek, B. and Okruszko, H.,** Copper content of hay and organic soils of northeastern Poland, *Z. Probl. Post. Nauk. Roln.*, 179, 225, 1976 (Po).
- 684. **Sapek, A. and Sapek, B.**, Nickel content in the grassland vegetation, in *Proc. Nickel Symp.*, Anke, M., Schneider, H. J., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1980, 215.
- 685. Sapek, A. and Sklodowski, P., Concentration of Mn, Cu, Pb, Ni, and Co in rendzinas of Poland, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 27, 137, 1976 (Po).
- 686. Sarosiek, J. and Klys, B., Studies on tin content of plants and soils from Sudetian, *Acta Soc. Bot. Pol.*, 31, 737, 1962 (Po).
- 687. **Savic, B.,** The dynamics of molybdenum in some soils of native grass land in Bośnia, *Rad. Poljopr. Fak. Univ. Sarajevo*, 13, 69, 1964 (Sh).
- Scharpenseel, H. W., Pietig, F., and Kruse, E., Urankonzentration in Böden und ihre mögliche Nutzung als Prospektionshilfe, Z. Pflanzenernaehr, Bodenkd., 2, 131, 1975.
- 688a. Scheffer, K., Stach, W., and Vardakis, F., Über die Verteilung der Schwermatallen Eisen. Mangan, Kupfer und Zink in Sommergesternpflanzen, *Landwirtsch. Forsch.*, 1, 156, 1978; 2, 326, 1979.
- 689. Schlichting, E. and Elgala, A. M., Schwermetallverteilung und Tongehalte in Böden, Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenkd., 6, 563, 1975.
- 690. Schnitzer, M. and Kerndorff, H., Reactions of fulvic acid with metal ions, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 15, 97, 1981.
- 691. Schnitzer, M. and Khan, S. U., *Humic Substances in the Environment*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972, 327.
- 692. Schnitzer, M. and Khan, S. U., Soil Organic Matter, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978, 319.
- 693. Schung, E. and Finck, A., Trace element mobilization by acidifying fertilizers, paper presented at *9th Int. Coll. Plant Nutrition*, Coventry, August 22, 1982, 582.
- 694. Schönborn, W. and Hartmann, H., Enterung von Schwermetallen aus Klärschlämmen durch bakterielle Laugung, *Gwf. Wasser-Abwasser*, 120, 329, 1979.
- 695. Schroeder, H. A. and Balassa, J. J., Abnormal trace metals in man: germanium, J. Chron. Dis., 20, 211, 1967.
- 696. Schroeder, H. A., Balassa, J. J., and Tipton, I. H., Abnormal trace metals in man: chromium, J. Chron. Dis., 15, 941, 1962.
- 697. Schroeder, H. A., Buckman, J., and Balassa, J. J., Abnormal trace elements in man: tellurium, *J. Chron. Dis.*, 20, 147, 1967.

- 698. Schulz R. K. and Babcock, K. L., On the availability of carrier-free Ru-106 from soils, *Soil Sci.*, 117, 171, 1974.
- 699. Schwertmann, U. and Taylor, R. M., Iron oxides, in *Minerals in Soil Environments*, Dixon, J. B. and Weed, S. B., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1977, 145.
- Selezniev, Y. M. and Tiuriukanov, A. N., Some factors of changing iodine compound forms in soils, *Biol. Nauki*, 14, 128, 1971 (Ru).
- 701. Senesi, N. and Polemio, M., Trace element addition to soil by application of NPK fertilizers, *Fert. Res.*, 2, 289, 1981.
- 702. Severne, B. C., Nickel accumulation by Hybanthus floribundus, Nature (London), 248, 807, 1974.
- 703. Shacklette, H. T., Mercury content of plants, U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 713, 35, 1970.
- 704. Shacklette, H. T., Cadmium in plants, U. S. Geol. Surv. Bull., 1314g, 28, 1972.
- 705. Shacklette, H. T., Elements in fruits, and vegetable from areas of commercial production in the Conterminous United States, U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 1178, 149, 1980.
- 705a. Shacklette, H. T., Boerngen, J. G., Cahill, J. P., and Rahill, R. L., Lithium in surficial materials of the conterminous United States and partial data on cadmium, U. S. Geol. Surv. Circ., 673, 7, 1973.
- 706. Shacklette, H. T. and Boerngen, J. G., Element concentrations in soils and other surficial materials of the conterminous United States, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 1270, 105, 1984.
- 707. Shacklette, H. T., Boerngen, J. G., and Keith, J. R., Selenium, fluorine, and arsenic in surficial materials of the conterminous United States, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Circ.*, 692, 14, 1974.
- Shacklette, H. T. and Connor, J. J., Airborne chemical elements in Spanish moss, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 574e, 46, 1973.
- 709. Shacklette, H. T. and Cuthbert, M. E., Iodine content of plant groups as influenced by variation in rock and soil type, *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.*, 90, 31, 1967.
- 710. Shacklette, H. T., Erdman, J. A., and Harms, T. F., Trace elements in plant foodstuffs, in *Toxicity* of Heavy Metals in the Environments, Part I, Oehme, F. W., Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978, 25.
- 711. Shacklette, H. T., Lakin, H. W., Hubert, A. E., and Curtin, G. C., Absorption of gold by plants, U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull., 1314b, 23, 1970.
- 712. Shakuri, B. K., Trace elements in northern Caucasian chernozems of the Rostov Region, *Izv. Akad. Nauk Az. SSR Ser. Biol.*, 4, 81, 1964 (Ru).
- 713. **Shakuri, B. K.,** Lithium content in soils of Nakhichevan Azerbaizhan, SSR, *Pochvovedenie*, 8, 130, 1976 (Ru).
- 714. **Shakuri, B. K.,** Nickel, Vanadium, chromium and strontium in soils of Nakhichevan Azerbaizhan SSR, *Pochvovedenie*, 4, 49, 1978 (Ru).
- 715. Shaw, G., Concentrations of twenty-eight elements in fruiting shrubs downwind of the smelter at Flin Flon, Manitoba, *Environ. Pollut.*, A 25, 197, 1981.
- 715a. Shcherbakov, V. P., Dvornikov, A. T., and Zakrenichnya, G. L., New data on occurrence and forms of mercury in the Donbas coals, *Dopov. ANUR*, 2b, 126, 1970 (Uk).
- Shcherbina, V. V., Geochemistry of molybdenum and tungsten in oxidic zone, in *Geokhimiya Molyb*dena i Volframa, Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1971, 81 (Ru).
- 717. Shklyaev, J. N., Effect of top dressing with cobalt on distribution of cobalt-60 and carbon-14 in vetch plants, *Agrokhimiya*, 1, 115, 1978 (Ru).
- 718. Shkolnik, M. J., Microelements in Plant Life, Izd. Nauka, Leningrad, 1974a, 323 (Ru).
- 719. Shkolnik, M. J., General conception of the physiological role of boron in plants, *Physiol. Rasteniy*, 21, 174, 1974b (Ru).
- 720. **Sholkovitz, E. R. and Copland, D.,** The coagulation, solubility and adsorption properties of Fe, Mn, Cu, Ni, Cd, Co and humic acids in a river water, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 45, 181, 1981.
- 721. Shukla, U. C., Mittal, S. B., and Gupta, R. K., Zinc adsorption in some soils as affected by exchangeable cations, *Soil Sci.*, 129, 366, 1980.
- 722. Shukla, U. C. and Yadav, O. P., Effect of phosphorus and zinc on nodulation and nitrogen fixation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*), in *Abstr., 12th Int. Soil Sci. Congr.*, New Delhi, 1982, 54.
- 723. Shupe, J. L. and Sharma, R. P., Fluoride distribution in a natural ecosystem and related effects on wild animals, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 10, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1976, 137.
- 724. Siegel, S. M. and Siegel, B. Z., A note on soil and water mercury levels in Israel and the Sinai, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 5, 263, 1976.

- 725. Sievers, M. L. and Cannon, H. L., Disease patterns of Pima Indians of the Gila River Indian Reservation of Arizona in relation to the geochemical environments, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 7, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1973, 57.
- 726. Sikora, L. J., Chaney, R. L., Frankos, N. H., and Murray, Ch. M., Metal uptake by crops grown over entrenched sewage sludge, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 28, 1281, 1980.
- 727. **Sillanpää, M.,** Micronutrients and the Nutrient Status of Soils: A Global Study, Food and Agriculture Organization and United Nations, Rome, 1982, 444.
- 728. Simon, E., Cadmium tolerance in populations of *Agrostis tenuis* and *Festuca ovina, Nature (London),* 265, 328, 1977.
- 729. Singh, M., Other tace elements, in Abstr. 12th Int. Soil Sci. Congr., Part I, New Delhi, 1982, 412.
- Singh, S. and Singh, B., Trace element studies on some alkali and adjoining soils of Uttar-Pradesh, J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 14, 19, 1966.
- 731. Singh, M., Singh, N., and Bhandari, D. K., Interaction of selenium and sulfur on the growth and chemical composition of raya, *Soil Sci.*, 129, 238, 1980.
- 732. Singh, B. R. and Steinnes, E., Uptake of trace elements by barley in zinc-polluted soils: lead, cadmium, mercury, selenium, arsenic, chromium and vanadium, in barley, *Soil Sci.*, 121, 38, 1976.
- 733. Singh, B. B. and Tabatabai, M. A., Factors affecting rhodanese activity in soils, *Soil Sci.*, 125, 337, 1978.
- 734. Sippola, J., Selenium content of soils and timothy in Finland, Ann. Agric. Fenn., 18, 182, 1979.
- 735. **Skripnichenko, J. J. and Zolotaryeva, B. N.,** Supply of mercury to plants with increasing concentration of pollutants in nutritive medium, *Agrokhimiya*, 9, 110, 1980 (Ru).
- 736. Smeltzer, G. G., Langille, W. M., and MacLean, K. S., Effects of some trace elements on grass legume production in Nova Scotia, *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 42, 46, 1962.
- 737. Smeyers-Verbeke, J., de Graeve, M., Francois, M., de Jaegere, R., and Massart, D. L., Cd uptake by intact wheat plants, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 1, 291, 1978.
- 738. Smilde, K. W., Koukoulakis, P., and van Luit, B., Crop response to phosphate and lime on acid sandy soils high in zinc, *Plant Soil*, 41, 445, 1974.
- 739. Smith, I. C. and Carson, B. L., *Trace Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 2, Ann Arbor Scientific Publications, Ann Arbor, MI, 1977a, 469.
- 740. Smith, I. C. and Carson, B. L., *Trace Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, Ann Arbor Scientific Publications, Ann Arbor, MI, 1977b, 394.
- 741. Smith, I. C. and Carson, B. L., *Trace Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 3, Ann Arbor Scientific Publications, Ann Arbor, MI, 1978, 405.
- 742. Smith, I. C., Carson, B. L., and Hoffmeister, F., *Trace Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 5, Ann Arbor Scientific Publications, Ann Arbor, MI, 1978a, 552.
- 743. Smith, I. G., Carson, B. L., and Ferguson, T. L., *Trace Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 5, Ann Arbor Scientific Publications, Ann Arbor, MI, 1978b, 193.
- 744. Smith, I. C. and Carson, B. L., *Trace Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 6, Ann Arbor Scientific Publications, Ann Arbor, MI, 1981, 1202.
- 745. Soltanpour, P. N. and Workman, S. M., Use of NH₄HCO₃ + DTPA soil test to assess availability and toxicity of selenium to alfalfa plants, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 11, 1147, 1980.
- 746. Somers, E., Fungitoxicity of metal ions, Nature (London), (Suppl. 7) 184, 475, 1959.
- 747. Soon, Y. K., Solubility and sorption of cadmium in soils amended with sewage sludge, *J. Sol Sci.*, 32, 85, 1981.
- 748. Sorterberg, A., The effect of some heavy metals on oats in pot experiments with three different soil types, in *Geomedical Aspects in Present and Future Research*, Låg, *J., Ed., Universitetsforlaget, Oslo*, 1980, 209.
- 749. Souty, N., Guennelon, R., and Rode, C., Quelques observations sur l'absorption du potassium, du rubidium-86 et du césium-137 par des plantes cultivées sur solutions nutritives, *Ann. Agron.*, 26, 58, 1975.
- 750. Söremark, R., Vanadium in some biological specimens, J. Nutr., 92, 183, 1967.
- 751. **Staerk, H. and Suess, A.,** Bromine content of vegetables and its accumulation after soil fumigation with methyl bromide, using neutron activation analysis, in *Comparative Studies of Food and Environ. Contam.*, IAEA, Vienna, 1974, 417.
- 752. Stanchev, L., Gyurav, G., and Mashev, N., Cobalt as a trace element in Bulgarian soils, *Izv. Centr. Nauch. Inst. Pochvozn. Agrotekh. "Pushkarov"*, 4, 145, 1962 (Bu).

- 753. Stärk, H., Suss, A., and Trojan, K., Das Vorkommen von Brom in verschiedenen Gemüsepflanzen, *Landwirtsch. Forsch.*, 24, 193, 1971.
- 754. Steinnes, E., Regional distribution of arsenic, selenium and antimony in humus layers of Norwegian soils, in *Geomedical Aspects in Present and Future Research*, Låg, J., Ed., Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1980, 217.
- 754a. Stegnar, P., Kosta, I., Byrne, A. R., and Ravnik, V., The accumulation of mercury by, and the occurrence of methyl mercury in, some fungi, *Chemosphere*, 2, 57, 1973.
- 755. **Stekar, J. and Pen, A.,** Sodium, zinc and manganese contents in feed from grassland, *Agrokhemiya*, 1, 7, 1980 (Sh).
- 755a. Stenström, T. and Vahter, M., Cadmium and lead in Swedish commercial fertilizers, Ambio, 3, 91, 1974.
- 756. Stepanova, M. D., Microelements in Organic Matter of Soils, Izd. Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1976, 105 (Ru).
- 757. Stevenson, F. J. and Ardakani, M. S., Organic matter reactions involving micronutrients in soils, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 79.
- 758. **Stevenson, F. J. and Fitch, A.,** Reactions with organic matter, in *Copper in Soils and Plants.,* Loneragan, J. F. Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 69.
- 759. Stevenson, F. J. and Welch, L. F., Migration of applied lead in a field soil, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 13, 1255, 1979.
- 760. **Stiepanian, M. S.,** Content of total and water-soluble iodine in soils of Armenia, *Agrokhimiya*, 10, 138, 1976 (Ru).
- 761. Street, J. J., Lindsay, W. L., and Sabey, B. R., Solubility and plant uptake of cadmium in soils amended with cadmium and sewage sludge, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 6, 72, 1977.
- 762. Strzelec, A. and Koths, J. S., Effects of Mn, Zn, and Cd on processes of ammonification and nitrification in soils, in *Proc. Conf. No. 2, Effects of Trace Element Pollution and Sulfur on Agric. Environ. Quality*, Kabata-Pendias, A., Ed., IUNG, Pulawy, Poland, 1980, 9.
- 763. **Stuanes, A.,** Adsorption of Mn²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Hg²⁺ from binary solutions by mineral material, *Acta Agric. Scand.*, 26, 243, 1976.
- 764. Sunderman, F. W., Chelation therapy in nickel poisoning, in *Proc. Nickel Symp.*, Anke, M., Schneider, H. J., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Schiller university, Jena, 1980, 359.
- 765. Susaki, H., Ishida, N., and Kawashima, R., Selenium concentrations in Japanese fodder, Jpn. J. Zootechnol. Sci., 51, 806, 1980.
- 766. Suttie, J. W., Effects of fluoride on livestock, J. Occup. Med., 19, 40, 1977.
- 767. Suzuki, Y., Suzuki, Y., Fujii, N., and Mouri, T., Environmental contamination around a smelter by arsenic, *Shikoku Igaku Zasshi*, 30, 213, 1974 (Ja).
- 768. Szalonek, I. and Warteresiewicz, M., Yields of cultivated plants in the vicinity of an aluminum works, *Arch. Ochr. Srodowiska*, 3, 63, 1979 (Po).
- 769. Szentmihalyi, S., Regius, A., Anke, M., Grün, M., Groppel, B., Lokay, D., and Pavel, J., The nickel supply of ruminants in the GDR, Hungary and Czechoslovakia dependent on the origin of the basic material for the formation of soil, in *Proc. Nickel Symp.*, Anke, M., Schneider, H. G., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., Friedrich-Shiller University, Jena, E. Germany, 1980, 229.
- 770. Takahashi, T., Kushizaki, M., and Ogata, T., Mineral composition of Japanese grassland under heavy use of fertilizers, in *Proc. Int. Sem. SEFMIA*, Tokyo, 1977, 118.
- 771. **Takamatsu, I. and Yoshida, T.,** Determination of stability constants of metal-humic complexes by potentiometric titration and ion-selective electrodes, *Soil Sci.*, 125, 377, 1978.
- 772. Takkar, P. N., Micronutrients: forms, content, distribution in profile, indices of availability and soil test methods, in *Abstr., 12th Int. Soil Sci. Congr., Part 1*, New Delhi, 1982, 361.
- 773. Taskayev, A. J., Ovchenkov, V. J., Aleksahkin, R. M., and Shuktomova, J. J., Forms of Ra-226 in soil horizons with its high concentrations, *Pochvovedenie*, 2, 18, 1978 (Ru).
- 774. **Tchuldziyan, H. and Khinov, G.,** On the chemistry of copper pollution of certain soils, *Pochvozn. Agrokhim.*, 11, 41, 1976 (Bu).
- 775. **Temple, P. J. and Bisessar, S.,** Uptake and toxicity of nickel and other metals in crops grown on soil contaminated by a nickel refinery, paper presented at *Int. Symp. Trace Element Stress in Plants*, Los Angeles, November 6, 1979, 43.
- 776. **Temple, P. J. and Linzon, S. N.,** Contamination of vegetation, soil, snow and garden crops by atmospheric deposition of mercury from a chlor-alkali plant, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 11, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1977, 389.

- 777. Temple, P. J., Linzon, S. N., and Chai, B. L., Contamination of vegetation and soil by arsenic emissions from secondary lead smelters, *Environ. Pollut.*, 12, 311, 1977.
- 778. **Terry, N.,** Physiology of trace element toxicity and its relation to iron stress, paper presented at *Int, Symp. Trace Element Stress in Plants*, Los Angeles, November 6, 1979, 50.
- 779. Theng, B. K. G. and Scharpenseel, H. W., The adsorption of ¹⁴C-labelled humid acid by montmorillonite, in *Proc. Int. Clay Conf.*, Mexico City, 1975, 649.
- 780. Thomas, W., Monitoring organic and inorganic trace substances by epiphytic mosses—a regional pattern of air pollution, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 13, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1979, 285.
- 781. Thomas, J. Jr., Glass, H. D., White, W. A., and Trandel, R. M., Fluoride content of clay minerals and argillaceous earth materials, *Clays Clay Miner*, 25, 278, 1977.
- 782. Thompson, L. K., Sidhu, S. S., and Roberts, B. A., Fluoride accumulation in soil and vegetation in the vicinity of a phosphorus plant, *Environ. Pollut.*, 18, 221, 1979.
- 783. Thoresby, P. and Thornton, I., Heavy metals and arsenic in soil, pasture herbage and barley in some mineralised areas in Britain, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 13, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1979, 93.
- 784. Thornton, I., Biogeochemical studies on molybdenum in the United Kingdom, in *Molydenum in the Environment*, Chappell, W. R. and Peterson, K. K., Eds., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977, 341.
- 785. **Thornton, I. and Plant, J.,** Regional geochemical mapping and health in the United Kingdom, *J. Geol. Soc.*, 137, 575, 1980.
- 786. Thornton, I. and Webb, J. S., Trace elements in soils and surface waters contaminated by past metalliferous mining in parts of England, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 9, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1975, 77.
- 786a. Thornton, I. and Webb, J. S., Aspects of geochemistry and health in the United Kingdom, in Origin and Distribution of the Elements, Vol. 11, Ahrens, L. H., Ed., Pergamon Press, 1979, 791.
- 787. Tidball, R. R., Lead in soils, in *Lead in the Environment*, Lovering, T. G., Ed., U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 957, 43, 1976.
- 788. Tiffin, L. O., Translocation of micronutrients in plants, in *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, Mortvedt, J. J., Giordano, P. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1972, 199.
- 789. **Tiffin, L. O.,** The form and distribution of metals in plants: an overview, in Proc. Hanford Life Sciences Symp. U.S. Department of Energy, Symposium Series, Washington, D.C., 1977, 315.
- 790. Tikhomirov, F. A., Rerikh, V. I., and Zyrin, N. G., Accumulation by plants of natural and applied cobalt and zinc, *Agrokhimiya*, 6, 96, 1979 (Ru).
- 791. Tikhomirov, F. A., Sandzharova, I. I., and Smirnov, J. G., Accumulation of Sr-90 in grasses grown in meadows and forest, *Lesovedenie*, 5, 78, 1976 (Ru).
- 792. Tiller, K. G., Weathering and soil formation on the dolerite in Tasmania, with particular reference to several trace elements, *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 1, 74, 1963.
- 793. Tiller, K. G., The interaction of some heavy metal cations and silicic acid at low concentrations in the presence of clays, in *Trans. 9th Int. Soil Sci. Congr.*, Part 2, Adelaide, 1968, 567.
- 793a. **Tiller, K. G.,** Environmental pollution of the Port Pirie region, paper presented at *1st Australian Workshop on Environmental Studies*, Cowes, Phillip Island, october 24, 1977, 194.
- 794. Tiller, K. G., The availability of micronutrients in paddy soils and its assessment by soil analysis including radioisotopic techniques, in *Proc. Symp. On Paddy Soil*, Science Press, Beijing and Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981, 273.
- 795. **Tiller, K. G. and Merry, R. H.,** Copper pollution of agricultural soils, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loneragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 119.
- 796. Tiller, K. G., Nayyar, V. K., and Clayton, P. M., Specific and non-specific sorption of cadmium by soil clays as influenced by zinc and calcium, *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 17, 17, 1979.
- 797. Tiller, K. G., Suwadji, E., and Beckwith, R. S., An approach to soil testing with special reference to the zinc requirement of rice paddy soils, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 10, 703, 1979.
- 798. **Tinker, P. B.,** Levels, distribution and chemical forms of trace elements in food plants, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London*, 294b, 41, 1981.
- 799. **Tipping, E.,** The adsorption of aquatic humic substances by iron oxides, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 45, 191, 1981.

- 800. **Tiutina**, **N. A., Aleskovskiy**, **V. B., and Vasiliyev**, **V. B.**, An experiment in biogeochemical prospecting and the method of determination of niobium in plants, *Geokhimiya*, 6, 550, 1959 (Ru).
- Tjell, J. Ch. and Hovmand, M. F., Metal concentrations in Danish arable soils, *Acta Agric. Scand.*, 28, 81, 1972.
- Tjell, J. Ch., Hovmand, M. F., and Mosbaek, H., Atmospheric lead pollution of grass grown in a background area in Denmark, *Nature (London)*, 280, 425, 1979.
- 803. Tölgyesi, G. and Kárpáti, I., Some regularities in the nutrient content of the meadow vegetation along the river Zala, *Agrokem. Talajtan*, 26, 63, 1977 (Hu).
- 804. **Tölgyesi, G. and Kozma, A.,** Investigation on factors affecting boron uptake by grasses, *Agrokem. Talajtan,* 23, 83, 1974 (Hu).
- 805. Treshow, M., Fluorides as air pollutants affecting plants, Annu. Rev. Phytopath., 9, 21, 1971.
- 806. Treyman, A. A., Copper and manganese in soils, plants and waters of Salair Mountain-Ridge and Prisalair Plain, in *Copper, Manganese and Boron in Landscapes of Baraba Depression and the Novosibirsk Region*, Ilyin, W. B., Ed., Izd. Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1971, 55 (Ru).
- 807. Trolldenier, G., Influence of plant nutrition on the microbial activity in the rhizosphere, paper presented at 16th Coll. Agric. Yield Potentials in Continental Climates, Warsaw, June 22, 1981, 127.
- 808. Troyer, L., Olson, B. H., Hill, B. C., Thornton, I., and Matthews, H., Assessment of metal availability in soil through the evaluation of bacterial metal resistance, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 14, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1980, 129.
- 809. Trudinger, P. A. and Swaine, D. J., Eds., *Biogeochemical Cycling of Mineral-Forming Elements*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1979, 612.
- Turner, M. A. and Rust, R. H., Effect of chromium on growth and mineral nutrition of soybeans, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc., 35, 755, 1971.
- Tyler, G., Heavy metals in the terrestrial environment, in *Proc. Nordic Symp. Biological Parameters* for Measuring Global Pollution, IBPJ Norden, 1972, 99.
- Tyler, G., Effect of Heavy Metal Pollution on Decomposition in Forest Soil, SNV/PM, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, 1975, 47.
- 813. **Tyler, G.,** Heavy metal pollution, phosphatase activity and mineralization of organic phosphorus in forest soil, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 8, 327, 1976a.
- 814. Tyler, G., Influence of vanadium on soil phosphatase activity, J. Environ. Qual., 5, 216, 1976b.
- 815. Tyler, G., Leaching rates of heavy metal ions in forest soil, Water Air Soil Pollut., 9, 137, 1978.
- 816. **Tyler, G.,** Leaching of metals from the A-horizon of a spruce forest soil, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 15, 353, 1981.
- 817. Udo, E. J., Ogunwale, J. A., and Fagbami, A. A., The profile distribution of total and extractable copper in selected Nigerian soils, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 10, 1385, 1979.
- Ure, A. M. and Bacon, J. R., Comprehensive analysis of soils and rocks by spark-source mass spectrometry, *Analyst*, 103, 807, 1978.
- Ure, A. M., Bacon, J. R., Berrow, M. L., and Watt, J. J., The total trace element content of some Scottish soils by spark source mass spectrometry, *Geoderma*, 22, 1, 1979.
- 820. Van Dijk, H., Cation binding of humic acids, Geoderma, 5, 53, 1971.
- 820a. Van Goor, B. J., Distribution of mineral nutrients in the plant in relation to physiological disorder, paper presented at *19th Int. Horticultural Congr.*, Warsaw, September 11, 1974, 217.
- 821. Van Goor, B. J. and Wiersma, D., Redistribution of potassium, calcium, magnesium and manganese in the plant, *Physiol. Plant.*, 31, 163, 1974.
- 822. Van Goor, B. J. and Wiersma, D., Chemical form of manganese and zinc in phloem exudates, *Physiol. Plant.*, 36, 213, 1976.
- 823. Van Hook, R. I., Harris, W. F., and Henderson, G. S., Cadmium, lead, and zinc distribution and cycling in a mixed deciduous forest, *Ambio*, 6, 281, 1977.
- 824. Vesper, S. J. and Weidensaul, T. C., Effects of cadmium, nickel, copper, and zinc on nitrogen fixation by soybeans, *Water, Air Soil Pollut.*, 9, 413, 1978.
- 825. Vlasov, N. A. and Mikhaylova, A. I., Interaction coal-humic acid fractions with some metallic cations and the effect of coal-humic fertilizers on the distribution of micronutrients in soil and plants, in *Trans. 6th Int. Symp. Humus et Planta*, Praha, 1975, 131 (Ru).
- 826. Vlasyuk, P. A., Current research on microelements and the perspective for a further development in USSR and MSSR, in *Microelements in Environment*, Vlasyuk, P. A., Ed., Naukova Dumka, Kiyev, 1980, 5 (Ru).

- 827. Vlek, P. L. G. and Lindsay, W. L., Thermodynamic stability and solubility of molybdenum minerals in salts, *J. Soil Sci. Soc. Am.*, 41, 42, 1977.
- 828. Vochten, R. C. and Geyes, J. G., Pyrite and calcite in septarian concretions from the Rupelian clay at Rumst (Belgium) and their geochemical composition, *Chem. Geol.*, 14, 123, 1974.
- Vogt, P. and Jaakola, A., The effect of mineral elements added to Finnish soils on the mineral contents of cereal, potato, and hay crops, *Acta Agric. Scand. Suppl.*, 20, 69, 1978.
- 830. Vought, R. L., Brown, F. A., and London, W. T., Iodine in the environment, Arch. Environ. Health, 20, 516, 1970.
- 831. **de Vries, M. P. C. and Tiller, K. G.,** Sewage sludges as a soil amendment, with special reference to Cd, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn—comparison of results from experiments conducted inside and outside a glasshouse, *Environ. Pollut.*, 16, 231, 1978.
- 832. Vyas, B. N. and Mistry, K. B., Influence of clay mineral type and organic matter content on the uptake of Pu-239 and Am-241 by plants, *Plant Soil*, 59, 75, 1981.
- 833. **Wada, K.,** Allophane and imogolite, in *Minerals in Soil Environments*, Dixon, J. B. and Weed, S. B., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1977, 603.
- 834. Wada, K. and Abd-Elfattah, A., Characterization of zinc adsorption sites in two mineral soils, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 24, 417, 1978.
- 835. Wada, K. and Kakuto, Y., Selective adsorption of zinc on halloysite, Clays Clay Miner, 28, 321, 1980.
- Wada, K., Seirayosakol, A., Kimura, M., and Takai, Y., The process of manganese deposition, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 24, 319, 1978.
- 837. Wagner, G. H., Konig, R. H., Vogelpohl, S., and Jones, M. D., Base metals and other minor elements in the manganese deposits of west-central Arkansas, *Chem. Geol.*, 27, 309, 1979.
- 838. Walczyna, J., Sapek, A., and Kuczyńska, I., Content of mineral elements in more important species of grasses and other meadow plants from peat soils, Z. Probl. Post. Nauk Roln., 175, 49, 1975 (Po).
- 839. Wallace, A., Monovalent-ion carrier effects on transport of Rb-86 and Cs-137 into bush bean plants, *Plant Soil*, 32, 526, 1970.
- 840. Wallace, A., Regulation of the Micronutrient Status of Plants by Chelating Agents and Other Factors, Wallace, A., Ed., Los Angeles, 1971, 309.
- 841. Wallace, A., Alexander, G. V., and Chaudhry, F. M., Phytotoxicity of cobalt, vanadium, titanium, silver and chromium, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 8, 751, 1977a.
- 842. Wallace, A. and Romney, E. M., Some interactions of Ca, Sr and Ba in plants, Agron. J., 3, 245, 1971.
- 843. Wallace, A., Romney, E. M., and Cha, J. W., Nickel-iron interaction in bush beans, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 8, 787, 1977b.
- 844. Wallace, A., Romney, E. M., Cha, J. W., Soufi, S. M., and Chaudhry, F. M., Nickel phytotoxicity in relationship to soil pH manipulation and chelating agents, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 8, 757, 1977c.
- 844a. Wallace, A., Romney, E. M., Cha, J. W., and Chaudhry, F. M., Lithium toxicity in plants, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 8, 773, 1977d.
- 845. Wallace, A., Mueller, R. T., and Wood, R. A., Arsenic phytotoxicity and interactions in bush bean plants grown in solution culture, *J. Plant Nutr.*, 2, 111, 1980a.
- 846. Wallace, A., Romney, E. M., and Alexander, G. V., Zinc-cadmium interactions on the availability of each to bush bean plants grown in solution culture, *J. Plant Nutr.*, 2, 51, 1980b.
- 847. Walsh, L. M. and Beaton, J. D., Eds., *Soil Testing and Plant Analysis*, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1973, 491.
- 848. Warren, H. V., Environmental geochemistry in Canada—a challenge, paper presented at *Int. Conf.* on *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Toronto, October 27, 1975, 55.
- Warren, H. V., Biogeochemical prospecting for lead, in *The Biogeochemistry of Lead in the Environment*, Nriagu, J. O., Ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978, 395.
- 850. Warren, H. V. and Delavault, R. E., Mercury content of some British soils, Oikos, 20, 537, 1969.
- 851. Warren, H. V., Delavault, R. E., and Barakso, J., The arsenic content of Douglas fir as a guide to some gold, silver and base metal deposits, *Can. Mining Metall. Bull.*, 7, 1, 1968.
- 852. Warren, H. V., Delavault, R. E., Fletcher, K., and Wilks, E., Variation in the copper, zinc, lead and molybdenum content of some British Columbia vegetables, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 4, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1970, 94.
- 853. Wasserman, R. H., Romney, E. M., Skougstad, M. W., and Siever, R., Strontium, in *Geochemistry* and the Environment, Vol. 2, Wasserman, R. H., Ed., N.A.S., Washington, D.C., 1977, 73.

- 854. Wazhenin, I. G. and Bolshakov, W. A., All-Union Conference of the joint departments on "Methodical Principles of Mapping Soil Contamination with Heavy Metals and Methods of their Determination," *Pochvovedenie*, 2, 151, 1978 (Ru).
- 855. Wedepohl, K. H., Ed., Handbook of Geochemistry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969–1974 (several volumes).
- 856. Weinberg, E. D., Ed., *Microorganisms and Minerals*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977, 492.
- 857. Weinstein, L. H., Fluoride and plant life, J. Occup. Med., 19, 49, 1977.
- 858. Welch, R. M., Vanadium uptake by plants, Plant Physiol., 51, 828, 1973.
- 859. Welch, R. M., The biological significance of nickel, paper presented at *Int. Symp. Trace Element Stress in Plants*, los Angeles, November 6, 1979, 36.
- Welch, R. M. and Cary, E. E., Concentration of chromium, nickel, and vanadium in plant materials, J. Agric. Food Chem., 23, 479, 1975.
- 861. Wells, N., Total elements in top soils from igneous rocks: an extension of geochemistry, *J. Soil Sci.*, 11, 409, 1960.
- 862. Wells, N., Selenium in horizons of soil profiles, N.Z.J. Sci., 10, 142, 1967.
- 863. Wells, N., Element composition of soils and plants, in *Soils of New Zealand*, Vol. 2, New Zealand Soil Bureau, Wellington, 1968, 115.
- 864. Wells, N. and Whitton, J. S., A pedochemical survey, I. Lithium, N.Z. J. Sci., 15, 90, 1972.
- 865. Wells, N. and Whitton, J. S., A pedochemical survey, III. Boron, N.Z. J. Sci., 20, 317, 1977.
- 866. Whitby, L. M., Stokes, P. M., Hutchinson, T. C., and Myslik, G., Ecological consequence of acidic and heavy metal discharge from the Sudbury smelters, *Can. Miner.*, 14, 47, 1976.
- 867. White, C. L., Robson, A. D., and Fisher, H. M., Variation in nitrogen, sulfur, selenium, cobalt, manganese, copper and zinc contents of grain from wheat and two lupin species grown in a range of Mediterranean environments, *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 32, 47, 1981.
- 867a. Whitehead, D. C., Nutrient minerals in grass-land herbage, Pastures Field Crops Publ., 1, 83, 1966.
- 868. Whitehead, D. C., The sorption of iodide by soil components, J. Sci. Food Agric., 25, 73, 1974.
- 869. Whitehead, D. C., Uptake by perennial ryegrass of iodine, elemental iodine and iodate added to soil as influenced by various amendments, *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 26, 361, 1975.
- 870. Whitton, J. S. and Wells, N., A pedochemical survey. II. Zinc, N.Z. J. Sci., 17, 351, 1974.
- 871. Widera, S., Contamination of the soil and assimilative organs of the pine tree at various distances from the source of emission, *Arch. Ochrony Srodowiska*, 3, 147, 1980 (Po).
- 872. Wiersma, D. and Van Goor, B. J., Chemical forms of nickel and cobalt in phloem of Ricinus communis, *Physiol. Plant.*, 45, 440, 1979.
- 873. Wildung, R. E. and Garland, T. R., The relationship of microbial processes to the fate and behavior of transuranic elements in soils and plants, in *The Transuranic Elements in the Environment*, Hanson, W. C., Ed., ERDA Publ. Ser. TIC-22800, NTIS, Springfield, VA, 1980, 300.
- 874. Wilkins, C., The distribution of lead in soils and herbage of West Pembrokeshire, *Environ. Pollut.*, 15, 33, 1978a.
- 875. Wilkins, C., The distribution of Br in the soils and herbage of North-West Pembrokeshire, J. Agric. Sci. Camb., 90, 109, 1978b.
- 876. Wilkins, C., The distribution of Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn in topsoils and herbage of North-West Pembrokeshire, J. Agric. Sci. Camb., 92, 61, 1979.
- 877. Williams, S. T., McNeilly, T., and Wellington, E. M. H., The decomposition of vegetation growing on metal mine waste, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 9, 271, 1977.
- 878. Williams, C. H. and David, D. J., The accumulation in soil of cadmium residues from phosphate fertilizers and their effect on the cadmium content of plants, *Soil Sci.*, 121, 86, 1976.
- 879. Williams, C. H. and David, D. J., The effect of superphosphate on the cadmium content of soils and plants, *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 11, 43, 1981.
- 880. Williams, C. H. and Thornton, I., The effect of soil additives on the uptake of molybdenum and selenium from soils from different environments, *Plant Soil*, 36, 395, 1972.
- 881. Wilson, M. J. and Berrow, M. L., The mineralogy and heavy metal content of some serpentinite soils, *Chem. Erde Bd.*, 37, 181, 1978.
- 882. Wilson, D. O. and Cline, J. F., Removal of plutonium-239, tungsten-185, and lead-210 from soils, *Nature (London)*, 209, 941, 1966.
- Wilson, D. O. and Reisenauer, H. M., Effects of some heavy metals on the cobalt nutrition of Rhizobium meliloti, *Plant Soil*, 32, 81, 1970.

- Woldendrop, J. W., Nutrients in the rhizosphere, paper presented at 16th Coll. On Agric. Yield Potentials in Continental Climates, Warsaw, June 22, 1981, 89.
- 885. Wood, T. and Bormann, F. H., Increases in foliar leaching caused by acidification of an artificial mist, *Ambio*, 4, 169, 1975.
- 886. Woolhouse, H. W. and Walker, S., The physiological basis of copper toxicity and copper tolerance in higher plants, in *Copper in Soils and Plants*, Loneragan, J. F., Robson, A. D., and Graham, R. D., Eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981, 235.
- 887. Woolson, E. A., Axley, J. H., and Kearney, P. C., The chemistry and phytotoxicity of arsenic in soils. II. Effects of time and phosphorus, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, 37, 254, 1973.
- 888. Wright, T. L. and Fleischer, M., Geochemistry of the platinum metals, Geol. Surv. Bull., 1214a, 1965.
- 889. Woytowicz, B., Lead effect on the accumulation of nitrates in soil, Rocz. Glebozn., 31, 309, 1980 (Po).
- 890. Yamada, Y., Occurrence of bromine in plants and soil, Talanta, 15, 1135, 1968.
- 891. Yamagata, N. and Shigematsu, I., Cadmium pollution in perspective, *Bull. Inst. Public Health*, 19, 1, 1970.
- 892. Yamasaki, S., Yoshino, A., and Kishita, A., The determination of sub-microgram amounts of elements in soil solution by flameless atomic absorption spectrophotometry with a heated graphite atomizer, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 21, 63, 1975.
- 893. Yeaple, D. S., Mercury in Bryophytes, Nature, (London), 235, 229, 1972.
- 894. Yen, T. F., Vanadium chelates in recent and ancient sediments, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 6, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1972, 347.
- 895. Yläranta, T., Jansson, H., and Sippola, J., Seasonal variation in micronutrient contents of wheat, *Ann. Agric. Fenn.*, 18, 218, 1979.
- 896. Yudintseva, E. V., Mamontova, L. A., and Levina, E. M., Accumulation of radiocesium in the yield of plants depending on the application of lime, peat and peat ashes, *Dokl. Vses. Akad. Skh. Nauk.*, 8, 27, 1979 (Ru).
- 897. Yuita, K., Shibuya, M., and Nozaki, T., The accumulation of bromine and iodine in Japanese soils, in *Abstr. 11th Int. Soil Sci. Congr.*, Edmonton, Alberta, 1978, 260.
- 898. Zajic, J. E., Microbial Biogeochemistry, Academic Press, New York, 1969, 345.
- 899. Zakharov, E. P. and Zakharova, G. R., On geochemical ecology and teratology of plants grown in cobalt and cobalt-copper mineralized area of Central Tuva, in *Biologicheskaya Rol Mikroelementov i ikh, Primeneniye v Selskom Khozaystviye i Mediciniye*, Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1974, 140 (Ru).
- 900. **Zborishchuk, J. N. and Zyrin, N. G.,** Copper and zinc in the ploughed layer of soils of the European USSR, *Pochvovedenie*, 1, 31, 1978 (Ru).
- 901. Zelazny, L. W. and Calhoun, F. G., Palygorskite (attapulgite), sepiolite, talc, pyrophyllite, and zeolites, in *Minerals in Soil Environments*, Dixon, J. B. and Weed, S. B., Eds., Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 1977, 435.
- 902. Zimdahl, R. L., Entry and movement in vegetation of lead derived from air and soil sources, paper presented at 68th Annu. Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, Boston, MA, June 15, 1975, 2.
- 903. Zimdahl, R. L. and Hassett, J. J., Lead in soil, in *Lead in the Environment*, Bogges, W. R. and Wixson, B. G., Eds., Report NSF, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., 1977, 93.
- 904. Zimdahl, R. L. and Koeppe, D. E., Uptake by plants, in *Lead in the Environment*, Boggess, W. R. and Wixson, B. G., Eds., Report NSF, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., 1977, 99.
- 905. **Zmijewska, W. and Minczewski, J.,** A study on the reproducibility of obtaining soil solution, Rocz. *Nauk Roln.*, 95a, 239, 1969.
- 906. Zook, E. G., Greene, F. E., and Morris, E. R., Nutrient composition of selected wheats and wheat products, *Cereal Chem.*, 47, 72, 1970.
- 907. Zöttl, H. W., Stahr, K., and Hädrich, F., Umsatz von Spurenelementen in der Bärhalde und ihren Okosystemen, *Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenkundl. Ges.*, 29, 569, 1979.
- 908. Zunino, H., Aquilera, M., Caiozzi, M., Peirano, P., Borie, F., and Martin, J. P., Metal binding organic macromolecules in soil, *Soil Sci.*, 128, 257, 1979.
- 909. Zyka, V., Thallium in plants from Alsar, Sb. Geol. Ved. Technol. Geochem., 10, 91, 1972.
- 910. **Zyrin, N. G. and Malinina, M. S.,** Mobile molybdenum in soils of West Georgia and molybdenum content of tangerine tree and tea plant, *Agrokhimiya*, 3, 113, 1978 (Ru).
- 911. **Zyrin, N. G., Rerich, W. J., and Tikhomirov, F. A.,** Forms of zinc compounds in soils and its supply to plants, *Agrokhimiya*, 5, 124, 1976 (Ru).

- 912. **Zyrin, N. G. and Zborishchuk, J. N.,** Boron in the ploughed layer of soils of the European part of the USSR, *Pochvovedenie*, 5, 44, 1975a (Ru).
- 913. Zyrin, N. G. and Zborishchuk, J. N., Content of iodine in the ploughed layer of the USSR soils, *Pochvovedenie*, 9, 49, 1975b (Ru).
- 914. **Zyrin, N. G. and Zborishchuk, J. N.,** Molybdenum in the ploughed layer of soils of the European part of the USSR., *Agrokhimiya*, 8, 105, 1976 (Ru).

REFERENCES TO THE SECOND EDITION

- 915. Abrahams, P. W. and Thornton, I., Distribution and extent of land contaminated by arsenic and associated metals in mining regions of southwest England, *Trans. Inst. Min. Metall. Sect. B.*, 96, 1, 1987.
- 916. Adriano, D. C., Trace Elements in the Terrestrial Environment, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986, 533.
- 917. Allard, B., Moulin, V., Basso, L., Tran, M. T., and Stammose, D., Americium adsorption on alumina in the presence of humic materials, *Geoderma*, 44, 181, 1989.
- 918. Alloway, B. J., Tills, A. R., and Morgan, H., The speciation and availability of cadmium and lead in polluted soils, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 18, Hemphill, D. d., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 1984, 187.
- 919. Alyoshin, N. E., Avakyan, E. R., Lebedev, E. V., and Alyoshin, E. P., Influence of silicon and its antagonists on rice mitochondria, *Int. Rice Res. Newsl.*, 13, 10, 1988.
- 920. Alyoshin, N. E., Avakyan, E. R., Tumanyan, N. G., Lebedev, E. V., and Alyoshin, E P., Silicon in chloroplasts and aleurone layers of rice grains, *Doklady WASHNIL*, 2, 13, 1989 (Ru).
- 921. Andersson, M., Toxicity and Tolerance of Manganese and Iron in Vascular Plants. A Literature Review, University of Lund, Lund, 1987.
- 922. Andrea, M. O. (Rapporteur), Changing biochemical cycles, in *Changing Metal Cycles and Human Health*, Nriagu, J. O., Ed., Dahlem Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 359.
- 923. Armalis, S., Fluorine in plants from fluorine polluted area, in *Biogeokhimicheskaya Indikacya Okruzhayuschey Sredy*, Nauka, Leningrad, 1988, 7 (Ru).
- 924. Asami, T., Pollution of soils by cadmium, in *Changing Metal Cycles and Human Health*, Nriagu, J. O., Ed., Dahlem Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 95.
- 925. Asami, T., Environmental pollution by beryllium in Japan, in *Contaminated Soil* '88, Wolf, K., van den Brink, W. J., and Colon, F. J., Eds., Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1988, 261.
- 926. Asami, T., Soil pollution by metals from mining and smelting activities, in *Chemistry and Biology of Solid Waste*, Salomons, W. and Förstner, U., Eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, 143.
- 927. Asami, T., unpublished data, 1988.
- 928. Asami, T. and Fukazawa, F., Beryllium contents of uncontaminated soils and sediments in Japan, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 31, 43, 1985.
- 929. Baluja, G., Hernandez, L. M., Gonzalez, J., and Clavero, R., Mercury distribution of the "Parque National de Donana," *Spain, Bull. Environ. Toxicol.*, 30, 544, 1983.
- 930. Barber, S. A., Soil Nutrient Bioavailability, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984, 398.
- 931. Barghigiani, C., Gioffré, D., and Bargali, R., Mercury content in *Pinus sp.* of the Mt. Etna volcanic area, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 2, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 51, 1987.
- 932. Barnard, W. M. and Halbig, J. B., Rare earth elements in soils from selected areas on the Island of Hawaii, *Pac. Sci.*, 39, 241, 1985.
- 933. Bartlett, R. J., Soil redox behavior, in *Soil Physical Chemistry*, Sparks, D. J., Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1986, 179.
- 934. Bar-Yosef, B. and Meek, D., Selenium sorption by kaolinite and montmorillonite, *Soil Sci.*, 144, 11, 1987.
- 935. Beijer, K. and Jernelov, A., Microbial methylation of lead, in *Biological Effects of Organolead Compounds*, Grandjiean, Ph., Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1984, 13.
- 936. Belsare, D. K., Vanadium accumulation in industrial environment and its impact on wild pigeons, in 5th Spurenelement Symposium on Iodine and other Trace Elements, Anke, M., Bräunlich, H., Bruckner, Chr., and Groppel, B., Eds., F. Schiller University, Jena, 1276, 1986.
- 937. Beneš, S., unpublished data, 1988.

- 938. Beneš, S. and Pabianova, J., Background Contents and Classification of Trace Elements in Soils, VŠZ, Praha, 1986, 203 (Cz).
- Bengtsson, G. and Torstensson, L., Soil Biological Variables in Environmental Hazard Assessment, NSEPB Rep. 3499, Solna, Sweden, 1988.
- 940. Bergkvist, B., Soil solution chemistry and metal budgets of spruce forest ecosystems in sweden, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 33, 131, 1987.
- 941. Bergkvist, B., Leaching of metals in the upper C horizons of spruce and beech forest soils, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 2, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 479, 1987.
- 942. Bergkvist, B., Folkeson, L., and Berggren, D., Fluxes of Cu, Zn, Pb, Cr, and Ni in temperate forest ecosystems, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 47, 217, 1989.
- 943. Bergmann, W., Ernährungesstörungen bei Kulturpflanzen, 2nd ed., VEB G. Fischer Verlag, Jena, 1988, 762.
- 944. Berrow, M. L. and Reaves, G. A., Total chromium and nickel contents of Scottish soils, *Geoderma*, 37, 15, 1986.
- 945. Biernacka, E., Trace elements in soils around battery and gum factories in Piastow, in 3rd Nat. Conf. Effects of Trace Pollut. on Agric. Environ. Qual., Vol. 2, Kabata-Pendias, A., Ed., IUNG, Pulawy, 1985, 38 (Po).
- 946. Bini, C., Dall'Aglio, M., Ferretti, O., and Gragnani, R., Background levels of microelements in soils of Italy, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 10, 35, 1988.
- 946a. Bloomfield, C., unpublished data, 1990.
- 947. Blume, H. P. and Brümmer, G., Prognose des Verhaltens von Schwermetallen in Böden mit einfachen Feldmethoden, *Mitt. Dtsh. Bodenkundl. Ges.*, 53, 111, 1987.
- 948. Bodek, I., Lyman, W., Reehl, W. F., and Rosenblatt, D. H., Eds., *Environmental Inorganic Chemistry*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1988.
- 949. Bolin, B. and Cook, R. B., *The Major Biogeochemical Cycles and Their Interactions*, J. Wiley, Chichester, 1983, 361.
- 950. Bordons, A. and Jofre, J., Mechanisms of nickel accumulation in bacteria, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 2, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C. Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 247, 1987.
- 951. **Borowska, K.,** Selenium Content of Some Edible Mushrooms, Ph. D. thesis, Agricultural University, Bydgoszcz 1989, (Po).
- 952. **Brookins, D. G.,** Trace element studies of the Oklo natural reactor, Republic of Gabon, in *Trace Elements in Petrogenesis*, Augustithis, S. S., Ed., Theophrastus Publ., Athens, 1983, 887.
- 953. Brundin, N. H., Ek, J. I., and Selinus, O. C., Biogeochemical studies of plants from stream banks in northern Sweden, *J. Geochem. Explor.*, 27, 157, 1987.
- 954. **Brümmer, G. W.,** Heavy metals species, mobility and availability in soils, in *The Importance of Chemical Speciation in Environmental Processes*, Bernhard, M., Brinckman, F. E., and Sadler, P., Eds., Dahlem Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, 169.
- 955. Brümmer, G. W., Gerth, J., and Tiller, K. G., Reaction kinetics of the adsorption and desorption of nickel, zinc, cadmium by goethite, *Soil Sci.*, 39, 37, 1988.
- 956. Brümmer, G. W., and Herms, U., Influence of soil fraction and organic matter on the solubility of heavy metals in soils, in *Effects of Accumulation of Air Pollutants in Forest Ecosystems*, Ulrich, B. and Pankrath, J., Eds., D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1983, 233.
- 957. **Buat-Ménard, P. E.,** Fluxes of metals through the atmosphere and oceans, in *Changing Metal Cycles and Human Health, Nriagu, J. O., Ed., Dahlem Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 43.*
- 957a. **Bubliniec, E. and Voško, M.,** The input of chemical elements in the central European forest ecosystems and ecological investigation of landscape, *Ekologia (CSSR)*, 6, 15, 1987.
- 958. Burton, K. W., King, J. B., and Morgan, E., Chlorophyll as an indicator of the upper critical tissue concentration of cadmium in plants, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 27, 147, 1986.
- 959. Cairney, T., Reclaiming Contaminated Land, Blackie, Glasgow, London, 1987, 260.
- 960. Cawse, P. A., Trace and major elements in the atmosphere at rural locations in Great Britain, 1972–81, in *Pollutant Transport and Fate in Ecosystems*, Coughtrey, P. J., Martin, M. H., and Unsworth, M. H., Eds., Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, 1987, 89.
- 961. Chakrabarty, A. M., Microbial interaction with toxic elements in the environment, in *The Importance of Chemical Speciation in Environmental Processes*, Bernhard, M., Brinckman, F. E., and Sadler, P., Eds., Dahlem Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, 513.

- 962. Chammugathas, P. and Bollag, J.-M., Microbial role in immobilization and subsequent mobilization of cadmium in soil suspensions, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 51, 1184, 1987.
- 963. Chang, F. H. and Broadbent, F. E., Influence of trace metals on carbon dioxide evolution from a Yolo soil, *Soil Sci.*, 132, 416, 1981.
- 964. Chang, A. C., Warneke, J. E., Page, A. L., and Lund, L. J., Accumulation of heavy metals in sewage sludge-treated soils, J. Environ. Qual., 13, 87, 1984.
- 964a. Channugathas, P. and Boltag, J. M., Microbial mobilization of cadmium in soils under aerobic and anaerobic conditions, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 16, 161, 1987.
- 965. Chau, Y. K., Wong, P. T. S., Mojesky, C. A., and Carty, A. J., Transmethylation of metals in aquatic systems, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 1, 235, 1987.
- 966. Chilvers, D. C. and Peterson, P. J., Global cycling of arsenic, in *Lead, Mercury, Cadmium and Arsenic in the Environment*, Hutchinson, T. C. and Meema, K. M., Eds., SCOPE 31, J. Wiley, Chichester, 279, 1987.
- 967. Christensen, T. H., Cadmium soil sorption at low concentrations, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 21, 105 and 115, 1984.
- 968. Comans, R. N. J., Middelburg, J. J., Zonderhuis, J., Woittiez, J. R. W., De Lang, G. J., Das, H. A., and Van Der Veijden, C. H., Mobilization of radiocesium in pore water of lake sediments, *Nature*, 339, 367, 1989.
- Combs, G. F. and Combs, S. B., The Role of Selenium in Nutrition, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1986, 535.
- 970. Craig, P. J. and Rapsomanikis, S., Biochemical cycles of metals and metalloids—the role of methylcobalamin and some other chemical routes to methylation in the environment, in *Environmental Speciation and Monitoring Needs for Trace Metal-Containing Substances from Energy-Related Processes*, Brinkman, F. E. and Fish, R. H., Eds., USDC-NBS, Washington, D.C., 1981, 54.
- 971. Crecelius, E. A., Bloom, N. S., Cowan, C. E., and Jenne, E. A., Speciation of Selenium and Arsenic in Natural Waters and Sediments. Arsenic Speciation, EPRI Project 2020-2, Battelle Pacific North Lab., Sequim, WA, 1986, 32.
- 972. Crock, J. G. and Lichte, F. E., Determination of rare earth elements in geological materials by inductively coupled argon plasma/atomic emission spectrometry, *Anal. Chem.*, 54, 1329, 1982.
- 973. Čumakov, A., Trace Elements in Slovakian Soils and Plant Nutrition, Ph.D. thesis, Institute of Sugar Industry, Bratislava, 1988, 350 (Cz).
- 974. Czarnowska, K. and Gworek, B., Heavy metals in some soils of the central and northern regions of Poland, *Rocz. Glebozn.*, 5, 41, 1987 (Po).
- 975. Dalton, D. A., Evans, H. J., and Hanus, F. J., Stimulation by nickel of soil microbial urease activity and urease and hydrogenase activities in soybeans grown in a low-nickel soil, *Plant Soil*, 88, 245, 1985.
- 976. Davies, B. E. and Wixson, B. G., Use of factor analysis to differentiate pollutants from other trace metals in surface soils of the mineralized area of Madison County, Missouri, U.S.A., *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 33, 339, 1987.
- 976a. Davies, B. E. and Paveley, C. F., Baseline survey of metals in Welsh soils, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 19, Hemphill, D. I. Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 87, 1985.
- 977. Dawson, B. S. W., Fergusson, J. E., Campbell, A. S., and Cutler, E. J. B., Distribution of elements in some Fe-Mn nodules and an iron-pan in some gley soils of New Zealand, *Geoderma*, 35, 127, 1985.
- 978. DeCatanzaro, J. B. and Hutchinson, T. C., Effects of nickel addition on nitrogen mineralization, nitrification, and nitrogen leaching in some boreal forest, soils, *Water air Soil Pollut.*, 24, 153, 1985.
- 979. Diehl, K. H., Rosopulo, A., Kreuzer, W., and Judel, G. K., Das Verhalten von Bleitetraalkylen im Boden und deren Aufnahme durch die Pflanzen, Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenkd., 146, 551, 1983.
- Dienstbach, F., Bächmann, K., and Thom, C., Untersuchungen des Plutoniumgehalts von Pflanzen, Z. Pflanezenernaehr. Bodenkd., 146, 69, 1983.
- 981. Djingova, R., Kuleff, I., Penev, I., and Sansoni, B., Bromine, copper, manganese and lead content of the leaves of *Taraxacum officinale* (dandelion), *Sci. Total Environ.*, 50, 197, 1986.
- 982. **Doelman, P.,** Resistance of soil microbial communities to heavy metals, in *Microbial Communities in Soil*, Jensen, V., Kjoller, A., and Sorensen, L. H., Eds., Elsevier Appl. Sci. Publ., London, 1986, 369.
- 983. Drebickas, W. and Dorofejewajte, W., Concentration of iodine in the biosphere of Lithuania, in 3rd Nat. Conf. Effects of Trace Pollut. On Agric. Environ. Qual., Vol. 1, Kabata-Pendias, A., Ed., IUNG, Pulawy, 1985, 139 (Po).

- 984. **Dudas, M. J.,** Accumulation of native arsenic in acid sulphate soils in Alberta, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 67, 317, 1987.
- 985. **Dudka, S. and Chlopecka, A.,** Effect of solid-phase speciation on metal mobility and phytoavailability in sludge-amended soil, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 51, 153, 1990.
- 986. Elkhatib, A. E., Bennett, L. O., and Wright, J. R., Kinetics of arsenite sorption in soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 48, 758, 1984.
- 987. Ellis, R. H. and Alloway, B. J., Factors affecting availability of Cd, Pb and Ni in soils amended with sewage sludge, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, CEP Consult., Edinburgh, Vol. 1, 358, 1983.
- 988. Elpatievskiy, P. V. and Arzhanova, V. S., Balance and transformation of mobile forms of heavy metals in technogeosystem, in *Proc. 4th Conf. Migration of Pollut. in Soils and in Associated Compartments*, Bobovnikov, C. I. and Machalova, C. G., Eds., Hydrometeoizdat, Leningrad, 1985, 89 (Ru).
- 989. Elrashidi, M. A., Adriano, D. C., Workman. S. M., and Lindsay, W. L., Chemical equilibria of selenium in soils: a theoretical development, *Soil Sci.*, 144, 141, 1987.
- 990. Eloskkary, I. H., Contamination of soils and plants by mercury as influenced by the proximity to industries in Alexandria, *Egypt, Sci. Total Environ.* 23, 55, 1982.
- 990a. Ernst, W. H. O. and Leloup, S., Perennial herbs as monitor for moderate levels of metal fall-out, *Chemosphere*, 16, 233, 1987.
- 991. Farago M. H. and Parsons, P. J., Effects of platinum metals on plants, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 19, Hemphill, D. D., ED., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 397, 1985.
- 992. Fic, M., Adsorptions—und Desorptions—Verhalten von Cadmium, Chromium, Kupfer und Zink an ausgewählten Böden und Sanden, Ph.D. thesis, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Kiel, 1987.
- 993. **Filipinski**, **M.**, Pflanzenaufnahme und Lösbarkeit von Schwermetallen aus Böden hoher geogener Anreicherung und Zusätzlicher Belastung, Ph.D. thesis, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, 1989.
- 994. Filipinski, M., Pluquet, E., and Kuntze, H., Lassen sich die unterschiedlichen Formen der Schwermetallanreicherung im Böden kennzeichnen?, *Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenkundl. Ges.*, 55, 307, 1987.
- 995. Finck, A., Micronutrient problems in high-yield grain cropping, in *CIEC Proc. 9th World Congress. Fight Against Hunger Through Improved Plant Nutrition*, Vol. 1, Welte, E. and Szabolcs, I., Eds., MAE, Hung. Acad. Sci., Budapest, 263, 1985.
- 996. Finnecy, E. E. and Pearce, K. K., Land contamination and reclamation, in *Understanding Our Environment*, Hester, R. E., Ed., Royal Society of Chemistry, London, 1986, 172.
- 997. Fleming, G. A., Aspects of the soil chemistry of cobalt, in *The Significance of Trace Elements in Solving Petrogenetic Problems and Controversies*, Augustithis, S. S., Ed., Theophrastus Publ., Athens, 1983, 731.
- 998. Foy, C. D., Plant adaptation to mineral stress problem in soils, Iowa State J. Res., 57, 339, 1983.
- 998a. Foy, C. D., Scott, B. J., and Fisher, J. A., Genetic differences in plant tolerance to manganese toxicity, in *Manganese in Soils and Plants*, Graham, R. D., Hannam, R. J., and Uren, N. C., Eds., Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 1988, 293.
- 999. Franz, G. and Carlson, R. M., Effects of rubidium, cesium and thallium on interlayer potassium released from transvaal vermiculite, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 51, 305, 1987.
- 1000. **Fuge, R.,** Sources of halogens in the environment, influences on human and animal health, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 10, 51, 1988.
- 1001. Fuge, R. and Andrews, M. J., Fluorine in the UK environment, Environ. Geochem. Health, 10, 96, 1988.
- 1002. Fuge, R. and Johnson, C. C., The geochemistry of iodine—a review, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 8, 31, 1986.
- 1003. Fuge, R. and Long, A. M., Iodine in the soils of North Derbyshire, Environ. Geochem. Health, 11, 25, 1989.
- 1004. Furr, A. K., Lawrence, A. W., Tong, S. S. C., Grandolfo, M. C., Hofstader, R. A., Bache, C. A., Gutenmann, W. H., and Lisk, D. J., Multielement and chlorinated hydrocarbon analysis of municipal sewage sludges of american cites, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 10, 683, 1976.
- 1005. Gailey, F. A. and Lloyd, O. L., Grass and surface soils as monitors of atmospheric metal pollution in Central Scotland, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 24, 1, 1985.
- 1006. Gajewski, K., Greinert, H., and Drab, M., Heavy metal contamination of selected crop plants in Legnica province, *Arch. Ochr. Srodowiska*, 1–2, 143, 1987 (Po).
- 1007. Gamble, D. S., Interactions between natural organic polymers and metals in soil and fresh water systems: equilibria, in *The importance of Chemical Speciation in Environmental Processes*, Bernhard, M., Brinckman, F. E., and Sadler, P. J., Eds., Dahlem Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, 217.

- 1008. Gast, C. H., Jansen, E., Bierling, J., and Haanstra, L., Heavy metals in mushrooms and their relationship with soil characteristics, *Chemosphere*, 17, 789, 1988.
- 1009. Gettier, S. W. and Adriano, D. C., The bioavailability of soil-added thallium and beryllium, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 1987, 472.
- 1010. Gettier, S. W., Burkman, W. G., and Adriano, D. C., Factors affecting vanadium phytotoxicity, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 1987, 469.
- 1011. Goncharuk, E. J. and Sidorenko, G. J., Hygienic regulations for Chemical Substances in Soils, Medicina, Moscow, 1986, 320 (Ru).
- 1012. Gough, L. P., Severson, R. C., and Shacklette, H. T., Element concentrations in soils and other surficial materials of Alaska, U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Pap., 1458, 53, 1988.
- 1013. Graham, R. D., Welch, R. M., Grunes, D. L., Cary, E. E., and Norvell, W. A., Effects of zinc deficiency on the accumulation of boron and other mineral nutrients in barley, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 51, 652, 1987.
- 1014. Greinert, H., Gajewski, K., and Drab, M., Assessment of the contamination with heavy metals of Legnica province soils, *Arch. Ochr. Srodowiska*, 1–2, 135, 1987 (Po).
- 1015. Greszta, J., Braniewski, S., and Chrzanowska, E., Heavy metals in soils and plants around a zinc smelter, in 3rd Natl. Conf. Effects of Trace Pollut. on Agricul. Environ. Quality, Vol. 2, Kabata-Pendias, A., Ed., IUNG, Pulawy, 15, 1985 (Po).
- 1015a. Grodzinska, K., Godzik, P., and Szarek, G., Vegetables and soil contamination by heavy metals in allotment gardens in Cracow agglomeration (S. Poland), *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci.*, 35, 111, 1987.
- 1016. Grogan, H. A., Mitchell, N. G., Minski, M. J., and Bell, J. N. B., Pathways of radionuclides from soils to wheat, in *Pollutant Transport and Fate in Ecosystems*, Coughtrey, P. J., Martin, M. H., and Unsworth, M. H., Eds., Blackwell Sci., Oxford, 1987, 353.
- 1017. Groppel, B. and Anke, M., Iodine content of feedstuffs, plants and drinking water in the GDR, in 5th Spurenelement Symposium on Iodine and other Trace Elements, Anke, M., Bräunlich, H., Brückner, Chr., and Groppel, B., Eds., F. Schiller University, Jena, 19, 1986.
- 1018. Grupe, M. and Kuntze, H., Zur Ni-Mobilität einer geogen belasteten Braunerde, *Mitt. Disch. Bodenkundl. Gesellsch.*, 55, 333, 1987.
- 1019. Grupe, M. and Kuntze, H., Zur Ermittlung der Schwermetallverfügbarkeit lithogen und anthropogen belasteter Standorte. 1. Cd und Cu, Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenkd., 151, 319, 1988.
- 1020. Gupta, U. C., Cormier, R., and Cutcliffe, J. A., Tolerance of brussels sprouts to high boron levels, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 67, 205, 1987.
- 1021. Hahne, H. C. H. and Kroontje, W., Significance of pH and chloride on behavior of heavy metal pollutants: mercury (II), cadmium (II), zinc (II) and lead, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 2, 444, 1973.
- 1022. Haidouti, C., Skarlou, V., and Tsouloucha, F., Mercury contents of some Greek soils, *Geoderma*, 35, 251, 1985.
- 1023. Herms, U., Bartels, Scheffer, B. R., and Pluquet, E., Untersuchungen zur Landbaulichen Nutzbarkeit Ehemaliger Spülfelder aus Hafenschlick in Raum Hamburg, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, 1986.
- 1024. Herms, U. and Brümmer, G. W., Einflussgrössen der Schwermetallöslichkeit und -binding in Boden, Z. Pflanzenernaehr, Bodenkd., 147, 400, 1984.
- 1025. Hiller, D. A., Brümmer, G. W., and Ackermand, D., Gehalte an Haupt -und Nebenelementen in Konkretionen aus Oberböden von Marschen-Untersuchungen mit einer Mikrosonde. Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenkd., 151, 47, 1988.
- 1026. Holmgren, G. G. S., Meyer, M. W., Daniels, R. B., Kubota, J., and Chaney, R. L., Cadmium, lead, zinc, copper and nickel in agricultural soils in the United States, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 1986. As cited by Bodek, I. et al. in *Environmental Inorganic Chemistry*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1988.
- 1027. Holyńska, B., Jasion, J., Lankosz, M., Markowicz, A., Baran, W., and Wegrzynek, D., Results of Interlaboratory Tests of the Soil Prepared for Standard Reference Materials, AGH, Kraków, 1987, 13 (Po).
- 1028. Horiguchi, T. and Nishihara, T., Heavy metals associated with the major constituents of potato tubers and peanut seeds, *Mem. Fac. Agr. Kagoshima Univ.*, 17, 95, 1981.
- 1029. Hornburg, V. and Brümmer, G. W., Cadmium availability in soils and content of wheat grain, in 5th Spurenelement Symposium on Iodine and other Trace Elements, Anke, M., Bräunlich, H., Brückner, Chr., and Groppel, B., Eds., F. Schiller University, Jena, 916, 1986.

- 1030. **Horth, H.** (Rapporteur), Environmental reactions and functions—Group report, in *Humic Substances and their Role in the Environment*, Frimmel, F. H. and Christman, R. F., Eds., Dahlem Konfernzen, J. Wiley, Chichester, 1988, 245.
- 1031. Ilyin, V. B., Heavy metals in soils of Western Siberia, Pochvovedenie, 11, 87, 1987 (Ru).
- 1032. Inarida, M., Hoyano, M., and Nozaki, T., Content of trace elements in tea leaves (Green, Black, Jasmin and Oolong Tea) by neutron activation analysis, *J. Jpn. Soc. Nutr. Food Sci.*, 37, 151, 1984 (Ja).
- 1033. Isenbeck, M., Schröter, J., Taylor, T., Fic, M., Pekdegen, A., and Matthess, G., Adsorption/ desorption and solution/precipitation behavior of cadmium as influenced by the chemical properties of ground water and aquifer material, *Meyniana*, 39, 7, 1987.
- 1034. Ivchenko, V. I., Molybdenum in metabolism of plants in *Proc. Int. Symp. New results in the Research of Hardly Known Trace Elements and their Role in Food Chain*, Pais, I., Ed., University of Horticulture and Food Industry, Budapest, 1988, 51.
- 1035. Izsäki, Z., Debreczeni, I., and Benesoczky, J., The effect of leather factory sludge dressing on the chromium content of the soil and the plants as well as yield, in 5th Int. CIEC, Protection of Water Quality from Harmful Emissions, with Special Regard to Nitrate, Balatonfüred, Vol. 2, 1987, 39.
- 1036. Jarvis, S. C. and Hatch, D. J., The effects of low concentrations of aluminum on the growth and uptake of nitrate-N by white clover, *Plant Soil*, 95, 43, 1986.
- 1037. Jenkis, D. A., Trace elements in saxicolous lichens, in *Pollutant Transport and Fate in Ecosystems*, Coughtrey, P. J., Martin, M. H., and Unsworth, M. H., Eds., Blackwell Sci., Oxford, 1987, 249.
- 1038. Jenny, E. A. (Rapporteur), Chemical species in freshwater and terrestrial systems, in *The Importance of Chemical Speciation in Environmental Processes*, Bernhard, M., Brickman, F. E., and Sandler, P. J., Eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, 121.
- 1039. Jewell, A. W., Murray, B. G., and Alloway, B. J., Light and electron microscope studies on pollen development in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) grown under copper-sufficient and deficient conditions, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 11, 273, 1988.
- 1040. Jin, J., Martens, D. C., and Zelazny, L. W., Distribution and plant availability of soil boron fractions, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 51, 1228, 1987.
- 1041. Johansson, K., Lindqvist, O., and Timm, B., Mercury. Occurrence and Turnover of Mercury in the Environment, Progress Report 4E, SNV, Solna, 1988, 38.
- 1042. Jones, K. C., Davies, B. A., and Peterson, P. J., Silver in Welsh soils: physical and chemical distribution studies, *Geoderma*, 37, 157, 1986.
- 1042a. Jones, K. C., Lepp, N. W., and Obbard, J. P., Other metals and metalloids, in *Heavy Metals in Soils*, Alloway, B. J., Ed, Blackie, Glasgow, London, 1990, 280.
- 1043. Jones, K. C. and Peterson, P. J., The influence of humic and fulvic acids on silver uptake by perennial ryegrass, and its relevance to the cycling of silver in soils, *Plant Soil*, 95, 3, 1986.
- 1044. Jones, K. C., Symon, C. J., and Johnston, A. E., Long-term changes in soil and cereal grain cadmium: studies at Rothamsted Experimental Station, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 21, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 450, 1987.
- 1045. Kabata-Pendias, A. and Wiacek, K., Excessive uptake of heavy metals by plants from contaminated soil, *Soil Sci. Ann.*, 36/4, 33, 1985.
- 1046. **Kabata-Pendias, A. and Brümmer, G. W.,** Ecological consequences of As, Cd, Hg and Pb enrichment in European soils, in *Lead, Mercury, Cadmium and Arsenic in the Environment,* SCOPE 32, Hutchinson, T. C. and Meema, K. Gordon, C., Eds., J. Wiley, 1991.
- 1047. Kabata-Pendias, A., Galczyńnska, B., and Dudka, S., Baseline zinc content of soils and plants in Poland, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 11, 19, 1989.
- 1048. Kabata-Pendias, A. and Wiacek, K., Effects of sulphur deposition on trace metal solubility in soils, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 8, 95, 1986.
- 1049. **Kamel, Z.,** Toxicity of cadmium to 2 Streptomyces species as affected by clay minerals, *Plant Soil*, 93, 195, 1986.
- 1050. Kaźmierczakowa, R., Degradation of pine forest *Vaccinio myrtill-Pinetum* vegetation under the influence of zinc and lead smelter, in *Effect of Industrial Emissions on the Selected Ecosystem Elements*, PWN, Warsaw, 1988, 29.
- 1051. Kloke, A., Schadgas- und Schwermetallbelastungen von Böden und Pflanzen in Kleingärten, *Garten und Umwelt*, 37, 1, 1985.

- 1052. Kloke, A., Sauerbeck, D. R., and Vetter, H., The contamination of plants and soils with heavy metals and the transport of metals in terrestrial food chains, in *Changing Metal Cycles and Human Health*, Nriagu, J. O., Ed., Dahlem, Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 113.
- 1053. Koch, J. T. and Kay, B. D., Transportability of iodine in some organic soil materials from the Precambrian shield of Ontario, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 67, 353, 1987.
- 1054. Kovda, V. A., Human activities and soil cover of the earth, in *Advances in Soil Science*, Kovda, V. A. and Glazovskaya, M. A., Eds., Nauka, Moscow, 1986, 3.
- 1055. Körös, E., Silicon in the biological systems, in *New Results in the Research of Hardly Known Trace Elements*, Pais, I., Ed., University of Horticulture and Food Industry, Budapest, 1985, 250.
- 1056. Lapo, A. V., Burova, L. G., and Smirnova, R. S., Problem of background contents of toxic trace elements in macromycetes, in *Biogeokhimicheskaya Indikacya Okruzhayushchey Sredy*, Nauka, Leningrad, 1988, 37, (Ru).
- 1057. Laxen, D. P. H., Trace metal adsorption/coprecipitation on hydrous ferric oxide under realistic conditions, *Water Res.* 19, 1229, 1985.
- 1058. Lepp, N. W. and Dickinson, N. M., Partitioning and transport of copper in various components of Kenyan *Coffea arabica* stands, in *Pollutant Transport and Fate in Ecosystems*, Coughtrey, P. J., Martin, M. H., and Unsworth, M. H., Eds., Blackwell Sci. Publ., Oxford, 1987, 289.
- 1059. Lepp, N. W., Dickinson, N. M., and Ormand, K. L., Distribution of fungicide-derived copper in soils, litter and vegetation of different aged stands of coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) in Kenya, *Plant Soil*, 77, 263, 1984.
- 1060. Lepp, N. W., Harrison, C. S., and Morrell, B. G., A role of *Amanita muscarita* L. in the circulation of cadmium and vanadium in a non-polluted woodland, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 9, 61, 1987.
- 1061. Letunova, S. V. and Kovalskiy, V. V., *Geochemical Ecology of Microorganisms*, Izdat, Nauka, Moscow, 1978, 146 (Ru).
- 1062. Letunova, S. V., Korobova, E. M., and Aleksieyeva, S. A., Iodine concentration in soil microorganisms, in Arbeitstagung Mengen- und Spuren Elemente, Anke, M., Ed., K.-Marx Universität, Leipzig, 1987, 11.
- 1063. Lieth, H. and Markert, B., Concentration cadaster of chemical elements in contrasting ecosystems, *Naturwissenschaften*, 72, S, 322, 1985.
- 1064. Lindgvist, O., Jernelöv, A., Jahansson, K., and Rodhe, H., Mercury in the Swedish Environment, Global and Local Sources, Report SNV, Solna, 1984, 105.
- 1065. Linsalata, P., Franca, E. P., and Eisenbud, M., Determination of the human intake of thorium and light rare earth elements from high and typical natural radiation environments, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 19, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 257, 1985.
- 1066. Little, C. A., Whicker, F. W., and Winsor, T. F., Plutonium in a grassland ecosystem at Rocky Flats, J. Environ. Qual., 9, 350, 1980.
- 1067. Liu, Z., The effects of rare earth elements on growth of crops, in *Proc. Int. Symp. New Results in the Research of Hardly Known Trace Elements and Their Role in Food Chain*, Pais, I., Ed., University of Horticulture and Food Industry, Budapest, 1988, 23.
- 1068. Liu, Z., Zhu, Q., and Tang, L., Microelements in the main soils of China, Soil Sci., 135, 40, 1983.
- 1069. Liukkonen-Lilja, H., Kuusi, T., Laaksovirta, K., Lodenius, M., and Piepponen, S., The effect of lead processing works on the lead, cadmium and mercury contents of fungi, Z. Lebesm. Unters. Forsch., 176, 120, 1983.
- 1070. Lodenius, M., Factors affecting the mobilization of mercury from soil, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 2, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 417, 1987.
- 1071. Lodenius, M., Heavy metals in the soil: interactions and long-term changes, in *Proc. Joint Symp. Ecological Impact of Acidification*, Szabolcs, I., Ed., Budapest, 1989, 131.
- 1072. Lodenius, M. and Herranen, M., Influence of chlor-alkali plant on the mercury contents on fungi, *Chemosphere*, 10, 313, 1981.
- 1073. Logan, T. J. and Cassler, D. E., Case study for correction of a widespread cadmium soil contamination problem using local funds, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 22, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 337, 1988.
- 1073a. Logan, T. J., Chang, A. C., Page, A. L., and Ganje, T. J., Accumulation of selenium in crops grown on ludge-treated soil, J. Environ. Qual. 16, 349, 1987.
- 1074. Loneragan, J. F., Grunes, D. L., Welch, R. M., Aduayi, E. A., Tengah, A., Lazar, V. A., and Cary, E. E., Phosphorus accumulation and toxicity in leaves in relation to zinc supply, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 46, 345, 1982.

- 1075. Lux, W., Hintze, B., and Piening, H., Heavy metals in the soils of Hamburg, in *Trans. 13th Cong. Int. Soil Sci. Soc.*, Hamburg, Vol. 2, 1986, 376.
- 1076. Lukaszewski, Z., unpublished data, 1989.
- 1077. Ma, W., The influence of soil properties and worm-related factors on the concentration of heavy metals in earthworms, *Pedobiologia*, 24, 109, 1982.
- 1078. Ma, W., Biomonitoring of Soil Pollution: Ecotoxicological Studies of the Effect of Soil-borne Heavy Metals on Lumbricid Earthworms, Annual Report 1982, Research Institute Nature Management, Arnhem, 1983, 83.
- 1079. **Ma, W.,** Heavy metal accumulation in the mole, *Talpa europea*, and earthworms as an indicator of metal bioavailability in terrestrial environments, *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 39, 933, 1987.
- 1080. **Ma, W., Edelman, Th., van Beersum, I., and Jans, Th.,** Uptake of cadmium, zinc, lead and copper by earthworms near a zinc-smelting complex: influence of soil pH and organic matter, *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 30, 424, 1983.
- 1081. Macnicol, R. D. and Beckett, P. H. T., Critical tissue concentrations of potentially toxic elements, *Plant Soil*, 85, 107, 1985.
- 1081a. Maksimović, Z., Djujić, I., Jović, V., and Ršumović, M., Selenium deficiency in Yugoslavia and possible effects on health, presented at 8th Meeting Society Environmental Geochemistry and Health—the European Group, Reading, April, 1990.
- 1082. Mallas, J. and Benedicto, N., Mercury and goldmining in the Brazilian Amazon, Ambio, 15, 248, 1986.
- 1083. Mankovska, B., Accumulation of As, Sb, S and Pb in soil and pine forest, Ekology, 5, 71, 1986.
- 1084. Markert, B., Multielementanalytik: Mögliche Darstellungsweisen von Messdaten, *Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem.*, 327, 329, 1987.
- 1085. Markert, B., The pattern of distribution of lanthanide elements in soils and plants, *Phytochemistry*, 26, 3167, 1987.
- 1086. Markert, B. and Lieth, H., Element concentration cadasters in a Swedish biotope, *Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem.*, 327, 716, 1987.
- 1087. Martin, M. H. and Coughtrey, P. J., Biological Monitoring of Heavy Metal Pollution, Appl. Sci. Publ., London, 1982, 285.
- 1088. **Mayer, R. and Schultz, R.,** Effect of soil acidification on heavy metal cycling in forest ecosystems, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 2, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 402, 1987.
- 1089. McGrath, S. P., Brookes, P. C., and Giller, K. E., Long-term biological effects of applying metalcontaminated sewage sludge to soil, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 372, 1987.
- 1090. McKenney, D. J. and Vriesacker, J. R., Effect of cadmium contamination on denitrification processes in Brookston clay and Fox sandy loam, *Environ. Pollut. Series A*, 38, 221, 1985.
- 1090a. Meriwether, J. R., Beck, J. N., Keeley, D. F., Langley, M. P., Thompson, R. H., and Young, J. C., Radionuclides in Louisiana soils, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 17, 562, 1988.
- 1091. Meyer, Ch., Ore metals through geologic history, Science, 227, 1421, 1985.
- 1092. Mhathre, G. N. and Chaphekar, S. B., Response of young plants to mercury, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 21, 1, 1984.
- 1093. Mingelgrin, U. and Biggar, J. W., Copper species in aqueous sludge extract, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 28, 351, 1986.
- 1094. Minnich, M. M., McBride, M. B., and Chaney, R. L., Copper activity in soil solution. II. Relation to copper accumulation young snap beans, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 51, 573, 1987.
- 1095. Morrell, B. C., Lepp, N. W., and Phipps, D. A., Vanadium uptake by higher plants: some recent developments, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 8, 14, 1986.
- 1096. Maramatsu, Y., Sumiya, M., and Ohmomo, Y., Levels and behavior of ¹²⁷I and ¹²⁹I in the environment in Japan, in *5th Spurenelement Symposium on Iodine and other Trace Elements*, Anke, M., Bräunlich, H., Brückner, Chr., and Groppel, B., Eds., F. Schiller University, Jena, 35, 1986.
- 1097. Mursaliyev, A. M., Sudnucyna, I. G., and Gorbunova, N. V., Biochemical Inventarization of the Vegetation in Kirghiz Republic, Izdat, Ilim, Frunze, 1976, 131 (Ru).
- 1098. Nazrul-Islam, A. K. M., Effects of interaction of calcium and manganese on the growth and nutrition of *Epilobium hirsutum, Soil Sci. Plant. Nutr.*, 32, 161, 1986.

- 1099. Nieboer, E. and Richardson, H. S., The replacement of the nondescript term 'heavy metals' by a biologically and chemically significant classification of metal ions, *Environ. Pollut., Ser. B.*, 3, 1980.
- 1100. Niesbizhskaya, I. I. and Saet, J. E., Geochemical principles for estimation of threshold concentrations of chemical elements in soils, in *Migration of Pollutants in Soils and Environmental Compartments*, Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad, 1985, 10 (Ru).
- 1101. Nikolishin, I. J., Voronskaya, G. N., and Aleksieyeva, N. G., Historical monitoring of contaminants in the environment, in *Problemy Ekologicheskovo Monitoringa i Modelirovaniya Ekosystem*, Vol. 2, Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad, 1979, 125 (Ru)
- 1102. Nilsson, S. I. and Bergkvist, B., Aluminium chemistry and acidification processes in a shallow podzol on the Swedish westcoast, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 20, 311, 1983.
- 1103. Nortcliff, S., Soil survey, soil classification and land evaluation, in *Russel's Soil Conditions & Plant Growth*, Wild, A., Ed., Longman, Sci. Techn., Harlow, Essex, 1988, 815.
- 1104. Norvell, W. A., Dabkowska-Naskret, H., and Cary, E. E., Effect of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on the solubility of Zn²⁺ in two alkaline soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 51, 584, 1987.
- 1104a. Nuorteva, P., Metal Distribution Patterns and Forest Decline, Seeking Achilles' Heels for Metals in Finnish Forest Biocoenosses, University of Helsinki, 1990, 77.
- 1105. **Oberländer, H. E. and Roth, K.,** Uptake and distribution of soil-applied labelled heavy metals in cereal plants and products, in *Agrochemical-Biota Interactions in Soil and Water Using Nuclear Techniques*, IAEA-TECDOC, 283, Vienna, 1983, 137.
- 1106. Olson, G. J., Microbial intervention in trace element-containing industrial processes streams and waste products, in *The Importance of Chemical Speciation in Environmental Processes*, Bernhard, M., Brinckman, F. E., and Sandler, P. J., Eds., Dahlem Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, 493.
- 1107. Otte, M. L., Buijs, E. P., Riemer, L., Rozema, J., and Broekman, R. A., The iron-plaque on the roots of saltmarsh plants: a barrier to heavy metal uptake?, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 1987, 407.
- 1108. **Oyler, J. A.,** Remediation of metals-contaminated site near a zinc smelter using sludge/fly ash amendments: herbaceous species, in *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, Vol. 22, Hemphill, D. D., Ed., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 307, 1988.
- 1109. **Ozoliniya, G. R.,** Influence of copper, cobalt and silver ions on total growth of grass roots, *Mikroelem. in USSR*, 27, 72, 1986 (Ru).
- 1110. Pacha, J. and Galimska-Stypa, R., Mutagenic properties of selected tri- and hexavalent chromium compounds, *Acta Biol. Sil., Katowice*, 9, 30, 1988 (Po).
- 1111. Pacha, J. Kędziorska, M., Krupa, G., Orzel, M., Polańska, J., and Schikora, G., Activity of selected enzymes in soil subjected to the action of trivalent chromium compounds, *Acta Biol. Sil., Katowice*, 9, 38, 1988.
- 1112. Pacyna, J. M., Atmospheric trace elements from natural and anthropogenic sources, in *Toxic Metals in the Atmosphere*, Nriagu, J. O. and Davidson, C. I., Eds., J. Wiley, London, 1986, 33.
- 1113. Page, A. L., Chang, A. C., and Adriano, D. C., Land application of municipal sewage sludge, guidelines—trace elements, in *Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. Land Appl. Sewage Sludge*, Assoc. Utilization Sewage Sludge, Tokyo, 1988, 154.
- 1113a. Pais, I., The biological importance of titanium, J. Plant Nutr., 6, 3, 1983.
- 1114. **Palušova, O. and Ursinova, M.,** Quecksilber als Kontaminant der Umwelt, in *4th Spurenelement Symposium,* Anke, M., Baumann, W., Bräunlich, H., and Brückner, Chr., Eds., F. Schiller University, Jena, 389, 1983.
- 1115. Panova, J. G., Biogeochemical indication of technogenic anomaly of tungsten in conditions of semidesert landscape, in *Biogeokhimicheskaya Indikacya Okruzhayushchey Sredy*, Nauka, Leningrad, 1988, 47 (Ru).
- 1116. Pierzynski, G. M. and Jacobs, L. W., Extractability and plant availability of molybdenum from inorganic and sewage sludge sources, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 15, 323, 1986.
- 1117. **Pfeiffer, W. C. and Drude de Lacerda, L.,** Mercury inputs into the Amazon Region, Brazil, *Environ. Technol. Lett.*, 9, 325, 1988.
- 1117a. Phillips, R. L. and Meyer, R. D., Molybdenum concentration of alfalfa in Kern County, California: 1950 versus 1985, presented at *Int. Symp. on Soil Testing and Plant Analysis*, Fresno, CA, August 1989.

- 1118. Pickering, W. F., The competing roles of dissolution, sorption and complex formation in element mobilisation, in *Weathering: Its Product and Deposits, Vol. 1, Processes, Augustithis, S. S., Ed., Theophrastus Publ., Athens, 259, 1989.*
- 1119. Piepponen, S., Liukkonen-Lilja, H., and Kuusi, T., The selenium content of edible mushrooms in Finland, Z. Lebensm, Unters, Forsch., 177, 257, 1983.
- 1120. **Piispanen, R. and Lähdesmäki, P.,** Effect of vanadium on some water plants, *Geol. Fören. Stockholm Förh.*, 105, 23, 1983.
- 1121. Poelstra, P., Frissel, M. J., and El-Bassam, N., Transport and accumulation of Cd ions in soils and plants, Z. *Pflanzenernaehr, Bodenkd.*, 142, 848, 1979.
- 1122. Polański, A., Principles of Geochemistry, Wyd. Geol., Warszawa, 1988, 634 (Po).
- 1123. Protasova, N. A. and Kopayeva, M. T., Trace and dispersed elements in soils of Russian Plateau, *Pochvovedenie*, 1, 29, 1985 (Ru).
- 1124. Quiping, Z., Chuliang, Y., Lihua, T., and Junxiang, X., Content and distribution of trace elements in limestone soils of China, *Acta Pedologica Sinica*, 21, 58, 1984.
- 1125. Ramade, F., Ecotoxicology, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, New York, Brisbane, 1987, 262.
- 1126. Räutä, C., Cärstea, S., and Mihäilescu, A., Influence of some pollutants on agricultural soils in Romania, *Arch. Ochr. Srodowiska*, 33, 1, 1987.
- 1127. Räutä, C., Cärstea, S., Mihäilescu, A., and Lacatusu, R., Some aspects of pedochemical and biogeochemical research in Romania, in *Proc. 1st Int. Symp. On Geochemistry and Health*, Thornton, I., Ed., Imperial College, London, 1985, 236.
- 1128. **Ressar, H. and Ohlsson, S. A.,** Geochemical mapping, Sveriges Gelogiska Undersökning Report 42, Uppsala, 1985, 49 (Sv).
- 1129. Robinson, W. O., Bastron, H., and Murata, K. J., Biogeochemistry of rare-earth elements with particular reference to hickory trees, *Geochem. Cosmochem.*, 14, 55, 1958.
- 1130. Rose, A. W., Hawkes, H. T., and Webb, J. S., *Geochemistry in Mineral Exploration*, Academic Press, London, 1979, 635.
- 1130a. Roth-Holzapfel, M., Multi-element analysis of invertebrate animals in a forest ecosystem (*Picea abies* L.), in *Element Concentrations Cadasters in Ecosystems*, Lieth, H. and Markert, B., Eds., VCH Publishers, Weinheim, West Germany, 1990, 281.
- 1131. Rovinskiy, F. J., Kolockov, I. A., Czephanov, J. P., Voroncov, A. I., Pastuhov, B. J., and Rusina, E. N., Background studies within complex monitoring of environmental pollution, in *Problemy Ekologicz*eskovo Monitoringa i Modelirovaniya Ekosystem, Vol. 2, Gidrometeoizdat., Leningrad, 1979, 134 (Ru).
- 1132. Röderer, G., Toxic effects in plant organisms, in *Biological Effects of Organolead Compounds*, Grandjean, Ph., Ed., CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, FL, 1984, 21.
- 1133. **Rösler, H. J. and Beuge, P.,** Geochemistry of trace elements during regional metamorphism, in *Trace Elements in Petrogenesis,* Augustithis, S. S., Ed., Theophrastus Publ., Athens, 1983, 407.
- 1134. Rundle, H. L. and Holt, C., Output of heavy metals in the produce from a historic sewage farm, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 354, 1983.
- 1135. Rühling, A., Rasmussen, L., Pilegaard, K., Mäkinen, A., and Steinnes, E., Survey of Atmospheric Heavy Metal Deposition in Nordic Countries in 1985, Report for Nordic Council of Ministers, Kobenhavn, 1987.
- 1136. **Ryglewicz, J.,** Toxic trace elements in soil-plant system, in *Trace Elements and Toxic Substances in the Environment,* Dum techniki, ČSVTS, Usti and Labem, 1988, 107 (Cz).
- 1136a. Ryng, J. and Malińska, T., Metal contents of some foodstuff, presented at Symp. on Chemical and Biological Contamination of Foodstuff and Household Tools, Warsaw, September 21 to 22, 1989 (Po).
- 1137. Salomon, S. W. and Förstner, U., Metals in Hydrocycle, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 349.
- Schachtschabel, P., Blume, H. P., Hartge, K. H., and Schwertmann, U., Lehrbuch der Bodenkunde, F. Enke Verlag, Stuttgart, 1984, 441.
- 1139. Schalscha, E. B., Morales, M., and Pratt, P. F., Lead and molybdenum in soils and forage near an atmospheric source, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 16, 313, 1987.
- 1140. Schimmack, W., Bunzl, K., and Zelles, L., Initial rates of migration of radionuclides from the Chernobyl fallout in undisturbed soils, *Geoderma*, 44, 211, 1989.
- 1141. Schoer, J., Thallium, in *The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry*, Vol. 3, Hutzinger, O., Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 143.

- 1142. Scokart, P. O., Meeus-Verdinne, K., and De Borger, R., Mobility of heavy metals in polluted soil near zinc smelters, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 20, 451, 1983.
- 1143. Semu, E., Singh, B. R., and Selmer-Olsen, A. R., Adsorption of mercury compounds by tropical soils, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 27, 19, 1986.
- 1144. Sharma, S., Singh, R., and Bhattacharyya, A. K., Perspective of selenium research in soil-plantanimal system in India, *Fert. News*, 26, 19, 1981.
- 1145. Siegel, B. Z., Lasconia, M., Yaeger, E., and Siegel, S. M., The phytotoxicity of mercury vapor, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 23, 15, 1984.
- 1146. Siegel, B. Z. and Siegel, S. M., Mercury content of Equisetum plants around Mount St. Helens one year after the major eruption, *Science*, 216, 292, 1982.
- 1147. Siegel, S. M., Siegel, B. Z., Barchigiani, C., Aratani, K., Penny, P., and Penny, D., A contribution to the environmental biology of mercury accumulation in plants, *Water Soil Pollut.*, 33, 65, 1987.
- 1148. Sikora, F. J. and Stevenson, F. J., Silver complexation by humic substances: conditional stability constants and nature of reactive sites, *Geoderma*, 42, 353, 1988.
- 1149. Silver, G. L., Comment on the evaluation of the chemical forms of plutonium in seawater and other aqueous solutions, *Marine Chemistry*, 12, 91, 1983.
- 1150. **Silver, S.,** Bacterial transformations of and resistance to heavy metals, in *Changing Metal Cycles and Human Health*, Nriagu, J. O., Ed., Dahlem Konferenzen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 199.
- 1151. Sparks, D. L., Kinetics of reactions in pure and mixed systems, in *Soil Physical Chemistry*, Sparks D. L., Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1986, 83.
- 1152. **Spezzano, P. and Silvestri, N.,** Determination of alpha-emitting nuclides of thorium and uranium in soil and sediment samples, presented at *6th Int. Conf. Chemistry for Protection of the Environment,* Torino, September 15 to 16, 1987, 15.
- 1153. **Sposito, G., LeClaire, J. P., LeVesque, S., and Senesi, N.,** *Methodologies to Predict the Mobility and Availability of Hazardous Metals in Sludge-Amended Soils,* University of California, Davis, CA, 1984, 94.
- 1154. **Sposito, G. and Matigod, S.,** *GEOCHEM: Computer Program for the Calculation of Chemical Equilibria in Soil Solutions and Other Natural Water Systems, University of California, Riverside, CA, 1980, 92.*
- 1155. **Sposito, G. and Page, A. L.,** Cycling of metal ions in the soil environments, in *Metal Ions in Biological Systems*, Sigel, H., Ed., M., Dekker, New York, 1984, 287.
- 1156. **Stahr, K., Zöttl, H. W., and Hädrich, F.,** Transport of trace elements in ecosystems of the Bärhalde watershed in the Southern Black Forest, West Germany, *Soil Sci.,* 130, 217, 1980.
- 1157. Stevenson, F. J., Trace metal-organic matter interactions in geologic environments, in *Trace Elements in Petrogenesis*, Augustithis, S. S., Ed., Theophrastus Publ., Athens, 1983, 671.
- 1158. Szabó, A. S., Non-destructive boron determination by activation analysis, the role of boron in the trophic chain, in *Proc. Int. Symp. New Results in the Research of Hardly Known Trace Elements and their Role in Food Chains*, Pais, I., Ed., University of Horticulture and Food Industry, Budapest, 1988, 102.
- 1159. Szabolcs, I., Salt-Affected Soils, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1989, 274.
- 1160. Szentmihalyi, S., Anke, M., and Regius, A., The importance of lithium for plant and animal, in *Proc. Int. Symp. New Results in the Research of Hardly Known Trace Elements*, Pais, I., Ed., University of Horticulture and Food Industry, Budapest, 1985, 136.
- 1161. Szerszeń, L. and Laskowski, S., The problem of cultivation of sugar beets in the contaminated zone of a copper smelter, in *3rd Nat. Conf. Effects of Trace Elements Pollut. On Agric Environ. Quality*, Vol. 2, Kabata-Pendias, A., Eds., IUNG, Pulawy, 1985, 32 (Po).
- 1161a. Taylor, G. J., Aluminum toxicity and tolerance in plants, in *Acidic Precipitation*, Vol. 2, Adriano, D. C. and Johnson, A. H., Eds., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, 327.
- 1162. Tessier, A., Campbell, P. G. C., and Bisson, M., Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace metals, *Analyt. Chem.*, 51, 844, 1979.
- 1163. Thanabalasingam, P. and Pickering, W. F., The sorption of mercury II by humic acids, *Environ. Pollut. Series B.*, 9, 267, 1985.
- 1164. **Thornton, I.,** A survey of lead in the British urban environment: an example of research in urban geochemistry, in *Element Concentrations Cadasters in Ecosystems*, Lieth, H. and Markert, B., Eds., VCH Publishers, Weinheim, 1990, 221.

- 1165. Tobin, J. M., Cooper, D. G., and Neufeld, R. J., Uptake of metal ions by *Rhizopus arrhizus, Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 47, 821, 1984.
- 1166. Trnovsky, M., Oxer, J. P., Rudy, R. J., Weinstein, G. L., and Hartsfield, B., Site remediation of heavy metals contaminated soils and groundwater at a former battery reclamation site in Florida, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 88, 1987.
- 1167. Trudgill, S. T., Soil and Vegetation Systems, 2nd ed., Claderon Press, Oxford, 1988, 211.
- 1168. **Turek, B. and Kopicowa, Z.,** Content and determination of selenium in food stuff, in *Trace Elements and Toxic Substances in the Environment*, Dum Techniki ČSVTS, Usti and Labem, 1988, 73 (Cz).
- 1169. Turski, R., Wójcikowska-Kapusta, A., and Kukier, U., Barium balance in a lysimeter experiment, in *Pr. Kom.*, 110, Warszawa, PTG, 1989, 74 (Po).
- 1170. **Tyler, G.,** Ribidium—availability and plant uptake in natural soils, *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 14, 1075, 1983.
- 1171. **Tyler, G.,** Balsberg Pahlsson, A. M., Bengtsson, G., Baath, E., and Tranvik, L., Heavy metal ecology of terrestrial plants, microorganisms and invertebrates, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 47, 189, 1989.
- 1172. **Tyler, G., Berggren, D., Bergkvist, B., Falkengren-Grerup, U., Folkeson, L., and Rühling, A.,** Soil acidification and metal solubility in forests of southern Sweden, in *Effects of Atmospheric Pollutants on Forest, Wetlands and Agricultural Ecosystems,* Hutchinson, T. C. and Meema, K. C., Eds., NATO ASI, Ser. G16, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1987, 347.
- 1173. Umińska, R., Assessment of Hazardous Levels of Trace Elements to Health in Contaminated Soils of Poland, Inst. Medycyny Wsi, Warszawa, 1988, 188 (Po).
- 1174. Upitis, W. W. and Gubar, G. D., A short review of results of studies in trace element problems in biology (for 1984), *Mikroelem. in USSR*, 27, 3, 1986 (Ru).
- 1175. Van Assche, F. and Glijsters, H., Enzyme capacity and isoenzyme pattern in plants as criteria for the phytotoxicity of heavy metal polluted soils, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Vol. 1, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consult., Edinburgh, 475, 1987.
- 1176. Vandeputte, M., unpublished data, 1983.
- 1177. Vermes, L., Results of research work and status of regulation of heavy metal contamination concerning sewage sludge land application in Hungary, *Arch. Ochr. Srodowiska*, 1/2, 21, 1987.
- 1178. Vicente-Beckett, V. A., Heavy metal levels in some terrestrial environments in the Philippines, in *Lead, Mercury, Cadmium and Arsenic in the Environment*, SCOPE 32, Hutchinson, T. C., Meema, K., and Gordon, C., Eds., J. Wiley, 1991.
- 1179. Voland, B., Metzner, I., and Bombach, G., Zur Selenverteilung in Böden der DDR, in Arbeitstagung Mengen- und Spuren Elemente, Anke, M., Ed., K.-Marx University, Leipzig, 1987, 1.
- 1180. Wadge, A. and Hutton, M., The uptake of cadmium, lead and selenium by barley and cabbage grown on soils amended with refuse incinerator fly ash, *Plant Soil*, 96, 407, 1986.
- Waganov, P. A. and Nizharadze, T. N., On microelements in the loesslike and Cretaceous sediments, *Geokhimiya*, 1, 149, 1981 (Ru).
- 1182. Weber, J. H., Binding and transport of metals by humic materials, in *Humic Substances and their Role in the Environment*, Frimmel, F. H. and Christman, R. F., Eds., Dahlem Konferenzen, J. Wiley, Berlin, 1988, 165.
- 1183. White, G. N. and Zelazny, L. W., Charge properties of soil colloids, in *Soil Physical Chemistry*, Sparks, D. L., Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1986, 39.
- 1184. Wild, A. and Jones, L. H. P., Mineral nutrition of crop plants, in *Russell's Soil Conditions and Plant Growth*, Wild, A., Ed., Longman Sci. Techn. Publ., Harlow, Essex, 1988, 69.
- 1185. Williams, R. J. B., Natural selection of the chemical elements, Proc. R. Soc. London, 13213, 361, 1981.
- 1186. Williams, D. E., Vlamis, J., Pukite, A. H., and Corey, J. E., Metal movement in sludge-amended soils: a nine-year study, *Soil Sci.*, 143, 124, 1987.
- 1187. Wolnik, K. A., Fricke, F. L., Capar, S. G., Meyer, M. W., Satzger, R. D., Bonnin, E., and Gaston, C. M., Elements in major raw agricultural crops in the United States. III. Cadmium, lead and other elements in carrots, field corn, onion, rice, spinach and tomatoes, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 33, 807, 1985.
- 1188. Xijie, L., Zian, F., Jinxing, D., and Quancheng, L., A Study on the application of rare earth elements on the narcissus, *J. Fuijan Agric. College*, 15, 246, 1986 (Ch).

- 1189. Xu, G. I. and Jiang, Y. F., Selenium and the prevalence of Keshan and Kashin-Beck disease in China, in *Proc. 1st Int. Symp. on Geochemistry and Health*, Thornton, I., Ed., London, 1985, 192.
- 1190. Xu, I. and Thornton, I., Arsenic in garden soils and vegetables crops in Cornwall, England: implications for human health, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 7, 131, 1985.
- 1191. Yläranta, T. and Sillanpää, M., Micronutrient contents of different plant species grown side by side, *Ann. Agric. Fenn.*, 23, 158, 1984.
- 1192. Yoshino, A. and Goto, S., unpublished data, 1988.
- 1193. Yuita, K., Transfer of radioiodine from the environment to animals and plants. 1. From soil to plant, in *Latest Topics of Radioiodine Released to the Environment, Proc. of 7th NIRS Seminar Research,* Chiba, 1979, 91 (Ja).
- 1194. Yuita, K., Iodine, bromine and chlorine contents in soils and plants of Japan, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 29, 403, 1983.
- 1195. Zabawski, J., Boratyński, J., and Pląskowska, E., Influence of differential doses of Zn, Cu, Cd and Ni on the growth of soil micromycetes, *Arch. Ochr. Srodowiska*, 1/2, 123, 1987 (Po).
- 1196. Zonn, S. V., Iron in Soils, Publ. House, "Nauka", Moscow, 1982, 207 (Ru).
- 1197. Zyrin, N. G. and Zvonarev, V. A., Mercury content of soils and plants in northern Osetia, *Biol. Nauki*, 8, 85, 1980 (Ru).

REFERENCES TO THE THIRD EDITION

- 1198. Adriano, D. C., Bollag, J. M., Frankenberger, Jr., W. T., and Sims, R. C., Eds., *Bioremediation of Contaminated Soils*, Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI, 1999, 800.
- 1199. Adriano, D. C., Chlopecka, A., and Kaplan D. I., Role of soil chemistry in soil remediation and ecosystem conservation, in *Soil Chemistry and Ecosystem Health*, Huang, P. M., Ed., SSSA Special Publ., 52, 261, 1998.
- 1200. Alliksaar, T. and Punning, J.-M., The spatial distribution of characterized flyash particles and trace metals in lake sediments and catchment mosses: Estonia, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 106, 219, 1998.
- 1201. Alloway, B. J., Ed., Heavy Metals in Soils, 2nd ed., Blackie Acad., London, 1995, 386.
- 1202. Ankley, G. T., Di Toro, D. M., Hansen, D. J., and Berry, W. J., Technical basis and proposal for deriving sediment quality criteria for metals, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 15, 2056, 1996.
- 1203. Anoshin, G. N., Malikova, I. N., Kovalev, S. I., Androsova, N. V., Suchorukov, F. V., Ciulchik, V. M., and Shcherbov, B. L., Mercury in the environment of Western Siberia, *Application of Achievements* of Chemistry for Sustainable Development, 3, 69, 1995 (Ru).
- 1204. Arey, J. S., Seaman, J. C., and P. M. Bertsch., Immobilization of uranium in contaminated sediments by hydroapatite addition, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 33, 337, 1999.
- 1205. Arnesen, A. K. M. and Krogstad, T., Sorption and desorption of fluoride in soil polluted from the aluminium smelter at Ardal in western Norway, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 103, 357, 1998.
- 1206. Arzhanova, V. S. and Yelpatevsky, P. V., Content of metals in *Quercus mongolica* L. leaves in conditions of aerotechnogenic impact, *Lesoviedienye*, 5, 45, 1988 (Ru).
- 1207. Asami, T., Tsuchihashi, K., and Kubota, M., Contamination of soils and plants by tellurium, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 934.
- 1208. Asami, T., Saeki, S., and Kubota, M., Silver contamination of soils and street dusts in Japan, Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements, Paris, May 15–19, 1995, Cl.
- 1209. Asami, T., Yoshimo, A., Kubota, M., and Gotoh, S., Background level of indium and gallium in soil with special reference to pollution of the soils from zinc and lead smelters, Z. Pflanzenernähr. Bodenk., 153, 257, 1990
- 1210. Aucejo, A., Ferrer, J., Gabaldon, C., Marzal, P., and Seco, A., Diagnosis of boron, fluorine, lead, nickel and zinc toxicity in citrus plantations in Villarreal, Spain, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 94, 349, 1997.
- 1211. Badora, A., Furrer, G., Grünwald, A., and Schulin, R., Immobilization of zinc and cadmium in polluted soils by polynuclear Al₁₃ and Al-montmorillonite, *J. Soil Contam.*, 7, 573, 1998.
- 1212. Baize, D., Teneurs Totales en Éléments Traces Métalliques dans les Sols, INRA, Paris, 1997, 408.
- 1213. Baize, D., Mench, M., Sagot, S., Sappin-Didier, V., Sterckmen, T., and Gomez, A., Trace elements uptake by wheat grains depending on soil types (QUASAR Programme), *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Bio*geochem. Trace Elements, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 544.

- 1214. Baize, D., Deslais, W., and Gaiffe, M., Anomalies naturelles en cadmium dans les sols de France, *Étude et Gestion des Sols*, 6, 85, 1999.
- 1215. Banuelos, G. S., Ajwa, H. A., Terry, N., and Zayed, A., Phytoremediation of selenium laden soils: a new technology, *J. Soil Water Cons.*, 52, 426, 1997.
- 1216. Barcan, V. Sh., Kovnatsky, E. F., and Smetannikova, M. S., Absorption of heavy metals in wild berries and edible mushrooms in an area affected by smelter emissions, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 103, 173, 1998.
- 1217. Barcan, V. and Kovnatsky, E., Soil surface geochemical anomaly around the copper-nickel metallurgical smelter, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 103, 197, 1998.
- 1218. Bartlett, R. J., The chromium scene, in *Chromium Environmental Issues*, Canali, S., Tittarelli, F., and Sequi, P., Eds., FrancoAngel, Rome, 1997, 1.
- 1219. Bartlett, R. J., Characterizing soil redox behavior, in *Soil Physical Chemistry*, 2nd ed., D. L. Sparks, Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1999, 371.
- 1220. Bell, R. W., Diagnosis and prediction of boron deficiency for plant production, *Plant. Soil*, 193, 149, 1997.
- 1221. **Bencko, V.,** Health aspects of burning coal with a high arsenic content: the central Slovakia experience, in *Arsenic Exposure and Health Effects*, Abernathy, C. O., Calderon, R. L., and Chappell, W. R., Eds., Chapman Hall, London, 1997, 84.
- 1222. Berg, T., Royset, O., and Steinnes, E., Principal component analysis of data for trace element and main components in precipitation falling on Norway, *Environ. Monit. Assessment*, 31, 259, 1994.
- 1223. Berg, T. and Steinnes, E., Recent trends in atmospheric deposition of trace elements in Norway as evident from the 1995 moss survey, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 208, 197, 1997.
- 1224. Bertsch, P. M. and Parker, D. R., Aqueous polynuclear aluminum species, in *The Environmental Chemistry of Aluminum*, Sposito, G., Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996, 117.
- 1225. Besnard, E., Chenu, C., and Robert, M., Distribution of copper in champagne vineyards soils, as influenced by organic amendments, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 416.
- 1225a. Bhat, P. N. and Pillai, K. C., Beryllium in environmental air, water and soil, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 95, 133, 1997.
- 1225b. Bingham, J. M. and Bartels, J. M., Eds., *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3. Chemical Methods*, Soil Sci. Soc. Am., Madison, WI, 1996, 1390.
- 1226. Bini, C., Gentili, L., Maleci Bini, L., and Vaselli, O., Trace elements in plants and soils of urban parks (Florence, Italy), 060.PDF—a CDrom Annexed to Contaminated Soil, Prost, R., Ed., INRA, Paris, 1995.
- 1227. Birke, M. and Rauch, U., Trace metals in the Berlin metropolitan area, in *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 628.
- 1228. Black, H., Absorption possibilities: phytoextraction, Environ. Health Perspect., 103, 1106, 1995.
- 1229. Blagojević, S., Jakovljević, M., and Žarković, B., Influence of long-term fertilization on the selenium content of calcareous chernozem soil, *J. Environ. Pathol. Toxic. Oncol.*, 17, 183, 1998.
- 1230. Blaylock, M. J. and James, B. R., Redox transformations and plant uptake of selenium resulting from root-soil interactions, *Plant Soil*, 158, 1, 1994.
- 1231. Blum, W. E. H., Brandstetter, A., and Wenzel, W. W., Trace element distribution in soils as affected by land use, in *Biogeochemistry of Trace Elements*, Adriano, D. C. Chen, Z.-S., Yang, S.-S., and Iskadar, I. K., Eds., 1997, 61.
- 1232. Boaretto, A. E., Tiritan, C. S., and Muraoka, T., Effects of foliar applications of boron on citrus fruit and on foliage and soil boron concentration, *Proc. Int. Symp. Boron in Soils and Plants*, Thailand, September 8–12, 1997, 121.
- 1233. Boekhold, A. E., Temminghoff, E. J. M., and Van der Zee, E. A. T., Influence of electrolyte composition and pH on cadmium sorption by an acid sandy soil, *Soil Sci.*, 44, 85, 1993.
- 1234. Bolanos, L., Estaben, E., De Lorenzo, C., Fernandez-Pascual, M., De Felipe, M. R., Garante, A., and Bonilla, I., Essentiality of boron for symbiotic dinitrogen fixation in pea (*Pisum sativum*) rhizobium nodules, *Plant. Physiol.*, 104, 85, 1994.
- 1235. Bolton, K. A. and Thorose, E., The effect of soil organic matter on cadmium bioavailability in barley, *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Element*, Berkeley, CA, June 23–26, 1997, 103.
- 1235a. Bonilla, I., Perez, H., Cassab, G., Lara, M., and Sanchez, F., The effect of boron deficiency on development in determinate nodules: changes in cell wall pectin contents and nodule polypeptide expression, *Proc. Int. Symp. Boron in Soils and Plants*, Chiang Mai, Thailand, September 1997, 213.

- 1236. Boon, G. T., Bouwman, L. A., Bloem, J., and Römkens, P. F. A. M., Effects of a copper-tolerant grass (*Agrostis capillaris L.*) on the ecosystem of a copper-contaminated arable soil, *Environ. Toxic. Chem.*, 17, 1964, 1998.
- 1237. Borowska. K. and Lyszczarz, R., The selenium concentration in some grass species from mineral and peaty soils, *Proc. 16th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation*, Grado, Italy, September 15–19, 1996, 707.
- 1238. Borowska, K., Malczyk, P., and Kędzia, W., The distribution of total selenium in arable and forest soils of Bydgoszcz voivodship, in Arsenic and selenium in the environment—ecological and analytical problems, Kabata-Pendias, A. and Szteke, B., Eds., PAN, Zesz. Nauk. 8, 33, 1994.
- 1239. **Bowman, J. J. and Harlock, S.,** The spatial distribution of characterized fly-ash particles and trace metals in lake sediments and catchment mosses: Ireland, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 106, 263, 1998.
- 1240. Bourtles, D. L., Beryllium isotopes in the earth's environment, in *Encyclopedia of Earth System Science*, Nierenberg, W. A., Ed., 1, 1992, 337.
- 1241. Boutron, D. F., Hong, S., and Candelone, J. P., History of the large scale atmospheric pollution of the northern hemisphere for heavy metals as documented in Greenland snow and ice, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Wilken, R.-D., Förstner, U., and Knöchel, A., Eds., 1, 28, 1995.
- 1242. Brackhage, C., Hagemeyer, J., Breckle, S. W., and Greszta, J., Radial distribution patterns of Cd and Zn in stems of Scots pine (*Pinus silvestris* L.) trees analyzed 12 years after contamination event, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 90, 417, 1996.
- 1243. Braen, S. N. and Weinstein, L. H., Uptake of fluoride and aluminum by plants grown in contaminated soils, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 24, 215, 1985.
- 1244. Brooks, R. R., Ed., Plants that Hyperaccumulate Heavy Metals, CAB Intern., Cambridge, 1998, 380.
- 1244a. Brooks, S. C. and Herman, J. S., Rate and extent of cobalt sorption to representative aquifer minerals in the presence of a moderately strong organic ligands, *Appl. Geochem.*, 13, 77, 1998.
- 1245. Buliński R., Kot, A., and Bloniarz, J., Assessment of heavy metal pollution of potatoes from different regions of Poland, *Roczn. PZH*, 42, 351, 1991 (Po).
- 1246. Bujtas, K., Csillag, J., Lukas, A., Partay, G., Németh, T., and van Genuchten, M. Th., Relation among different available forms of heavy metals in contaminated soils, *Agrokémia Talajtan*, 47, 215, 1998.
- 1247. Brüggemann, J., Dörfner, H. H., Hecht, H., Kumpulainen, J. T., and Westermair, Th., Status of trace elements in staple foods from Germany 1990–1994, in *Trace Elements, Natural Antioxidants and Contaminants in European Foods and Diets*, Kumpulainen, J. T., Ed., FAO/REU Techn. Ser., 49, 5, 1996.
- 1248. Cakmak, L. and Römheld, V., Boron deficiency-induced impairments of cellular function in plants, *Plant Soil*, 193, 71, 1997.
- 1249. Carini, F., The influence of climate on radionuclide behaviour in the terrestrial environment, *Proc.* 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 70.
- 1250. CCFAC, Provisional Comments from the Netherlands on the Proposed Draft Maximum Levels for Lead in Foods, Wageningen, 1998, 21.
- 1251. Chaney, R. L., Plant uptake of inorganic waste constituents, in *Land Treatment Hazardous Wastes*, Parr, J. F., Marsh, P. B., and Kla, J. M., Eds., Noyes Data Corp., Park Ridge, 1983, 50.
- 1252. Chaney, R. L., Angle, J. S., Li, Y.-M., Baker, A. J. M., Reeves, R. D., Roseberg, R. J., Vok, V., Kukier, U., Peters, C., Zdimal, K., and Nelkin, J. P., Phytoextraction of soil nickel using Alyssum species, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 14.
- 1253. Chang, J. C., Gutenman, W. H., Reid, C. M., and Lisk, D. J., Selenium contents of brazil nuts from two geographic locations in Brazil, *Chemosphere*, 30, 801, 1995.
- 1254. Chaudri, A. M., Zhao, F. J., McGrath, S. M., and Crosland, A. R., The cadmium content of British wheat grain, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 24, 850, 1995.
- 1255. Chlopecka, A., Forms of trace metals from inorganic sources in soils and amounts found in spring barley, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 69, 127, 1993.
- 1256. **Chojnicki, J.,** Rare earth elements in alluvial soil of central Vistula valley and Zuławy, *Soil Sci. Ann. Poland*, in press (Po).
- 1257. Christensen, T. H. and Tjell. J. Chr., Sustainable management of heavy metals in agriculture, example: cadmium, *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Lindberg, S. E. and Hutchinson, T. C., Eds., CEP Consl., Edinburgh, U. K., 1, 40, 1991.

- 1258. Chuang, M. C., Shu, G. Y., and Liu, J. C., Solubility of heavy metals in a contaminated soil: effects of redox potential and pH, *Water Air soil Pollut.*, 90, 543, 1996.
- 1259. Ciba, J., Zolotajkin, M., and Cebula, J., Changes of chemical forms of zinc and zinc sulfide during the compositing process of municipal solid waste, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 93, 167, 1997.
- 1260. Cichocka, I., Fluorine content in needles of Scots pine in the area of different industry emission interaction, Z. Probl. Post Nauk Roln., 434, 611, 1996 (Po).
- 1261. Cieśliński, G., Neilsen, G. H., and Hogue, E. J., Effects of soil cadmium applications and pH on growth and cadmium accumulation in roots, leaves and fruits of strawberry plants (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.), *Plant Soil*, 180, 267, 1996.
- 1262. Cieśliński, G., Van Rees, K. C. J., Szmigielska, A. M., Krishnamurti, G. S. R., and Huang, P. M., Low-molecular-weight organic acids in rhizosphere soils of durum wheat and their effect on cadmium bioaccumulation, *Plant Soil*, 203, 109, 1998.
- 1263. Cotter-Howells, J. and Caporn, S., Remediation of contaminated land by formation of heavy metal phosphates, *Appl. Geochem.*, 11, 335, 1996.
- 1264. Crompton, T. R., Occurrence and Analysis of Organometallic Compounds in the Environment, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 250, 1998.
- 1265. Csillag, J., Lukacs, A., Bujtas, K., and Németh, T., Release of Cd, Cr, Ni, Pb, and Zn to the soil solution as a consequence of soil contamination and acidification, *Adv. GeoEcology*, 31, 673, 1998.
- 1266. Cunningham, S. D. and Berti, W. R., Phytoextraction and phytostabilization: technical, economic, and regulatory considerations of the soil-lead issue, in *Phytoremediation of Contaminated Soils and Water*, Terry, N. and Banuelos, G., Eds., CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000, 359.
- 1267. Čurlik, L. and Forgač, J., Acid sulfate weathering and its impact on soil acidification and pollution in area of Banska Stavnica, *Proc. Soil Fertility Res. Inst.*, Bratislava, 21, 103, 1996.
- 1268. Czarnowska, K., Heavy metals in lawn soils of Warsaw, Soil Sci. Ann. of Poland, 50, 31, 1999 (Po).
- 1269. Czekala, J., Chromium in Soils and Plants—Occurrence, Sorption and Uptake in Relation to its Fractions, Ph.D. dissertation, AR, Poznań, 274, 1997, 90 (Po).
- 1270. **Dalenberg, J. W. and van Driel, W.,** Contribution of atmospheric deposition to heavy metal concentration in field crops, *Netherlands J. Agric. Sci.*, 38, 367, 1990.
- 1271. Dai, X., Chai, Ch., Mao, X., and Ouyang, H., Sorption and desorption of iridium in marine sedimentseawater system, Proc. 1st Int. Conf. Trace Metal Speciation in Biomedical, Nutritional and Environmental Sciences, Neuherberg/München, May 4 –7, 1998, 66.
- 1272. **Davies, B. E.,** Heavy metal contaminated soils in an old industrial area of Wales, Great Britain: source identification through statistical data interpretation, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 94, 85, 1997.
- 1273. Davies, B. E., Binafo, C., Phillips, K. M., Mogollon, J. L., and Torres, M., Aqua regia extractable trace elements in surface soils of Venezuela, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 21, 227, 1999.
- 1274. Dabkowska-Naskret, H., Malczyk, P., and Kobierski, M., Profile differentiation of total mercury content in selected arable and forest soils, *ATR Zesz. Nauk.*, 44, 47, 1999 (Po).
- 1275. Dell, B. and Huang, L., Physiological response of plants to low boron, Plant Soil, 193, 103, 1997.
- 1276. Deluisa, A., Giandon, P., Aichner, M., Bortolami, P., Bruna, A., Lupetti, A., Nardelli, F., and Stringari, G., Copper pollution in Italian vineyard soils, *Commun. Soil. Sci. Plant Anal.*, 27, 1537, 1996.
- 1277. Dixon, G. R., Modifying boron nutrition to minimize clubroot disease of *Brassicas, Boron in Agriculture*, 18, 1, 1998.
- 1278. Djingova, R., Wagner, G., Kuleff, I., and Peshev, D., Investigations on the time-dependant variations in metal concentration in the leaves of Populus nigra 'Italica,' *Sci. Total Environ.*, 184, 197, 1996.
- 1279. Dmuchowski, W. and Bytnerowicz, A., Monitoring environmental pollution in Poland by chemical analysis of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) needles, *Environ. Pollut.*, 87, 87, 1995.
- 1280. **Dobrovolsky, V. V.,** *Biogeochemistry of the World's Land*, Mir Publ., Moscow, and CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1994, 362.
- 1281. **Drebickas, V., Drulia, P., Tamulentaite, O., and Maceika, E.,** The migration of radiocesium in pine forest biogeocenosis of the Curoniam Spit (Lithuania) after the Chernobyl accident, *Mengen und Spuren Elemente,* 16 Arbeitstagun. H.-F. Schiller Univ., Jena, 1996, 679.
- 1282. Duckart, E. C., Waldron, L. J., and Donner, H. E., Selenium uptake and volatilization from plants growing in soil, *Soil Sci.*, 53, 94, 1992.
- 1283. Duschenkov, S. and Sorochinsky, B., Alternative agriculture and fate of Cs-137 and Sr-90 in the Chernobyl exclusion zone, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 1–15, 1999, 60.

- 1284. Ebinghaus, R., Turner, R. R., de Lacerda, L.D., Vasiliev, O., and Salomons, W., Eds., *Mercury Contaminated Sites*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, 540.
- 1285. Echevarria, G., Feidt, C., Berthol, D., Morel, J. L., and Brun-Bellut, J., Fate of ⁹⁹Tc in a soilplant-animal system, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 62.
- 1286. Echevarria, G., Morel, J. L., Fardeus, J. C., and Leclerc-Cessac, E., Assessment of phytoavailability of nickel in soils, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 27, 1064, 1998.
- 1287. Echevarria G., Vong, P. C., Leclerc-Cessac, E., and Morel., J. L., Bioavailability of technetium-99 as affected by plant species and growth, application form, and soil incubation, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 26, 947, 1997.
- 1288. Edwards, S. C., Macleod, C. L., and Lester, J. N., The bioavailability of copper and mercury to the common nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.) and the earthworm (*Eisenia fetida*) from contaminated dredge spoil, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 102, 75, 1998.
- 1289. Edwards, R., Rebeka, I., Lepp, N. W., and Lovell, A. J., An investigation into the mechanism by which synthetic zeolites reduce labile metal concentrations in soils, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 21, 157, 1999.
- 1290. Elpatevsky (Yelpatevsky), P. V., Arzhanova, V. S., and Lutsenko, T. N., Heavy metals in polluted ecosystem of oak forest, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 162, 13, 1995.
- 1291. Ensminger, A. H., Ensminger, M. E., Konlande, J. E., and Roson, J. R. K., *The Concise Encyclopedia of Foods and Nutrition*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995, 1, 184.
- 1292. Entry, J. A. and Watrud, L. S., Potential remediation of ¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr contaminated soil by accumulation in Alamo switchgrass, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 104, 339, 1998.
- 1293. Ermakov, V. V., Letunova, S. V., Konova, N. I., Aleksyeyeva, L. A., and Subnicyna, I. G., Geochemical ecology of organisms in of South-Fergansky mercury subregion, in *Problems of Geochemical Ecology*, Ermakov, V. V. and Konova, N. I., Eds., Moscow, Nauka, 24, 1991 (Ru).
- 1294. Ernst, W. H. O., The origin and ecology of contaminated, stabilized and non-pristine soils, in *Metal-Contaminated Soils: In Situ Inactivation and Phytorestoration*, Vangronsveld, J. and Cunningham, S. D., Eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, 17.
- 1295. Escrig, I. and Morell, I., Effect of calcium on the soil adsorption of cadmium and zinc in some Spanish sandy soils, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 105, 505, 1998.
- 1296. Eurola, M. H., Ekholm, P. I., Ylinen, M. E., Koivistoinen, P. E., and Varo, P. T., Selenium in Finish foods after beginning the use of selenate supplemented fertilizers, *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 56, 57, 1991.
- 1297. Falandysz, J. and Danisiewicz, D., Mercury in soils of Poland, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 567.
- 1298. Felix, H. R., Kayser, A., and Schullkin, R., Phytoremediation, field trials in the years 1993–1998, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, 11–15 July, 1999, 8.
- 1299. Ferreyra, R. E., Aljaro, A. U., Ruiz, R. Sch., Rojas, L. P., and Oster, J. D., Behavior of 42 crop species grown in saline soils with high boron concentrations, *Agric. Water Manag.*, 34, 111, 1997.
- 1300. Fostier, A. H., Oliveira, S. M. B., Guimaraes, J. R. D., Forti, M. C., Melfi, A. J., Boulet, R., Favaro, D. I. T., and Krug, F. J., Mercury accumulation in natural forest Amazonian soils, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 557.
- 1301. Fott, J., Vukic, J., and Rose, N. L., The spatial distribution of characterized flyash particles and trace metals in lake sediments and catchment mosses: Czech Republic, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 106, 241, 1998.
- 1302. Fotyma, M., Gembarzewski, H., and Piotrowska, M., Suitability of 0.01 M CaCl₂ extractant for determination of fertility and contamination of soil, *Post. Nauk Roln. (Warsaw)*, 6, 89, 1994, (Po).
- 1303. Frankenberger, W. T. and Engberg, R. A., Eds., *Environmental Chemistry of Selenium*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998, 736.
- 1304. Gambrell, R. P. and Patrick, W. H., Cu, Zn, and Cd availability in sludge-amended soil under controlled pH and redox potential conditions, in *Contaminants in the Vadose Zone*, Bar-Yosef, B., Barrow, N. J., and Goldschmid, J., Eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, 89.
- 1305. Gao, S., Walker, W. J., Dahlgren, R. A., and Bold, J., Simultaneous sorption of Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn, Pb, and Cr on soils treated with sewage sludge supernatant, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 93, 331, 1997.
- 1306. German Federal Ministry of the Environment, Novelle zur Verordnung über das Aufbringen von Klärschlamm, *Bendesgesetzblatt*, 28 April, 1992.
- 1307. Gerth, J. and Dankwarth, F., Distribution and translocation of arsenic in soils of tannery infiltration fields, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 686.

- 1308. Gerzabek, M. H., Muramatsu, Y., Strebl, F., and Yoshida, S., Iodine and bromine contents of some Austrian soils and relations to soil characteristics, *Proc. 5th int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 638.
- 1309. Giller, K. E., Amijee, F., Brodick, S. J., McGrath, S. P., Mushi, C., Edje, O. T., and Smithson, J. B., Toxic concentrations of iron and manganese in leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. grown on freely drained soils of pH 6.5 in northern Tanzania, *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Analyses*, 23, 787, 1992.
- 1310. Giller, K. E., Witter E., and McGrath, S. P., Toxicity of heavy metals to microorganisms and microbial processes in agricultural soils: a review, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 30, 1389, 1998.
- 1311. Gommers, A., Thiry, Y., Vandenhoven, H., Vendecasteele, C. M., Smolders, E., and Merck, R., Radiocesium and potassium dynamics in a willow short rotation coppice stand, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 54.
- 1312. Gough, L. P. and Crock, J. G., Distinguishing between natural geologic and anthropogenic trace element sources, Denali National Park and Preserve, U.S., *Goel. Survey Prof. Pap.*, 1574, 57, 1997.
- 1313. Govindaraju, K., Compilation of working values and sample description for 383 geostandards, *Geostand. Newslett.*, 18, 1, 1994.
- 1314. Górski, M. and Uziak, S., ¹³⁷Cs in the selected plants and soils of the Tatra Mountain National Park, *Ann. UMCS, Lublin, Sect. C*, 46/1, 1, 1991 (Po).
- 1315. Grabowski, D., Jankowska, S., Muszyński, W., Petrykowska, M., and Rubel, B., Effects of the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant accident on radiological condition in Poland, *CLOR, Warsaw*, 1990, 60 (Po).
- 1316. Greger, M., Metal availability and bioconcentration in plants, in *Heavy Metal Stress in Plants*, Prasad, M. N. V. and Hagemeyer, J., Eds., Springer, Heidelberg, 1999, 1.
- 1317. Grin, N., Stammose, D., Guillou, Ph., and Genet, M., Mobility of ¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr related to speciation studies in contaminated soils of the Chernobyl area, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 50.
- 1318. Grishko, V. N., Role of soil microorganisms in biogeochemical fluorine cycle in ecosystems under contamination, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements,* Vienna, July 1–15, 1999, 756.
- 1319. Grosheva, E. and Voronskaya, G., Mercury Transport between atmosphere and soil: role of the plants, *Proc. 5th Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 175.
- 1320. **Gunnarsson, O.,** The relative role of fertilizers for the cadmium levels in the food chain under Swedish circumstances, *Cadmium Seminar*, Rosslyn, VA, November 20–21, 1980.
- 1320a. Gupta, U. C., Boron and its Role in Crop Production, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993, 235.
- 1321. Guseva, T. V., Environmental aspects of silver research in Russia: a review, *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Transport, Fate and Effects of Silver in the Environ.*, Andren, A. W. and Bober, T. W., Eds., Madison, WI, 97, 1996.
- 1321a. Hamon, R. E., Lorenz, S. E., Holm, P. E., Christensen, T. H., and McGrath, S. P., Changes in trace metal species and other components of the rhizosphere during growth of radish, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 18, 749, 1995.
- 1322. Hansen, D., Duda, P. J., Zayed, A., and Terry, N., Selenium removal by constructed wetlands: role of biological volatilization, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 32, 591, 1998.
- 1323. Hartikainen, H., Ekholm, P., Piironen, V., and Xue, T., Quality of the ryegrass and lettuce yields as affected by selenium fertilization, *Agric. Food Sci. Finland*, 6, 381, 1997.
- 1324. Haygarth, P. M., Global importance and global cycling of selenium, in *Selenium in the Environment*, Benson W. T. S., Ed., Marcel Dekker, NY, 1, 1994.
- 1325. Hemida, S. K., Omar, S. A., and Abdel-Mallek, A. Y., Microbial populations and enzyme activity in soil treated with heavy metals, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 95, 13, 1997.
- 1326. Henry, E. A., Hansen, L., Kuhnel, V., and Bland, A., Determination of soil mercury cleanup based on volatilization measurements, *Proc. 5th Intern. Conf. Mercury as a global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 572.
- 1327. Herbert, R. B., Partitioning of heavy metals in podzol soils contaminated by mine drainage water, Dalarna, Sweden, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 96, 39, 1997.
- 1328. Hettiarichchi, G. M., Pierzynski, G. M., Zwonitzer, J., and Lambert, M., Phosphorus sources and rate effects on cadmium, lead and zinc bioavailability in a metal-contaminated soil. *Proc. 4th Intern. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Berkeley, CA, June 23–27, 1997, 463.
- 1329. Holmgren, G. G. S., Meyer, M. W., Cahney, R. L., and Daniels, R.B., Cadmium, lead, zinc, copper and nickel in agricultural soils of the United States of America, *J. Environ. Quality*, 22, 335, 1993.

- 1330. Hornburg, V., Welp, G., and Brümmer, G. W., Verhalten von Schwermetallen in Böden. 2. Extraktion mobiler Schwermmentallen mittels CaCl₂ und NH₄NO₃, Z. *Pflanzenenähr: Bodenk.*, 158, 137, 1995.
- 1331. Horril, A. D. and Clint, G., Caesium cycling in heather moorland ecosystem. In *Toxic Metals in Soil-Plant Systems*, Ross, S. M., Ed., John Wiley, Chichester, 1994, 395.
- 1332. Horswell, J. and Speir, T., Impact of heavy metal contamination sewage-sludge on *Rhizobium* leguminosarum Biovar. Trifolii, in Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 304.
- 1333. Hou, X., Chai, Ch., Qian, Q., Yan, X., and Fan, X., Study of chemical species of iodine in seaweeds (II) iodine-bound biological macro-molecules, *Proc. 1st Int. Conf. Trace Metal Speciation in Biomedical, Nutritional and Environmental Sciences*, Neuherberg/München, May 4–7, 1998, 67.
- 1333a. Hou, X., Chai, Ch., Qian, Q., Yan, X., and Fan, X., Study of 28 elements and their chemical species in some marine algae, Proc. 1st Int. Conf. Trace Metal Speciation in Biomedical, Nutritional and Environmental Sciences, Neuherberg/München, May 4–7, 1998, 68.
- 1334. Houba, V. J. G. and Uittenbogaard, J., Chemical Composition of Various Plant Species, Wageningen Agric. Univ., 1994, 226.
- 1335. Houba, V. J. G., Temminghof, E. J. M., and Van Vark, W., Soil Analysis Procedures Extraction with 0.01 M CaCl₂, Wageningen Agric. Univ., 1999, 133.
- 1336. Hryniewicz-Sudnik, J. and Sarosiek, J., Chemical ecology of Turkish hazel (*Corylus colurna*, L) in Wroclaw, *Roczn. Dendr.*, 43, 27, 1995, (Po).
- 1337. Hu, H. and Brown, P. H., Absorption of boron by plant roots, *Plant Soil*, 193, 49, 1997.
- 1338. Huang, J. W. and Cunningham, S. D., Lead phytoextraction: species variation in lead uptake and translocation, *New Phytologist*, 134, 75, 1996.
- 1339. Humez, N., Humez, A.-L., Juste, C., and Prost, R., A new assessment of mobility of elements in sediments and wastes, *Chem. Speciation Bioavailab.*, 9, 57, 1997.
- 1340. Ilyin, V. B., Monitoring of heavy metals in big industrial cities, Agrochimia, 4, 81, 1997 (Ru).
- 1341. Ilyin, V. B. and Konarbajeva, G. A., Arsenic in soils of western Siberia—regional environmental monitoring, *Poczwowiedenye*, 5, 634, 1995 (Ru).
- 1342. Inverfeldt, A., Haraldsson, C., Lyven, B., Munthe, J., Parkman, H., Porvari, P., and Verta, M., Methy-lation and mobility of mercury in soil—calculating critical loads, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 569.
- 1343. James, B. R., Petura, J. C., Vitale, R. J., and Mussoline, G. R., Oxidation-reduction chemistry of chromium: relevance to the regulation and remediation of chromate-contaminated soils, *J. Soil Contamin.*, 6, 596, 1997.
- 1344. Janssen, R. P. T., Speciation of Heavy Metal Ions as Influenced by Interactions with Montmorillonite, Al Hydroxide Polymers and Citrate, Ph.D. thesis, Landbouwuniversiteit, Wageningen, 1995, 127.
- 1345. Janssen, R. P., Pretorius, P. J., Peijnenburrg, W. J. G. M., and van den Hoop, M. A. G. T., Determination on filed-based partition coefficients for heavy metals in Dutch soils and relationship of these coefficients with soil characteristics, *Report, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment*, 1996, 35.
- 1346. Jaworska, M., Gorczyca, A., Sepiol, J., Szeliga, E., and Tomasik, P., Metal-metal interactions in biological system. V., *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 93, 213, 1997.
- 1347. Johnson, A. H. M., Lalor, G. C., Preston, J., Robotham, H., Thompson, C., and Vutchkov, M. K., Heavy metals in Jamaica surface soils, *Environ., Geochem. Health*, 18, 113, 1996.
- 1348. Jović, V., Selenium status in soils in Yugoslavia, Environ. Pathol. Toxic. Oncol., 17, 179, 1998.
- 1349. **Kabata-Pendias, A.,** Trace metals of soils of Poland—occurrence and behavior, *Trace Subst. Environ. Health*, 25, 53, 1991.
- 1350. Kabata-Pendias, A., Behavioral properties of trace metals in soils, Appl. Geochem. Suppl., 2, 3, 1993.
- 1351. Kabata-Pendias, A., Biogeochemistry of lithium, *Proc. Int. Symp. Lithium in the Trophic Chain Soil-Plant-Animal-Man*, Warsaw, September 13–14, 1995, 9.
- 1352. Kabata-Pendias, A., Ed., Base for the Assessment of Chemical Soil Contamination, Bibl. Monit. Srod., Warsaw, 1994, 41. (Po).
- 1353. Kabata-Pendias, A., Geochemistry of selenium, J. Environ. Pathol. Toxic. Oncol., 17, 173, 1998.
- 1354. Kabata-Pendias, A. and Adriano, D. C., Trace metals, in *Soil Amendments and Environmental Quality*, Rechcigl, J. E., Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995, 139.

- 1355. Kabata-Pendias, A. and Krakowiak, A., Soils parameters as a base for the calculation of background heavy metal status, *Int. Conf. Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Wilkens, R.-D., Förstner, U., and Knöchel, A., Eds., 1, 398, 1995.
- 1356. Kabata-Pendias, A. and Krakowiak, A., Useful phytoindicator (dandelion) for trace metal pollution, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Transport, Fate and Effects of Silver in the Environ., Andren, A. W. and Bober, T. W., Eds., Argentum, Madison, WI, 1998, 145.
- 1357. Kabata-Pendias, A. and Pendias, H., *Biogeochemistry of Trace Elements*, 2nd ed., Wyd. Nauk PWN, Warsaw, 1999, 400 (Po).
- 1358. Kabata-Pendias, A., Piotrowska, M., and Dudka, S., Trace metals in legumes and monocotyledon and their suitability for the assessment of soil contamination, in *Plants as Biomonitors*, Market, B., Ed., VCH Weinheim, 1993, 485.
- 1359. Kadunas, V., Budavičius, R., Gregorauskiené, V., Katinas, V., Kliuugiene, E., Radzevicius, A., and Taraskievicius, R., *Geochemical Atlas of Lithuania*, Geological Inst., Vilnius, 1999, 162.
- 1360. Kagan, L. M. and Kadatsky, V. B., Depth migration of Chernobyl originated ¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr in soils of Belarus, *J. Environ. Radioactivity*, 33, 27, 1996.
- 1361. Kasimov, N. S., Ed., Ecogeochemistry of Urban Areas, Izd. Moscow University, 1995, 328 (Ru).
- 1362. Kasimova, N. S., Solncevoy, N. P., and Rihklinga, A. V., Natural Raw Materials: Sustainable Utilization and Protection, Izd. Moscow University, 1996, 134 (Ru).
- 1363. Kempter, H., Görres, M., and Frenzel, B., Ti and Pb concentrations in rainwater-fed bogs in Europe as indicators of past anthropogenic activities, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 110, 367, 1997.
- 1364. King, V. V., Ed., Environmental considerations of active and abandoned mine lands. Lesson from Summitville, Colorado, U.S. Geological Survey Bull., 38, 2220, 1995.
- 1365. Klessa, D. A., Dixon, J., and Voss, R. C., Soil and agronomic factors influencing the cobalt content of herbage, *Res. Develop. Agriculture*, 6, 25, 1989.
- 1366. Klöppel, H., Fliedner, A., and Kördel, W., Behaviour and ecotoxicology of aluminium in soils and water—review of the scientific literature, *Chemosphere*, 35, 353, 1997.
- 1367. Knox, A. S. (formerly A. Chlopecka), Gamerdinger, A. P., Adriano, D. C., Kolka, R. K., and Kaplan, D. I., Sources and practices contributing to soil contamination, in *Bioremediation of Contaminated Soils*, Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI, 1999, 53.
- 1368. Koljonen, T., The Geochemical Atlas Finland, Part 2: Till, Geol. Survey of Finland, Espoo, 1992.
- 1369. Korzh, V. D., Geochemistry of Elemental Composition of the Hydrosphere, Nauka, Moscow, 1991, 243 (Ru).
- 1370. Kostyuk, O. and Bunnenberg, C., The role of microbiote in the behaviour of radionuclides in seminatural ecosystems, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 44.
- 1371. Kot, F. S., Matyushkina, L. A., and Rapoport, V. L., Mercury mobile forms in soils and bottom sediments of the Middle Amur Lowland, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 568.
- 1372. Kovalevsky, A. L., Biogeochemistry of Plants, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1991, 289 (Ru).
- 1373. Kovalevsky, A. L., The biogenic mineralization in plants, *Proc. 2nd Int. Seminar on Mineralogy in Live*, Syktyvkar, Rep. Komi, Rosja, July 17–22, 1996, 38.
- 1374. Kovalevsky, A. L., Physiological role of bioliths in plants, *Proc. 2nd Int. Seminar on Mineralogy in Live*, Syktyvkar, Rep. Komi, Rosja, July 17–22, 1996, 53.
- 1375. Kördel, W., Fate and effects of contaminants in soils as influenced by natural organic material—status of information, *Chemosphere*, 35, 405, 1997.
- 1376. Kram, P., Hruška, J., and Driscoll, C. T., Beryllium chemistry in the Lysina catchment, Czech Republic, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 105, 409, 1998.
- 1377. Krämer, U., Cotter-Howells, J. D., Charnock, J. M., Bakers, A. J. M., and Smith, A. D., Free histidine as a metal chelator in plants that accumulate nickel, *Nature*, 379, 635, 1996.
- 1378. Krämer, U., Golldack, D., Kiriazidou, G., and Dietz, K.-J., Induction of plant pathogenesis-related proteins by heavy metals, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 1158.
- 1379. Kreij de, C., van Elderen, C. W., and Basar, H., Iron availability in peat substrate assessed by water, calcium chloride, ammonium acetate, DTPA and calcium chloride/DTPA, *Commun. Soil. Sci. Plant Anal.*, 27, 1813, 1996.

- 1380. Krishnamurti, G. S. R., Cieśliński, G., Huang, P. M., and Van Rees, K. C. J., Kinetics of cadmium release from soils as affected by organic acids: implication in cadmium availability, *J. Environ. Quality*, 26, 27, 1997.
- 1381. Kubin, E. and Lippo, H., Atmospheric heavy metal deposition in Finland from 1985 to 1990, *Appl. Geochem.*, 11, 155, 1996.
- 1382. Kumpulainen, J. T., Selenium in food and diets of selected countries, J. Trace Elem. Electrolytes Health Dis., 7, 107, 1993.
- 1383. Kutilek, M., Transport of pollutants on pedon scale, Soil Sci. Ann. (Poland), 50, 39, 1999.
- 1384. Kuzniecov, W. A. and Generalova, W. A., Trace elements, radionuclides, and their forms in buried Holocene soils, *Wiesti Ak. Nauk. Biełarusi, Ser. Chim. Nauk.*, 2, 87, 1996 (Be).
- 1385. Låg, J., Ed., Geomedical Aspects in Present and Future Research, Universitetsfolaget, Oslo, 1980, 222.
- 1386. Lee, B. D., Carter, B. J., Basta, N. T., and Weaver, B., Factors influencing heavy metal distribution in six Oklahoma benchmark soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 61, 218, 1997.
- 1387. Lelie van de, D., Biological interactions: the role of soil bacteria in the bioremediation of heavy metal-polluted soils, in *Metal-Contaminated Soils: In Situ Inactivation and Phytorestoration*, Vangronsveld, J. and Cunningham, S. D., Eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, 31.
- 1388. Li, Y.-M., Chaney, R. L., and Schneiter, R. L., Effect of soil chloride level on cadmium concentration in sunflower kernels, *Plant Soil*, 167, 275, 1994.
- 1389. Lin, Z.-Q., Zayed, A., and Terry, N., Role of selenium volatilization in the management of seleniumloaded agricultural drainage water, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 878.
- 1390. Lis, J. and Pasieczna, A., Geochemical Atlas of Poland, 1:2 500 000, PIG, Warsaw, 1995 (Po).
- 1391. Lodenius, M., Maarala, L., and Mukherjee, B., Occurrence and turnover of cadmium in Finnish forest ecosystem, Proc. Symp. Cadmium in the Environment—Ecological a. Analytical Problems, Warsaw, October 26–27, 1999, 4.
- 1392. Lorenz, S. E., Hamon, R. E., Holm, P. E., Dominiques, H. C., Sequeira, E. M., Christensen, T. H., and McGrath, S. P., Cadmium and zinc in plants and soil solutions from contaminated soils, *Plant Soil*, 189, 21, 1997.
- 1392a. Lowney, Y. W., Ruby, M. V., Hook, G. C., and Nelson, R. R., Biological interactions: human health considerations, in *Metal-Contaminated Soils*, Vangronsveld, J. and Cunningham, S. D., Eds., Springer, Berlin, 1998, 121.
- 1393. Lukaszewski, K. M. and Blevins, R. W., Root growth inhibition in boron-deficient or aluminiumstressed squash may be a result of impaired metabolism, *Plant Physiol.*, 112, 1135, 1996.
- 1394. **Maksimiec, W. and Baszynski, T.,** Chlorophyll fluorescence in primary leaves of excess Cu-treated runner bean plants depends on their growth stages and the duration of Cu-action, *J. Plant Physiol.*, 149, 196, 1996.
- 1395. Maksymović, Z., The problem of selenium deficiency in Serbia, Bull. Goel. Greece, 30/4, 57, 1994.
- 1396. Malgin, M. A. and Puzanov, A. W., Mercury in soils, and in soil and above-soil air of Altay-Sayanskoy mountain region, *Appl. Achievements of Chemistry for Sustainable Development*, 3, 161, 1995 (Ru).
- 1397. Malikova, I. N., Anoshin, G. N., Kovalev, S. I., Badmayeva, Zh. O., and Suchorukov, F. V., Distribution of mercury in the soil-plant system of the territory of Altay region, *Int. Symp. Geochemical Barriers in the Hipergenic Zone*, Moscow, October 25–29, 1999, 105 (Ru).
- 1398. Malinina, M. S., Motuzova, G. V., and Karavanova, E. I., Effects of organic matter on Hg migration in soils in different geochemical situations, *Proc*, 4th Int. Symp. Geochem. Earth's Surface, Ilkely, Yorkshire, U.K., July 22–28, 1996, 424.
- 1399. **Mańko, P.,** Mobility and Phytotoxicity of Heavy Metals in Sulfur-Contaminated Soil, Ph.D. thesis, IUNG, Puławy, 1999, 70 (Po).
- 1399a. **Markert, B.,** Multi-element analysis in plants material—analytical tools and biological questions, in *Biogeochemistry of Trace Elements*, Adriano, D. C., Ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992, 401.
- 1400. Markert, B. and Vtorova, V. N., Concentration cadaster of chemical elements in plants of eastern European forest ecosystem, *Biol. Bull.*, 22, 453, 1995.
- 1401. Martens, D. C. and Lindsay, W. L., Testing soils for copper, iron, manganese, and zinc, in Soil Testing and Plant Analysis, 3rd ed., Westman, R. I., Ed., SSSA Book Ser. 3, Madison, WI, 1990, 229.
- 1402. Marzec, Z., Kuchanowicz H., Iwanow, K., and Rutkowska, U., Tables of Trace Elements in Food Products, Inst. Ż. Ż., Warsaw, 1993, 123 (Po).
- 1403. Matoh, T., Boron in plant cell walls, Plant Soil, 193, 59, 1997.
- 1404. Matschullat, J., Trace element fluxes to the Baltic Sea: problems of input budgets, Ambio, 26, 363, 1997.
- 1405. McGrath, S. P., The uptake and translocation of tri- and hexa-valent chromium and effects on the growth of oat, in flooding nutrient solution and in soil, *New Phytol.*, 92, 381, 1982.
- 1406. McGrath, S. P., Soil Quality in relation to agricultural uses, in *Integrated Soil and Sediment Research:* A Basis for Proper Protection, Eijsackers, H. J. P. and T. Hamers, Eds., Kluwer Academic, The Netherlands, 1993, 187.
- 1407. McGrath, S. P., Phytoextraction for soil remediation, in *Plants that Hyperaccumulate Heavy Metals*, Brooks, R. R., Ed., CAB Intern., 1998, 261.
- 1408. McGrath, S. P., Chang, A. C., Page, A. L., and Witter, E., Land application of sewage sludge: scientific perspectives of heavy metal loading limits in Europe and the United States, *Environ. Rev.*, 2, 108, 1994.
- 1409. McGrath, S. P., Shen, Z. G., and Zhao, F. J., Heavy metal uptake and chemical changes in the rhizosphere of *Thlaspi caerulescens* and *Thlaspi ochroleucum* grown in contaminated soils, *Plant Soil*, 188, 153, 1997.
- 1410. McGrath, S. P., Sidoli, C. M. D., and Baker, A. J. M., Phytomelioration: the use of plants to remove heavy metals from soils, in *ATV MODE*, Lyngby, March 5–6, 1996, 381.
- 1411. McLaughin, M. L., Palmer, L. T., Tiller, K. G., Beech, T. A., and Smart, M. K., Increased soil salinity causes elevated cadmium concentrations in field-grown potato tubers, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 23, 1013, 1994.
- 1411a. McSheehy, S. and Szpunar, J., Speciation of arsenic in edible algae by bidimensional HPLC with dual ICP MS and electrospray MS/MS detection, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 15, 79, 2000.
- 1412. Menard, O., Advocat, T., Ambrosi, J. P., and Michera, A., Behavior of actinides (Th, U, Np and Pu) and rare earths (La, Ce and Nd) during aqueous leaching of a nuclear glass under geological disposal condition, *Appl. Geochem.*, 13, 103, 1998.
- 1413. Mench, M. J., Cadmium availability to plants in relation to major long-term changes in agronomy systems, *Agric. Ecosystems Environ.*, 67, 175, 1998.
- 1414. Mench, M., Vangronsveld, N. W., Lepp, N. W., and Edwards, R., Physico-chemical aspects and efficiency of trace element immobilization by soil amendments, in *Metal-Contaminated Soils: In Situ Inactivation and Phytorestoration*, Vangronsveld, J. and Cunningham, S. D., Eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, 151.
- 1415. Merrington, G. and Alloway, B. J., Determination of the residual metal binding characteristics of soils polluted by Cd and Pb, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 100, 49, 1997.
- 1416. Michna, W., Ed., Monitoring of the Quality of Soils, Plants, and Foodstuffs, 1998. M.R.R.W., Warsaw, 1999, 172. (Po).
- 1417. Migaszewski, Z. M. and Paslawski, P., Trace element and sulphur stable isotope ratios in soils and vegetation of the Holy Cross Mountains, *Geol. Quart.*, 40, 575, 1996.
- 1417a. **Miguel de, E., Llamad, J. F., Chacón, E., et al.,** Origin and patterns of distribution of trace elements in street dust: unleaded petrol and urban lead, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 2733, 1997.
- 1418. Mikula, W. and Indeka, L., Heavy metals in allotment gardens close to an oil refinery in Plock, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 96, 61, 1997.
- 1419. Miladović, D., Djujić, I., and Stanković, S., Variation in selenium content in growing wild plants during vegetation periods, *J. Environ. Pathol. Toxic. Oncol.*, 17, 217, 1998.
- 1420. Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Cadmium in Fertilizers, Risk to Human Health and the Environment, Publ. Finnish Environ. Institute, April 30, 1997, 93.
- 1421. Miyasaka, S. C. and Grunes, D. L., Root zone temperature and calcium effects on phosphorus, sulfur and micronutrients in winter wheat forage, *Agron. J.*, 89, 742, 1997.
- 1422. **Molas, J.,** Range of tolerance limits and toxicity symptoms of ionic and chelated nickel forms in cabbage plants (*Brassica oleracea* L.), *Z. Probl. Post. Nauk Roln.*, 448b, 203, 1997 (Po).
- 1423. Monaci, F. and Bargagli, R., Barium and other trace metals as indicators of vehicle emissions, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 100, 89, 1997.
- 1424. Mondini, C., Cesco, S., and Bini, C., Pedogenic evolution and ¹³⁷Cs contents in soils of eastern Alps (Friuli-Venezia-Giulia, Italy), *Miner. Petrogr. Acta*, 38, 129, 1995.
- 1425. **Morel, J.-L.**, Bioavailability of trace elements to terrestrial plants, in *Soil Ecotoxicology*, Tarradellas, J., Bitton, G., and Rossel, D., Eds., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1997, 141.

- 1426. Motuzova, G. W. and Barsova, N. Y., Some geochemistry of Zn in taiga soils of Russia, Proc. 4th Int. Symp. Geochem. Earth's Surface, Ilkely, Yorkshire, U.K., July 22–28, 1996, 200.
- 1427. Motuzova, G. W., Fractions of Trace Elements in Soils, Editorial URSS, Moscow, 1999, 166 (Ru).
- 1428. Motuzova, G. and Pershikova, B., Cadmium in the soils of the Primorye territory of Russia and its migration ability, Proc. Symp. Cadmium in the Environment—Ecological and Analytical Problems, Warsaw, October 26–27, 1999, 6.
- 1429. Mou, S., Qing, C., and Yao, A., Transfer of soil mercury, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Mercury as a Global* Pollutant, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 562.
- 1430. Mukherjee, A. B., The Use and Release of Silver in Finland, Finn. Environ., 33, 49, 1997.
- 1431. **Mukherjee, A. B.,** Nickel: a review of occurrence, uses, emissions, and concentration in the environment in Finland, *Environ. Rev.*, 6, 173, 1998.
- 1432. Mukherjee, A. B., Chromium in the environment of Finland, Sci. Total Environ., 217, 9, 1998.
- 1433. Mukherjee, A. B., Mäkelä-Kurtto, R., and Lodenius, M., Cadmium in Finland: a prospective assessment of sources, emission and its cycle, with special emphasis on arable land, *Proc. Symp. Cadmium in the Environment—Ecological and Analytical Problems*, Warsaw, October 26–27, 1999, 4.
- 1434. Muramatsu, Y. and Wedepohl, K. H., The distribution of iodine in the earth's crust, *Chem. Geol.*, 147, 201, 1998.
- 1435. Muramatsu, Y. and Yoshida, S., Effects of microorganisms on the fate of iodine in the soil environment, *Geomicrobiol. J.*, 16, 85, 1999.
- 1436. Muramatsu, Y. and Yoshida, S., On the environmental behavior of radioactive and stable iodine, in Proc. Int. Workshop Comp. Evaluation Health Effects Environ, Toxic. Derived from Adv. Techn., Inaba, J. and Nakamura, Y., Eds., Suppl. NIRS-M-130, 45, 1998.
- 1437. Muramatsu, Y., Yoshida, S., Uchida, S., and Hasebe, A., Iodine desorption from rice paddy soil, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 86, 359, 1996.
- Müller, G., Schadstoffe in Sedimenten—Sedimenten als Schadstoffe, Umweltgeologie-Band., 79, 107, 1986.
- 1439. Nellesser, J. E. and Fletcher, J. S., Assessment of published literature on the uptake, accumulation, and translocation of heavy metals by vascular plants, *Chemosphere*, 27, 1669, 1993.
- 1440. Nicholson, K. and Wood, J., Environmental geochemistry of boron, Proc. 4th Int. Symp. Environ. Geochem, Vail, CO, October 5–10, 1997, 66.
- 1441. Nicks, L. J. and Chambers, M. F., A pioneering study of the potential of phytoming for Ni, in *Plants that Hyperaccumulate Heavy Metals*, Brooks, R. R., Ed., CAB Intern., 1998, 313.
- 1442. Nogales, R., Gallardo-Lara, F., Benitez E., Soto, J., Hervas, D., and Polo, A., Metal extractability and availability in a soil after heavy application of either nickel or lead in different forms, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 94, 33, 1997.
- 1443. Nowak, J., Niedźwiecki, E., and Dziel, M., Influence of heavy metals on the soil enzyme activity, *Soil Sci. Ann. (Poland)*, 50, 61, 1999 (Po).
- 1444. Oliver, D. P., Tiller, K. G., Conyers, M. K., Slattery, W. J., Alston, A. M., and Merry, R. H., Effectiveness of liming to minimize uptake of cadmium by wheat and barley grain grown in the field, *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 47, 1181, 1996.
- 1445. **Osmólski, T.,** Impedance to natural environment by strontium compound and bisulfite, *Przegl. Geolog.*, 464, 1994 (Po).
- 1446. Öhlander, B., Land, M., Ingri, J., and Widerlund, A., Mobility of rare earth elements during weathering of till in northern Sweden, *Appl. Geochem.*, 11, 93, 1996.
- 1447. **Paćes, T.,** Critical loads of trace metals in soils: a method of calculation, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 105, 451, 1998.
- 1448. Pais, I. and Jones, J. J. B., The Handbook of Trace Elements, St. Lucie Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1997, 223.
- 1449. Pankhurst, C. E., Rogers, S. L., and Gupta, V. V. S. R., Microbial parameters for monitoring soil pollution, in *Environmental Biomonitoring: The Biotechnology Ecotoxicology Interface*, Lynch, J. M. and Wiseman, A., Eds., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998, 4.
- 1450. Parker, D. R. and Page, A. L., Vegetation management strategies for remediation of seleniumcontaminated soils., in *Selenium in the Environment*, Frankenberger, W. T., Jr. and Benson, S., Eds., 1998, 327.
- 1450a. **Pawlikowski, M.,** Does the theory of biomineralogic origin of life on the Earth make sense?, *Biul. Inf. AGH*, Kraków, 52, 30, 1998 (Po).

- 1451. Pellet, D. M., Papernik, L. A., Jones, D. L., Darrah, P. R., Grunes, D. L., and Kochian, L. V., Involvement of multiple aluminium exclusion mechanisms in aluminium tolerance in wheat, *Plant Soil*, 192, 63, 1997.
- 1452. Pietukhova, N. N., Fedenya, V. M., and Matveyeva, V. I., Assessment of soil heavy metal contamination of soils of Belarus, *Prirodnye Resursy*, 1, 20, 1996 (Be).
- 1453. Pieri, de L. A., Buckley, W. T., and Kowalenko, C. G., Micronutrient concentrations of commercially grown vegetables and of soils in the Lower Fraser Valley of British Columbia, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 76, 173, 1996.
- 1454. **Peryea, F. J.,** Phosphate starter fertilizer temporarily enhances soil arsenic uptake by apple trees grown under field conditions, *Hort. Sci.*, 33, 826, 1998.
- 1455. **Piotrowska, M. and Kabata-Pendias, A.,** Impact of soils amended with Zn and Pb smelter dust on Cd concentrations in potatoes, *J. Geochem. Explor.*, 58, 319, 1997.
- 1456. **Pohlmeier, A.,** Metal speciation, chelation and complexing ligands in plants, in *Heavy Metal Stress in Plants*, Prasad, M. N. V. and Hagemeyer, J., Eds., Springer, Heidelberg, 1999, 29.
- 1457. **Poikolainen, J.,** Sulphur and heavy metal concentrations in Scots pine bark in northern Finland and the Kola Peninsula, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 93, 395, 1997.
- 1458. Ponizovsky, A. A., Studenikina, T. A., and Mironenko, E. V., Regularities of copper (II) retention by chernozems, dernovo-podsolic and grey forest soils, *Proc 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 144.
- 1459. Prasad, M. N. V., Trace elements, in *Plant Ecophysiology*, Prasad, M. N. V., Ed., J. Wiley, New York, 207, 1997.
- 1460. Prasad, M. N. V. and Hagemeyer, J., Eds. Heavy Metal Stress in Plants, Springer, Berlin, 1999, 401.
- 1461. **Prasad, M. N. V. and Strzalka, K.,** Impact of heavy metals on photosynthesis, in *Heavy Metal Stress in Plants*, Prasad, M. N. V. and Hagemeyer, J., Eds., Springer, Heidelberg, 1999, 117.
- 1462. Preston, S., Barbosa-Jefferson, V. I., Zhang, H., Tye, A., Crout, N., Young, S., Davison, W., McGrath, S. P., Kilham, K., and Paton, G. I., Assessment of the bioavailability of soil pollutants using lux-based biosensors: and inter-disciplinary approach, *Contaminated Soil '98. Proc. 6th Int. FZK/TNO Conf.*, Edinburgh, U.K., May 17–21, 1998, 123.
- 1463. Probst, J. L., Prudent, P., Stastna, M., Probst, A., Frevier, C., Party, J. P., and Krempp, G., Spatial variation of mercury contents in soils, river sediments and river waters in the Strengbach catchment (northeastern France), *Proc. 5th Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 168.
- 1464. Punshon, T. and Adriano, D. C., Nickel uptake by hybrid poplar: assessing phytoremediation potential, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 920.
- 1465. **Ratte, H. T.,** Bioaccumulation and toxicity of silver compounds: a review, *Environ. Toxic. Chem.*, 18, 89, 1999.
- 1466. Rengel, Z., Römheld, V., and Marschner, H., Uptake of zinc and iron by wheat genotypes differing in zinc efficiency, *J. Plant Physiol.*, 152, 433, 1998.
- 1467. Ribeiro, Jr., M. G., Silva Filho, E. V., Souza M., and Lacerda. L. D., Mercury in soils from central Amazon, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 558.
- 1468. Robens, E. and Aumann, D. C., Iodine-129 in the environment of a nuclear fuel reprocessing plant: I, ¹²⁹I and ¹²⁷I contents of soils, food crops and animal products, *J. Environ. Radioactivity*, 7, 159, 1988.
- 1469. Rose, N. L. and Harlock, S., The spatial distribution of characterized fly-ash particles and trace metals in lake sediments and catchment mosses in the United Kingdom, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 106, 287, 1998.
- 1470. Rule, J. H., Use of small plants as trace elements phytomonitors, with emphasis on the common dandelion, *Taraxacum officinale*, in *Biogeochemistry of Trace Elements*, Adriano, D. C., Chen, Z.-S., Yang, S.-S., and Iskandar, I. K., Eds., Sci. Technol. Letters, Northwood, 627, 1994.
- 1471. Rule, J. H., Trace metal cation adsorption in soils: selective chemical extractions and biological availability, in *Adsorption and its Applications in Industry and Environmental Protection*, Dąbrowski, A., Ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999, 319.
- 1472. Rulkens, W. H., Grotenhuis, J. T. C., and Tichy, R., Methods for cleaning contaminated soils and sediments, in *Heavy Metals, Problems and Solutions*, Salomons, W., Förstner, U., and Mader, P., Eds., Springer, Berlin, 165, 1995.
- 1473. Rundgren, S., Rühling, A., Schlüter, K., and Tyler, G., Mercury Soil-Distribution, Speciation, and Biological Effects, University of Lund, 1992, 89.

- 1474. Sager, M., Thallium in agriculture practice, in *Thallium in the Environment*, Nriagu, J. O., Ed., John Wiley, New York, 1998, 59.
- 1475. Samanta, G., Mangal, B. K., Roy Chowdhury, T., Biswar, B. K., Chowdhury, U. K., Basu, G. K., Chana, C. R., Lodh, D., Saha, K. C., and Chakraborti, D., Arsenic in groundwater and suffering of people in eight districts of West Bengal, India, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 256.
- 1476. Samonova, O. A., Koshleva, N. E., Kasimov, N. S., Variation of heavy metal concentrations in soddy-Podzolic soils under forest and meadow plant communities, *Polish J. Soil Sci.*, (in press).
- 1477. Sarosiek, J., Letachowicz, B., and Wozakowska-Natkaniec, H., Beryllium and germanium bioindication in the environment pollutions from Karkonosze Mountains with the use of *Salix caprea* L., *Proc. Symp. Geoekol. Promblem. Karkonoszy*, Wrocław, October 15–18, 1997, 253.
- 1478. Sauvé, S., Dumester, A., McBride, M., and Hendershot, W., Derivation of soil quality criteria using predicted chemical speciation of Pb²⁺ and Cu²⁺, *Environ. Toxic. Chem.*, 17, 1481, 1998.
- 1479. Sauvé, S., McBride, M. B., Norvell, W. A., and Hendershot, W. H., Copper solubility and speciation of *in situ* contaminated soils: effects of copper level, pH, and organic matter, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 100, 133, 1997.
- 1480. Savozzi, A., Levi-Minzi, R., Cardelli, R., and Riffaldi, R., The influence of heavy metals on carbon dioxide evolution from a typic xerochrept soil, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 93, 409, 1997.
- 1481. Saxen, P. K., KrishnaRaj, S., Dan, T., Perras, M. R., and Vettakkorumakankav, N. N., Phytoremediation of heavy metal contaminated and polluted soils, in *Heavy Metal Stress in Plants*, Prasad, M. N. V. and J. Hegemeyer, Eds., Springer, Berlin, 305, 1999.
- 1482. Schnug, E., Flechenstein, J., and Haneklaus, S., Coca Cola is it? The *ubiquitous* extractant for micronutrients in soil, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 27, 171, 1996.
- 1483. Selinus, O., Frank, A., and Galgan, V., Biogeochemistry and metal biology, *Environ, Geochem, Health, Special Publ.*, 113, 81, 1996.
- 1484. Shallari, S., Echevaria, G., and Morel, J. L., Where do plants take up their nickel from?, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 526.
- 1485. Sharma, S. S., Schat, H., Vooijs, R., and van Heerwaardens, L. M., Combination toxicology of copper, zinc, and cadmium in binary mixtures: concentration-dependent antagonistic, nonadditive, and synergistic effects on root growth in *Silene vulgaris, Environ. Toxicol, Chem.*, 18, 348, 1999.
- 1486. Sheppard S. C., Evenden, W. G., and Cornwell, T. C., Depuration and uptake of I, Cs, Mn, Zn and Cd by the earthworm (*Lumbricus terrestris*) in radiotracer-spiked litter, *Environ. Tox, Chem.*, 16, 2106, 1997.
- 1487. **Shotyk, W.,** Peat bogs reveal history of atmospheric deposition of lead and *other* metals, *EOS*, 77, 507, 1996.
- 1488. Shotyk, W., Natural and anthropogenic enrichments of As, Cu, Pb, Sb, and Zn in ombrotrophic versus minerotrophic peat bog profiles, Jura Mountains, Switzerland, *Water, Air Soil Pollut.*, 90, 375, 1996.
- 1489. Siedlecka, A. and Krupa, Z., Interaction between cadmium and iron and its effects on photosynthetic capacity of primary leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris*, *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 34, 833, 1996.
- 1490. Siekovec, M. and Irgolic, K. J., Uptake of arsenic by mushrooms from soil, *Chem. Spec. Bioavailab.*, 8, 67, 1996.
- 1491. Sillanpää, M. and Jansson, H., Status of cadmium, lead, cobalt and selenium in soils and plants of thirty countries, *FAO Soil Bull.*, Rome, 65, 195, 1992.
- 1492. Simon, L., Heavy metal phytoextraction capacity of several agricultural crop plant species, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 892.
- 1493. Simon, L., Prokisch, J., Kovács, B., and Győri, Z., Phytoextraction of heavy metals from a galvanic mud contaminated soil, in *Soil Pollution*, Filep, G., Ed., Agr. Univ. Debrecen, 1998, 289.
- 1494. Simon, L., Balazsy, S., Balogh, A., and Pais, I., Effect of titanium on the growth of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and *Bradyrhizobium lupuli* strains, *Acta Microbiol. Pol.*, 39, 51, 1990.
- 1495. Simon, L., Fodor, P., Balogh, A., and Pais I., The effect of titanium on the activity and metal composition of soybean lipoxygenase, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 43, 554, 1991.
- 1496. Simon, L., Martin, H. W., and Adriano, D. C., Chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) and dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*) as phytoindicators of cadmium contamination, *Water Air, Soil Pollut.*, 91, 351, 1996.
- 1497. Singh, B. R. and Myhr, K., Cadmium uptake by barley as affected by Cd sources and pH levels, *Geoderma*, 84, 185, 1998.

- 1498. Skiba, S., Abstract of Report 92-93, Int. Symp., Reykjavik, October 4-8, 1993.
- 1499. Skórzyńska-Polit, E. and Baszyński, T., Differences in sensitivity of the photosynthetic apparatus in Cd-stressed runner bean plants in relation to their age, *Plant Sci.*, 128, 11, 1997.
- 1500. **Ślaski, J. J.,** Differences in the metabolic response of root tips of wheat and rye to aluminium stress, *Plant Soil*, 167, 165, 1994.
- 1501. Smith, K. S. and Huyck, H. L. O., An overview of the abundance, relative mobility, bioavailability, and human toxicity of metals, in *The Environmental Geochemistry of Mineral Deposits. Part A. Processes, Techniques, and Health Issues, Plumlee, G. S. and Logsdon, J. J., Eds., Soc. Econ. Geol.* Review in Econ. Geol., 6A, 29, 1999.
- 1502. Smolders, E., Lambebregts, R. M., McLaughlin, M. J., and Tiller, K. G., Effect of soil solution chloride on cadmium availability to swiss chard, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 27, 426, 1998.
- 1502a. Snakin, W. W., Ruckovich, O. W., and Florinsky, I. W., Lead in soils of Russia, in *Proc. Int. Symp. Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Pushchino, Moscow Reg., 1997, 250, (Ru).
- 1503. Stakheev, Y. I., Tatsy, Yu. G., Bakaldin, Y. A., Ibrayev, T. A., Bezsudnov, I. V., and Sebryukov, V. A., Changes of mercury concentration in the soil gas as precursors of earthquakes, *Proc. 5th Conf. Int. Mercury as Global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 181.
- 1504. Staunton, S., Bonafos, B., and Leclerc-Cessac, E., Possible effects of root action in the rhizosphere on the adsorption of trace amounts of nickel by various soils, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 46.
- 1505. **Steinnes, E. and Njastad, O.,** Enrichment of metals in the organic surface layer of natural soil: identification of contribution from different sources, *Ambio*, 120, 1479, 1995.
- 1506. **Stoeppler, M.,** Cadmium, in *Metals and Their Compounds in the Environment*, Merian, E., Ed., VCH, Weinham, 1991, 804.
- 1507. Steveninck, van R. F. M., Barbare, A., and Fernando, D. R., and van Steveninck, M. E., The binding of zinc, but not cadmium, by phytic acid in roots of crop plants, *Plant Soil*, 167, 157, 1994.
- 1508. Stigliani, W. M., Global perspectives and risk assessment, in *Biogeodynamics of Pollutants in Soils* and Sediments, Salomons, W. and Stigliani, W. M., Eds., Springer, Berlin, 1995, 331.
- 1509. Strzelecki, R., Wolkowicz., S., Szewczyk, J., and Lewandowski, P., *Radioecological Maps of Poland. Part. II*, PIG, Warsaw, 1994, 14 (Po).
- 1510. **Stuczyński, T., Grabiński, J., and Kukier, U.,** Impact of genetic factor on cadmium uptake by cereal plants in various growth conditions, *Report 5.5*, IUNG, Pulawy, 1999 (Po).
- 1511. **Studenikina, T. A.,** Interaction of Copper (II) with Soil Components under Influence of Organic Matter Dissolved in Soil Solution., Ph. D. thesis, Moscow Univ., 1999, 21 (Ru).
- 1512. Szefer, P., Distribution and behaviour of selected heavy metals and other elements in various components of the southern Baltic ecosystem, *Appl. Geochem.*, 13, 287, 1998.
- 1512a. Tagami, K. and Uchida, S., Some considerations on the fate of ⁹⁹Tc in paddy fields, in *Proc. Int. Workshop Comp. Evaluation Health Effects Environ. Toxic. Derived from Adv. Techn.*, Inaba, J. and Nakamura, Y., Eds., Suppl. NIRS-M-130, 113, 1998.
- 1513. Tauson, W. L., Geletij, W. F., and Menshikov, W. I., Contents, distribution and forms of mercury as indicators of mercury pollution of the environment, *Appl. Achiev. Chemistry for Sustainable Development*, 3, 151, 1995 (Ru).
- 1514. Terelak, H., Stuczyński, T., and Piotrowska, M., Heavy metals in agricultural soils in Poland, *Polish. J. Soil Sci.*, 30/2, 35, 1997.
- 1515. Terry, N., Zayed, A., Pilon-Smits, E., and De Souza, M., Phytovolatilization and the phytoremediation of selenium, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 24.
- 1516. **Thornton, I. and Farago, M.,** The geochemistry of arsenic, in *Arsenic Exposure and Health Effects,* Abernathy, C. O., Calderon, R. L, and Chappell, W. R., Eds., Chapman & Hall, London, 1997, 1.
- 1517. Tichý, R., Nýdl, V., Kużel, S., and Kolář, L., Increased cadmium availability to crops on a sewage sludge amended soil, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 94, 361, 1997.
- 1518. Tidbal, R. R. and Ebens, R. J., Regional geochemical baselines in soils of the Power River Basin, Montana-Wyoming, 29th Annu. Field Conf. Guidebook, Casper, WY, 1976, 299.
- 1519. **Tlustos, P., Balik, J., Száková, J., and Pavliková, D.,** the accumulation of arsenic in radish biomass when different forms of As were applied in the soil, *Rostlin. Vyroba*, 44, 7, 1998 (Cz).
- 1520. Tremel, A., Masson, P., Sterckeman, T., Baize D., and Mench, M., Thallium in French agrosystems. I. Thallium contents in arable soils, *Environ. Pollut.*, 95, 293, 1997.

- 1521. Tremel, A., Masson, P., Garraud, G., Donard, O. F. X., Baize, D., and Mench, M., Thallium in French agrosystems. II. Concentration of thallium in field-grown rape and some other plant species, *Environ. Pollut.*, 95, 161, 1997.
- 1522. Tsukada, H., Hasegawa, H., and Hisamatsu, S., Root uptake of ¹³⁷Cs, stable Cs and K from paddy soils in rice plants, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 90.
- 1523. Tukendorf, A. and Baszynki, T., The *in vivo* effect of cadmium on photochemical activities in chloroplasts of runner bean plants, *Acta Physiol. Plant*, 13, 51, 1991.
- 1524. Turnau, K., Localization of copper in ectomycorrhizas, in: Copper and Molybdenum in the Environment—Ecological a. Analytical Problems.: Kabata-Pendias, A., and Szteke B., Eds., PAN Kom. Nauk. Z. N. 14, 111, 1996 (Po).
- 1525. Turnau, K., Kottke, I., and Oberwinkler, F., *Paxillus involutus—Pinus sylvestris* mycorrhizae from heavily polluted forest. *Bot. Acta.*, 106, 213, 1993.
- 1526. Ulrich, S. M., Ramsey, M. H., and Helios-Rybicka, E., Total and exchange concentrations of heavy metals in soils near Bytom, and area of Pb/Zn mining and smelting in Upper Silesia, Poland, *Appl. Geochem.*, 14, 187, 1999.
- 1527. Vaessen, H. A. M. G. and Szteke, B., Beryllium in food and drinking water—a summary of available knowledge, *Food Addit. Contam.*, 17, 149, 2000.
- 1528. Vangronsveld, J., Case studies in the field—arsenic contaminated kitchen gardens, in *Metal-Contaminated Soils: In Situ Inactivation and Phytorestoration*, Vangronsveld, J., Cunningham, S. D., Eds., Springer-Verlag, Berling, 227, 1998.
- 1529. Vangronsveld, J., and Cunningham, S. D., Eds., *Metal-Contaminated Soils: In situ Inactivation and Phytorestoration*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, 265.
- 1530. Varshal, G. M., Byeluchanova, T. K. Czchetyna, D. N., Koshcheyeva, I. J., Chutiatova, S. D., Cholin., Y. V., Tyumunnik, O. A., Shumskaya, T. V., Danulova, V. N., Galuzinskaya, Y. G., Fashchiy, Y. G., and Bykov, I. V., Humic acids as natural complexing sorbent that accumulate heavy metals in environmental compartments, *Int. Symp. Geochemical Barriers in the Hipergenic Zone*, Moscow, October 25–29, 1999, 51 (Ru).
- 1531. Veselli, O., Bini, C., and del Sette, M., Geochemie des eaux lessivantes un sol Brun Eutrophe (typic Xerochrept) sous foret en climat tempere, *Quad. Sci. Suolo.*, 5, 75, 1993.
- 1532. Vernadsky, V. I., The Biosphere, Compernicus, An imprint of Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, 192.
- 1533. Vukmirović, Z., Marendić-Miljković, J., Rajsić, S., Tasić, M., and Novaković, V., Resuspension of trace metals in Belgrade under conditions of drastically reduced emission levels, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 93, 137, 1997.
- 1534. Vuori E., Vääriskoski, J., Hartikainen, H., Kumpulainen, J., Aarnio, T., and Niinivaara, K., A long-term study of selenate sorption in Finnish cultivated soils, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 48, 92, 1994.
- 1535. Wallsachläger, D. and Bloom, N. S., Emission of methylmercury species from soils to the atmosphere, *Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 193.
- 1536. Wallsachläger, D., Desai, M. V., and Wiljen, R.-D., The role of humic substances in the aqueous mobilization of mercury from contaminated floodplain soils, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 90, 507, 1996.
- 1537. Wang, D., The environmental biogeochemistry of selenium in natural water ecosystems, *Publ. National Public Health Institute*, Helsinki, 1994, 41.
- 1538. Wang, D., Alfthan, G., Aro, A., Lahermo, P., and Väänänen, P., The impact of selenium fertilization on the distribution of selenium in rivers in Finland, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 50, 133, 1994.
- 1539. Watanabe, H., Kurahashi, M., Kojima, I., Honda, K., and Fukumoto, N., Non-destructive determination of manganese state in rice leaf by X-ray absorption spectrometry, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 36, 149, 1990.
- 1540. Webster, E., Mackay, D., and Wania, F., Evaluating environmental persistence, *Environ. Toxic. Chem.*, 17, 2148, 1998.
- 1541. White, J. G., Welch, R. M., and Norvell, W. A., Soil zinc map of the USA using geostatistics and geographic information systems, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 61, 185, 1997.
- 1542. Wierzbicka, M., How lead loses its toxicity to plants, Acta Soc. Bot. Pol., 64, 81, 1995.
- 1542a. Wilkinson, S. R., Grunes, D. L., and Summer, M. E., Nutrient interactions in soil and plant nutrition, in *Handbook of Soil Science*, CRC Press, Boca Rato, Fl, 1999, D-89.
- 1543. Willaert, G. and Verloo, M., Biological effects of nickel species and their determination in plant and soil, *Plant Soil*, 107, 285, 1988.

- 1544. Williams, C. A., Ward, N. I., and Brodie, K., The analysis of gold in plant materials from an operating gold mine by ICP-MS, in *Heavy Metals in the Environment*, Wilken, R.-D., Förstner, U., and Knöchel, A., Eds., 2, 395, 1995.
- 1545. Wittbrodt, P. R., and Palmer, C. D., Reduction of Cr(VI) by soil humic acids, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 47, 151, 1996.
- 1546. Wittig, R., General aspects of biomonitoring heavy metals by plants, in *Plants as Biomonitors*, Market, B., Ed., VCH, Weinheim, 1993, 3.
- 1547. Wixson, B. G. and Davies, B. E., Lead in Soil. Recommended Guidelines, Sci. Rev., Northwood, 1993, 132.
- 1548. WMS-World Metal Statistics, Published by World Bureau of Metal Statistics, Ware, Herts, 1998.
- 1549. Wolnik K. A., Fricke, F. L. Capar, G., Braude, G. L., Meyer, M. W., Satzger, R. D., and Bonnin, E., Elements in major raw agricultural crops in the United States. 2. Other elements in lettuce, peanuts, soybean, sweet corn, and wheat, J. Agric. Food Chem., 31, 1240, 1983.
- 1550. Wong, M. H., Zhang, Z. Q., Wong, J. C. W., and Lan, C. Y., Trace, metal contents (Al, Cu and Zn) of tea: tea and soil from tea plantations, and tea products from different provinces of China, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 20, 87, 1998.
- 1551. Woźny, A., Lead in plants, in *Lead in the Environment—Ecological and Analytical Problems*, Kabata-Pendias, A. and Szteke B., Eds., PAN Kom. Nauk. Z. N. 21, 171, 1998 (Po).
- 1552. **Wu, L. L.,** Selenium accumulation and uptake by crop and grassland plant species, in *Environmental Chemistry of Selenium*, Frankenberger, W. T. and Engberg, R. A., Eds., Marel Dekker, New York, 1998, 675.
- 1553. Wyttenbach, A., Bajo, S., Furrer, M., Langenauer, M., and Tobler, L., The accumulation of arsenic, bromine and iodine in needles of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* [L.] Karst.) at sites with low population, *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*, 94, 417, 1997.
- 1554. Wyttenbach A., Furrer, V., Schleppi, P., and Tobler, L., Rare earth elements in soil and insoil-grown plants, *Plant Soil*, 199, 267, 1998.
- 1555. Wyttenbach, A., Tobler, L., and Furrer, V., The concentration of rare earth elements in plants and in the adjacent soils, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. Art.*, 204, 401, 1996.
- 1556. Wyttenbach, A., Tobler, L., and Furre, V., Rare earth elements in six plants species growing on the same site, *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Berkeley, CA, June 23–26, 1997, 299.
- 1557. Xie, Z. M. and Hung, C. Y., Control of arsenic toxicity in rice plants grown on an arsenic-polluted paddy soil, *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 29, 2471, 1998.
- 1558. Xiulan, Z., Zhuqin, S., Zhijian, M., Changle, Q., and Shusen, M., Effect of chemical fertilizers on mercury transport in soil plant system, *Proc. 5th Conf. Mercury as a Global Pollutant*, Rio de Janeiro, May 23–28, 1999, 164.
- 1559. Yagolnitser, M. A., Sokolov, S. A., Ryabstsev, A. D., Obolensky, A. A., Ozerova, N. A., Sukhenko, S. A., and Dvurechesnkaya, S. Ya., An estimation of industrial mercury emission in Siberia, *Appl. Achiev. Chemistry for Sustainable Development*, 3, 23, 1995.
- 1560. Yanagisava, K. and Muramatsu, Y., Transfer factor of technetium from soil to vegetables, *Radio-chimica Acta*, 63, 83, 1993.
- 1561. **Yashchin, A. L.,** How the composition of the atmosphere is changed, *Vestnik Rossiyskoy Ak. Nauk*, 67, 109, 1997 (Ru).
- 1562. Yin, Y., Allen, H. E., Huang, C. P., and Sanders, P. F., Adsorption/desorption isotherms of Hg (II) by *Soil Sci.*, 162, 35, 1997.
- 1563. **Yoshida, S. and Muramatsu, Y.,** Radiocesium concentrations in mushrooms collected in Japan, *J. Environ. Radioactivity*, 22, 141, 1994.
- 1564. **Yoshida S. and Muramatsu, Y.,** Determination of major and trace elements in mushroom, plant, and soil samples collected from Japanese forests, *Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.*, 67, 49, 1997.
- 1565. **Yoshida, S. and Muramatsu, Y.,** Concentrations of alkali and alkaline earth elements in mushrooms and plants collected in a Japanese pine forest, and their relation with ¹³⁷Cs, *L. Environ. Radioactivity*, 41, 183, 1998.
- 1566. Yoshida, S., Muramatsu, Y., Tagami, K., and Uchida, S., Concentrations of lanthanide elements, Th, and U in 77 Japanese surface soils, *Environ. Intern.*, 24, 275, 1998.
- 1567. Yushkin, N. P. and Khlubov, V. V., Natural montmorillonite gel as a simple biomodel system, 2nd Int. Seminar Mineralogy in Life, Syktywkar, Rep. Komi., July 17–22, 1996, 27.

- 1568. Zachara, J. M., and Westall, J. C., Chemical modeling of ion adsorption in soils, in *Soil Physical Chemistry*, 2nd ed., Sparks, D. L., Ed., CRC Press Boca Raton, FL, 1999, 47.
- 1569. Zayed, A., Lytle, C. M., and Terry, N., Accumulation and volatilization of different chemical species of selenium by plants, *Planta*, 206, 284, 1998.
- 1570. Zayed, A., Lytle, C. M., Qian, J.-H., and Terry, N., Chromium accumulation, translocation and chemical speciation in vegetable crops, *Planta*, 206, 239, 1998.
- 1571. Zayed, A. M. and Terry, N., Selenium volatilization in roots and shoots: effects of shoot removal and sulfate level, *J. Plant Physiol.*, 143, 8, 1994.
- 1572. Zhang, C. and Selinus, O., Statistics and GIS in environmental geochemistry some problems and solutions, J. Geochem. Explor, 64, 339, 1998.
- 1573. Zhang, C., Selinus, O., and Kjellstrom, G., Discrimination between natural background and anthropogenic pollution in environmental geochemistry, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 243/244, 129, 1999.
- 1574. **Zhou, G.,** Effects of external chromium (VI) on bioavailability of fluorine in soils, *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements,* Berkeley, CA, June 23–26, 1997, 331.
- 1575. Zhu, J. G., Sun, X. M., and Xie, Z. B., Effect of lanthanum on physiological activities in seedling stage of wheat, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements, Vienna, July 11–15, 1999, 1142.
- 1576. Zhu, J., Zhang, Y., Yamasaki, S., and Tsumara, A., Water-soluble rare earth elements in some soils of China, *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Berkeley, CA, June 23–26, 1997, 303.
- 1577. Zhu J., Zhong, G., Kennedy, M., de Leer, E. W. B., Bosman, R., Kong, L., and Alaerta, G. J. F. R., The distribution of rare earth elements (REEs) in Chinese soil, *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Biogeochem. Trace Elements*, Berkeley, CA, June 23–26, 1997, 301.
- 1578. Zolotareva, B. N., Skripnichenko, I. I., Ableev, M. Kh., Ostroumov, V. E., Geletyuk, N. I., Shitova (Koroleva), E. G., and Zablotskaya, L. V., Heavy metals in biogeocenoses of the Prioksko-Terrasny Biosphere Reserve, in *Ecological Monitoring of the Prioksko-Terrasny Biosphere Reserve*, 1983, 56, (Ru).

CHAPTER 1

The Biosphere

The biosphere, also called the ecosphere, is the natural environment of living things and is the complex biological epidermis of the Earth whose dimensions are not precisely defined. It consists of the surficial part of the lithosphere, a lower part of the atmosphere, and the hydrosphere. Chemical and physical characteristics of the biosphere are determined by these other spheres, which have created relatively constant environments for the existence of living matter in a given ecosystem. The ecosystem is a fundamental environmental system consisting of the community of all living organisms in a given area and having a balanced cycling of elements and energy flow. This is a homeostatic interrelationship between the nonliving (abiotic) environment and the living organisms (biotic environment).

In general, the biosphere consists of three main ecosystems—the land environment, the freshwater environment, and the marine environment. These fundamental ecosystems include several smaller systems of variable dimensions and conditions. A significant part of the ecosystems has already been considerably modified by man, and such modification will continue.

The energy of life is derived from the radiant energy of the sun, which drives the chemical reaction of photosynthesis. The other sources of energy, such as geothermal energy, gravitation, and electric potentials, are of negligible importance in the total energy flow; however, they may determine some conditions of ecosystems.

The beginning of life on Earth, according to one of the existing concepts, is related to silicates and aluminosilicates of chain coordination. Especially some montmorillonite in gel forms exhibited an ability to synthesize proteins. Yushkin and Khlubov¹⁵⁶⁷ discovered the presence of various amino acids (glutamine, glycine, alanine, histidine, etc.) in montmorillonite found in hydrothermal deposits, enriched in several trace elements, including Be, Mn, Cu, Zn, Pb, Ti, Sr, Ba, F, and REEs. The structural variability of silicate chains and susceptibility to bind with organic compounds due to piezoelectricity are believed to be processes that stimulate the beginning of life.^{1450a}

Biochemical processes of producing organic matter in the Earth's environment are dated from the Early Precambrian Period, that is, over 3×10^9 years B.P. (before the present time). The entire process of photosynthesis has been calculated to have developed about 1.5×10^9 years B.P. Since that period, several million kinds of living organisms have developed evolutionarily and have adjusted to their natural environments.

Most mineral nutrients for all life on land are supplied mainly from the soil overlying the surficial lithosphere. The atmosphere is a source of only some of the essential nutrients (N_2 , O_2 , and CO_2), and the hydrosphere is the main source of water—a basic constituent of all life.

The bulk mass of living matter (above 90%) is composed mainly of organic compounds and water. Organo-mineral compounds and mineral compounds form a relatively small portion of living matter. The bulk of living matter is formed from the chemical elements C, O, H, and N. Such elements

as K, P, Ca, Mg, S, Na, and Cl are present in living organisms in smaller and variable amounts. All these elements are readily mobile in the biosphere and are known to form either volatile or easily soluble compounds that are involved in major environmental cycles.

Many elements occur in trace amounts in living matter. Some of these elements are essential for the growth, development, and health of organisms. Usually, the quantitative differences between essential amounts and biological excesses of these elements are very small. Some trace elements seem to be essential to vital processes but their biochemical functions are not yet understood. The essentiality of other trace elements may be discovered in the future.

The chemical composition of living matter has developed and adjusted to the chemistry of environments over long periods of geologic time. However, all organisms, in order to survive in the complex geochemical composition of their surroundings, had to develop mechanisms of active selection of elements involved in vital processes and of rejection of toxic excesses of other elements. These processes are fundamental for homeostasis that is requisite to the existence of each organism.

Although all living organisms, plants in particular, show a natural ability for the selection of chemical elements, they are also highly dependent on the geochemistry of their surroundings. Any environmental factor that has an adverse effect on plants may cause either evolutionary or drastic changes even over short periods of time, involving only a few generations in the life of a plant species. These phenomena have been easily observed in evolving tolerance of populations, especially of microorganisms, to high concentrations of trace elements in either natural geochemical provinces or under man-induced conditions. This evolution of the biosphere is fully described in Williams' statement¹¹⁸⁵: "Evolution through natural selection implies that there must be a drive within biology to readjust the given accidental abundance of the Earth's crust so as to optimize biological chemistry."

Although biological selection of the chemical elements allows plants to control their chemical composition to a certain extent, these controls are somewhat limited with respect to trace elements. Therefore, concentrations of trace elements in plants are often positively correlated with the abundance of these elements in soils and even with the abundances in underlying rocks. This correlation creates several problems for both plants and animals that may be associated either with a deficiency or an excess of trace elements. The questions surrounding how, and how much of, a trace element is taken up by plants from their environments have been very active topics of research in biological circles in recent years. At a time when food production and environmental quality are of major concern to man, a better understanding of the behavior of the trace elements in the soil-plant system seems to be particularly significant.

Biological processes depend on the flow of energy and elements (Figure 1). Sun energy is a fundamental factor that drives all reactions which govern the transfer of elements among the environmental compartments. Global biogeochemical cycles are crucial for the survival of life on Earth in its present form. The major biogeochemical cycles were studied extensively.⁹⁴⁹ Each essential element in various forms flows from the nonliving (abiotic) to the living (biotic) components of the biosphere and back to the nonliving again. These cycles vary from one element to another, but each cycle consists of basic phases: gaseous, solution, and sedimentary. Cycles can be considered as having an exchange pool (mobile stage of an element) and a reservoir pool (immobile stage of an element). A reservoir pool is related to a sedimentary phase, including soil.

Trace element cycles are closely associated with major element cycles, but are much less understood. Some recent data on trace element behavior in both the natural environment and that modified by human activities are reviewed by several authors.^{922,1137,1155}

Soil is not only a part of the ecosystems, but also occupies a basic role for humans, because the survival of man is tied to the maintenance of its productivity. Soil has very important and complex functions as filter, buffer, storage, and transformation system, protecting the global ecosystem against the effects of pollution. These functions of soil are not unlimited, but are effective as long as soil properties and natural balance are preserved.

The Anthroposphere

I. INTRODUCTION

The role of man in the biosphere has been so important recently that it has become necessary to distinguish the anthroposphere—the sphere of man's settlement and activity. The anthroposphere, however, does not represent a separate sphere, but can be applied to any part of the biosphere that has been changed under an influence of technical civilization.

Man's impact on the biosphere has been very broad and complex, and most often has led to irreversible changes. Primitive man interfered little with relatively stable relationships within his environment. A growing capability of man to alter his surroundings and to control several natural processes is a source of drastic changes altering the balance of fragile natural systems based upon the balanced flow of elements and energy (Figure 1). While geological and biological alterations of the Earth's surface have been very slow, changes introduced and/or stimulated by man have accumulated extremely quickly in recent years. All man-made changes disturb the natural balance of each ecosystem that has been formed evolutionarily over a long period of time. Thus, these changes most often lead to a degradation of the natural human environment. Since the development of agricultural activities, several ecosystems have been altered into artificial agroecosystems. Although man's impact on the biosphere dates back to the Neolithic Period, the problems of the deterioration of ecosystems due to pollution became increasingly acute during the latter decades of the 20th century.

Environmental pollution, especially by chemicals, is one of the most effective factors in the destruction of biosphere components. The most detrimental anthropogenic impact on the biosphere is related to emissions of various acids (e.g., H_2SO_3 , H_2SO_4 , HF, HNO, HNO₃) and radionuclides. For example, according to Yanshin,¹⁵⁶¹ 769 technogenic accidents occurred on the Earth in 1992, of which 4.7% released different pollutants, mainly acids and radionuclides, to the atmosphere.

Among all chemical contaminants, trace elements are believed to be of a specific ecological, biological, or health significance. Cawse⁹⁶⁰ emphasized that the interactions between gaseous pollutants and atmospheric trace metals are an important consideration; for example, the aqueous phase oxidation of SO₂ to SO₄²⁻ is catalyzed by Mn, and the formation of HNO₂ aerosol from NO₂ is increased by Cu, Mo, and Zn. Many textbooks have been published on trace elements as pollutants in the biosphere or in particular ecosystems, and all have pointed out the triangular relationships between contents of inorganic trace pollutants in air, soil, and plants.

Energy and mineral consumption by man is the main cause of trace element pollution in the biosphere. An estimation of the global release of trace elements as contaminants into the environment may be based on the established world mineral and energy consumption. A previous estimation of the demand for the elements calculated for the year 2000 (second edition of this book) was overestimated for some metals (e.g., for Fe, Al, Li, U, Hg, and Ta). In most cases, however, the forecast for the year 2000 was close to mining of the elements in the last decade (Table 1). Also, the forecast



Figure 1 Schematic model of chemical element transport and energy flow in the environment.

for consumption of raw energy materials in the year 2000 was much larger than the production/ consumption estimated in 1997 and 1998 (Table 2). It is noteworthy, however, to emphasize that the highest increase has been noticed in crude oil production and hard coal production in the past two decades. Bowen⁹⁴ has suggested that when the rate of mining a given element exceeds the natural rate of its cycling by a factor of ten or more, the element must be considered a potential pollutant. Thus, the potentially most hazardous trace metals to the biosphere may be Ag, Au, Cd, Cr, Hg, Mn, Pb, Sb, Sn, Te, W, and Zn. This list does not correspond closely to the list of elements considered to be of great risk to environmental health—Be, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, Se, V, and Zn.

Present-day soils contain trace elements of various origins. *Lithogenic* elements are those that are directly inherited from the lithosphere (mother material). *Pedogenic* elements are of lithogenic origin also, but their concentration and distribution in soil layers and soil particles are changed due to pedogenic processes (Chapter 3, Section III). *Anthropogenic* elements are all those deposited into soils as direct or indirect results of man's activities. There have been assumptions that the behavior of trace elements in soils and in consequence their bioavailability differ as to their origin.^{381,469} Several recent findings, however, have clearly indicated that regardless of forms of the anthropogenic metals in soils, their phytoavailability is significantly higher than those of pedogenic origin.^{1019,1255,1357} Thus, it is most likely that under similar soil conditions, both *lithogenic* and *pedogenic* trace elements will be less mobile and less bioavailable than *anthropogenic* elements (Figure 2).

Trace elements released from anthropogenic sources have entered the environment and have followed normal biogeochemical cycles. The transport, residence time, and fate of the pollutants in a particular ecosystem have been of special environmental concern. The behavior of trace elements in each ecosystem is very complex and therefore has usually been studied separately for air, water, soil, and biota. The urgent environmental problem at the present time is closely associated with pollution in which trace metallic pollutants play a significant role. Ramade¹¹²⁵ made a lapidary statement on this topic: "Unrecognized, if not deliberately ignored for far too long, the problem of pollution has quite recently become a constant preoccupation of the industrialized nations."

The principal criteria used to estimate trace element threats are: bioaccumulation, toxicity, and persistence. Evaluating environmental persistence in trace element contamination is the most difficult. It is commonly referred to as half-lives of an element in a given environment. Webster et al.¹⁵⁴⁰ discussed the feasibility of applying half-life criteria and emphasized the need for full consideration of such approaches.

Element 1988		1997	Element	1988	1997
Antimony (18) ^a	81,662	96 × 10³ [1992] ^b	Molybdenum (16)	$108 imes 10^3$	$136 imes10^3$
Arsenic (21)	$46 imes 10^3$	37×10^{3} [1992]	Nickel (13)	$866 imes 10^3$	$1022 imes 10^3$
Barium (9)	$3357 imes10^3$	3245×10^{3} [1992]	Niobium (25)	19,154	15,749
Beryllium (28)	9832	8452 [1992]	Lead (10)	$3285 imes10^3$	$2817 imes10^3$
Bismuth (31)	3320	3398 [1992]	Palladium (37)	100 [1984]	112 [1995]
Boron (11)	$2995 imes10^3$	2608×10^{3} [1992]	Platinum group	<u> </u>	146 [1995]
Bromine (14)	$370 imes10^3$	$379 imes 10^3$ [1992]	Rhenium	—	31 [1995]
Chromium (7)	$4438 imes10^3$	3750×10^{3}	Mercury (29)	5145	3089
Tin (15)	$200 imes10^3$	$216 imes10^3$	Rubidium (43)	<1 [1986]	5 [cp]
Zinc (5)	$7133 imes10^3$	$7036 imes 10^3$	Selenium (33)	1600 [1986]	70,000 [cp]
Zirconium (12)	$929 imes10^3$	$766 imes 10^3$ [1992]	Silver (26)	14,559	14,542
Fluorine (6)	$4929 imes10^3$	3846×10^3 [1992]	Strontium (17)	86,000 [1976]	$157 imes 10^3$
Gallium (41)	25 [1984]	145 [cp]°	Thallium (42)	20 [1979]	14 [cp]
Germanium (36)	100 [1984]	270 [cp]	Tantalum (34)	490	353
Aluminum (2)	$17,497 \times 10^{3}$	$21,804 \times 10^{3}$	Tellurium (38)	100 [1986]	269
Hafnium (40)	27 [1984]	60	Thorium (35)	270 [1977]	13,000 [c.m.] d
Indium (39)	60 [1984]	145	Titanium (8)	4281×10^3	3472×10^{3}
lodine (27)	12,586	15,354	Uranium (19)	60,860	38,840
Cadmium (24)	20,800	19,675	Vanadium (23)	36,000	27,000
Cobalt (22)	43,695	24,800	Tungsten (20)	51,244	30,995
Lithium (30)	4500 [1979]	4043 [1995]	Gold (32)	1797	2222
Manganese (4)	8650 × 10 ³	6699×10^{3}	Iron (1)	553,463 $ imes$ 10 $^{ m 3}$	528,981 $ imes$ 10 $^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$
Copper (3)	$9356 imes10^3$	11,386 $ imes$ 10 3			

 Table 1
 World Mining (Tonnes-Megagrams) of the Elements in 1988 and 1997^{1357, 1458}

^a In parentheses () is given an order based on mining quantity in 1988.

^b In brackets [] is given a year of mining other than in headings.

° [cp] is capacity of production.

^d Thorium is given as concentrated monacite, [c.m.].

	Production (Mt)				
Raw Product	In 1974	In 1998ª			
Coal, hard	2227	3975 (2916) [♭]			
Coal, lignite, brown	842	886			
Crude oil	2792	3600			
Natural gas ^c	1255	2350 ^d			

Table 2 World Demand for Raw Energy Materials

^a Source: Mining Magazine, September 1999.

^b Source: Mining Journal, Suppl. Review, 1999.

 $^{\circ}$ ln m 3 imes 10 9 .

d Data for 1997.



Figure 2 Trace metal uptake by barley and oats as influenced by the metal's origin, presented as multiple linear regression. Sources of metals: (a) anthropogenic, and (p) pedogenic. (Modified from Chlopecka,¹²⁵⁵ and Grupe and Kutze.¹⁰¹⁸)

II. AIR POLLUTION

Most air pollution has arisen from the burning of coal and other fossil fuels and from smelting of iron and nonferrous metals. The steady global increase of trace element concentrations in the atmosphere is illustrated in Table 3. Some trace pollutants, most likely Se, Au, Pb, Sn, Cd, Br, and Te, can exceed 1000 times their normal concentration in air. In general, elements that form volatile compounds, or are present at a lower particle radius, may be readily released into the atmosphere from the burning of coal and other industrial processes. Materials released by man's activities are not the only contribution to global air pollution; some natural sources such as eolithic

Table 3 Trace Elements in Air from Different Locations

Element			Shetland	I	Europe			America	
(ng m ⁻³)	South Pole	Greenland	Islands	Norway	West Germany	Japan	North	Central	South
AI	0.32-0.81	240–380	60	32	160–2900	40-10,600	600–2330	760–880	460-15,000
As	0.007	_	0.6	1.9	1.5–53	0.3-120	2–40	_	_
Be	_	_	_	_	0.9–4	5-100	0.1-0.3	_	_
Br	0.38-1.41	14–20	15	4.4	30.5-2500	1.6–150	6-1200	65–460	2–200
Cd	0.015	0.003-0.63	0.8	_	0.5-620	0.5-43	1–41	_	_
Cr	0.003-0.01	0.6-0.8	0.7	0.7	1–140	1.3–167	5–1100	1–2	1–8
Cu	0.03-0.06	_	20	2.5	8-4900	11-200	3–153	70-100	30-180
Fe	0.51-1.19	166–171	90	48	130-5900	47-14,000	829-2000	554-1174	312-9225
I	0.08	_	4	0.6	3–15	6	40-6000	_	_
Mn	0.004-0.02	2.8-4.5	3	3	9–210	5.3-680	60-900	14–16	4–330
Мо	_	_	0.2	_	0.2-3.2	_	1–10	_	_
Ni	_	_	4	1.2	4-120	1-150	120	_	_
Pb	0.19-1.2	15-22	21	_	120-5000	19–1810	45-13,000	0.2-317	11–344
Sb	0.001-0.003	0.9–45	0.4	0.3	2–51	0.13-63	1–55	0.8–15	1–24
Sn	_	_	_	_	1.5-800	_	10–70	_	_
Ti	_	_	10	2.6	22-210	5-690	10-230	_	_
V	0.0006-0.002	0.8-1.4	3	1.9	5–92	1.5-180	4–174	7–200	7–91
Zn (pg m⁻³)	0.002-0.051	18–41	15	10	550-16,000	14–6800	88–741	60–182	25–1358
Ce	0.8-4.9	_	100	60	360-14,000	100-18,000	20-13,000	_	_
Со	0.1-1.2	70–150	60	60	390-6790	44-6000	130-2200	250-650	120-360
Cs	_	_	40	20	60-1500	16-1500	70–300	_	_
Eu	0.004-0.02	_	4	_	5-80	7.3–27	10-1700	_	_
Hf	_	40-60	_	_	300	18–590	0.5-290	60-70	40-760
Hg	_	40-80	40	10	170-11,200	1600	70–3800	70-120	70–690
In	0.05	_	20	_	30-360	1200	20-140	_	_
La	0.2-1.4	50-110	200	30	610-3420	53-3000	490-9100	440	290-3400
Sc	0.06-0.21	30-40	15	5	30-700	5-1300	80-3000	150-220	60-3000
Se	4.2-8.2	170-360	500	260	150-11,000	160-21,000	60-30,000	280-1210	50-1530
Sm	0.03-0.09	10–12	10	3	240-420	9.8-320	70–1000	30-80	30-630
Та	_	10–30	_	_	_	6-100	50-280	20-50	20-150
Tb	_	1–5	_	_	10	_	19–34	8–27	20-120
Th	0.02-0.08	20-40	20	11	30-1000	16-1300	50-1300	10–20	30–1050
U	_	_	_	_	20ª	_	<500	_	—

^a Median values for Europe.

From References 94, 381, and 395.

dusts, volcanic eruptions, evaporation from water surfaces, and others should also be taken into account. Buat-Ménard⁹⁵⁷ calculated that emissions of trace elements in the Northern Hemisphere are several times higher than in the Southern Hemisphere and are about 80% and 30%, respectively, of anthropogenic origin. A sharp increase in technogenic fluxes of trace elements has occurred in recent times. Pacyna¹¹¹² estimated the anthropogenic emissions of trace elements in Europe. Calculated deposition of atmospheric trace pollutants on the land surface is (average, in g ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) 7 As; 0.05 Be; 3 Cd; 20 Cr; 17 Cu; 17 Ni; 156 Pb; 0.5 Se; 38 V; and 88 Zn. These values are fairly similar to those measured at the individual sites in Europe.¹¹¹²

Relatively high atmospheric concentrations in both southern and northern remote regions of the Earth are observed for Se, Sb, Pb, and Cd.¹²²² Calculated values of the enrichment index of elements for the atmospheric deposition in Norway are as follows:

- >1000 for As, Cd, and Sb
- >100 for Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn
- >10 for Cr, Sr, and V

The atmospheric deposition of trace elements, mainly the heavy metals, contributes to contamination of all other components of the biosphere (e.g., waters, soils, and vegetation). This deposition has been widely reviewed, especially by Rühling and Tyler,⁶⁶⁹ Folkeson,²³⁸ Jenkis,¹⁰³⁷ and Thomas,⁷⁸⁰ and it has been established that mosses and lichens are the most sensitive organisms to atmospheric pollution by trace metals, although sensitivity varies decidedly among species (see Chapter 2, Section V). The trend in atmospheric deposition of trace elements has been evaluated by Berg and Steinnes¹²²³ using a national moss survey based on 458 samples of the ground-growing moss *Hylocomium splendens* (Table 4). Between 1977 and 1990, the levels of most long-range transported elements

	•	• ·		(11	·
Element	Average	Range	Element	Average	Range
Ag	0.037	<0.003-0.24	Mn	310	28–2100
AI	380	110-10,000	Мо	0.23	<0.006–11
As	0.23	<0.1–2.8	Nb	0.11	<0.002–0.8
Ba	31	4.8-720	Nd	0.49	0.051–5.1
Be	0.023	<0.0004–0.37	Ni	3.6	0.41–240
Bi	0.033	0.0012-0.8	Pb	8.6	0.88–59
Cd	0.18	<0.005–1.7	Pr	0.13	0.013–1.5
Ce	1.1	0.12–13	Rb	13	1.2–74
Co	0.37	0.047-0.7	Sb	0.15	0.0083-4.3
Cr	2.6	0.21-290	Se	0.39	<0.5–2.9
Cs	0.26	0.019–3.1	Sm	0.1	<0.0007–0.94
Cu	7	2.1-160	Sn	0.25	<0.008-2.5
Dy	0.07	0.0028-0.53	Sr	15	2.8–51
Er	0.037	0.00045-0.29	Tb	0.013	0.00036-0.11
Eu	0.02	0.0023-0.12	Те	0.0052	<0.002-0.089
Fe	660	120-21,000	Th	0.07	0.004–5.1
Ga	1.1	0.14–16	Ti	53	12–310
Ge	1.1	0.24-11	TI	0.12	0.0022-1.4
Gd	0.095	0.0036-0.89	Tm	0.0048	<0.0001-0.039
Hf	0.0084	<0.003–0.41	U	0.05	0.0028-1.3
Hg	0.075	0.0023-0.48	V	2.8	<0.01–16
Ho	0.013	<0.0009-0.097	W	0.093	<0.002–1.5
La	0.55	0.067–6	Y	0.31	0.038-2.3
Li	0.22	0.027-2.6	Yb	0.032	<0.0003-0.28
Lu	0.0042	<0.0001–0.039	Zn	45	8.8–600
			Zr	0.35	0.057–12

 Table 4
 Concentration of Trace Elements in Moss (*Hylocomium splendens*) Growing in Norway, Collected in the Period 1990–1955 (ppm)

After Berg and Steinnes.¹²²³ (With kind permission from Elsevier Science and the authors.)

were reduced by more than 50%. Except for Pb, a further reduction in the atmospheric trace element deposition (especially trace metals) was observed in Norway, which can reflect general European trends.

The environmental characteristics of inorganic trace pollutants in air are the following:

- 1. Wide dispersion and long-distance transport
- 2. Bioaccumulation, most often affecting the chemical composition of plants without causing easily visible injury
- 3. Reaction in living tissues by disturbing the metabolic processes and by causing the reduction of sunlight entering plant tissues
- 4. Resistance to metabolic detoxification, therefore entering the food chain

Indirect effects of air pollutants through the soil are of real importance because of the largescale sustained exposure of soil to both wet and dry deposition of trace elements. These environmental effects should also be given greater attention.

III. WATER POLLUTION

Trace elements are present in natural waters (ground and surface), and their sources are associated with either natural processes or man's activities. The basic natural processes contributing trace elements to waters are chemical weathering of rocks and soil leaching. Both processes also may be largely controlled by biological and microbiological factors. The anthropogenic sources of trace elements in waters are associated mainly with mining of coal and mineral ores and with manufacturing and municipal waste waters. Water pollution by trace elements is an important factor in both geochemical cycling of these elements and in environmental health. The hydrocycle is the "crossroad" chain of trace element fluxes in each ecosystem and terrestrial ecosystem as well.¹¹³⁷ Ecological consequences of trace element pollution of waters are difficult to assess because, on the global scale, they are also likely to promote unpredictable geochemical processes.

Most trace elements, especially heavy metals, do not exist in soluble forms for a long time in waters. They are present mainly as suspended colloids or are fixed by organic and mineral substances. Thus, their concentration in bottom sediments or in plankton is most often an adequate indication of water pollution by trace elements. As Dossis and Warren¹⁹¹ concluded, sediments may be regarded as the ultimate sink for heavy metals that are discharged into the aquatic environment. On the other hand, easily volatile elements such as Br and I can reach higher concentrations in surface waters, from which they can also readily vaporize under favorable climatic conditions. Microbial alkylation of the group of metals including Hg, Se, Te, As, and Sn that occurs mainly in sediments and on suspended particles in waters is also of great importance in their mobility.³⁵⁹

Korzh¹³⁶⁹ estimated the global transfer of the elements from oceans *via* the atmosphere on the continents. Yearly deposits of elements, grouped according to amounts, is as follows (major elements are in parenthesis):

t/year \times 10⁰: Be, In, Eu, Tb, Tm, Lu t/year \times 10¹: Sc, Co, Ge, Nb, Ag, Sn, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Yb, Hf, Ta, Re, Au, Hg, Pb t/year \times 10²: Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu, Ga, Se, Y, Zr, Cd, W, Tl t/year \times 10³: Al, Ti, V, Ni, Zn, As, Sb, Cs, U t/year \times 10⁴: Li, Rb, Mo, I, Ba, (N, P) t/year \times 10⁵: B, F, (Si) t/year \times 10⁶: Br, Sr, (C) t/year \times 10⁷: (Mg, S, K, Ca) t/year \times 10⁸: Cl, (Na)

This is clear evidence that the transfer in the water-air-soil chain plays a very significant role in elemental cycling.



Figure 3 Vertical distribution of Zn, Cu, Pb, and Cd in a profile of the soil sludged during a period of 15 years and the distribution of As in light soil polluted by a metalliferous mine.^{297,395}

The contribution of anthropogenic trace pollutants is very high for many sea basins. As Matschullat¹⁴⁰⁴ calculated, the fluxes of metals to the Baltic Sea from anthropogenic sources of both river flow and atmospheric deposition amount to over 90% of total input of Cd, Hg, and Pb, and about 80% of Cu and Zn.

Both phytoplankton and vascular water plants are known to selectively concentrate trace elements. For example, the highest accumulation by mezoplankton is observed for Cd, Cu, and Zn, while seaweeds take up Cd, Zn, Mn, and Pb more readily.¹⁵¹² As a result of this selectivity, concentrations of some trace elements in waters may decrease in some seasons, while other elements may become soluble during the decay of plants. Concentrations of trace elements in both bottom sediments and aquatic plants of streams are known to be a useful tool in biogeochemical exploration and environmental research. Soluble fractions of trace cations are rapidly caught either by clays or organic compounds and deposited in bottom sediments, or they are absorbed by root tissues of aquatic plants. Thus, concentrations of trace metals in these samples of the aquatic compartment reflect geochemical anomalies of the bedrocks as well as different anthropogenic sources of pollutants. The biochemical mapping of Sweden, based on aquatic plants, includes quite a number of trace elements: As, Au, Ba, Cd, Cl, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mo, Nb, Ni, Pb, Rb, Se, Sr, U, V, W, Y, and Zn.^{953,1128}

Wastewater used on farmland is generally a source of several trace elements. Therefore, the possibility of contamination should limit this method of wastewater disposal because of the accumulation of hazardous amounts of heavy metals in surface soil (Figure 3).

Several limits are established for concentrations of trace elements in waters. The most crucial are health-related limits for potable waters.¹³⁵⁷ Limits for discharge waters are also of great importance for the total "geochem" balance in the environment.

IV. SOIL

A. Soil Contamination

Soil is a very specific component of the biosphere because it is not only a geochemical sink for contaminants, but also acts as a natural buffer controlling the transport of chemical elements and substances to the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and biota. However, the most important role of soil is its productivity, which is basic for the survival of humans. Thus, maintenance of the ecological and agricultural functions of soil is the responsibility of mankind.

Two terms—*soil contamination* and *soil pollution*—have been defined differently in various publications. The recent definition given by Knox et al.¹³⁶⁷ refers to soil contamination as soil whose chemical state deviates from the normal composition but does not have a detrimental effect to organisms. Pollution occurs when an element or a substance is present in greater than natural (background) concentrations as a result of human activity and has a net detrimental effect on the environment and its components. The authors stated: "From a plant, animal, and human health perspective, soils are not considered polluted unless a threshold concentration exists that begins to affect biological processes."

Metal pollution of soils is as old as man's ability to smelt and process ores, and has been documented, especially in Greenland's snow and ice.¹²⁴¹ The metal emission, mainly of Pb, is now observed on local, regional, and global scales, especially throughout Europe. This phenomenon goes back as far as the Bronze Age (2500 B.P.). Any age of cultural development has left metal pollution of the environment, stored mainly in soil, sediment, and ice. Ernst¹²⁹⁴ comprehensively reviewed ancient metal contamination from the Bronze Age to Roman times.

Trace elements originating from various sources may finally reach the surface soil, and their further fate depends on soil chemical and physical properties and especially on their speciation. Although the chemistry of soil contaminants has recently been the subject of many studies, our knowledge of the behavior of polluting trace elements is far from complete. The persistence of contaminants in soil is much longer than in other compartments of the biosphere, and contamination of soil, especially by heavy metals, appears to be virtually permanent. Metals accumulated in soils are depleted slowly by leaching, plant uptake, erosion, or deflation. The first half-life of heavy metals, as calculated by Iimura et al.³³⁶ for soils in lysimetric conditions, varies greatly—for Zn, 70 to 510 years; for Cd, 13 to 1100 years; for Cu, 310 to 1500 years; and for Pb, 740 to 5900 years. From the compilation of data given by Bowen,⁹⁴ the following residence time of trace elements in soils of temperate climate can be estimated: for Cd, 75 to 380 years; for Hg, 500 to 1000 years; and for Ag, Cu, Ni, Pb, Se, and Zn, 1000 to 3000 years. In soils of tropical rainforests, the rate of leaching of the elements is much shorter and is calculated at about 40 years. All similar estimations have clearly indicated that the complete removal of metallic contaminants from soils is nearly impossible.

The input–output balance of metals in soils discussed in Chapter 3, Section III.B, shows that trace metal concentrations in surface soil are likely to increase on a global scale, with growing industrial and agricultural activities. There are several indications that the composition of surface soil may be influenced by both local contamination and long-range transport of pollutants. Purves⁶³⁴ concluded that the extent of soil contamination in the urban environment is now so great that it is possible to identify most soils as urban or rural on the basis of their content of a few trace metals that are known to be general urban contaminants. The annual increment of heavy metals caused by dust fallout in Tokyo is estimated for Cd to be 0.05 ppm and for Pb and Mn to be about 0.5 ppm.³⁹⁵

Urban pollution has recently become a subject of many studies. De Mique et al.,^{1417a} based on the investigations of pollution in Oslo (Norway) and Madrid (Spain), have distinguished so-called "urban" pollutants: Ba, Cd, Pb, Sb, Ti, Zn, and to a smaller extent Cu. Results of several other investigations have indicated, however, that the pollution of cities is specific and varies with local conditions; for example, in Warsaw (Poland), the relative increase is noticed for Li, Ni, Zn, Cr, Pb, Ba, Sr, and Fe (Figure 4). During the 20 years from 1977 to 1997, a moderate increase in Pb, Cd, and Cu, but not in Zn, was noticed in soils along the streets of Warsaw: Cd from 6.0 to 10.0, Cu from 36 to 45, and Pb from 150 to 190 (average, ppm).¹²⁶⁸ An extreme increase of traffic intensity was also observed in Warsaw at that time. In Novosibirsk (Russia), relative increases were observed for As, Br, Cd, Cu, Hg, Mo, Pb, Zn, and Zr,¹³⁴⁰ and in Minsk (Belorussia) for Cd, Pb, and Cu.¹⁴⁵² In Siena (Italy), Ba and Pb were the most increased metals, and Ba has been proposed as a tracer for vehicle emissions, in place of Pb.¹⁴²³ However, Zn and Pb are the most commonly enriched



Figure 4 Variation in the content of trace elements in dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* Web.) leaves collected in Warsaw (1995) and presented as RDC values. (Modified from Kabata-Pendias and Krakowiak¹³⁵⁶).
 RDC, Relative Deviation to Constant; RDC = {[AM - RF]/RF} × 100; AM, Metal Contents of sample; RF, Reference Contents of Metals.

metals in the soils of many cities.¹³⁶¹ Birke and Rauch calculated the geogenic and anthropogenic fractions of the total accumulated metals in soils of the Berlin area.¹²²⁷ The relative (in % of total) anthropogenic accumulation is highest for Zn, Hg, Cu, and Cd (60 to 80%), medium for Ni, Pb, and Sn (50 to 60%), and low for Ag and Cr (20 to 30%). The lowest anthropogenic origin, 1%, is given for Zr.

The regional contamination of soils, as reported most commonly, occurs mainly in industrial regions and within centers of large settlements where factories, motor vehicles, and municipal wastes are the most important sources of trace metals. However, due to the long-distance aerial transport of trace pollutants, especially those that form volatile compounds (e.g., As, Se, Sb, and Hg), it has become difficult to estimate the natural background values for some trace elements in soils.

Several methods were developed to calculate background (pristine) contents of trace elements in soils. There is great demand for such data as reference values because entirely natural contents of trace elements do not currently exist. In general, these methods are based either on statistical calculations or on the relation of trace element to various soil parameters and geologic factors. Some of the best known methods are described below:

- 1. GB—*Geochemical Baseline*: values are calculated as concentration ranges bracketed by the GM/GD^2 to the $GM \times GD^2$. These encompass the central 95% of observed contents (after Tidball and Ebens¹⁵¹⁸).
- 2. IGD—Index of Geochemical Distribution: is calculated as: GM + 2GD. TM soil status: (a) "pristine": MC/IGD < 1, and (b) contaminated: MC/IGD > 1 (after Kabata-Pendias¹³⁵²).
- 3. IGL—Index of Geochemical Load: is calculated versus geochemical background: $\log_2 MC/BC \times 1.5$. TM soil status: (a) "pristine": IGL < 3, and (b) contaminated: IGL > 3 (after Müller¹⁴³⁸).
- 4. IPD—*Index of Pedogenic Distribution*: is based on the comparison of TM distributions in soils: (a) vertical, (b) lateral, and (c) typological (after Baize¹²¹²).
- 5. PEF—*Pedochemical Enrichment Factor*: is calculated *versus* Clarke's values of mother rocks and normalized to A1 contents: $[MC/Al_s]$: $[CC/Al_r]$, TM soil status: (a) "pristine": PEF < 1, and (b) contaminated: PEF > 1 (after Kabata-Pendias^{1349,1350}).
- 6. FSP—*Factor of Soil Parameters*: is based on the selection of the most significant soil factor that controls TM contents. The content of clay granulometric soil fraction (<0.02 mm) was established as a major soil factor for the evaluation of TM background concentrations within soil textural groups (after Kabata-Pendias and Krakowiak¹³⁵⁵).
- SCV—Spatial Concentration Variability: is based on geochemical baseline values and allows establishment of observed limits of values at a given distance from the source of pollution (after Gough and Crock¹³¹²).



Figure 5 Enrichment factor (EF) values for trace elements in surface sandy soils of Poland as compared with mean concentrations (*Clarke*) in sandstones, and normalized to Al contents. Degree of EF: I—decrease, II—relative stability, III—slight increase, IV—moderate increase, V—strong increase.¹³⁴⁹

 GIS and MA—Geographical Information System and Multivariate Analyses, and also other statistical methods, are used to differentiate anthropogenic from natural anomalies in soils and plant roots (after Zhang Selinus^{1572,1573}).

Abbreviations: TM = trace metals, GM = geometric mean, GD = geometric deviation, MC = measured value, BC = geochemical background content of a given element, CC = Clarke's value, SCF = soil clay fraction, $Al_s = Al$ content of soil, and $Al_r = Al$ content of rock.

Very useful are PEF and FSP indices. The first is calculated in relation to trace element contents of mother rocks against so-called "stable" elements (e.g., Al, Ti) (Figure 5), and the second is based on the relation between clay soil fraction and trace element contents. Also, the interpretation of routine survey data based on isoline plots has been used for classifying soil contamination.¹²⁷²

The estimation of critical time when a metal in soil will reach its ecologically critical concentration is a subject of recent studies. As Pačes¹⁴⁴⁷ calculated for a given agricultural catchment in the Czech Republic, the steady-state concentrations of Cu and Zn in the soils will never overshoot the critical load, while As, Cd, and Pb will reach the norms set for the soils after 4.5, 61, and 980 years, respectively.

Elevated concentrations of trace elements can also be of lithogenic (geogenic) origin. This was reported by Čurlik and Forgač¹²⁶⁷ for some alluvial soils, by Baize¹²¹⁴ for soils derived from limestones, and by Skiba¹⁴⁹⁸ for soil of southern Spitsbergen (Sorrkap Land) derived from mica shales. The highest amounts of metals in surface soils derived from mica shales are (ppm): Zn 90, Pb 34, and Cd 0.61.

In addition to aerial sources of trace pollutants, fertilizers, pesticides, and all sewage-derived materials have added to the trace element pool in soils. The mobilization of heavy metals from smelter and mine spoil by transport with seepage waters or by windblown dust may also be an important source of soil contamination in some industrial regions. The variability of trace element concentrations in materials used in agriculture is presented in Table 5. Goodroad,²⁷³ Piotrowska

Element	Sewage Sludges (70, 249, 593)	Phosphate Fertilizers (55, 94, 306, 381, 399, 701, 744, 755a, 809)	Limestones (20, 25, 249, 532)	Nitrogen Fertilizers (701, 875, 1357, 1362)	Manure (20, 25, 249, 532, 744)	Pesticides (%) (510)
As	2–26	2-1200	0.1-24.0	2–120	3–25 (150)⁵	22–60
В	15-1000	5-115	10	6	0.3–0.6	_
Ва	150-4000	200	120-250	_	270	_
Be	4–13	_	1	_	_	_
Br	20-165	3–5	_	6–716	16–41	20-85
Cd	2-1500	0.1–170	0.04-0.1	0.05-8.5	0.3–0.8	_
Ce	20	20	12	_	_	_
Co	2-260	1–12	0.4-3.0	5–12	0.3–24	_
Cr	20-40,600	66–245 ^b	10–15	3–19	5.2-55	_
Cu	50-3300	1–300	2-125	1–15	2–60	12–50
F	2-740	8500-38,000	300	82–212	7	18–45
Ge	1–10	_	0.2	_	19	_
Hg	0.1–55	0.01-1.2	0.05	0.3–3	0.09–0.2 (26) ^b	0.8-42
Mn	60-3900	40-2000	40-1200	_	30–550	_
Мо	1–40	0.1–60	0.1–15	1–7	0.05–3	_
Ni	16-5300	7–38	10–20	7–38	7.8–30	_
Pb	50-3000	7–225	20-1250	2–1450	6.6–15 (3500) ^b	60
Rb	4–95	5	3	2	0.06	_
Sb	_	_	_	2-600		_
Sc	0.5–7	7–36	1	_	5	_
Se	2–10	0.5–25	0.08-0.1	_	2.4	_
Sn	40-700	3–19	0.5-4.0	1.4–16	3.8	_
Sr	40-360	25–500	610	100–5420	80	_
Те	_	20–23	_	_	0.2	_
U	_	30–300	_	_		_
V	20-400	2–1600	20	_		45
Zn	700-49,000	50-1450	10–450	1–42	15–250	1.3–25
Zr	5–90	50	20	6–61	5.5	_

Table 5 Agricultural Sources of Trace Element Contamination in Soils (ppm DW)

Note: References are in parentheses.

^a Mainly ammonium sulfate.

^b Maximum concentration, after Crompton.¹²⁶⁴

and Wiacek,⁶²⁰ and Stenstrom and Vahter^{755a} reported that long-term use of inorganic phosphate fertilizers adds substantially to the natural levels of Cd, F, and U in soils, while other elements such as As, Cr, Pb, and V do not increase significantly. Effects of sewage sludge applications on soil composition are especially of great environmental concern and have been the subject of many studies and much legislation. Advisory standards and guidelines for safe addition of trace elements in sewage sludge to land is still in the stages of experiment and negotiation; however, several authors have given threshold values for the maximum addition of trace elements in one dose and over a period of time (Table 6). Despite some diversity of opinion, there is general agreement, especially regarding the maximum concentrations of heavy metals in soils. Maximum allowable limits set up for paddy soils in Japan are somewhat different.³⁹⁵ Cu content was established at 125 ppm (0.1 N HCl soluble) and As was established at 15 ppm (1 N HCl soluble) as critical for rice growth. The hazardous concentration in soils of Cd is limited by allowable Cd in rice, which should not exceed 1 ppm. It should be emphasized, however, that all the allowable limits need to be related not only to the given plant-soil system, but also to ratios between single elements as well as to their total burden in soil.

In several soils, the threshold levels have already been exceeded, either in gardens and orchards or in other locations, by contamination from industrial emissions or heavy and repeated applications of sewage sludges as well as in urban areas and soils near highways. A high heavy metal content of sludges is the most important hindrance to their use in agriculture. Although Purves⁶³⁴ reported that in practice the concern with using sludges commonly is only their phytotoxicity due to excesses of Zn, Cu, and Ni, their content of Cd in particular, as well as of Pb and Hg, should be of concern as serious health risks. As Andersson and Nilsson²⁵ have observed, long-term use of sewage sludge increased the soil levels of Zn, Cu, Ni, Cr, Pb, Cd, and Hg. Of these elements, however, only Zn, Cu, Ni, and Cd were increased in cereal grains, and Zn, Cu, Cr, and Pb were increased in cereal straw. Chaney¹²⁷ and Sikora et al.⁷²⁶ recommended higher doses of sewage sludges because of the relatively low availability of heavy metals to plants.

The immobilization of trace metals by sewage sludge is apparently due to the fixation of metals mainly in forms (operational) of oxides (Figure 6). In other soil conditions, however, the effect of sewage sludges can be different, and some trace metals can be mobilized as, for example, complexes with small organic molecules. As Crompton¹²⁶⁴ stated, we can only poorly understand what biotransformations from inorganic metals to organometallic compounds can occur when metal-contaminated sewage sludge is disposed of as fertilizer on agricultural land. The presence of inorganic metals in sewage sludge creates a potential for the formation of organometallic compounds by biosynthetic processes. Thus, an understanding of all factors influencing the phase distribution of metals in soils is a prerequisite to estimating the critical loads of metals and their effect on soil organisms. Beckett et al.⁵⁹ concluded that in addition to the commonly monitored levels of Cu, Ni, Zn, Cd, Cr, and Pb during the disposal of sewage sludge on farm land, it may be necessary to monitor levels of Ag, Ba, Co, Sn, As, and Hg and also possibly Mo, Bi, Mn, and Sb, until their likely accumulations in surface soil can be shown to be harmless.

Although trace metal-contaminated soils have gained much attention, the excess of other trace elements, such as B, Br, F, and Se can also be, in some regions, an environmental concern. In many cases, increased concentrations of these elements in surface soils is associated with soil salinity affected by irrigation/drainage practices and processes. Elevated concentrations of these elements may also be related to composition of parent material as well as to the impact of the chemical industry, coal combustion, and leaching from waste landfills.

The behavior and especially the phytoavailability of cations in soils are governed predominantly by their speciation as well as by several soil properties. The speciation and localization of metallic contaminants in soils are related to their chemical forms at the time of impaction. Aerial particles transporting trace metals are most common in mineral forms of oxides, silicates, carbonates, sulfates, and sulfides, and when originated from coal combustion, the glassy structure predominates. Trace metal species entering soils with sewage sludges differ based upon the source and the

	Austria ²⁰⁶	Poland ^{376a,1352}	Germany ¹¹³⁸	Russia ¹⁰¹¹	U.K. ⁹⁵⁹	U.S. ¹¹¹³	Germany ¹³⁰⁶	Euro Comm. ¹⁴⁰⁸	U.S. ¹⁴⁰⁸
Element	1977	1977 & 1993°	1984	1986	1987	1988	1992ª	1986	1993
As	50	30	20	2	10	14	_	_	_
В	100	—	25	—	—	—	—		—
Be	10	10	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Cd	5	1–3	3	_	3–15	1.6	1.5	1–3	20
Co	50	50	—	—	_	20	—	—	_
Cr	100	50-80	100	0.05°	_	120	100	50-150	1500
Cu	100	30-70	100	23 ^d	50	100	60	50-140	750
F	500	—	200	—	_	_	—	—	_
Hg	5	5	2	2.1	_	0.5	1	1–1.5	8
Mo	10	10	_	_	_	4	_	_	_
Ni	100	30–75	50	35	20	32	50	30–75	210
Pb	100	70–150	100	20	500-2000	60	100	50-300	150
Sb	_	10	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Se	10	10	10	_	_	1.6	_	_	_
V	_	150	_	150	_	_	_	_	_
Zn	300	100–300	300	110	130	220	200	150–300	1400

 Table 6
 Maximum Allowable Concentrations (MAC) of Trace Elements in Agricultural Soils Proposed or Given in the Directives in Various Countries, and Different Years (ppm DW)

^a Limits for soil pH > 6.

^b Range for very light acid soil and for heavy neutral soil, respectively.

^c Value given for Cr⁶⁺ fraction.

^d Value given for soluble pool of the metal.

© 2001 by CRC Press LLC



Figure 6 Impact of sewage sludge on fractionation of Zn and Pb in medium sandy soil (A), and loamy soil (B). Fractionation according to Tessier et al.¹¹⁶²: I—exchangeable, II—carbonate, III—oxide, IV—organic, V—residual. (Modified from Manko.¹³⁹⁹)

treatment of wastes. The forms associated with sesquioxides and with compounds bound to organic residual fractions usually predominate in sludges of municipal origin. When wastes are mixed with some industrial effluents, however, the speciation of metals greatly differs based upon the discharged forms from factories.

Effects of excessive levels of trace metals on soil properties depend on complex reactions between the trace cations and other components of all soil phases—solid, liquid, and gaseous. These reactions have been broadly studied in recent years, and several principles, mechanisms, and models (including the GEOCHEM computer program) were established and proposed by the authors.^{954,1153,1154} The chemical forms governing the mobility and phytoavailability of trace metals and residence times of pollutants in soil layers are the main subjects of mechanistic modeling and calculations. The mobile fraction of trace metals behaves like bivalent cations in soil phases and is controlled by dynamic equilibria between solid and liquid phases. However, the complexity of all possible reactions in natural heterogeneous soil systems needs more data for an appropriate prediction of ecological consequences of the soil pollution with trace metals. The fate of these metals in soils depends upon many soil processes, which can be generalized as follows:

- 1. Dissolution
- 2. Sorption
- 3. Complexation
- 4. Migration
- 5. Precipitation
- 6. Occlusion
- 7. Diffusion (into minerals)
- 8. Binding by organic substances
- 9. Absorption and sorption by microbiota
- 10. Volatilization

All these processes are governed by several soil properties, of which soil pH and redox potential are known to be the most important parameters. Thus, the solubility of trace metals is often shown as a function of pH affected by the amount and kind of organic matter (Figure 7). Also other soil



Figure 7 Concentrations of trace metals in equilibrium solutions of sandy gleyic podzol. (Modified from Brümmer and Herms.⁹⁵⁶)

factors, such as CEC, carbonates, Fe and Mn hydrous oxides, clay minerals, and fine granulometric fractions are known to play significant roles in the behavior of trace elements. Frequent association of trace element pollution with the acid deposition (mainly SO_2 and NO_x) greatly complicates overall ecological disturbance created by the chemical degradation of soils.

Soil contaminated with heavy metals can produce apparently normal crops that may be unsafe for human or animal consumption. Kloke³⁹⁸ calculated that if the content of Hg, Cd, and Pb in the soil is not higher than the threshold values (Table 6), it can be expected that the contents of these metals in human diets will not exceed weekly tolerable intakes established by FAO/WHO. Therefore, safe use of sewage sludge must be assessed on the basis of a safe addition of trace metals into soils.

Permissible levels of trace elements, particularly heavy metals, used on farmland can be calculated based on several factors. Thus, permissible levels of trace elements in surface soils differ highly based on local conditions (Table 6). For example, the estimates based on geochemical principles and general hygienic limitations proposed by Goncharuk and Sidorenko¹⁰¹¹ and Niezbizhskaya and Saet¹¹⁰⁰ are much lower than those calculated for the protection of vegetation, given by El-Bassam and Tietjen,²⁰⁶ Kitagishi and Yamane,³⁹⁵ and Kloke.³⁹⁸ It is most important, however, to evaluate acceptable application rates in relation to:

- 1. Initial trace element content of soil
- 2. Total amount added of one element and of all heavy metals
- 3. Cumulative total load of heavy metals
- 4. Heavy metal dose limitation
- 5. Equivalency of trace element toxicity to plants
- 6. Threshold values of trace element concentrations in soils
- 7. Relative ratios between interacting elements
- 8. Soil characteristics (e.g., pH, free carbonates, organic matter, clay content, and moisture)
- 9. Input-output balance
- 10. Plant sensitivity

The most crucial issue is the establishment of MAC values for arable soil and particularly for garden and commercially grown crop soils. MAC values for such soils in Poland are related to soil variables.^{1352,1354}

Lewin and Beckett⁴⁶⁹ comprehensively reviewed monitoring of heavy metal accumulation in agricultural soils treated with sewage sludge and pointed out that it would be unreasonable to assume, without checks, whether heavy metals in soil will become immobilized with time or not. Williams et al.¹¹⁸⁶ carried out a 9-year study on metal mobility in sludged soils. The increased soil acidity and the high percentage availability of metals in sludged soils did not result in an increased metal movement within soil profile.

Different soil types, plant species, and growing conditions contribute to the divergent influences of soil contamination on trace element status in plants. Some authors use a term, "soil resistance to heavy metal contamination," which is related to the critical levels of metallic pollutants that exhibit toxic effects on plants and environments. This term is largely related to the cation exchange capacity (CEC) of soils (see Chapter 3, Section III.C). Usually, the resistance of a nonacid heavy soil with a higher content of organic matter exceeds several times the resistance of a light sandy acid soil.

Contaminated soils display considerable variations in the mechanisms and strength by which metals are retained. A general effect of the contamination is the reduced ability of soils to further metal adsorption.¹⁴¹⁵ This is very significant in the assessment of pollutant loading rates and in the understanding of the long-term behavior of trace metals in soils.

Increasing acidification during recent decades has led to ecological disruption observed in many European countries. A steady increase of hydrogen ions in top soils resulting mainly from emitted sulfur and nitrogen dioxides leads to an imbalance of all nutrients, and further, to destruction of natural buffering properties of soils. A common effect of these changes is an increased mobility of all cations. A high rate of trace metal mobility in soils affects the increase of both bioavailability and leaching down soil profiles into water systems. Loamy neutral soils may accumulate a higher amount of trace elements with much less environmental risk. However, a general chemical imbalance of such soils usually results in decreased biological activity, decreased or increased pH, and, as a further consequence, in degradation of organic and mineral sorption complexes.

Contamination of agricultural and forest soils has already become relatively common and is likely to continue. Noticeable, also, is the fact that most often soils become contaminated by several metallic pollutants that are accompanied quite frequently by acid rains (mainly SO_2 , NO_x , and HF). Such an association of pollutants in soil greatly complicates their impact on the environment. Trace elements as well as other inorganic and organic pollutants are known to accumulate in surface soils as a result of both contamination from point sources (industrial, urban, and agricultural) and from long-range aerial transport. However, soils and other surficial sediments cannot act as permanent storage for immobile trace elements. The remobilization processes will again transfer these elements into biogeochemical cycles, and as a consequence, will disturb the intricate interrelationship of the flow of elements and energy in the soil-plant-animal system (Figure 1).

The state of our knowledge about the impact of trace inorganic pollutants on soil ecological variables is relatively broad. There is not enough data, however, to attempt to set up definite values for criteria needed to protect soils against the long-term effects of trace element pollution. Some preliminary values have, however, been proposed as guidelines for primary hazard assessments. These guidelines for threshold levels, "trigger concentrations," of trace elements, and particularly trace metals, will differ from place to place to meet the variable ecological conditions of each region or country. They should, however, be based on similar standard methods and experimental procedures for the measurement of the content of each element. A number of observed ecological standards are often used to assess the significance of trace metal levels in soils. These include:

NOEC-No Observed Ecological Consequences

HNOAEC-Highest No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration

LKE-Lowest Known Effect (or Level)

LOEC-Lowest Observed Effect Concentration

PAA—Permissible Annual Application

MAL—Maximum Allowable Loading

MPL—Maximum Permissible Loading

- MCA-Maximum Cumulative Amount
- RMCL—Recommended Maximum Contaminant Levels
- ATL—Action Trigger Level (the concentration above which remedial measures and treatments are necessary)
- ELD-Ecosystem Lethal Dose
- LOAEC-Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Concentration

Approaches to setting limits for trace elements, MAC, are based on fundamental criteria such as: (1) transfer of trace elements (mainly metals) to various organisms and to man; (2) assessment of the likely harmful effects; (3) estimation of two threshold values, LOAEC and HNOAEC; and (4) evaluation of metal balance (input/output) of metals. Values given in the Second Edition compared to those cited now (Table 6) indicate a tendency for more liberal limits, especially for metals of concern in agriculture practice and food production: Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, and Zn. A comparison of the U.S. (1993) maximum cumulative pollutant loading limits in soils treated with sewage sludge with limits proposed in Austria (1977) for agricultural soils treated with municipal sludge (Table 6) reveals a significant increase in MAC, from about 1.6 times for Hg to 15 times for Cr. The proposed increase for Cd-MAC for > 6 to 20 times is a subject of special concern. As McGrath et al.¹⁴⁰⁸ stated: "Differences in the philosophy behind environmental protection and in the choices of which organisms to protect explain the different metal limits for sewage sludge which have been adopted in the countries examined."

In addition to general ecological effects, criteria should take into account damaging effects on crops, injury to animals—particularly the hazards associated with the ingestion of soil during grazing—and possible accumulation effects that may occur in the food chain. The possible contamination of human food is an especially urgent problem. To ensure safe quality of the food-chain products, it is useful to standardize the allowable loadings of contaminants (MAL) to agricultural land.

Values for any critical concentration or loading of trace elements that can be established at the present time should be regarded as tentative and approximate assessments that may be changed with improvements in the database and understanding of the significance of the presence of particular elements in particular soils, farming practices, or ecosystems. There is a real need for critical examination of the crucial questions concerning the standards that should be used to establish the criteria for "safe" limits for trace element contents of soils. Several proposals show a general agreement for most individual elements (Table 6), but ultimate accepted values should take into account possible interactions between different trace elements and between trace elements and other components of soils. Data presented in Table 6 are for the total contents of trace elements. Agreement on criteria based on the measurements of the amounts of "available" elements will present further problems because of the difficulty in deciding if "availability" should be measured in biological or chemical terms, and the exact way in which either can be measured.

B. Soil Remediation

As Kobabayashi et al. reported, some of the first actions for soil remediation were in Japan, where an excess of soil Cd was removed by repeated treatment with EDTA solution and lime (the Cd content of the surface soil decreased from 27.9 to 14.4 ppm).⁴⁰⁵

Recently, there has been a virtual explosion of various papers and books on soil remediation. General reviews on this topic are given in several publications; see, for example, Adriano et al.,¹¹⁹⁹ Knox et al.,¹³⁶⁷ and Vangronsveld and Cunningham.¹⁵²⁸

Proposed methods for cleaning soils degraded with metals have been reviewed initially by Rulkens et al.¹⁴⁷² Five main procedures to clean contaminated soil are described:

- 1. Removal of contaminants by molecular separation. Extraction and desorption treatments.
- 2. Removal of particulate contaminants by phase separation. Froth flotation and other extractive treatments.

- 3. Removal of contaminants by chemical/thermal destruction. *In situ* vapor extraction and other treatments.
- 4. Removal of contaminants by biodegradation of substances containing trace metals.
- 5. Removal of contaminants by biological absorption (phytoextraction) or biological mobilization.

Conventional, most commonly used remediation technologies, as described by Saxen et al.¹⁴⁸¹ include:

- · Soil flushing
- · Pneumatic fracturing
- Solidification/stabilization
- Vitrification
- Electrokinetics
- Chemical reduction/oxidation
- Soil washing
- Extravagation, off-site disposal

A large number of techniques have been developed using these procedures, but only a few have been applied successfully in practice. At present, there is extraordinary interest in bioextraction methods using bioremediation, phytomelioration, and phytomining.^{1198,1266,1409} It is necessary to emphasize that restoring the soil's original properties may never be achieved, especially using technical methods. However, good restoration of soil properties can give good results when using some of the best technology for a given kind of soil and for the type and concentration of the contaminants. Phytoextraction and phytoremediation using plants that hyperaccumulate trace metals, especially when transgenic plant species can be used, seem very promising. Black¹²²⁸ has reviewed economic and practical aspects of phytoremediation, and pointed out environmentally crucial issues such as the potential impact of hyperaccumulator plants on wildlife.

The problem of concern in soil remediation actions is the cost. Phytoremediation techniques are likely to be less costly than those based on conventional technology. However, as McGrath¹⁴¹⁰ stated, full costs of plant-based techniques will not be known until mature technology is offered and applied. At present, a real demand for phytoextraction techniques is to increase the yield of plants that hyperaccumulate metals and increase the mobility of metals in soils.

Some experiments using earthworms to clean polluted soils were also conducted.¹⁴⁸⁶ Effects of this method vary with the specific conditions, and some elements are observed to be more easily taken up by earthworms (e.g., Cd and I) than others (Mn and Cs). The role of metal-resistant bacteria in soils has also been considered. Such bacteria can make plants more tolerant to metal pollution sites.¹³⁸⁷

Agricultural practices have also been applied to soil remediation by immobilization of trace metals. Most commonly, the uptake of metals by plants is diminished by keeping a neutral soil pH, and by amendments with materials having a high capacity to bind metals in possibly slightly mobile fractions. These are mainly phosphorites, zeolites, montmorillonites, humic organic matter, and some wastes (e.g., fly-ash). The beneficial effects of these treatments are broadly discussed by several authors.^{1266,1529} The mechanism by which zeolites reduce labile metal concentrations in soils has been recently discussed by Edwards et al.¹²⁸⁹ The immobilized metals are either retained in the synthetic zeolites or precipitated as metal carbonate or oxide as the soil pH increases. Zeolites have been shown to be resistant to degradation in the soil over a period of 3 months.

Trace metal mobility in soils is known to be related to land use. Usually, metals in forest soils are more easily mobilized (e.g., bioavailable and leached) than metals in agricultural soils. This is directly related to the higher acidity of soils and to a higher presence of low-molecular-weight organic substances in forest soils than in arable ones. This phenomenon will be an environmental concern in the future due to programs of forestation of poor agricultural quality soils, especially in Europe.

A new method recently presented by Mench et al.¹⁴¹³ is based on the affinity of Fe and Mn oxides (or sulfates) to adsorb and occlude several trace elements from the ambient solution. Application of steel shots containing mainly Fe (over 90%), and oxides of other metals in negligible amounts (below 1%) resulted in immobilization of considerable amounts of trace metals in soil. Steel shots affect the uptake by plants of As, Zn, Cu, Ni, and Pb. The effect in Cd immobilization is apparently less successful.¹⁴¹⁴ Also, Al-montmorillonite reveals higher binding properties for Zn than for Cd.¹²¹¹ In each case of metal fixed by binding materials, the question arises as to the persistence of this immobilization, under what soil conditions, and in what time frame could it turn into a mobilizing effect.

V. PLANTS

The significant role of plants in both cycling of trace elements and contaminating the food chain has been well illustrated for various ecosystems and published in numerous papers. Plants can accumulate trace elements, especially heavy metals, in or on their tissues due to their great ability to adapt to variable chemical properties of the environment: thus, plants are intermediate reservoirs through which trace elements from soils, and partly from waters and air, move to man and animals. As Tiffin.⁷⁸⁹ has concluded, plants may be passive receptors of trace elements (fallout interception or root adsorption), but they also exert control over uptake or rejection of some elements by appropriate physiological reactions.

Using plant chemical status for geochemical prospecting is a very old practice in exploration of metal ores. Some of the first scientists who provided geochemical background information for plantbased prospecting were Vernadsky¹⁵³² and Warren.⁸⁴⁸ Recent reviews of biogeochemical prospecting are presented by Dobrovolsky¹²⁸⁰ and Kovalevsky.¹³⁷² The response of plants to the chemistry of the environment is controlled by several external and biochemical factors. Nevertheless, the chemical analysis of plants is a promising tool to study chemical properties and changes in the lithosphere.

Plants reveal a variable, and sometimes specific ability to absorb trace elements from soil. The ratio of an element in plants to its concentration in soil, a so-called Biological Absorption Coefficient (BAC), is widely used for comparing different plants (Figure 23 in Chapter 4). Three general uptake characteristics can be distinguished in plants: accumulation, indication, and exclusion. To a large extent, this depends on the specific ability of plants. A huge difference in metal uptake between plant species, and also between genotypes of a species, has been demonstrated in many studies. Comprehensive information on this is given in recent publications edited by Brooks,¹²⁴⁴ and by Prasad and Hagemeyer.^{1459,1460}

The biota reveal the potential to develop a resistance upon anthropogenic loads of trace elements to ecosystems. However, the biodiversity and biological activity of polluted ecosystems are very poor, as commonly observed in the vicinity of industrial plants, and especially metal smelters.

One of the basic environmental problems relates to the quantities of accumulated metals in plant parts used as food. Special attention should also be given to the forms of metals distributed within plant tissues, for the metal forms in plants seem to have a decisive role in metal transfer to other organisms. The chemical quality of plant food is a matter of public concern and is of primary importance in the program of consumer and health protection. In the past decade, several expert committees, especially under joint FAO/WHO programs, have been working on the toxicological evaluation of trace element levels in plant foodstuffs. There are already a number of governmental and international laws on threshold levels of so-called *toxic metals* (mainly Cd, Pb, Hg, and As) in plant food. The calculation of two values—Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) and Provisional Tolerable Weekly Intake (PTWI)—is presently regarded as the most suitable assessment of health risk due to increased and/or unbalanced trace elements in food and forage plants. Survival studies carried out to establish range and mean concentrations of selected trace elements in crop plants (Table 7) present data for Poland.

(()					
Plant	Cd	Zn	Pb	Cu	Ni
Cereal grain (6500)ª	0.06	33.3	0.23	0.44	3.74
Potato tubers ^b (5680)	0.11	19.9	0.33	0.61	4.46
Grass (3760)	0.12	31.6	0.89	0.84	5.51

 Table 7
 Trace Metals in Some Crop Plants of Poland, Geometric Mean (ppm)¹⁵¹⁴

^a In parentheses, number of samples collected in the period 1992–1996.

^b Unpeeled tubers.



Figure 8 Response of young barley plants to heavy metal concentrations in their tissues. Asterisk indicates concentration of metals is given in powers of ten. (Modified from Beckett et al.⁵⁹)

The study of the chemistry of soils and plants in relation to the incidence of geographically distributed diseases is the basis for the discipline of medical geochemistry. It is a relatively new (initiated by Låg in 1980)¹³⁸⁵ but emerging field, needing a better understanding of specific cycling and the pathway of a trace element in a given environment. Plant/metal data given broadly in the literature should be useful for hazard assessment in health and agriculture.

The current database of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency contains nearly 25,000 records on 21 metals in plants as related to the uptake, accumulation, and translocation by vascular plants. The highest number of records, over 1000, are for the Zea, Phaseolus, and Triticum families.¹⁴³⁹ A high relative proportion of all metal records is for: Cu 18.6%, Zn 17%, Cd 14.4%, and Pb 9%. Below 1% are records for V, Cs, Th, Sb, Pt, Be, Sn, and U. The conclusions of that review indicate that Cd and Hg are considered among the more toxic metals. The most frequently identified metals causing biological/ecological harmful effects are Pb, Cs, As, Cr, Zn, Ni, and Cu. However, these effects were primarily observed in freshwater/wetland habitats rather than in terrestrial habitats.

Several authors have observed that the yield of various crops can be decreased due to metallic pollution (Figure 8). The generalized effects of metal concentrations in nutrient solution on yield and metal content of plants are shown in Figure 9. Most important, however, are the biological and health effects on man and animals caused by metallic pollution in plants. This subject has been reviewed in detail in many textbooks on environmental health.

Each case of plant pollution is unique and should be studied for a specific environment. There is an increasing awareness that results of studies based on simulation-type systems cannot be related



Figure 9 Generalized effects of trace metal concentrations in nutrient solution on yield and metal content of plants.

to those in a natural system. This fact is supported by de Vries and Tiller,⁸³¹ who reported a much lower absorption of heavy metals by lettuce and onions grown in a market garden soil than by those grown under greenhouse and miniplot conditions.

Trace pollutants entering plant tissues are active in metabolic processes, but can also be stored as inactive compounds in cells and on the membranes. In each case, however, they may affect the chemical composition of plants without causing easily visible injury. The most common symptoms of phytotoxicity of several trace elements are rather nonspecific and are described in detail in Table 40.

A. Biomonitoring

Plants are good indicators of the health of the soil in which they are growing. Plants also respond directly to the state of air. The tops of all plants are collectors for all air pollutants, and their chemical composition may be a good indicator for contaminated-areas when it is assessed against background values obtained for unpolluted vegetation. Mosses and lichens are known to be the most sensitive indicators of atmospheric pollution.^{1037,1135} Several other plants and plant organs are shown to be suitable indicators of pollution of soil and aquatic environments (see Chapter 5, Section VIII). Nettle is highly recommended by Ernst and Leloup^{990a} as a better biomonitor for metals, especially for Fe, than the other perennial herbs. A preferred phytoindicator is the common dandelion.^{1278,1356,1470} Compared to green plants, mushrooms can concentrate a large amount of several trace metals, and are also good indicators for environmental pollution.^{414,635,1008,1056} Mushrooms are known as the most sensitive accumulators of Se, for which concentrations are about a thousand times higher than in green plants (see Figure 23). While Cd can be accumulated to high concentration ratios, Pb seems to be excluded from mushrooms. Cu and Zn levels are higher in mushrooms than in associated vascular plants, but their concentration ratios (against soil) are relatively low.^{1008,1045} Moss analyses, however, provide more suitable and reliable techniques for survey of atmospheric metal deposition. Rühling et al.¹¹³⁵ described a broad program of environmental monitoring in the Nordic countries based on the survey of metals in mosses. These authors showed that the concentrations of metals in mosses (Hylocomium splendens and to some extent Pleurozium schreberi) give a linear relationship with the bulk metal precipitation (wet and dry deposition) on the log-log graph. The sorption and retention of trace metals by mosses differ, being usually the highest for Pb and Cu and lowest for Cd and Zn.



Figure 10 Trends in decreasing Zn, Cd, Ni, and Pb contents in moss species (*Hylocomium splendens* and *Pleurozium schreberi*) in the central part of Sweden during the decades 1960 to 1980.¹¹³⁵

Trends observed by Rühling et al.¹¹³⁵ in decreasing of metal contents of mosses during recent decades (1968–1985) indicate a reduced emission of Zn, Cd, Ni, and Pb (Figure 10). Emission for Cr dropped rapidly during the first period only. The concentrations of As, Cd, Cu, and V in mosses did not change significantly, although there were regional variabilities. Trace metal contents of mosses follow closely the regional pattern of metal distribution in humus soil horizons.¹¹²⁸

Since 1977, monitoring has been carried out for 53 chemical elements in moss (*Hylocomium splendens*) collected from 458 sites in Norway.¹²²³ The last results from 1995 have clearly indicated the decrease of most elements to about 59%. These are mainly trace elements transported over a long distance in the atmosphere: Ag, As, Cd, Bi, Hg, Mo, Pb, Sn, T1, V, and Zn. This is obviously due to highly limited industrial emission in western Europe. The greatest decrease in Pb content of mosses is related to elimination of leaded petrol. The deposition of some metals (e.g., Co, Cu, and Ni) transported from a northeastern direction has not changed during that period. Similar observations of the decreased deposition of trace metallic pollutants are reported for Finland during the period 1985 to 1990,¹³⁸¹ and for Bulgaria during the period 1986 to 1994.¹²⁷⁸ The trace metal concentrations in pine bark correlate relatively well with contents of lichens and mosses in Finland and in the Kola Peninsula. Some metals, such as Cu, Co, Ni, Fe, and Ti, were much more concentrated in the bark of trees from the Kola Peninsula than that from Finland.¹⁴⁵⁷ However, the great impact of climatic factors on the deposition of metals on leaf surface and bark must be considered and requires further investigation.¹⁵³³

Trace metal contents of the same species of moss were investigated in Poland in 1994,¹⁴¹⁷ and in Alaska in 1995.¹³¹² Reported concentrations of several trace metals were lower than those found in Norwegian moss. Somewhat similar contents of trace metals are reported for mosses (*Hylocomium splendens* and *Pleurozobium schreberi*) collected in the period 1994 to 1995 from 34 sites in Estonia.¹²⁰⁰ Although there were some sites with elevated levels of metals, the most common concentrations were (in ppm): Cd 0.7–0.8, Co < 0.3, Cu 5–7, Ni 1–1.3, Pb 7.5–10, V < 3, and Zn 40–50. Similar projects were carried out from 1994 to 1996 in the Czech Republic, Ireland, and the U. K.^{1239,1301,1469} Samples of mosses were hardly found in the Czech Republic. Ranges of common concentrations of trace metals in mosses were fairly similar to those reported for Finland. Only in the English Midlands region were the highest average contents found for (in ppm): Pb 42, Zn 92, and V 8.

Berries and mushrooms grown in forests are also good in bioassays of pollution. Plants grown in the vicinity of the Ni–Cu smelter complex at Monchesgorsk, Kola Peninsula, Russia, show a linear

correlation between the metal content of fruits and their concentration in soil.¹²¹⁶ Berries (*Vaccinium vitis-ideae* and *Empetrum hermaphroditum*) had up to about 30 ppm Cu and 25 to 40 ppm Ni on soils with 3000 ppm of both metals, whereas the most sensitive mushrooms (*Russula vesca*) contained Ni and Cu at levels of 125 ppm and 133 ppm, respectively. Mushrooms from Japanese forests are also broadly used in bioassays of trace element pollution.¹⁵⁶⁴

A broad study on the distribution of trace metals in the forest ecosystem near the city of Serpukhov (120 km southeast of Moscow) was carried out by Zolotareva et al.¹⁵⁷⁸ The authors observed that Mn is likely to concentrate in leaves, whereas all other metals are distributed variably among the parts of trees and herbs. The ability of plants to uptake elements, expressed as the transfer factor, is similar for trees, grasses, and mosses; the highest values were always for Mn and Zn, and the lowest for Fe and Pb.

Several recent research projects have been carried out on the environmental impact of the past anthropogenic activities. It has been shown that rainwater-fed bogs (ombotrophic *Sphagnum* bogs) can be used as archives of atmospheric dust deposition.¹³⁶³ As Shotyk¹⁴⁸⁸ reported, As, Cu, Pb, and Zn are more abundant in surface and near-surface peat layers compared to deeper parts of the profile. The maximum enrichment factors are approximately 5 times for Cu, 15 times for As, and 30 times for Pb, Sb, and Zn. Variations in the Ti concentrations of peat correspond to changes in soil dust inputs due to soil wind erosion and agricultural development, whereas Pb is an indicator of past mining and smelting activities. The increase in Pb contents in Europe dates back to Roman times, but the highest rates of increase in the enrichment factor are for the Middle Ages as well as for modern times in central Europe.¹³⁶³ More than 99.9% of each of Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, and Zn²⁺ is bound to an organic phase, either the solid phase or in solution in peat bog pores. This explains a chemical mechanism by which metal migration in the peat profiles may be significantly retarded.¹⁴⁸⁷

Peat-bog records of trace metal accumulation are considered a measure of total net deposition. As Nikolishin et al. described the long-distance aerial distribution of Pb and Zn resulted in an increase in their concentrations in sphagnum peat in the remote area of Siberia during the last half century 1910 to 1970, from below 30 to over 100 ppm AW.¹¹⁰¹

Tree rings have recently been used to examine past environments. Each ring represents 1 year of growth, thereby preserving evidence of past conditions. Geochemists studied the radial distribution of Zn and Mo in cottonwood from the Summiville mine areas in Colorado, and found increased levels of Cu, Zn, and Mo in tree rings after mining activity.¹³⁶⁴ The interpretation of the chemistry of tree rings, however, is complicated by several processes affecting the incorporation of elements into wood tissue. The spatial distribution of water transport, damage to tree roots, and cation binding capacity in the xylem are some factors that govern tree-ring chemistry. Therefore, additional information on the sites and ways of trace metal deposition in woods is needed for a correct dendroanalytical interpretation of element distribution. As Brackhagen et al.¹²⁴² observed, radial distribution patterns of Cd and Zn in stems of Scots pine trees did not correspond with the known timing of pollution inputs to respective forest stands. However, concentrations of Cd and Zn in stem wood were higher in trees growing on contaminated plots.

Soils and Soil Processes

I. INTRODUCTION

A valid concept of the nature of soil must avoid the common error that soil is simply a mixture of unconsolidated material resulting from the weathering processes of underlying rocks. Soil is a natural body, having both mineral and organic components as well as physical, chemical, and biological properties. Soil properties, therefore, cannot be a simple reflection of the combined properties of all soil components.

Any classification of soils suffers from the disadvantage that it is impossible to relate it to the great complexities of soil genesis and properties. The terms used in defining the soils in different systems seldom are exactly equivalent. The definitions of soil units used in this book are adopted from FAO/UNESCO.²²⁶ Short descriptions of the soil units are presented in Table 8. The names of soils described in this book were taken from the original publications, translated, and the associated soil characteristics were fitted, insofar as possible, into the soil units of the FAO/UNESCO system.

The composition of soils is extremely diverse and, although governed by many different factors, climatic conditions and parent material predominate most commonly. An approximation of soil composition is shown in Figures 11 and 12. Soil is composed of three phases—solid (mineral and organic), liquid, and gaseous—and exhibits properties resulting from the physical and chemical equilibriums of these phases. Moreover, not only the chemical composition of the solid components of soil, but also its mineral structure and the state of dispersion are important factors influencing soil properties.

Although trace elements are minor components of the solid soil phase, they play an important role in soil fertility. A knowledge of the association of trace elements with particular soil phases and their affinity to each soil constituent is the key to a better understanding of the principles governing their behavior in soils. The "normal concentrations" of trace elements in soils are of great interest as background values needed for any assessment of the degree of soil contamination. Recent surveys of trace elements in soils are based on the calculation of geometric means and baselines.^{976a,985,1012,1047} The database used in this book, however, allows only the estimation of the concentration ranges and arithmetic means which are presented for several trace elements in the selected soil units (Table 9). These data give the approximate information on possible concentrations of the trace elements in uncontaminated soils.

Two stages are involved in the formation of soil from parent material. The first is the alteration of the primary mineral constituents of the parent rocks by the physical and chemical processes of weathering. The second stage (pedogenesis) results in the formation of a soil profile from the weathered rock material, leading to the development of a mature zonal soil as the end point of the interacting processes. Weathering and pedogenic processes cannot be easily distinguished and separated because they may take place simultaneously at the same sites and most commonly they are closely interrelated. They will be discussed separately, however, in chapter subsections.

		World	USDA	Certain Old	Predominating Soil-Forming	
Name of Unit	Symbol	Distribution	Equivalent	Names	Factor	Short Description of Solis
Fluvisole	1	2 40	Fluvente		3	Recent alluvial deposits, little alteration
Gloveole	G	2.40		Right oarths or	a	Soile formed from various materials with
aleysois	G	4.75	suborders	hydromorphic soils	C	hydromorphic properties mainly within the top horizon
Regosols	R	10.10	Orthens, Psamments	—	а	Little altered, unconsolidated parent rock
Arenosols	Q		Psamments (part)	—	а	Soils formed from sand, no diagnostic horizons
Lithosols	I	—	Lithic subgroups	—	а	Shallow soils over hard rock
Rendzinas	E	17.17	Rendolls	Rendzinas	а	Shallow soils over limestones
Rankers	U		Lithic, Haplumbrets	—	а	Shallow soils formed from recent siliceous deposits, little alteration
Andosols	Т	0.76	Andepts	Volcanic soils	а	Soils formed from recent volcanic ash
Vertisols	V	2.36	Vertisols	Brown soils	а	Clay, cracking soils
Solonchaks	Z	2.03	Salic great group	—	С	Soils, often formed from recent alluvial deposits, with salt accumulation
Solonetz	S		Natric great group	—	С	Alkali soils with hydromorphic properties (high exchangeable Na content)
Yermosols	Y	8.93	Typic Aridisol	Aridisols	С	Desert soils or other formed under aridic regime
Xerosols	Х	6.79	Mollic Aridisol	Grey soils	С	Similar to above, but better development of ochric A horizon
Kastanozems	К		Ustosols	_	b	Soils formed under steppe vegetation
Chernozems	С	—	Borolls (part)	_	a,b	Soils developed under prairie vegetation
Phaeozems	Н	3.09	Udolls (part)	Degraded chernozems	b	Similar to K and C soils, but more leached
Greyzems	Μ	—	Borolls (part)	—	b	Soils formed under forests in cold temperate climate
Cambisols	В	7.02	Inceptisols (part)	Brown soils	С	Highly altered soils, having a cambic B horizon
Luvisols	L	7.00	Alfisols (part)	Lessivage processed	С	Similar to B soils, with clay accumulation and more leached
Podzoluvisols	D	2.00	Glossic, Great group of Alfisols	Grey-podzols	с	Transition soils between L and P soil units

Table 8 Soil Units Used in the FAO/UNESCO Soil Map of the World²²⁶
Podzols	Р	3.63	Spodosols (part)	—	C	Highly altered profile due to leaching
Planosols	W	0.91	—	Mineral– hydromorphic soils	С	Soils slightly leached due to a slowly permeable horizon
Acrisols	A	7.97	Ultisols (part)	_	C	Strongly leached soils with a clay horizon, transition between L and F soil units
Nitosols	Ν		Some Ultisols and Alfisols	Red-brown soils	С	Tropical soils transition between A and F soil units
Ferralsols	F	8.11	Oxisols	Oxisols, lateritic soils	С	Soils with sesquioxide rich clay fraction formed under tropical climate
Histosols	0	1.82	Histosols	Peats, mucks, bog soils	b	Organic soils, having H horizon of more than 40 cm
Anthroposols ^c	—	_	—	Hortisols, industrosols, regosols	—	Soils formed under a predominant influence of man's activity
Miscellaneous land units	—	3.18	—	_	—	—

^a The distribution of major soils, in percent of world soil area, as given by Dudal.¹⁹⁴

^b a, Parent rock; b, vegetation; and c, pedogenic processes stimulated mainly by climate.

° Soil unit not given in the FAO/UNESCO system.

© 2001 by CRC Press LLC



Figure 11 Approximate composition of a representative silty loam surface soil of vertisol soil unit (brown soil). (A) Volume percent of total soils; (B) weight percent of solid phase of soil.



Figure 12 Approximate composition of mineral constituents of surface soils derived from different rocks in a temperate humid climate. (A) Podzoluvisol over sandstone; (B) vertisol over granite; (C) rendzina.

II. WEATHERING PROCESSES

Weathering, the basic soil-forming process, has been extensively studied and reviewed as the complex interactions of the lithosphere, the atmosphere, and the hydrosphere that occur in the biosphere and that are powered by solar energy. Weathering can be chemically described as the processes of dissolution, hydration, hydrolysis, oxidation, reduction, and carbonatization. All of these processes are based on rules of enthalpy and entropy, and they lead to the formation of mineral and chemical components that are relatively stable and equilibrated in the particular soil environments. Biochemical weathering leads to the destruction of parent minerals and to the passing of the elements from the minerals into solutions and suspensions.

Living organisms and their decomposition products play a significant role in weathering. Two types of compounds released by organic matter or organisms are believed to be particularly involved in weathering processes: carbon acid, formed from the CO_2 released during decay of organic matter, and organic chelates. Stevenson¹¹⁵⁷ described that most cations released from rocks and minerals during weathering form soluble complexes with natural organic chelates. These processes are comprised of several stages from the first attack on insoluble mineral matter by simple organic chelates excreted by microorganisms to complete saturation of the chelating sites in organic matter, and to the incorporation of the elements into living tissues.

	Podzols (sandy soils)		Cambisols (silty and loamy soils)		Rendzinas		Kastanozems and Chernozems		Histosols (organic soils)	
Element	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean
As	<0.1–30	4.4	1.3–27	8.4		_	1.9–23	8.5	<0.1–66.5	9.3
В	1–134	22	<1–128	40	1–210	40	11–92	45	4–100	25
Ва	20-1500	330	19–1500	520	150–1500	520	100–1000	520	10-700	175
Cd	0.01-2.7	0.37	0.08-1.61	0.45	0.38-0.84	0.62	0.18-0.71	0.44	0.19-2.2	0.78
Co	0.1-65	5.5	3–58	10	1–70	12	0.5–50	7.5	0.2-49	4.5
Cr	1.4–530	47	4–1100	51	5-500	83	11–195	77	1–100	12
Cu	1–70	13	4–100	23	6.8–70	23	6.5–140	24	1–113	16
F	<10–1100	130	<10–800	385	<10–840	360	10–1194	550	10–335	220
Hg	0.008-0.7	0.05	0.01-1.1	0.1	0.01-0.5	0.05	0.02-0.53	0.1	0.04-1.11	0.26
I	<0.1–10	2.3	0.3-8.3	1.7	0.3–9.5	3.4	0.3–10.8	2.4	1–10	4
Li	<5–72	22	1.4–130	46	6–105	56	9–175	53	0.01–3.2	1.3
Mn	7–2000	270	45-9200	525	50-7750	445	100-3907	480	7–2200	465
Мо	0.17–3.7	1.3	0.1–7.2	2.8	0.3–7.35	1.5	0.4-6.9	2	0.3–3.2	1.5
Ni	1–110	13	3–110	26	2-450	34	6–61	25	0.2-119	12
Pb	2.3-70	22	1.5–70	28	10–50	26	8–70	23	1.5–176	44
Sc	0.8–30	5	2.4-20	8	<5–15	8	<5–20	10	—	—
Se	0.005-1.32	0.25	0.02-1.9	0.34	0.1–1.4	0.38	0.1-1.2	0.33	0.1-1.5	0.37
Sr	5–1000	87	15-1000	210	15–1000	195	70–500	145	5–300	100
Ti	200-17,000	26,000	500-24,000	3300	400-10,000	4800	700–7000	3500	80–6700	2300
V	10–260	67	15–330	76	10–500	115	25–150	78	6.3–150	18
Zn	3.5–220	45	9–362	60	10–570	100	20–770	65	5–250	50

Table 9	Ranges and Means ^a of Total Concentrations of Trace Elements in Surface Soil	s ^b Calculated on the World Scale (ppm DW)
---------	---	---

^a Ranges of common abundance in topsoils and arithmetic means.

^b Soil units as given in Table 8.

Greatly simplified, basic weathering processes can be characterized as follows:

- 1. Dissolution: minerals are soluble in the aquatic phase
- 2. Hydration: minerals increase their water content
- 3. Hydrolysis: reaction of minerals with water, producing new ions and/or insoluble components
- 4. Oxidation: incorporation of the oxygen into the chemical components or increase of the element potential
- 5. Reduction: reactions that are the reverse of oxidation
- 6. Carbonatization: alteration of compounds into carbonates due to the incorporation of CO2

All of these reactions are controlled by chemical equilibria of the particular earth surface environment. The stability of such a system is often illustrated by Eh–pH diagrams for the given geochemical reaction.^{256,477} Despite the many questions raised and the difficulties in a practical evaluation of these diagrams, they clearly indicate that both factors—the redox system (Eh) and the buffer capacity (pH)—control physical and chemical properties of the soil. Theoretically, Eh and pH sums represent equilibrium constants, and are estimated to range from 4 (for anaerobic soils) to 17 (for well-aerated soils).^{933,989} Redox categories of soil are now expressed in mV units (Eh) and range from >400 mV (aerated) to -300 mV (anaerobic). Soils, however, are not at equilibrium. Especially soil-Eh is extremely variable. Measurements of soil-Eh and soil-pH may explain several thermodynamic processes that occur in the soil systems.^{933,151}

The reactions of trace elements in a particular weathering environment are significantly different. Some generalizations of their properties as described by several authors are presented in Table 10. The mobility of these elements during weathering processes is determined first by the stability of the host minerals and second by the electrochemical properties of the elements. Fe, as the most common cation of variable oxidation stage in the weathering environments, is known to influence the behavior of the associated cations (Table 11).

The pattern of trace element distribution is usually a parameter that is very sensitive to changes of weathering environments. The so-called "chemical nature" of an element reflects mainly its electronegativity parameters and its ionic size. Selected elemental parameters of trace ions given in Table 12 may explain why individual trace elements reveal an affinity for association with major elements in various geochemical environments. The elements with an ionic potential below 3 predominate as free ions, while the elements with an ionic potential between 3 and 12 tend to form hydrolysates or complex ions. Easily mobile elements usually produce smaller hydrate ions in

Degree of Mobility	Environmental Conditions	Trace Elements
High	Oxidizing and acid	B, Br, and I
	Neutral or alkaline	B, Br, F, I, Li, Mo, Re, Se, U, V, W, and Zn
	Reducing	B, Br, and I
Medium	Oxidizing and acid	Li, Cs, Mo, Ra, Rb, Se, Sr, F, Cd, Hg, Cu, Ag, and Zn
	Mainly acid	Ag, Au, Cd, Co, Cu, Hg, and Ni
	Reducing, with variable potential	As, Ba, Cd, Co, Cr, F, Fe, Ge, Li, Mn, Nb, Sb, Sn, Sr, Tl, U, and V
Low	Oxidizing and acid	Ba, Be, Bi, Cs, Fe, Ga, Ge, La, Li, Rb, Si, Th, Ti, and Y
	Neutral or alkaline	Ba, Be, Bi, Co, Cu, Ge, Hf, Mn, Ni, Pb, Si, Ta, Te, and Zr
Very low	Oxidizing and acid	Al, Au, Cr, Fe, Ga, Os, Pt, Rh, Ru, Sc, Sn, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, Y, and Zr
	Neutral or alkaline	Ag, Al, Au, Cu, Co, Fe, Ga, Ni, Th, Ti, Y, and Zr
	Reducing	Ag, As, Au, B, Ba, Be, Bi, Cd, Co, Cu, Cs, Ge, Hg, Li, Mo, Ni, Pb, Re, Se, Te, Th, Ti, U, V, Y, Zn, and Zr

Table 10 Behavior of Trace Elements in Various Weathering Environments^{75,381,599,1130}

Table 11	Association of Trace Cations with Iron Cations in Neutral or Slightly
	Acid Aqueous Phase ¹¹²²

Fe Oxidation Stage	Associated Cations
Fe ²⁺	$Ti^{3+},Ti^{4+},V^{3+},V^{4+},Cr^{3+},Mn^{2+},Co^{2+},Pb^{2+},W^{6+},U^{4+}$
Fe ³⁺	$Ti^{4+},V^{4+},Cr^{6+},Mn^{2+},Mn^{4+},Co^{2+},Co^{3+},Pb^{2+},Pb^{4+},W^{6+},U^{6+}$

	Table 12	Geochemical	Associations	and Some	Properties	of Trace	and Major	Elements
--	----------	-------------	--------------	----------	------------	----------	-----------	----------

Major Elements (boldface) and Associated Trace Element	pH of Hydrous Oxide Precipitation	Ionic Radii (Å)	Electronegativity (kcal/g atom)	Ionic Potential (charge/radius)	Diameter of Hydrated lon in Aqueous Solution (Å)
K+	_	1.7–1.6	0.8	0.6	3.0
Na+	_	1.2-1.1	0.9	0.9	4.5
Cs^+	_	2.0-1.9	0.7	0.5	2.5
Rb^+	_	1.8–1.7	0.8	0.6	2.5
Ca ²⁺	_	1.2-1.1	1.0	1.8	6.0
Mg ²⁺	10.5	0.8	1.2	2.5	8.0
Sr ²⁺	_	1.4–1.3	1.0	1.5	5.0
Ba ²⁺	_	1.7–1.5	0.9	1.3	5.0
Pb ²⁺	7.2-8.7	1.6–1.4	1.8	1.9	4.5
Se ³⁺	_	0.8	1.3	3.7	9.0
Fe ²⁺	5.1–5.5	0.9–0.7ª	1.8	2.6	6.0
Cu ²⁺	5.4-6.9	0.8	2	2.5	6.0
Ge ⁴⁺		0.5	1.8	8.3	—
Mo ⁴⁺		0.7	—	5.5	—
Mn ²⁺	7.9–9.4	1–0.8	1.5	2.0	6.0
Zn ²⁺	5.2-8.3	0.9–0.7	1.8	2.6	6.0
Fe ³⁺	2.2-3.2	0.7–0.6ª	1.9	4.4	9.0
Co ²⁺	7.2-8.7	0.8-0.7	1.7	2.6	6.0
Cd^{2+}	8.0–9.5	1.03	—	—	—
Ni ²⁺	6.7–8.2	0.8	1.7	2.6	6.0
Cr ³⁺	4.6-5.6	0.7	1.6	4.3	9.0
Mn ⁴⁺	—	0.6	—	6.5	—
Li+	—	0.8	1.0	1.2	6.0
Mo ⁶⁺	—	0.5	1.8	12.0	—
V ⁵⁺	_	0.5	—	11.0	—
Al ³⁺	3.8-4.8	0.6–0.5ª	1.5	5.6	9.0
Be ²⁺	_	0.3	1.5	5.7	8.0
Cr ⁶⁺	—	0.4	—	16.0	—
Ga ³⁺	3.5	0.7–0.6	1.6	4.9	—
La ³⁺	_	1.4–1.3	1.1	2.3	9.0
Sn ²⁺	2.3–3.2	1.3	1.8	1.5	_
Y ³⁺	_	0.9	1.2	3.1	_
Si ⁴⁺	—	0.4	1.8	12.0	—
Ti ⁴⁺	1.4–1.6	0.7	1.5	5.8	_
Zr ⁴⁺	2.0	—	1.4	4.3	11.0

^a Values given for high and low spin, respectively.

aqueous solutions than do more stable elements; also, the free energy (enthalpy) needed for the formation of their ions seems to be less than the energy required for ion formation of less mobile elements. Nieboer and Richardson¹⁰⁹⁹ proposed the classification of ions based on their coordination chemistry that describes the formation of ion/ligand complexes (Table 13). This classification gives general information on possible compounds that may be formed in specific environmental conditions.

Table 13 Classification of Ions Based on the Formation of Complexes¹⁰⁹⁹

Class	lons
Oxygen seeking	Cs ⁺ , Li ⁺ , Ba ²⁺ , Sr ²⁺ , La ³⁺ , Gd ³⁺ , Lu ³⁺ , Y ³⁺ , Be ²⁺ , Sc ³⁺
Borderline	Cd^{2+} , Sn^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Cr^{2+} , V^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Mn ²⁺ Ti ²⁺ In ³⁺ Ga ³⁺ Sh ^{3+,-} As ^{3+,-} Sn ^{4+,-}
Nitrogen/sulfur seeking	Au ⁺ , Ag ⁺ , Cu ⁺ , Tl ⁺ , Hg ²⁺ , Pd ²⁺ , Pt ²⁺ , Bi ³⁺ , Ti ³⁺ , Pb ^{4+,-}

Trudgill¹⁶⁷ described that the amounts of cations solubilized by biological activity and by chelate action are usually much greater than those mobilized by the action of water and hydrolysis alone. The role of microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) and plants is of great importance in solubilizing minerals, and thus, in the input of chemical elements to the soil solution. These processes are known as biological weathering.

III. PEDOGENIC PROCESSES

Several specific reactions, in addition to those involved in weathering, lead to the formation of a particular soil profile. Although there is great diversity in pedogenic processes, they all include the following similar stages:

- 1. Addition of organic and mineral materials to the soil
- 2. Losses of these materials from the soil
- 3. Translocation of these materials within the soil, both vertically and horizontally
- 4. Transformation of organic and mineral matter in the soil

These processes can be constructive or destructive in soil formation. Six factors that largely control the kind of soil that finally develops are:

- 1. Climate (temperature, rainfall)
- 2. Vegetation and other soil biota
- 3. Parent material (the nature of minerals)
- 4. Topography (open or closed systems)
- 5. Time
- 6. Anthropogenic activity (degradation, contamination, recultivation)

Classification of soil units very commonly is based on the factors predominating in soil-forming processes (Table 8). Pedogenic processes stimulated mainly by climate most commonly predominate, but soils influenced most strongly by parent material or vegetation are quite frequent.

Initially, at early stages of weathering and pedogenic processes, the trace element composition of the soil will be inherited from the parent material. With time, however, the trace element status of soil will become different due to the influence of predominating pedogenic and anthropogenic processes (Table 14). The comparison of trace element concentrations in soils with their concentrations in parent material is commonly used as a factor of their mobility during pedogenic processes. However, the dilution effect of humus in organic-rich soils should be considered in making meaningful judgments on the accumulation or migration of these elements during weathering and soil formation. The fate of trace elements mobilized by dissolution of the host minerals of compounds depends on the properties of their ionic species formed in the soil solution (Tables 10 and 13) and is governed by the chemical system of a soil that can be characterized as follows:

- 1. Heterogeneous distribution of compounds
- 2. Seasonal and spatial alterations in major master variables, as pH, Eh
- 3. Transformation of species: (a) electron transfer reactions, (b) ligand exchange reactions,
 - (c) organometallic reactions, (d) biotransformations

	Most Favorable		Behavior of Trace Elements in Surface Soil			
Process	Climatic Zone	Typical Soil Unit ^a	Accumulation	Migration		
Lack of chemical alteration	Ice-bound or desert	R,Q, and Y	_	—		
Podzolization	Cold northern	D and P	Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, Ti, V, and Zr (in illuvial horizon)	B, Ba, Br, Cd, Cr, I, Li, Mn, Rb, Se, Sr, V, and Zr		
Aluminization	Cool and humid temperate	B. L, M. W, and A	Co, Mn, Mo, and V (in gleyed horizon)	B, Ba, Br, Cu, I, Se, and Sr		
Siallitization	Warm temperate and dry tropical	V and K	B, Ba, Cu, Mn, Se, and Sr	—		
Lateritization	Humid tropical	A,F, and N	B, Ba, Cu, Co, Cr, Ni, Sr, Ti, and V	—		
Alkalization	Warm with dry sea- sons	Z,S, and (X)	B, Co, Cr, Cu, Mo, Ni, Se, Zn, and V	_		
Hydromorphic formations	Intrazonal soils	—	B, Ba, Co, Cu, I, Mn, Mo, Se, Sr, and U (in organic horizon)	B, Br, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, U, and V		

Table 14 Principal Types of Soil-Forming Processes and Trends in the Behavior of Trace Elements

^a Symbols of the soil units as given in Table 8.

- 4. Transfer between phases: (a) adsorption reactions, (b) diffusion-absorptions into solids, (c) diffusion to solution phase (e.g., solubility), (d) formation of solid phase, (e) co-precipitation, (f) coagulation
- 5. Biouptake and bioaccumulation

Biological, chemical, and physical characteristics of such natural systems as soils have chemical gradients with depth and also have significant seasonal alterations of some major master variables. The lack of accurate *in situ* measurements of these critical chemical parameters as well as the lack of determinations of their distribution and variability at the level of individual soil pores and particles is an important limitation in our understanding of the chemical context of the soil system. Thus, dynamic equilibrium between soil components is governed by various interactions between the soil solid and gaseous phases, biota, and the soil solutions, as is illustrated by the diagram in Figure 13.

Several recent studies of kinetics of reactions in the soil system were conducted, and some principles, mechanisms, and models were described and proposed.^{1151,1154} The chemical forms governing the mobility and phytoavailability of trace metals and residence times of trace pollutants in the soil layers have been the main subjects of mechanistic modeling and calculations. Sparks¹¹⁵¹ stated that thermodynamic data can predict only the final state of a system from an initial nonequilibrium mode. However, kinetic data provide valuable insights into the reaction pathways and into the mechanisms of chemical reactions in the complex multi-phase soil system.

A. Dissolution and Mobilization

Chemical reactions leading to solution of each species of ions can be characterized by thermodynamic equations. At each equilibrium state the reaction rates of both directions compensate and keep the composition of the soil phases (solid, liquid, and gaseous) constant.

Chemical equilibria of various soils have been studied and comprehensive mathematical models for the particular soil conditions are presented by Bolt and Bruggenwert.⁸⁶ Although many papers have been published on the behavior of trace elements in soils, their chemistry is insufficiently known. The diversity of ionic species of trace elements and their various affinities to complex with inorganic and organic ligands make possible the dissolution of each element over a relatively wide range of pH and Eh. Each element can also be quite readily precipitated and/or adsorbed, even

	Soil Type and Range of pH Values								
Element	Acid Sandy (2.5–4)	Sandy (4–4.5)	Silty (5.5–6.5)	Loamy (7–7.5)	Calcareous (7.5–7.8)				
В	_	_	_	200	800				
Cd	107	_	_	—	—				
Co	_	_	_	0.5	5				
Cu	783	76	20	50	50				
Fe	2223	1000	500	200	100				
Mn	5965	8000	5000	100	700				
Мо	_	_	—	5	3				
Pb	5999	_	—	—	—				
Zn	7137	1000	5000	100	300				

Table 15 Trace Elements in Natural Soil Solutions Obtained by Centrifugation from Soils of Various pH Values¹⁰⁴⁵

Note: Values are arithmetic means (μ gL⁻¹) of 4 to 5 samples.



Figure 13 Interactions between soil components and compartments.

under a small change of the equilibrated conditions. In soils, solubility equilibria may change significantly within a few centimeters (even millimeters) at both horizontal and vertical soil gradients. Thus, these equilibria are local and may often be quite different in various sites of the soil. Since the dynamic nature of soil components causes dissolution and precipitation, many of the minerals and amorphic solids may not be in equilibrium under soil conditions.

Many textbooks present stability diagrams for ionic species of trace elements as functions of pH and Eh.^{166,256,477} In natural soil conditions, pH ranges most often between 5 and 7, and Eh ranges between 400 and -200 mV, except where there are high reduction states in waterlogged soils. The properties of ionic species of each element vary, and the pH range for precipitation of their hydrous oxides is flexible (Table 12). One can, however, conclude that usually the most mobile fractions of ions occur at a lower range of pH and at a lower redox potential, which is illustrated in Figure 14. It can be anticipated that with increasing pH of the soil substrate, the solubility of most trace cations will decrease. Indeed, the concentration of trace elements is lower in soil solutions of alkaline and neutral soils than in those of light acid soils (Table 15). Sposito¹¹⁵³ investigated the







Figure 15 Impact of redox potentials on the solubility of soil trace metals in water at pH 8.0 and 5.0. (With permission from Kluwer Academic Publishers and the authors¹²⁵⁸.)

chemical thermodynamics and its applicability to the soil solution and described possible systems of trace cation exchange.

Most often, the mobility of trace metals, especially of Cd, Cu, Cr, and Zn, increases in poorly aerated (low oxidation stage, Eh < 100 mV) soils. However, increasing Cd solubility with increasing Eh up to >200 mV was also observed.¹⁴²⁵ The effect of redox potential on availability of Cd, Cu, and to a smaller degree Zn, was reported by Gambrell and Patrick.¹³⁰⁴ Also, Chuan et al.¹²⁵⁸ described that acidic and reducing soil conditions are more favorable for trace metal solubilization, and the effect of pH is more significant than that of redox potential (Figure 15).

Smith and Huyck¹⁵⁰¹ studied metal mobility under different environmental conditions. Although it is difficult to predict element mobility in surficial environments, the authors referred to the capacity of an element to move within fluids after dissolution. The following conditions and behaviors of trace metals were distinguished:

- 1. Oxidizing and acid, pH < 3: (a) very mobile—Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn; (b) mobile—Hg, Mn, Re, and V; and (c) somewhat mobile and scarcely mobile—all other metals.
- Oxidizing in the absence of abundant Fe-rich particulates, pH > 5: (a) very mobile—Cd and Zn; (b) mobile—Mo, Re, Se, Sr, Te, and V; and (c) somewhat mobile and scarcely mobile—all other metals.
- 3. Oxidizing with abundant Fe-rich particulates, pH > 5: (a) very mobile—none; (b) mobile—Cd and Zn; and (c) somewhat mobile and scarcely mobile—all other metals.
- 4. Reducing in the absence of hydrogen sulfide, pH > 5: (a) very mobile—none; (b) mobile—Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb, Sr, and Zn; (c) somewhat mobile and scarcely mobile—all other metals.
- 5. Reducing with hydrogen sulfide, pH > 5: (a) very mobile—none; (b) mobile—Mn and Sr; and (c) scarcely mobile to immobile—all other metals.

It is evident that Fe-rich particulates and hydrogen sulfide are among the abiotic factors most significant in controlling trace metal behavior in the terrestrial environment. Ankley et al.¹²⁰² include the acid-volatile sulfide system in developing the sediment quality criteria (SQC), which clearly refers to a crucial impact of sulfides on the behavior of metals in sediments.

The solubility of trace elements in soil has great significance in their biovailability and their migration. Heavy soils, both neutral and alkaline, provide good storage for trace elements and will supply them to plants at a slow rate. This slow release may, however, cause deficiency effects of certain micronutrients to develop in plants. Light soils, on the other hand, can be a source of easily available trace elements during a relatively short period of time. These soils can also lose their pool of available micronutrients at quite a high rate.

The liquid phase of soil, also called *soil solution*, is composed of water with colloidal suspension and dissolved substances, which can be free salts and ions of these salts.

Some of the first studies of soil solutions conducted by Hodgson et al.³²⁰ indicated that appreciable quantities of trace elements are present as complexes, mainly with organic ligands. Inorganic complexes may, however, also be of importance for the particular element and condition.

Knowledge of the total composition of the soil solution or any nutrient solution is essential for predicting plant uptake of nutrients or plant growth. The uptake of a given ion depends not only on its activity in the solution, but also on the activities of other ions and the relation that exists between solution ions and exchangeable or solid-phase ions. However, the transfer of chemical elements between soil compartments and plants does not necessarily go through the liquid phase. Several direct exchanges at the surface of phases and compartments are possible. Most common and significant interchanges occur between the compartments A and C, D, E, F; B and E, G; C and D, E, F; and E and C, D, F (Figure 13).

The concentrations of trace elements in soil solutions vary considerably among soils and with time. Great fluctuations have been observed under the influence of the following factors:

- 1. Time
- 2. Vegetation
- 3. Microbial activity
- 4. Waterlogged states
- 5. Heterogeneity of the solid soil phase

Moreover, methods used for obtaining solutions from soils differ widely; therefore, it is difficult to adequately determine mean concentrations of trace elements. Nevertheless, the range of trace element concentrations, as measured in various soil solutions, is reasonably similar (Table 16).

	Techniques Used for Obtaining Soil Solution										
	Suction from Soil Paste	Pump off 0.01 N CaCl ₂ Solution	Centrifugation			Ceramic Plate or Porous Cup Suction			Unspecified	Zero-Tension Lvsimeter⁵	
Element	(99)	(320)	(375)	(892)	905)	(311)	(342)	(298)	(794)ª	(1174)	(942)
AI	400		_	460	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
As	—	—		_	_	_	4–12	—	—	—	—
В	3060	—	67–880	_	_	_	—	—	—	12–33	—
Ba	260	—		_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—
Cd	—	_	_	6	_	3–5	5–300	0.2	<0.01–0.2	_	0.2-2.9
Co	60	<0.4–14	0.3–5	3	_	12–87	—	0.3–1	—	0.6–29	—
Cr	10	—		0.4	_	0.6-0.7	Trace	—	—	9–29	0.6-7.5
Cu	40	3–18	28–135	37	78	18–27	14–44	0.5–3	<1–3	29–116	1.8–22
Fe	50	—	150–549	16	_	36	—	30–40	<50–1000	—	—
Hg	2.4	—		_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—
Li	111	—		_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—
Mn	170	—	32–270	243	55	1000–2000	—	25–50	2000-8000	120–7100	—
Мо	730	—	2–8	2	30	_	—	—	—	2.1–3.3	—
Ni	20	—	_	150	_	3–15	20–25	3–8	_	3–21	0.6-5.4
Pb	50	—		8	_	5–63	Trace	0.6–2	<2	3–21	4–65
Sr	930	—		_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—
Ti	<100		_	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—
V	70	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Zn	70	21–180	73–270	351	22	190–570	40–17,100	4–25	1–15	88–143	31–470

Table 16 Trace Elements in Natural Soil Solution of Different Soils (μ g L⁻¹)

Note: References are in parentheses.

^a Data for paddy soils, after flooding for 14 weeks.

^b Solutions of organic horizons of soils of forest ecosystems in different countries.

Vaselli et al.¹⁵³¹ measured trace metal concentrations in soil solution by means of zero-tension microlysimeters from two pedological horizons of the Xerochrept forest soil in Tuscany (Italy). Concentrations of these metals varied significantly with time of collection, especially in the solution obtained from the A horizon; for example, range (in $\mu g/L$) for Zn was 35–1586, Cu 9–131, Mn 5–200, Ni 1–50, Cr 2–44, Pb 1–17, and Cd <0.1–0.9. Metal contents in solution from the B horizon were most stable and indicated significantly higher amounts of Ni (range 31–92 $\mu g/L$) and Cr (range 3–154 $\mu g/L$). The authors explained this phenomenon as an effect of weathering of parent material enriched in these metals.

Rainfall, evaporation, and plant transpiration can change trace element concentrations in soil solutions more than tenfold, whereas the observed variations for major ions (Ca, Mg, K, Na, NO₃, and PO₄) are much less. The acidification increases the intensity by which trace metals are mobilized in soils. The concentration of metals in the solution of the very acid soil was 9080 μ g L⁻¹ (the sum of Fe, Mn, Zn, Pb, Cu, and Cd), whereas the solution of the same kind of soil, but with neutral range of pH, contained 17 μ gL⁻¹ of these cations.¹⁰⁴⁸

Soluble major ions greatly influence the quantities of soluble trace elements. Solutions of most soils contain an excess of Ca, which in many soils constitutes more than 90% of the total cation concentration. Ca is, therefore, the most important cation in governing the soluble stage of trace elements in soils. There are examples, however, of soils in which complexing trace cations prevent precipitation in the presence of Ca^{2+} and in soil solutions having a relatively high pH level. Thus, higher than normal concentrations of dissolved metal ions in solutions and the ready uptake of trace elements by plants usually are related to the formation of complexes. This possibility is suggested also by the observation that half of the calcium in soil solutions is usually present as an organic complex.³⁵⁶ The anionic composition of soil solutions is also of importance in controlling the trace element status. Little is known, however, about the relation of trace elements to anionic species in soil solutions.

Differing complexing tendencies of cations to interact with ligands can be explained by the rules of coordination chemistry. It is possible, therefore, to predict that certain cations more readily complex a particular ligand. Thus, some metallic ions such as Be^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , and Co^{3+} will react readily with PO_4^{3-} , CO_3^{2-} , NO_3^{-} , and organic amines, etc.; the group including Ni²⁺, Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , and Sn^{2+} may more easily complex Cl, Br, NO₂, and NH₃, while cations of the chemical nature of Hg²⁺, Ag⁺, and Tl⁺ are likely to link with complexes of I, CN, CO, S, P, and As.

In the soil aqueous phase, organic compounds and water are the most abundant ligands; therefore, hydrolysis and organic complexing are the most common reactions in soil solutions. These reactions are pH sensitive and can be correlated with the size and charge of the cations. Higher ionic potentials usually indicate a higher degree of hydration in the solution, thus an easier precipitation. The range in pH for the precipitation of hydrous oxides of some cations (Table 12) illustrates that the order of cation mobility in an aqueous phase under an oxidation regime of soil may decrease as follows: $Mg^{2+} = Ca^{2+} > Ag^+ > Hg^{2+} > Mn^{2+} > Cd^{2+} > Ni^{2+} = Co^{2+} = Pb^{2+} > Be^{2+} > Zn^{2+} = Cu^{2+} > Cr^{3+} > Bi^{3+} > Sn^{4+} > Fe^{3+} > Zr^{4+} > Sb^{3+}$. However, the application of data on heavy metal activity in pure systems to soils can only be informative because of various effects of complex ion formation, solid solution, and coating. Rose et al.¹¹³¹ discussed general rules governing the mobility and transport of chemical elements in aqueous solutions of the surficial environment and emphasized that the transition elements with incomplete inner electron shells (e.g., most of trace metals) are slightly soluble and strongly adsorbed by media.

The solubility of trace elements in soils evidently depends on complex formation. However, most of the species of trace elements, especially cations, are but slightly soluble, and only small proportions occur in the aqueous phase. The calculation made by Kabata-Pendias³⁷⁵ showed that the total content of trace cations generally ranges from 10 to 100 μ gL⁻¹ in normal soil solutions, while in contaminated soils these values can be much higher. When soluble compounds of trace metals are added to soils, their concentrations in equilibrated solutions increase with increasing doses of added metals. In an experiment conducted by Cottenie et al.,¹⁴⁸ the relative solubility of

the added metals at the highest dose rate in light sandy soil was as follows: 39% of 1000 mg Zn/kg, 50% of 5000 mg Cu/kg, 30% of 5120 mg Cd/kg, and 26% of 2695 mg Pb/kg.

Bujtas et al.¹²⁴⁶ studied the solubility rate of metals added with sewage sludge enriched in metals (Cd, Cr, Ni, Pb, and Zn) to soils. The transfer factor, calculated as a ratio of metals in solution of highly contaminated soil to their contents in solution of the control soils, decrease in the following order: Cd 1804 > Ni 604 > Zn 563 > Pb 156 > Cr 4. These results clearly indicate extremely easy solubility of Cd under soil conditions of brown forest soil at pH (KCl) about 5.0. For sandy acid soils, values of the transfer factor were even higher. On the other hand, Csillag et al.¹²⁶⁵ concluded that the transfer of these metals applied to soil in forms of sludges was rather negligible when amounts of added metals corresponded to the official limits.

Sauvé et al.¹⁴⁷⁸ calculated free metal activity (pCu²⁺ and pPb²⁺) in the soil solution based on total Cu, pH, and soil organic matter. They concluded that these values improve the prediction for toxic effects on crops and biological activity of soils, and are also appropriate for other metals.

B. Transport and Budget

The balance input-output budget for trace elements is rarely met, even under natural conditions. The anthropogenic part of this imbalance is related both to the increase of deposition rate of elements and to the increase in cation leaching resulting mainly from the soil acidification, and also from other impaired impacts of the land use. The budgets of metals in forest soils have received much attention, especially in Sweden and West Germany.^{310,311,815,816,907,941,942,1156}

The transport of dissolved trace elements may take place through the soil solution (diffusion) and also with the moving soil solution (mass flow, leaching). Generally, in soils formed under a cool and humid climate, the leaching of trace elements downward through the profiles is greater than their accumulation, unless there is a high input of these elements into the soils. In warm, dry climates, and also to some extent in humid hot climates, upward translocation of trace elements in the soil profiles is the most common movement. The rates of trace element migration in the profiles are affected by chemical, physical, and biological soil properties, of which the most important are:

- 1. Eh-pH system
- 2. CEC and salt content
- 3. Amount and quality of organic matter
- 4. Water and temperature
- 5. Plant species
- 6. Micro- and mezobiota activities

Although much work has been done on trace element movements within soil profiles, complete knowledge concerning their cycling and balances is still lacking. Theoretical reviews of mechanisms involved in the transport and accumulation of soluble soil components were given by Bolt and Bruggenwert⁸⁶ and by Lindsay.⁴⁷⁷ The equilibria discussed by these authors are useful not only in illuminating fundamental reactions that are important in weathering and soil formation, but also for use in various fields of agricultural and environmental management. However, the models cannot be used for examining the quality of thermodynamic data obtained from a particular soil without making necessary modifications that take into account the variations of soil properties, and even then, some skepticism may remain.

Several detailed studies based on lysimetric experiments, and other research often using isotopic tracers, have yielded much information on element transport. However, each soil profile with developed horizons has its own characteristic trace element movement.

Impoverishment of soils in trace elements is due mainly to their mobility downward with percolating waters through the profiles of freely drained acid soils and also to trace element uptake by plants. On the other side of the balance is the input of trace elements with atmospheric precipitation

and their accumulation in particular soil horizons. In acid soils (e.g., with pH below 6.5), several elements, such as Zn, Mn, Cu, Fe, Co, and B, are easily leached. These elements, however, are likely to form quite stable compounds if the pH of the soil rises above 7. Other elements, such as Mo and Se, are mobilized in alkaline soils, while in acid soils they become almost insoluble.

Trace element budgets have been calculated for various ecosystems (Table 17). Input/output differences show that for the majority of elements, the accumulation rate in the surface soils is positive. Leaching rate of trace metals was found to be higher than atmospheric input only in acid forest soils. Thus, under a forest ecosystem with a high rate of mobility and leaching of metals from the soil, a decrease of these cations is observed, even under a relatively high input to the soil. Such a case is described by Mayer and Schultz¹⁰⁸⁸ for the forest ecosystems in West Germany. The flux balance for acidified forest soils has indicated the depletion of most metals, with the exception of Pb. The losses of metals from the topsoil at pH 2.9 were as follows (in g ha⁻¹ year⁻¹): Mn \pm 0; Cr = 2; Cd = 12; Co = 55; Cu = 68; Zn = 221; Al = 43,300, while a gain was noticed only for Pb (+324). The rate of metal leaching from the forest soil at pH 4.3 was much lower, and the gain of more metals (e.g., Cr, Cu, and Pb) was observed.¹⁰⁸⁸ Similar data are presented by Tyler et al.¹¹⁷² for metal leaching from forest soils in Sweden. The calculations of Cd budget for soils of two European countries (Table 18) show that the input of this metal originating both from the point source of pollution and from long-range transport is about equal to the nonpoint source of pollution related to farm management practices. In industrial regions with increased emission of metals, aerial dust and precipitation are the most significant sources of trace metals. It was estimated, however, that at the global scale the input of most metals is higher from industrial sources than from the application of chemicals and wastes in farming.957,1135 Countries with a high rate of technogenic fluxes of metals are also likely to receive a high deposition rate of these elements.¹¹¹² Kovda¹⁰⁵⁴ made the general assessment of recent soil pollution and calculated the technogenous deposition of trace metals on land to range from 1 to 100 g ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. In soils with a low rate of leaching, the continuous accumulation of trace metals is most likely (Table 17).

Bergkvist et al.⁹⁴² reviewed recent literature on trace metal fluxes in forest ecosystems with an emphasis on metal budgets in both forest ecosystems and forest soils. Their final conclusions can be summarized as follows:

- 1. The rate of both soil acidification and metal leaching depends greatly on the soil type and vegetation type.
- 2. Spruce and beech stands show a higher metal leaching rate than birch stands and open grassdominated areas with the same parent mineral soils.
- 3. The potential loss of metals (and of base cations) is greater from a brown forest soil than from a leached podzol.
- 4. In general, forest topsoils accumulate Cu and Pb and lose Zn, Cd, and Ni. Cr is rather in balance, especially in less acidic soils.
- 5. Losses of Zn from forest soils usually are not compensated by weathering rate, thus a deficiency of this metal can be expected, in particular under increased soil acidification by acid rain.
- 6. The organic topsoil (litter and mor layers) is the most significant sink for metals, especially for Cu, Pb, and Cr; but when organic compounds mobilize these metals, they are likely to precipitate in the upper B horizon.
- 7. The relationship between the pH and the total concentrations of Zn, Cd, and Ni is very close although nonlinear; a drastic change in the metal solubility in the mineral soil (or horizon) occurs in the pH range of 4.0–4.5.

C. Sorption and Adsorption

Soils are considered as sinks for trace elements; therefore, they play an important role in environmental cycling of these elements. They have a great ability to fix many species of trace ions. The term "sorption" used in this chapter refers to all phenomena at the solid-solution boundary,

		Total		
		Atmospheric		Annual
Ecosystem, Locality, and Experiment	Element	Deposition	Output	Budget
Deciduous forest Tennessee ULS 823	Cd	21	7	14
Acid light loam (stream water)	Ph	286	6	280
filled light loan (stream water)	Zn	538	149	389
Agricultural land Denmark ³⁰⁴ (drainage water)	Cd	3	0.3	27
Agricultural land, Doninant (dialitago hator)	Ph	260	0.3	259 7
	Zn	250	120	130
Pine forest Schwarzwald Germany ⁹⁰⁷	Be	0.3	5.6	-5.3
Brown podzol (seepage water)	Cd	4 5	14	3.1
Brown podzor (ocepage water)	Co	5.6	43	13
	Cu	18	7	11
	Ni	34	17	17
	Mn	70	430	-360
	Pb	110	6	104
	Zn	210	76	134
	Fe	300	2000	-1700
Birch and spruce forest. Solling Mountains,	Bi	0.4	0.2	0.2
Germany ³¹⁰	Ha	0.4	0.2	0.2
Brown acid silty loam (seenage water)	TI	12	0.3	0.9
Brown acid any loan (coopage water)	Sb	3	0.3	2.7
	Cd	13	9	4
	Ni	15	14	1
	Cr	22	2	20
	Mn	200	6300	-6100
	Cu	224	108	116
	Zn	3900	1900	2000
	Fe	1600	1900	-300
Field plots, Pulawy, Poland ^{1045,1047}	Bª	71	40	31
Podzolic light loam (seepage water)	Cd	5	3	2
	Cu	39	25	14
	Mn	181	90	91
	Pb	200	40	160
	Zn	540	180	360
Spruce forest, Hässleholm, Southern	Cd	2	5	-3
Sweden ^{815,816}	Cr	8	10	-2
Podzolic forest soil (lysimeter)	Ni	10	9	1
	V	12	28	-16
	Cu	20	29	-9
	Pb	150	81	69
	Zn	180	270	-90
	Fe	2000	13,000	-11,000
Spruce forest, Gårdsjön, Sweden940	Cd	3.2	4.2	-1
Sandy shallow soil (lysimeter)	Cr	3	8.3	-5.3
	Cu	9	4.8	4.2
	Ni	5.7	21.3	-16
	Pb	77	2	75
	Mn	90	690	-600
	Zn	331	461	-130
Oak fareat Ciabata Aliniis Fast Cibavia Durata 00	Fe C d	1500	1400	100
Oak Torest, Sichote-Alinija, East Siberia, Russia988	Cd	29	0.4	28.6
Stream and seepage waters	Cu M=	136	15	121
	1VIN 7 0	∠14 1009	23	1010
		1098	00	1018
		4/00	204	40/0
	1.6	3000	244	3010

Table 17 Metal Budgets of Soils of Different Ecosystems (g ha⁻¹ year⁻¹)

(Continued)

Table 17 (Continued)

Ecosystem, Locality, and Experiment	Element	Total Atmospheric Deposition	Output	Annual Budget
Forest, Czech Republic ^{109,957a,1376}	Bª	1480;4000		
	Be	4.5	58	
	Cu	40;50		
	Мо	70;100		
	Zn	500		
	Mn	1000;2480		
	Fe	840;2000		

^a The only data for nonmetallic elements.

i olana ana aerinany	(gina ye	,ai)
	Poland	Germany
Input		
Fertilizers	1–2.5	1–6
Slurry	2.5	_
Sludges	1.5	<1–25
Harvest residues (recycling)	3	0.3–8
Atmospheric input	2.5–4	3–8
Total input	10.5–13.5	4.3–47
Output		
With crops	3	1–5
With seepage water	3	1–2
Total output	6	2–7
Balance (net gain)	4.5–7.5	1.3–40

Table 18 Budgets of Cadmium in Agricultural Soils of Poland and Germany¹⁰⁴⁶ (g ha⁻¹ year⁻¹)

including the following intermolecular interactions:

- 1. Van der Waals' forces
- 2. Ion-dipole forces
- 3. Hydrophobic and hydrogen bondings
- 4. Charge transfer
- 5. Ion and ligand exchanges
- 6. Chemisorption
- 7. Magnetic bonding

Every soil component is active and affects soil solution ion concentration either by precipitationdissolution reactions or by ionic interactions with phase surfaces.

Soil components involved in sorption of trace elements are:

- 1. Oxides (hydrous, amorphic), mainly of iron and manganese and, to a much lesser extent, aluminum and silicon
- 2. Organic matter and biota
- 3. Carbonates, phosphates, sulfides, and basic salts
- 4. Clays

Of all these components, clay minerals, hydrated metal oxides, and organic matter are considered to be the most important group in contributing to and competing for the sorption of trace elements. Blume and Brümmer⁹⁴⁷ described bonding capacity of the main soil components; organic matter fixes very strongly Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , Pb, and Hg, fixes fairly Cd, Ni, and Co, and fixes slightly Mn and Zn; clay minerals fix very strongly only Fe^{3+} , fix relatively slightly Cd, Co, and Ni, while the other

trace metals are bound rather fairly; oxides (sesquioxides) fix very strongly Cr³⁺, Hg, and Pb, and fix relatively strongly all other metals.

Sorption mechanisms can be based on the valency forces and the process is called "chemisorption." If van der Waals' forces are involved in sorption, the process is called "physisorption." Both sorptions play an important role in the fixation of uncharged complexes. Each trace cation can be sorbed specifically and nonspecifically, as was shown for Cd by Tiller et al.⁷⁹⁶ Pickering¹¹¹⁸ described that cation movement in soils and in weathering substrates can be retarded by a range of interface forces which can be subdivided into categories such as:

- 1. Electrostatic attraction (surface exchange)-ion exchangeable species
- 2. Weakly adsorbed—attractive forces less well-defined—cations displaceable by reagents such as acetic acid or acidic buffer solutions
- 3. Specifically adsorbed—a chemisorption process in which formal bonding between cation and substrate is strong; sorbate release can require matrix destruction

The term "adsorption" is commonly used for the processes of sorption of chemical elements from solutions by soil particles. Adsorption is thus the kinetic reaction based on thermodynamic equilibrium rules. The forces involved in the adsorption of ionic species at charged surfaces are electrostatic and can be explained by Coulomb's law of attraction between unlike charges and repulsion between like charges. At metal equilibrium concentrations, the adsorption by soil particles can be described by either the Langmuir or the Freundlich equations for adsorption isoterms.⁸⁶

Surface charges in soil materials caused primarily by ionic substitutions are exhibited mainly by colloids. White and Zelazny¹¹⁸³ broadly discussed soil characteristics responsible for charge properties of soil colloids governing all processes of cation exchange, cation selectivity, and ion adsorption. At a low pH, a positively charged surface prevails; while at a high pH, a negatively charged surface develops. The colloids of the majority of soils, therefore, carry negative charges and can be electroneutralized by cations present in the surrounding solutions. In the presence of an excess of cations, the process of exchanging the cations for others maintains the electroneutrality of the system. Thus, the cations adsorbed by the solid phase can be replaced by other cations, most often by H ions. An increase in stability of adsorbed metals may result from dehydration and recrystallization processes that occur on the surface of the colloids, especially in alkaline soils.

Variable conditions in soil aeration are known as a significant factor in the precipitation of metals. Bloomfield^{946a} recently carried out a laboratory study of the effect of bacterial sulfate reduction on the accumulation of trace metals in anaerobic sediments. He found that Mo, V, U, Cu, Ni, Zn, Cd, Pb, Co, and to a certain extent Mn were precipitated with FeS during anaerobic incubation. Reoxidation of the sulfidic residue caused the pH to decrease and Zn, Cd, Pb, Co, and Mn were largely redissolved. Cu and Ni were also mobilized, but Cu was largely fixed by organic matter, as was Ni to a lesser extent. The relatively small amounts of Mo, V, and U that dissolved when the suspensions were first aerated were rapidly immobilized as the pH decreased.

The ability of the solid soil phase to exchange cations, the so-called CEC, is one of the most important soil properties governing the cycling of trace elements in the soil. The excess amount of adsorbed cations compared to the amount in solution is interpreted as the buffering capacity of soils, while adsorption capacity defines the amount of ions needed to occupy all adsorption sites per unit of mass.

The CEC of different soils varies widely both in quantity and quality and can range from 1 to 100 meq/100 g of soils.* Surface properties of soil particulates are the most important factors in defining the capacity for adsorption of microcations. Although total adsorption processes cannot be related simply to CEC phenomena, the adsorbed amounts of cations are in accordance with the CEC. Usually, the solid soil phase with a large surface area also shows a high CEC value and high adsorption and buffer capacities (Table 19).

^{*} CEC of most soils does not exceed a value of 30.

	Total Surface	Cation Exchange	Total Sorption of						
	Area	Capacity	Microcations	Sorption of Cations (meq 100 g ⁻¹)					
Mineral	(m² g⁻¹)	(meq 100 g ⁻¹)	(µM g⁻¹)	Cd ²⁺	Mn ²⁺	Zn ²⁺	Hg ²⁺		
Kaolinite	7–30	3–22	30–70	3.1	3.5	3.4	0.46		
Halloysite	30	3–57	—	—	—	—	—		
Montmorillonite	700–800	80–150	390-460	60–86	72–116	88–108	0.4–2.2		
Illite	65–100	20–50	65–95	—	—	—	—		
Chlorite	25–40	10–40		—	—	—	—		
Vermiculite	700–800	100–150	—	98	92	98	9.7		
Gibbsite	25–58	—		—	—	—	—		
Goethite	41–81	_	51-300	_	_	_	_		
Manganese oxides	32–300	150	200–1000	_	—	_	—		
Imogolite	900–1500	30–135		—	—	—	—		
Zeolites	720-880	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Allophane	145–900	5–50		—	—	—	—		
Palygorskite	5–30	—		—	—	—	—		
Sepiolite	20–45	_	—	_	_	_	_		
Muscovite	—	15		—	—	—	—		
Plagioclase	_	7	_	0.47	0.26	1.2	0.14		
Quartz	—	7	_	—	—	—	—		

Table 19	Surface and Sorption	Properties of Some	Soil Minerals ^{227,287,373a,575,638,699,763,809,833,835,901}
----------	----------------------	--------------------	---

The affinity of cations for adsorption (e.g., for anionic exchange sites) is closely related to ionic potential (charge/radius). In some systems the metal ions (Zn, Cd, Mn) occupy nearly the same percentages of the CEC of various minerals.⁷⁶³ Some cations, however, may have a higher replacing power than others and can be selectively fixed by the sorbing sites. As Abd-Elfattah and Wada² stated, the selectivity of adsorption reveals a possible formation of the coordination complexes of heavy metals with deprotonated OH and COOH groups as ligands. This specific sorption is well-illustrated by heavy metals having high affinities for organic matter and the surface of oxides, with replacing power over alkali and alkaline earth metals. This phenomenon has great importance in the nutrient supply to plants and in soil contamination.

D. Speciation

Trace metals enter the soil layers by a number of pathways, including aerial deposition and by leaching or decomposition of the above-ground parts of plants; by the utilization of wastes and by pesticide and fertilizer application; and by river waters and dredged sediment disposal. The speciation and localization of trace elements in soils are related to their chemistry inherited from parent materials as well as at the time of impaction (see Chapter 2, Section IV.A). Atomic properties also have a significant role in the cation speciation resulting from the formation of ion/ligand complexes (Table 13).

As soils consist of heterogeneous mixtures of different organic and organo-mineral substances, clay minerals, oxides of Fe, Al, and Mn, and other solid components as well as a variety of soluble substances, the binding mechanisms for trace metals in soils are manifold and vary with the composition of soils and their physical properties. Thus, a metal may form different species according to whether it is bound to various soil compounds, reacting surfaces, and external or internal binding sites with different bonding energy. In order to assess the speciation or binding forms of trace metals in solid materials, different analytical procedures involving successive extractions have been developed. Considerable controversy has developed over selective extraction methods to determine the amounts of trace metals associated with various soil phases. Despite all the limitations of these methods, some of them have been broadly used.



Figure 16 Fractionation of trace metals in soils (in percent of total content). Data for Mo are for the chernozem, and all other metals for the podzolic loamy sand.¹³⁵⁰

Lorenz et al.,¹³⁹² however, have stated that the free ionic concentration in soil solution did not better predict concentrations of Cd and Zn in plants than their total concentration in soil solution, which suggests that analysis of Cd and Zn speciation is of little practical importance when their bioavailability is assessed. Tessier et al.¹¹⁶² were among the first to develop the procedure of sequential extraction to determine the speciation of a particular trace metal in soils. Several other kinetic fractionation methods have been developed, and all procedures are based on the assumption that the following species of metals exist in soils:

- 1. Water soluble (e.g., in soil solution)
- 2. Exchangeable
- 3. Organically bound
- 4. Occluded in Fe and Mn oxides
- 5. Definite compounds (e.g., metal carbonates, phosphates, sulfides)
- 6. Structurally bound in silicates (residual fraction)

Depending on the variability in physico-chemical characteristics of metals, their affinity to soil components governs their fractionation (Figure 16). Rule¹⁴⁷¹ broadly reviewed recent literature on phase distribution of trace metals in soils, and concluded that the highest proportions of most metals are found either in residual or in Fe-Mn oxide fractions in both natural and contaminated soils. A high association with exchangeable fraction was observed only for Cd in a few soils. Cd and Zn were also abundant as carbonate fraction in some soils. The association of metals with organic matter was generally lowest for Cd and Ni. Herbert¹³²⁷ found that Cu, Pb, Ni, and Zn are bound primarily to cation exchange sites and organic matter in the reference soil, while in the polluted soils, metal partitioning is dominated by the Fe oxide fractions, despite the high organic matter content.

The soluble plus exchangeable fraction characterizes the mobile species of metals in soils. The other metal fractions are more or less immobile. The mobilization of metals from these fractions, or transformation of mobile to immobile metal species is often a slow process which is strongly controlled by reaction kinetics.⁹⁵⁵ However, a relatively rapid transformation of metals applied in oxide forms to more mobile carbonate species was also observed.¹³⁵⁷

Recent results of several studies presented information on the speciation of trace metals in soils.^{918,954,992,985,1137} The distribution patterns of metal species in soils vary widely. For example, in ground water of the forest podzol 58% of the total Cd occurred as Co_3 -fixed form, and 38% in



Figure 17 Relative distribution of Cd species in soils as determined by different methods: (A) in ground water of forest podzol, by GEOCHEM method,⁹⁹² (B) in solution of soil amended with sewage sludge, by ion exchange procedure,⁹¹⁸ (C) in light loamy soil, by sequential extraction.⁹⁸⁵

mobile ionic forms (Figure 17A). In the solution of soil amended with sewage sludge, 95% of the total Cd was present in free cationic form and only 1.7% was associated with organic matter (Figure 17B). In light loamy soils, 40% of the total Cd was associated with minerals as residual fraction, 18% was bound to hydrous oxides (mainly Fe oxides), 14% was held by organic matter, and only 15% was easily mobile as water-soluble fraction (Figure 17C).

It is still an open question if, and under which conditions, definite trace metal compounds like carbonates, phosphates, silicates, and others exist in soils. It seems more likely that these metals are bound in soil minerals, e.g., Fe and Mn oxides, carbonates, and clay minerals, by isomorphic substitution or by fixation at free structural places. The adsorption capacity of some soil components for cations can be very high and, therefore, a considerable quantity of trace metals can be bound by adsorption, before the formation of a definite metal compound takes place. Some metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Pb, Zn), however, are known to form discrete solid phases under specific soil conditions, when they occur in elevated concentrations, and due to diagenetic processes or pollution. Several mineral structures such as $Cu_2(OH)_2CO_3$, $Pb_3(PO_4)_2$, $Pb_5(PO_4)_3Cl$, $Zn_3(OH)_6(CO_3)_2$, $Zn_3(PO_4)_2$ • H_2O , and $ZnSiO_4$ were identified in soils.^{1024,1038} The adsorption-desorption processes can be described by the Freundlich isotherm and calculated with the help of geochemical models like GEOCHEM, proposed by Sposito and Mattigod.¹¹⁵⁴ Although the most important factors that govern these processes are carbonate content (HCO_3^{-}) , CEC, and the Eh-pH system, the adsorption capacity of organic matter can be of great importance. It has been indicated by the findings of Fic,⁹⁹² who showed a much higher adsorption of Cd, Cr, Cu, and Zn in the acid (pH 2.7) upper horizon of the forest podzol with a high organic carbon content (5.8%) than in the calcareous parent material (pH 8.0).

Soil Constituents

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantitatively, trace elements are negligible chemical constituents of soils, but are essential as micronutrients for plants. The first publications on trace elements were devoted to plant nutrition problems. Further, it was recognized that the behavior of trace elements in the soil differs widely for both the element and the soil and that these differences should be understood better for the prediction and effective management of the trace element status of soils. Although trace elements are mainly inherited from the parent rocks, their distribution within the soil profiles and their partitioning between the soil components reflect various pedogenic processes as well as the impact of external factors (e.g., agricultural practices, pollution).

Trace element associations with the particular soil phase and soil component appear to be fundamental in defining their behavior. The trace element composition of soils is relatively wellestablished (Figure 18), although there are still diversities in analytical results, especially in the measurements of very small quantities. Currently, there is also a great deal of work on the distribution of these elements among soil components. One must realize, however, that present-day techniques for soil fractionation are quite drastic and cannot provide very comparative and representative results. Knowledge of the behavior and reactions of separate soil components with trace elements, although fundamental, should not be related directly to overall soil properties, and great caution is needed in using several theoretical models for predicting the behavior of trace elements in soils.

II. TRACE ELEMENTS

Based on results of numerous studies, investigations, and observations, the main soil parameters governing processes of sorption and desorption of trace elements can be presented as follows:

- 1. pH and Eh values
- 2. Fine granulometric fraction (<0.02 mm)
- 3. Organic matter
- 4. Oxides and hydroxides, mainly Fe, Mn, and Al
- 5. Microorganisms

Several soil variables control trace element contents and behavior in soil. A factor of soil parameters was established by Kabata-Pendias and Krakowiak¹³⁵⁶ based on the calculation of correlation coefficient matrices for metals and some soil parameters such as: pH, clay fraction content, cation exchange capacity, organic matter content, and Fe content. For about a thousand



Figure 18 Trace elements in soils compared to their abundance in the lithosphere. Open circles mean content in the lithosphere; black circles mean content in topsoils; vertical lines mean values commonly found in topsoils.

			-		
Light (N =	Light Sandy (N = 552)		n Loamy : 168)	Heavy Loamy (N = 100)	
Α	G	Α	G	Α	G
0.29	0.22	0.35	0.31	0.86	0.51
10.4	7.2	13.2	11.8	20.3	18.5
8.0	7.0	15.3	14.6	25.6	24.3
254	205	399	368	640	574
5.5	4.8	11.8	10.9	23.4	21.5
14.2	12.6	17.7	16.4	25.2	20.9
41.7	32.5	59.7	51.5	91.0	79.5
0.6	0.5	1.2	1.1	2.0	1.9
0.30	0.26	0.55	0.49	0.79	0.73
3.5	2.8	6.0	5.4	9.1	8.5
8.4	7.6	14.7	14.2	19.2	18.4
4.8	4.0	9.6	8.5	17.3	15.4
1.1	0.2	1.4	0.3	2.0	0.4
11	10	20	17	30	24
	Light (N = 0.29 10.4 8.0 254 5.5 14.2 41.7 0.6 0.30 3.5 8.4 4.8 1.1 11	Light Sandy (N = 552) A G 0.29 0.22 10.4 7.2 8.0 7.0 254 205 5.5 4.8 14.2 12.6 41.7 32.5 0.6 0.5 0.30 0.26 3.5 2.8 8.4 7.6 4.8 4.0 1.1 0.2 11 10	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Light Sandy (N = 552) & Medium (N = 552) & (N = 100) \\ \hline A & G & A & (N = 100) \\ \hline 0.29 & 0.22 & 0.35 & (N = 100) \\ \hline 10.4 & 7.2 & 13.2 & (N = 100) \\ \hline 10.4 & 7.2 & 13.2 & (N = 100) \\ \hline 10.4 & 7.2 & 13.2 & (N = 100) \\ \hline 10.4 & 7.2 & 13.2 & (N = 100) \\ \hline 10.4 & 7.2 & 13.2 & (N = 100) \\ \hline 10.4 & 7.2 & 13.2 & (N = 100) \\ \hline 10.4 & 7.2 & 13.2 & (N = 100) \\ \hline 10.4 & 7.6 & 14.7 & (N = $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Light Sandy (N = 552) & Medium Loamy (N = 168) \\ \hline A & G & A & G \\ \hline 0.29 & 0.22 & 0.35 & 0.31 \\ 10.4 & 7.2 & 13.2 & 11.8 \\ 8.0 & 7.0 & 15.3 & 14.6 \\ 254 & 205 & 399 & 368 \\ 5.5 & 4.8 & 11.8 & 10.9 \\ 14.2 & 12.6 & 17.7 & 16.4 \\ 41.7 & 32.5 & 59.7 & 51.5 \\ 0.6 & 0.5 & 1.2 & 1.1 \\ 0.30 & 0.26 & 0.55 & 0.49 \\ 3.5 & 2.8 & 6.0 & 5.4 \\ 8.4 & 7.6 & 14.7 & 14.2 \\ 4.8 & 4.0 & 9.6 & 8.5 \\ 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.4 & 0.3 \\ 11 & 10 & 20 & 17 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

 Table 20
 Arithmetic (A) and Geometric (G) Mean Contents of Trace Metals (ppm) and Iron (%) in Soils of Various Textural Groups in Poland¹³⁵⁷

soil samples, the strongest linear positive correlation was obtained for the metals and fine soil granulometric fraction. This relation varies, however, for given metals, and is nicely illustrated by increasing mean content of trace metals in soils with increasing content of clay fraction (Table 20). The highest relative explanation index (60 to 75%) was calculated for Zn, Fe, Ni, and Cr, whereas the lowest explanation (10 to 30%) was for Cd, Pb, Cu, and Mn (Figure 19). A similar trend in



Figure 19 Relative explanation index (RDI) of statistically significant relationship between trace metals and content of clay fraction, <0.02 mm (CF), and cation exchange capacity (CEC) in mineral soils at the 99% confidence level.



Figure 20 Relative explanation index (RDI) of statistically significant relationship between trace metals and Fe content, and organic matter (SOM) of mineral soils at the 99% confidence level.

correlation of metal contents with cation exchange capacity of soil was observed but at much smaller relative explanation. A high explanation (>60%) was obtained for Ni vs. Fe in soils, while this relation was very low ($\leq 20\%$) for Cd and Cu (Figure 20). Soil organic matter influences the distribution of most metals, but only at about 15% of the relative explanation. The smallest relationship with organic matter (<10%) was observed for Cd and Mn. Due to the strongest association of trace metals with clay soil fraction, the calculated range of metals based on the content of soil clay fraction gives acceptable values for the estimation of background contents of metals (see Chapter 2, Section IV. A). The positive correlation of trace metals (Co, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn) with clay content of soils is, according to Lee et al., 1386 responsible for increasing their levels in the B soil horizons where clay is translocated from the A soil horizon. Elpatevsky et al.¹²⁹⁰ and Gao et al.,¹³⁰⁵ on the other hand, stated that the main factor of heavy metal migration is dissolved organic matter (DOM). Based on *in situ* determination of partitioning coefficients (K_n values), Janssen et al.¹³⁴⁵ concluded that, in Duth soils, pH and amorphous Fe content were the most influential factors in the partitioning of metals. However, clay content, Al content, and CEC were also significant. Kutilek¹³⁸³ distinguishes three basic types of physical mechanisms of pollutant flow on the pedon scale: (1) flow in interpedal pores, (2) fingering mainly due to instability on the wetting front, and (3) irregularities in hydrophilicity.

III. MINERALS

The mineral constituents of soils inherited from the parent rocks have been exposed for various periods of time to weathering and pedogenic processes. The soil mineral system, which is not necessarily in equilibrium with the soil solution, is complicated by the processes of degradation and neoformation of minerals, as well as by mineral reactions with organic compounds.

The common primary minerals in soils inherited from the parent material can be arranged in two parallel series, according to their susceptibility to weathering processes: (1) series of felsic minerals; plagioclases (Na \approx Ca) > K-feldspar > muscovite > quartz, and (2) series of mafic minerals; olivine > pyroxenes > amphiboles > biotite. These series are based on broad generalizations, and many exceptions may occur in particular soil environments. The primary minerals occurring in some soils are mostly of a larger dimension and are not involved in sorption processes. They are, however, considered to be the source of certain micronutrient elements.

The approximate composition of mineral constituents of surface soils presented in Figure 12 shows that quartz is the most common mineral in the soils, constituting 50 to more than 90% of the solid soil phase. Even in geochemical conditions favorable for the leaching of silicates, quartz remains as a basic soil mineral. Feldspars are of low relative resistance to weathering in soil environments and their alteration usually provides materials for clay mineral formation. Carbonates (calcite, dolomite) and metal oxides are usually accessory minerals in soils of humid climatic zones, while in soils of arid climatic zones they may be significant soil constituents.

The size and shape of mineral particles determine their ratio of surface to volume and mass, and this ratio determines their physical and chemical properties. Therefore, the grain-size composition of soils is considered to be one of the most important factors in soil characteristics and is included in the systems of soil evaluation and classification.

Sorption properties of the mineral part of soil material are associated principally with the clay and silt-size fractions. These fractions are mixtures of several aluminosilicate clay minerals with lesser amounts of quartz, feldspars, and various oxides and hydroxides. In certain soils, carbonate and phosphate minerals are present, and in others, such minerals as sulfides and sulfates may occur.

A. Clay Minerals and Other Aluminosilicates

The boundaries of the mineral group described as "clay minerals" are not well defined, and they tend to enlarge with increasing knowledge. Thus, the division of minerals in this chapter does not relate to any classification system. The common clay minerals in soils can be subdivided into five groups:

- 1. Kaolinite
- 2. Montmorillonite, often referred to as smectites
- 3. Illite
- 4. Chlorite
- 5. Vermiculite

Each group includes many compositional and structural varieties; however, they are all 1:1 or 2:1 layer-type aluminosilicates. The structure and chemistry of soil clay minerals have been extensively described in many monographs.^{49,281} The surface properties of minerals (area and presence of an electrical charge) seem to be fundamental for the buffer and sink properties of soils.

Clay minerals may contain negligible amounts of trace elements as structural components, but their sorption capacities to trace elements play the most important role. The affinity of trace ions for the clay surface has been examined by many investigators; and while many aspects have been clarified, still much remains to be learned about the adsorption processes.

Although clay mineral samples vary in chemical composition and in their nature, some general surface properties can be given for each mineral group (Table 19) that are responsible for values of the specific surface area of soil materials (Table 21). The capacity values (CEC) vary with the type of clay in the following sequence: montmorillonite, vermiculite > illite, chlorite > kaolinite > halloysite. The ability of the clays to bind the metal ions is correlated with their CEC, and usually the greater the CEC, the greater the amount of cation adsorbed.

	Surface Area (m² g⁻		
Kind of Soil	(5)	(182)	
Clays and loams	150–250	22–269	
Silty loams	120–200	24–117	
Sandy loams and loamy sands	10–40	4–67	
Rendzinas	_	17–167	

Table 21 Surface Area of Various Soils

Note: References are given in parentheses.

The minerals of the montmorillonite group can expand and contract in response to charge and size of the absorbed cation between the clay platelets. Thus, their sorption capacity will differ when saturated with different cations. The microcations sorbed by montmorillonite are also easily released into the liquid phase and, therefore, can be an important pool of micronutrient supply to plants growing in particular soil conditions.

The bonding processes for absorbed microcations, although carefully studied, remain controversial.^{2,227,356} It has been well-demonstrated, however, that equilibrium and pH values are the most basic qualities in the reactions of sorption and release of microcations by clay minerals.

The chemical nature of transition metals adsorbed on clay minerals has recently been the subject of great interest. Clays containing exchangeable transition metal cations (mainly Cu, Fe, and Co) are known to act as electron or proton acceptors, thus they can be activators in transformations, decomposition, and polymerization of the adsorbed organic species.

Relatively little is known about the adsorption of metal ions on amorphous alumina and silica gels. The mechanisms of coprecipitation and mobilization of certain trace cations with alumina or silica gels may play a significant role in their behavior in the particular soil, especially in those of the tropical climate zone. There are suggestions that metal ions (mainly Cu^{2+}) can substitute for aluminum in the mineral structures, while soluble silicic acid promotes adsorption of Co, Ni, and Zn by clays.^{517,793}

The strong adsorption of divalent trace cations (Cu, Pb, Zn, Ni, Co, Cd, and Sr) by freshly precipitated alumina gel is suggested by Kinniburgh et al.³⁹² to play a role in determining the availability to plants and the movement of some of these cations through the soil.

Some aluminosilicates are known to occur in soils as phyllosilicates (palygorskite, attapulgite, sepiolite) and as zeolites. They all have alternative 2:1-type open structures and are associated with the clay minerals. They can be inherited from parent materials, but can also be of pedogenic origin.⁹⁰¹ Most often, these minerals were found in neutral or alkaline soil series, especially in the presence of salts (e.g., solonetz, solonchaks, andosols, rendzinas). Some of them are more acid resistant than others in the soil environment. However, the detection of these minerals, especially zeolites, can be questioned due to possible destruction during chemical pretreatments of soil material.

Zeolites exhibit capacities to fix gases, vapors, and liquids, and they are known to be active in the sorption of Ba, Br, F, I, and also Mn and Sr. Barrer⁴⁹ has illustrated a high affinity of zeolites to sorb and to complex trace elements, particularly heavy metals and radionuclides.

Amorphous aluminosilicates occurring in soils are often described as allophane and imogolite. Allophanes are present in many soils, and the investigators pointed out their importance in the formation and transformation of noncrystalline clay materials and opaline silica.⁸³³ Both allophane and imogolite make sequences of various types and are formed mainly in the soils developed under a warm humid climate. Allophanes are more stable in acid soils, and imologite is more stable in neutral and alkaline soils. Very often they occur as "gel films" that coat soil particles. The effect of these inorganic coatings may be diverse, both increasing and decreasing trace element sorption; they may also reduce the biological availability of occluded trace elements.³⁵⁶

All of these mineral constituents have relatively high CEC values and a great affinity to react with soil organic compounds. Under normal soil conditions, they are important trace element sinks.

B. Oxides and Hydroxides

Several oxide minerals, such as silicon oxides, titanium oxides, aluminum oxides, and hydroxides occur in soils. However, in relation to trace element behavior, the most important are Fe and Mn oxides. Al hydroxides can adsorb a variety of trace elements and in some soils the role of these oxides can be more important than that of Fe oxides in retaining certain trace elements. As Norrish⁵⁷⁰ has stated, however, there is little direct evidence to support this view.

Oxides and hydroxides of Fe and Mn are relatively common constituents in soils and, having a high pigment power (mainly Fe oxides), determine the color of many soils. Fe and Mn oxides are present in soils in various mineral forms as well as in crystalline, microcrystalline, and amorphous oxides or hydroxides. Their structure and chemical properties are well-described by Hem,³¹³ Jenne,³⁵⁶ McKenzie^{524,526} and Schwertmann and Taylor.⁶⁹⁹

Although several minerals of the Fe oxides have been detected in soils, goethite is claimed to be the most frequently occurring form. Norrish⁵⁷⁰ reported that simple oxides and hydroxides of manganese do not occur in soils and that the most common mineral forms are lithiophorite and birnessite. Chukhrov et al.,¹⁴⁰ on the other hand, have identified vernadite—a simple hydrous oxide of Mn—as the most frequent form in the majority of soils.

These oxides are exposed to reduction and chelation solubility and oxidation-precipitation reactions, in which microbiological processes play an important role. Redox processes, in particular, in marsh soils result in the formation of concretions with dominating Fe and Mn accumulation.¹⁰²⁵ Different nodules of Fe and Mn are known to originate from both chemical and microbial processes; also, the formation of some crystalline minerals is known to be affected by microorganisms. The most common Fe-oxidizing bacteria (*Thiobacillium*) and Mn-oxidizing bacteria (*Metallogenium*) are able to tolerate high concentrations of several heavy metals (Zn, Ni, Cu, Co, and Mn). Thus, they are also involved in trace metal cycling in soils.

Fe and Mn oxides occur in soils as coatings on soil particles, as fillings in cracks and veins, and as concretions or nodules. Norrish⁵⁷⁰ and Hiller et al.,¹⁰²⁵ using the electron microprobe analyzer, indicated that many trace elements in soils are concentrated along the deposited oxides in soil material. Fe and Mn oxides have a high sorption capacity, particularly for trace elements, of which large amounts can be accumulated in nodules and at Fe- and Mn-rich points (Table 22). The mechanisms of sorption involve the isomorphic substitution of divalent or trivalent cations for Fe and Mn ions, the cation exchange reactions, and the oxidation effects at the surface of the oxide precipitates. Brümmer et al.⁹⁵⁵ studied reaction kinetics of the sorption of trace metals by goethite and stated that these processes involve: (1) adsorption of metals on external surfaces, (2) solid-state diffusion of metals, and (3) metal binding and fixation at positions inside the mineral particles. Variable charges at the surfaces (mainly of Fe oxides) also promote the adsorption of anions. A high sorption capacity of Fe oxides for phosphates, molybdates, and selenites is most widely observed and is highly pH dependent, being lower at high pH values.⁶³⁸ The amount of a particular ion that is adsorbed depends mainly on the pH of the equilibrium solution. The maximum adsorption values for various ions on Fe oxides range between pH 4 and 5.699 The adsorption of some metals (Cd, Ni, and Cu) is significantly enhanced in the presence of humic substances.¹⁰⁵⁷

Some investigators give the order of preferential sorption of metals by goethite as Cu > Zn > Co > Pb > Mn,⁶⁹⁹ while others have presented metal ion affinities for the oxide surface in the following orders: Cu > Pb > Zn > Co > Cd,²⁴⁰ and Pb > Zn > Cd > Tl.²⁵² However, the extrapolation of these results to all soils is difficult. Apparently, hydrous oxides of Fe and Mn are the most important compounds in the sorption of trace metallic pollutants, and they exhibit diverse affinities to cations having approximately the same physical dimensions as Mn^{2+} , Mn^{3+} , Fe^{2+} , and Fe^{3+} ; they are Co^{2+} , Co^{3+} , Ni^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Pb^{4+} , and Ag^+ .

							Manganasa Minarala and Constationa					
		Fe-rich Points		Concretio	Concretions of Surface Marsh Soils						Managan	
	Fe-rich Soil	of Surface	Goethites		Fe-Mn-rich		Mn-rich Points	Ма	nganese Nodul	es	From Soils	From Depositsb
Element	(977)°	(570)	(570, 881)	Fe-Si-rich	(1025)	Ti-Fe-rich	(570)	(94)	(524)	(977)°	(525)	(837)
%												
Fe	40.1	7.97-29.65	51.7-61.9	6–28	2-15	18–29	3.92-17.69	0.016	_	2.3	0.65-4.6	0.1-4.5
Mn	3.4	0.07-1.52	0.26-0.5	5	16-40	0.3-5.5	5.5-13.6	16	0.36-7.2	50.3	47.4-59.9	28-61
Ti	0.13	_	_	_	_	31–39	—	_	_	0.02	_	_
ppm												
Ba	890-1790	_	_	_	_	_	5730-28,640	2000	140-2300	_	32,000-54,000	110,000-128,000
Cd	_	—	—	20-430	90-520	70-740	—	8	_	—	—	—
Ce	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	720	_	_	_	_
Co	300	400-700	80 ^d	40-830	70–390	100-110	5400-24,400	3000	82-380	—	4500-12,000	140-12,000
Cr	_	—	1000 ^d	_	—	—	—	14	30-120	—	_	_
Cu	100	40-720	800	50-980	80-450	150-1110	390-960	2600	_	200	—	130-12,600
1	_	—	—		_	—	—	120-900	_	800	—	—
Li	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	300-700	2-5340
Мо	_	—	850		_	—	—	410	_	—	—	—
Ni	100	260-630	170 ^d	40-680	80-680	40-640	860-4870	4900	39–67	600	1000-3400	120-10,900
Pb	_	460-1390	_	130-5690	120-11,910	160-2720	2600-20,400	870	34-100	_	_	_
V	400	_	17,000	_	_	_	—	440	88-110	100	_	_
Zn	400	720–2570	17,300–23,500	50-1790	350-1800	30–1580	320-5540	710	30–33	3500	_	50-3800

Table 22 Trace Elements in Iron and Manganese Oxides (DW Basis)

Iron Minerale and Concentrations

Note: References are in parentheses.

^a Identified minerals: lithiophonite, birnessite, and hollandite.

^b Identified minerals: psilomelane, cryptomelane, lithiophonite, and pyrolusite.

° Mean contents.

^d In magnetite separated from soils (ignited weight).

© 2001 by CRC Press LLC

C. Carbonates

Carbonates present in soils are often in metastable and polymorphic varieties and thus sensitive to drainage conditions. Carbonates are common constituents in the soils where evapo-transpiration potential exceeds the rainfall. On the other hand, in soils with a high rate of percolating water, carbonates are easily dissolved and leached out. Nevertheless, Ca is usually the predominating cation in solutions of almost all soils.

Calcite is the most widespread and relatively mobile form of Ca carbonates present in soils; it is usually greatly dispersed and has a major influence on the pH of soils and therefore on trace element behavior.

Trace elements may coprecipitate with carbonates, being incorporated in their structure, or may be sorbed by oxides (mainly Fe and Mn) that were precipitated onto the carbonates or other soil particles. Metallic ions may also influence processes of carbonate precipitations.³⁵⁶ The greatest affinity for reaction with carbonates has been observed for Co, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sr, U, and Zn. However, a wide variety of the elements under various geochemical environments may substitute for Ca in different proportions in nodular calcites. As Vochten and Geyes⁸²⁸ observed, the secondary calcite crystals show a remarkably high content of Sr and Co—up to 1000 ppm concentrations. Carbonates can be the dominant trace element sink in a particular soil, but the most important mechanisms for regulating the trace element behavior by carbonates are related to variation of the soil pH. For example, CdCO₃, Cu₂(OH)₂CO₃, and Zn₅(OH)₆(CO₃)₂ are likely to occur in neutral or alkaline soils polluted with these metals.^{1038,1046}

D. Phosphates

Crystalline forms of phosphate minerals rarely occur in soils; however, many varieties of metastable and metamorphous phosphates are of importance in pedogenic processes. There are few data on the occurrence of Ca phosphates (apatite and hydroapatite) or other phosphates in soils. Rather, it has been suggested that an intimate mixture of Ca, Fe, and Al phosphates predominates in soils.³⁵⁶

Several of the rock phosphates contain a large amount of trace elements, of which F, and at times Cd, are highly concentrated (Table 23). Some substitutions for Ca by trace elements are known to occur in natural apatites; they are, however, of little importance in soils. Also, many trace elements (Ba, Bi, Cu, Li, Mn, Pb, Re, Sr, Th, U, and Zn) can be incorporated, together with Fe³⁺, and Al³⁺, in hydrated phosphates.⁸⁰⁹ Norrish⁵⁷⁰ reported extremely high concentrations of lead (1 to 35% PbO) in the phosphate concentrates occurring in ferralsols (lateritic podzolic soils). At the range from neutral to alkaline reaction a possible formation of Pb phosphates in Pb-polluted soils is reported.^{1038,1046} Phosphates of Zn may also precipitate in such soil conditions (see Chapter 3, Section III.D).

E. Sulfides, Sulfates, and Chlorides

Sulfides, sulfates, and chlorides are negligible compounds in soils that developed in a humid climate, but in soils of arid climatic zones they can be the dominant controls of the behavior of trace elements. The metallic ions (mainly Fe^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , and Cu^{2+}) may form relatively stable sulfides of acidic or neutral reducing potential in flooded soils. Several other heavy metals (Cd, Co, Ni, Sn, Ti, and Zn) can also be easily coprecipitated with iron sulfides.^{356,828}

The precipitation of metallic ions as sulfides is an important mechanism for regulating the solution concentration of both S^{2-} and metallic cations. Sulfides of heavy metals may be transformed into more soluble oxidized sulfates when flooded soil becomes drained and aerated.

Pyrite is the most common mineral of Fe sulfides in soils and other geochemical environments. Some other heavy metals which readily form sulfides may also be, as is Fe, remarkably related to microbial S cycling in soils, as was recently described by Trudinger and Swaine.⁸⁰⁹

Element	Phosphorites (98, 809, 1418, 1558)	Phosphate Fertilizers (381, 554, 1362)
Ag	_	0.8–3
As	0.4–188	2–1200
В	3–50	5–115
Ва	1–1000	200
Be	<0.5–10	—
Br	—	10–500
Cd	0.01->100	7–170
Ce	9–100	20
Co	0.6–12	1–12
Cr	2–1600	66–600
Cu	0.6–394	1–300
F	<31,000	8500–38,000
Hg	0.2-1000	0.01-0.12
I	0.2–280	_
La	7–130	60–100
Li	1–10	—
Mn	1–10,000	40–2000
Мо	0.03–138	0.1–60
Nb	—	20–570
Ni	0.2-1000	7–32
Pb	1-1000	7–225
Rb	—	90–200
Sb	0.2–10	—
Se	1–10	0.5–25
Sn	0.2–15	3–19
Sr	1000–2000	25–500
Ti	100–3000	—
U	8–1300	10-800
V	20–5000	2–180
Y	—	30–180
Yb	_	8–14
Zn	4–345	50–1450
Zr	10-800	50-1560

Table 23 Trace Elements in Phosphorites and Phosphate Fertilizers (ppm)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

Sulfides of heavy metals are not common in soils, especially in soils with good drainage. Sulfates of metals, mainly of Fe (jarosite), but also of Al (alunites) and Ca (gypsum, anhydrite), are likely to occur under oxidizing soil conditions. They are readily soluble and therefore are greatly involved in soil equilibrium processes. Sulfates of heavy metals are also readily available to plants, and their occurrence in soils has practical importance in agriculture.⁶⁷³ Chlorides as the most soluble salts occur only in soils of arid or semiarid climatic zones. Chlorides' affinity for forming easily soluble complexes with Cd is of environmental concern.

IV. ORGANISMS IN SOILS

Living organisms, often referred to as the soil biota, composed of fauna and flora of various dimensions (macro-, mezo-, and microbiota), occur abundantly in soils. At the microbiota level, the boundary between plant and animal cells becomes blurred. The importance of living organisms as reflected in biological activity of soils has been discussed in many textbooks.^{187,651,856,898}

Several species of biota are known to be useful indicators of soil pollution. However, growth, reproduction, and metabolic activities of biota are sensitive to other soil variables as well as to the concentrations of trace pollutants. The Eh-pH system and organic matter content are especially important. Humic substances are known to have both beneficial and deleterious effects on biota caused by binding or mobilization of metals. It was observed that increased trace element levels have an adverse effect on the natural regulation of the soil biota.⁹⁸²

A. Microorganisms

The abundance of microorganisms in topsoils varies with soil and climatic conditions and may reach as much as 20% of the total biota of a soil system. There is no easy way of knowing with certainty the biomass of microorganisms because this quantity can be determined only indirectly. The maximum weight of soil biota given by Richards⁶⁵¹ for a hypothetical grassland soil corresponds to 7 t ha⁻¹ of microbiota (bacteria and fungi) and to 1.3 t ha⁻¹ of mezobiota. Kovalskiy et al.⁴²² calculated that the biomass of bacteria and fungi present in the plow zone of soil (20 cm depth) ranges from 0.4 to 1.1 t ha⁻¹. The biomass of bacteria varies significantly during the growing season, and may increase about three times from spring to fall.⁴²¹

Microorganisms are very important ecologically because they are the producing, consuming, and transporting members of the soil ecosystem and therefore are involved in the flow of energy and in the cycling of chemical elements. Thus, the microbiota is responsible for many different processes, from mobilization to accumulation of chemical elements, in soils. Although microorganisms are sensitive to both deficiencies and excesses of trace elements, they can adapt to high concentrations of these elements in their environment.

The role of microorganisms in geochemical cycling of the major elements is relatively wellunderstood on the global level. The biogeochemical cycling of trace elements has received much less attention. With the recognition that microbial transformations of compounds of these elements can result in some problems of soil fertility as well as in the formation of some environmental pollution or detoxication processes, the importance of microbiota in cycling of trace elements, especially heavy metals, has been more extensively studied. Processes of microbial methylation can occur in oxic and anoxic soil conditions, and can highly influence the behavior of some trace elements. Several elements, such as As, Hg, Se, Te, Tl, Pb, and In, are known to be biologically methylated in soils.¹²⁰¹ Abiotic processes of methylation can also occur in a soil.

The basic microbial phenomena in cycling processes in the soil environment are:

- 1. Transport of an element into or out of a cell
- 2. Charge alteration of an element
- 3. Interaction of an element with organic compounds to become a functional part of the system
- 4. Complexing an element by organic acids and other compounds produced by microorganisms
- 5. Microbial accumulation or mobilization of an element
- 6. Microbial detoxication of poisoned soil at a site
- 7. Microbial methylation of an element

The most important microbial function in soil, however, is the degradation of plant and animal residues. It has become apparent that the quantity of trace elements needed or harmful for growth of microorganisms also influences the biological activity of soils.

All available evidence indicates that a low concentration of trace elements stimulates bacterial growth in soil, but a higher content is harmful, being usually most toxic to the bacteria that fix free N and to nitrifying bacteria.^{505,812} Of the 19 trace elements studied by Liang and Tabatabai,⁴⁷¹ all inhibited mineral N production in soils. At the concentrations of 5 μ M g⁻¹ of soil, the most toxic

Metal Concentration		Reported				
in Soils (ppm DW)	Inhibited Process	Sometimes	Always			
≤10	Soil respiration N-mineralization Nitrification	Cd, Hg, Ni, Zn Hg Ha				
≤100	Soil respiration N-mineralization Nitrification	Cd, Cu, Pb Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn	Hg Hg Ha			
≤1000	Soil respiration N-mineralization Nitrification	00, 00, Ni, 21	Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Zn Cr,ª Cu, Ni, Zn Cd, Crª Cu, Ni			
≤10,000	Soil respiration N-mineralization Nitrification		Pb Cd, ^b Pb Pb, Zn			

Table 24 Toxic Effects of Trace Metals on Microbial Activities in Soils⁹⁸²

^a Concentration given for about 500 ppm.

^b Concentration given for about 3000 ppm.

elements were Ag^+ and Hg^+ , while the least toxic were Co^{2+} , As^{3+} , Se^{4+} , and W^{6+} . Heavy metals especially are known for their toxicity to microbiota, with fungi and actinomycetes having the most resistance. Reduction of microbial growth and enzymatic activity is often reported for soils contaminated by heavy metals.^{88,733,814} Elevated metal concentrations are responsible for commonly observed decreases in symbiotic and nonsymbiotic N2-fixation.¹⁰⁸⁹ Doelman⁹⁸² summarized reported effects of different concentrations of trace metals on microbial activities in soils. Data presented indicate that Cd, Hg, Ni, and Zn are toxic at lower levels than the other metals (Table 24). Atmospherically borne metals, most often associated with H_2SO_4 and HNO_3 , cause significant damage to soil organisms. These effects are related either to direct toxic impact of trace metals and to lowering of pH, or to general deterioration of the habitat quality. Bengtsson and Torstensson⁹³⁹ reviewed several studies on the inhibition of soil respiration and of turnover of carbon and nutrients in metal-polluted soils. Mathur et al.⁵¹⁵ showed that the effect of a naturally high Cu content of histosols is most suppressive on levels of accumulated enzyme activities involved in the degradation of the major components of organic debris in soils. A low rate of decomposition of vegetation having a high concentration of Pb and Zn is apparently due to the same processes in nature.877

Suppression and/or stimulation of biosynthesis of microorganisms by heavy metals depends on the nature of the organisms, the kind of metal, and the pH of soils. Even within one species, the range of required or inhibitory concentrations of a given metal varies significantly.⁴²¹

Based on data presented in the monograph by Weinberg,⁸⁵⁶ it may be generalized that the highest concentration of Fe, Mn, and Zn required by various microorganisms (fungi, bacteria, bacilla, and actinomycetes) was around X00 μ M L⁻¹. The inhibitory concentrations of these elements on vegetative growth and secondary metabolism of microorganisms have also been established at the above range.

Microorganisms take up trace elements, several of which play important metabolic functions.^{344,614,467} It has been shown by Kokke,⁴⁰⁷ however, that cells of microorganisms may show quite variable affinities for radionuclides that are necessarily related to their biological function (Table 25).

Heavy metals are known to be the most toxic elements, especially to fungi. Somers⁷⁴⁶ reported that the fungicidal action of trace cations is due primarily to the formation of an un-ionized complex with surface groups (e.g., phosphate, carboxyl, and sulfhydryl). This author showed that there is a relationship between the toxic concentration of the metal ion and its electronegativity value. The order of toxicity of aqueous solutions of nitrates and sulfates against conidia of *Alternaria*

	Initial Concentration	Time of Growth (days)						
	in Growth Media	1	2	4	8	16		
Radionuclide	(μ <i>Μ</i> L⁻¹)	% of Initial Concentration						
⁸⁹ Ce	40	93	95	98	99	99		
55 Fe	40	68	83	95	95	95		
⁶⁵ Zn	64	60	60	60	75	99		
⁸⁹ Sr	40	18	18	18	37	99		
131	20	16	18	25		25		
¹⁰⁶ Ru	40	15	30	45	60	75		
⁶⁰ Co	40	3	5	5	18	77		
⁶³ Nd	40	3	3	3	5	12		
¹³⁷ Cs	40	1	2	2	3	5		

Table 25 Radionuclide Uptake by the Yeast *Candida humicola* as a Function of Time⁴⁰⁷



Figure 21 Tolerance of Actinomycetes from soils with various B contents to B concentrations in the growth media. (1) Growing fungi, in percent of total number; (2) maximum tolerable concentration of B in solution.⁴⁶⁴

tenuis was given by Somers⁷⁴⁶ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} Os > Hg > Ag > Ru > Pb \approx Cr > P > Ce > Cu > Ni = \\ Be = Y > Mn = Tl > Zn > Li > Sr \end{array}$$

In soil systems, Hg, Cd, and As seemed to be the most harmful to ammonification processes, while Cu greatly reduced phosphate mineralization rates.^{762,813}

Microorganisms can adapt to high concentrations of trace elements. This has been wellillustrated by Aristovskaya³⁵ and Letunova⁴⁶⁴ for several elements such as Fe, Mn, Mo, Se, and B (Figure 21). This adaptation is also well-shown in various microbiogeochemical processes described in detail by Babich and Stotzky,⁴¹ Gadd and Griffiths,²⁵¹ Kowalskiy,⁴¹⁹ and Zajic.⁸⁹⁸ The sensitivity of microorganisms (mainly fungi) to different concentrations of trace elements has often been used in the determination of the availability of micronutrients such as Fe, Cu, Zn, and Mo.^{531,572}

Since trace metals are toxic to microorganisms even at relatively low concentrations, they have evolved efficient mechanisms to detoxify the metals. Chakrabarty⁹⁶¹ and Silver¹¹⁵⁰ described a variety of reactions that reduce the toxicity associated with entry of the metal inside the cell. Most common

reactions depend on processes of oxidation, reduction, and alkylation.¹¹⁰⁶ Other reactions of bacterial resistance to metals are (1) energy-dependent processes of the efflux of the metal from the cell and (2) precipitation of the metal at cell surfaces. Metal-microbe interactions evolved resistance mainly against such metals as Ag, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Pb, Ni, and Zn. The resistance of microorganisms to other trace elements was also observed, but the mechanisms involved are not vet understood.¹¹⁵⁰ Processes of alkylation and dealkylation control to a great extent the toxicity and behavioral properties of some trace elements. Microbial methylation under environmental conditions was observed for As, Hg, Se, Sn, and Te. On a chemical basis, however, one would expect Au, Bi, Pb, Pd, Pt, Sb, and Tl to have a potential capability for forming methylated compounds.⁹³⁵ Indeed, some forms of Pb and other metals are known to undergo methylation under the soil conditions. Beijer and Jernelöv⁹³⁵ stated that whether the methylation is a biological or a purely chemical reaction is still under debate, and that it is still unclear if organic Pb^{2+} may be methylated. Also Craig and Rapsomanikis⁹⁷⁰ focused attention on the identification of chemical methylating agents known to be present in the environment which are suitable substances for the methylation of metals. Recent studies of Chau et al.⁹⁶⁵ indicated that transmethylation reactions between organometals and metal ions occur in aqueous solutions in both biotic and abiotic systems. Results of these studies suggest that, for example, alkyllead or methyltin compounds could be potential methylating agents for the formation of other methylmetals. Thus, alkylation processes in soils are not solely of a bacteriological origin.

The physicochemical relationship between bacteria and mineral surfaces leads to diverse effects of dissolution and secondary precipitation of trace metal ions, including changes in their valence and/or conversion into organometallic compounds. A biological oxidation and reduction of Fe and Mn, for example, is one of the most important factors governing the solubility, and thus, the bioavailability, of these metals in soils. Many bacterial species are implicated in the transformation of trace element compounds, including even neoformation of certain Fe and Mn minerals.¹⁴⁰ This effect, however may also at times be an indirect effect. Bacteria also play the most important role in gley formation, which affects the mobility of metals in soils.⁸¹ The mobilitation of metals on a large scale is of geochemical significance since it may lead to ore formation (e.g., Cu, U). Such processes are the results of the growth of acidiphilic and/or chemolithotrophic bacteria that derive their energy from the oxidation of reduced (or partially reduced) sulfur compounds and metal salts.⁹⁶¹

A complex balance of trace elements required for microbial activity is of importance in soil productivity. The quantities of specific trace elements available to soil microorganisms can be the critical determinant in the establishment of a disease condition of certain plants. The trace element competition between plants and microorganisms is apparent in various reports, and it may react in different ways. Microbially induced decreases in the availability of trace elements result from a considerably high accumulation of certain elements by microbiota and also from the biological oxidation of compounds of these elements. Microbiological increases of availability, on the other hand, are caused by microorganisms capable of reducing certain compounds (principally, Mn and Fe) and also by their variable bioaccumulation of trace elements (Table 26). Highly elevated levels of trace elements, particularly of As, Cd, Cu, Cr, Hg, Pb, and Sb, are known to reduce the growth and decomposing capacity of soil microbes.⁹⁶³ Effects of these processes are observed in reduced capability to decompose organic pollutants (e.g., pesticides, oil) and organic debris in soils. Negative impact of these metals on the decomposition of organic matter, on the mineralization of N and P compounds, and on the activity of N fixation is commonly reported (Table 24). However, it is not easy to predict microbial consequences of soil pollution with metals because of variable reduction in the activity of one species, with increasing tolerance in other species.¹¹⁵⁰

Soil fumigation or steaming and many fungicides kill the fungi and therefore may interfere with the ability of plants to absorb micronutrients. The mechanisms of these phenomena are not yet fully understood; however, they may be related to an imbalance of soil microorganisms and

		Cu		Мо	V		
Data on Soil	Soil (ppm)	Biomass (kg h ⁻¹)	Soil (ppm)	Biomass (kg h ⁻¹)	Soil (ppm)	Biomass (kg h ⁻¹)	
Low content of elements	48	0.004 (Sp)	6	0.0013 (Sp)	66	0.002 (Sp)	
		0.006 (Sm)		0.002 (Sm)		0.003 (Sm)	
		0.028 (FI)		0.009 (FI)		0.013 (FI)	
High content of elements	270	0.60 (Sp)	72	0.075 (Sp)	840	0.124 (Sp)	
		0.25 (Sm)		0.031 (Sm)		0.052 (Sm)	
		0.22 (FI)		0.029 (Fl)		0.049 (FI)	
Control soil (chernozem)	73	0.019 (Sp)	10	0.005 (Sp)	148	0.005 (Sp)	
		0.069 (Sm)		0.017 (Sm)		0.019 (Sm)	
		0.059 (FI)		0.013 (Fl)		0.015 (Fl)	

Table 26 Bioaccumulation of Cu, Mo, and V by Microbiomass in Topsoils at Various Seasons⁴²¹

Note: Sp, spring; Sm, summer; Fl, fall.

-		(1-1)
	Growth	Medium ^a
Element	Soil Rich in Cu, Mo, V	Soil Poor in Cu, Mo, V
Ag	<0.14–3.6	<0.14-<0.51
Ва	46–368	<24–112
Be	<0.3–4.2	<0.3–2.1
Co	<0.3–14	<0.6–8
Cr	0.8–27	<0.5–17
Cu	10–98	4.5–39
Мо	3.4–21	1.2-6.2
Ni	<0.2–16	<0.7–12
Pb	<1.3–38	<0.8–<8.7
Sr	2.3–55	1.7–7
V	4.3–28	3.2–15

Table 27 Trace Elements in the Biomass of *Bacillus megaterium* Growing in Different Media¹⁰⁶¹ (ppm DW)

^a Growth medium was agar-agar with added soil extracts.

their participation in the transport of ions into or within biological systems. As Martin^{510a} reported, variable effects in plants of B, Cu, Li, Mn, and Zn toxicities or deficiencies of Cu, Mn, and Zn were found following soil fumigation.

Gadd and Griffiths²⁵¹ concluded that two main types of metal uptake by microorganisms can occur; the first involves nonspecific binding of the cation to cell surfaces, slime layers, extracellular matrices, etc., while the second involves metabolic-dependent intracellular uptake. The polygalacturonic acid, a common constituent of the outer slime layer of bacterial cells, can complex several trace metals.

The adsorption of trace elements by microorganisms differs widely, as is shown in Tables 25, 26, and 27. Although the mass of microbiota in soils has been calculated to be in the range of 0.X-X t ha⁻¹, the greatest amounts of metals fixed by microorganisms are the following (g ha⁻¹): Ni, 350; Cu, 310; Zn, 250; Co, 150; Mo, 148; and Pb, 8.4, which corresponds to 0.002 to 0.216% of their total abundance in the 20-cm topsoil level of 1 ha.^{422,465}

Calculations made by Kovalskiy et al.⁴²² and Letunova and Gribovskaya⁴⁶⁵ indicated that at the annual rate, the total biocycling of about 11 generations of microbiota may, on the average, involve the following amounts of trace metals (kg ha⁻¹): Ni, 147; Zn, 104; Cu, 78; and Co, 28. Trace elements fixed by microbiomass may be much higher than these values for soils that increase their levels, as has been shown by Krasinskaya and Letunova.⁴²⁶

Microbioaccumulation of trace elements may be of great importance both in the cycling of trace elements in soil and in their availability to plants. Fungi and actinomycetes are the most resistant

microorganisms to high concentrations of heavy metals, while nitrifying and rhizosphere microorganisms are the most sensitive.

Various waste waters, as well as slurries used for soil irrigation and amendment, can be sources of microbial and other pathogenic organisms of a serious health hazard to humans and animals. This problem has been recently reviewed by Kristensen and Bonde.⁴²⁹ Bacterial leaching of heavy metals from sewage sludges is, however, a practical application of the biotransformation of the forms of chemical elements.^{694,1106}

More than 80% of microorganisms are believed to be adsorbed to soil organic matter and clay minerals.⁸⁸⁴ Therefore, comparisons of results obtained for pure cultures of microorganisms may differ from those naturally occurring in soils.

The rhizosphere flora plays a special role in the bioactivity of soils and the availability of nutrients. Although effects of mycorrhizas have been almost always ascribed to an increased phosphate uptake, some observations indicate that they may also influence micronutrient supply. As Lambert et al.,⁴⁵⁶ Woldendorp,⁸⁸⁴ and Turnau¹⁵²⁴ reported, Zn, Cu, and Sr are the chief elements supplied to plants by a given type of mycorrhiza. Some negative effects occurring in rhizospheres may be observed when anaerobiosis around the root surface due to a high oxygen demand of microflora leads to the formation of ferrous iron compounds which are taken up by plants to concentrations that cause the physiological disorder known as Fe toxicity.⁸⁰⁷

B. Invertebrates

Any species of soil fauna (mezo- and macrobiota) participating in the trophic chain may reflect chemical composition of the soil. Lumbricid earthworms seem to be of the greatest importance as indicator species in the terrestrial ecosystems. Earthworms may constitute up to 80% of the total biomass of the soil fauna, and because of their relatively large biomass, provide a significant food source for many species of several predators (e.g., birds, small mammals). Ma¹⁰⁷⁹ showed that the accumulation of trace metals in mole tissues reflects the bioavailability of these metals to earthworms. Metals accumulated in both small earth mammals and earthworms do not consistently reflect the metal contents of soils (Table 28). In most cases, however, their accumulation in earthworms can be relatively well-predicted (Table 29). Ma¹⁰⁷⁸ emphasized that in the ecosystems where earthworms comprise a large proportion of the soil fauna biomass, the bioaccumulation of metals, as high as up to 3500 ppm Zn (DW), can be potentially hazardous to predatory animals. Findings of Ma¹⁰⁷⁸ indicate that only Cd and Zn are significantly enriched in the worms. However, under specific soil conditions, as for example high acidity, low Ca content, or low CEC value, other trace metals can also be easily taken up by earthworms. Based on laboratory and field observations, Ma¹⁰⁷⁷ gave the following order of increasing concentration factors of metals in worms in various soils:

$$Cr < Mn \le Fe < Ni < Pb \le Zn < Cd$$

Ma et al.¹⁰⁸⁰ reported that *Lumbricus rubellus* easily accumulated Cd, Zn, and Pb from acid soils. However, Cu was the exception, and its uptake by earthworms was not influenced by the soil pH. Thus, the demonstrated influence of soil factors on element concentrations in earthworms is related to the effect of soil properties on metal availability to organisms in the terrestrial ecosystems. Roth-Holzapfel^{1130a} analyzed species of invertebrates of the spruce forest ecosystem in central Europe. She found that mainly essential elements (e.g., Cu and Zn) were accumulated in primary consumer groups. A concentration of other trace elements with increasing trophic levels was not confirmed, with the exception of Ni and Cd, which are highly mobile in environmental compartments. Similar results are reported by Edwards et al.¹²⁸⁸ on *Eisenia fetida* used for metal accumulation bioassay. They concluded, based on the earthworm bioassay for Cu and Hg, that many factors hampered quantitative determination of the degree of soil metal availability to these biota.

Metal	Soil	Earthworms	Ratio, Worms/Soil	Ref.
Cd	2	15	7.5	339
	4	4	1	339
	1.6	11.1	6.9	264
	0.9	14.4	16	264
	1.1	18	16	160
	0.6	12	20	160
	0.1	2.7	27	160
	4.1	10.3ª	27.6	179
	0.16	6°	37.2	1104a
Cu	20	13	0.65	339
	252	11	0.04	339
	335	11	0.03	339
	52	28	0.53	160
	26	18	0.69	160
	9	5	0.55	160
	11	8.5°	0.78	1104a
Hg	3.8	1.29 ^b	0.33	111
	0.1	0.04 ^b	0.40	111
	0.32	0.31°	0.97	1104a
Mn	1330	82	0.06	339
	226	28	0.12	339
	164	27	0.16	339
	214	63°	0.04	1104a
Ni	26	31	1.19	264
	18	29	1.61	264
	12	32	2.66	264
Pb	1314	3592	2.73	339
	629	9	0.01	339
	700	331	0.47	264
	94	101	1.04	264
	170	62	0.36	160
	20	9	0.45	160
_	870	109ª	0.12	160
Zn	138	739	5.35	339
	992	676	0.68	339
	219	670	3.05	264
	49	400	8.16	264
	275	2000	7.27	160
	40	900	22.50	160
	81	662ª	8.17	179
	76	256°	3.4	1104a

|--|

Note: Element concentrations expressed on dry weight basis. Organisms analyzed are *Lumbricus rubellus* or *L. terrestris*, except as indicated.

^a Other Invertebrata.

^b FW basis.

° Denolrobaena octahedra.

In soils highly contaminated by trace elements, mezo- and macrobiota are decreased, their metabolism is inhibited, and finally all organisms may vanish.

V. ORGANIC MATTER

The association of metals with organic matter is described by Weber¹¹⁸² as follows: "Metals are enriched relatively to average concentrations in the Earth's crust in a variety of organic-rich environmental materials including: petroleum, asphalt, coal, soils, shales, aquatic sediments, and
	(ppin 211)		
Metal	Soil	<i>Lumbricus</i> Species	Concentration Factor ^a
Cd	0.1	19 (8) ^b	190
	6.0	79 (69)	13
	9.2	114 (57)	12
Cu	7	20 (17)	2.8
	25	28 (21)	1.1
	40	28 (23)	0.7
Pb	24	12 (41)	0.5
	115	25 (41)	0.2
	135	25 (20)	0.1
Zn	35	730 (837)	20
	737	1474 (1525)	2
	1015	1789 (1164)	1.7

Table 29	Effects of Increasing Concentrations of Metals in
	Soils on Their Accumulation in Earthworms ¹⁰⁷⁹
	(ppm DW)

^a Ratio worms to soil.

^b Values predicted by Ma are in parentheses.¹⁰⁷⁹

peat." Meyer¹⁰⁹¹ concluded that the biomass has also affected the Earth's surface chemistry, because the photosynthetic generation of free oxygen and carbon compounds contributes significantly to the diversity of redox potentials in both sedimentary and igneous-related processes of selection of metals at the source, during transport, and at the site of ore deposition. On the other hand, Rösler and Beuge¹¹³³ pointed out that the often discussed role of trace elements bound to organic substances is of much less importance in their behavior during the metamorphism than has been anticipated. Metamorphic processes of organic sediments involve the fractionation of trace elements with a general trend: (1) Hg, U, and J in peats, (2) Sr and F in lignite, (3) Pb, Cu, and Zn in hard coal, and (4) Mn and Cr in graphite.¹¹³³

Organic substances play a prominent role in biochemical weathering and thus in geochemical cycling of trace elements. Stevenson¹¹⁵⁷ discussed evidence both for and against their involvement in weathering processes. It seems more likely that humic and fulvic acids exhibit an activity of the same order as simple organic compounds in metal ion mobilization from soil minerals. The solubilization and transport of some metals, such as Au, Hg, and U, mediated by humic substances are cited by several authors.^{299,1157,1163}

Organic matter of soils consists of a mixture of plant and animal products in various stages of decomposition and of substances that were synthesized chemically and biologically. This complex material, greatly simplified, can be divided into humic and nonhumic substances. Organic matter is widely distributed in soils, miscellaneous deposits, and natural waters. The amount of organic carbon in the earth as humus (50×10^{11} t) has been calculated to exceed that which occurs in living organisms (7×10^{11} t).²⁰¹

The major portion of the organic matter in most soils results from biological decay of the biota residues. The end products of this degradation are humic substances, organic acids of low-molecular and high-molecular weights, carbohydrates, proteins, peptides, amino acids, lipids, waxes, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and lignin fragments. In addition, the excretion products of roots, composed of a wide variety of simple organic acids, are present in soils. It should be mentioned, however, that the composition and properties of organic matter are dependent on climatic conditions, soil types, and agricultural practices. In soil contaminated with trace metals, and in particular with Cu, over the long term, there can be expected an increase in organic matter content by reducing organic matter decomposition.^{390,1478} Similar effects have also been observed with other trace metals. This is of considerable importance in predicting the long-term environmental effects of the trace metal pollution.

The most stable compounds in soils are humic substances partitioned into the fractions of humic acid, fulvic acid, and humin, which are similar in structure, but differ in their reactions. Humic substances are of a coiled polymer chain structure and contain a relatively large number of functional groups (CO₂, OH, C=C, COOH, SH, CO₂H) having a great affinity for interacting with metal ions. Owing to a particular combination of different groups (mainly, OH and SH), humic substances are able to form complexes with certain cations. Some trace anions, such as B, I, and Se, are also well-known to be organically bound in soils. Humic substances are also easily adsorbed by clay and oxide particles in soil and water environments, and these responses are highly dependent on trace cations.^{779,799} Laxen¹⁰⁵⁷ emphasized a role of humic substances in the adsorption of Cd, Cu, Ni, and possibly Pb onto Fe hydrous oxides. The adsorption/coprecipitation of these metals by Fe oxides is enhanced in the presence of humics (see Chapter 4, Section III.B.). Estimated CEC values of humic substances vary from 200 to 500 meq 100 g⁻¹, being higher for humic acids and lower for fulvic acids. General properties of humic substances are:

- 1. Fulvic acids (fulvates)—have a low degree of polymerization, high acidity and mobility; occur mainly in poor acid soils of a low biological activity
- 2. Humic acids (humates)—have spherocolloidal polymerization, medium acidity and mobility; occur in weak acid and neutral soils of high biological activity
- 3. Humins—are products of aging of humates and fulvates, have a high degree of polymerization and low acidity; occur in all soils

Interactions between humic substances and metals have been described as ion exchange, surface sorption, chelation, coagulation, and peptization. It should be emphasized that the existence of a particular site for each cation is not easy to prove because the metal may be bound to two or more ligands from different molecules. All reactions between organic matter and cations lead to the formation of water-soluble and/or water-insoluble complexes. Organic matter and some decomposition products can act as reducing agents and assist in mobilizing some elements. Compounds acting as a reducing agent form complex ions with a number of cations, and also form a few sparingly soluble species. Trudgill¹¹⁶⁷ reported that humic matter in laboratory studies reduces a variety of metal ions such as: V^{5+} to V^{4+} , Hg^{2+} to Hg^0 , Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} , Cr^{6+} to Cr^{3+} , and U^{6+} , to U^{4+} .

Sholkovitz and Copland⁷²⁰ studied the complexing and chelation of trace elements with organic ligands in natural waters. Their studies led to the conclusion that solubilities of humic acid complexes with Fe, Cu, Ni, Cd, Cu, and Mn are the reverse of those predicted from inorganic solubility considerations. The complexing of these ions with humic substances led to the solubilization at high pH (range 3 to 9.5) and precipitation at low pH (range 3 to 1). Weber¹¹⁸² described that: "Many metals in natural waters have solubilities much higher than expected from calculations based on the inorganic ions of the medium. This enhanced solubility is predominantly due to their complexation, adsorption on, and reduction by humic material."

Organic matter is of importance in the transportation (and subsequent leaching) and accumulation of metallic ions known to be present in soils and waters as chelates of various stability and in supplying these ions to plant roots. The ion exchange equilibrium has been extensively studied for determining the stability constant of metallo-organic matter complexes in soils. The values of stability constants determined by several authors described the ability of humic acids to form complexes with metals (Table 30). Metal-fulvic acid complexes with lower stability constants usually are more readily soluble and thus more available to plant roots. The evaluation of several experiments, however, elucidates that organic matter in soils has a relatively low influence on the uptake of trace metals by plants.¹³⁷⁵ It is still controversial and needs further examination, and perhaps study of the separated fraction of organic matter, with special emphasis on dissolved organic compounds in soils.

The highest stability-constant values were reported by Takamatsu and Yoshida⁷⁷¹ for Cu^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , and Cd^{2+} complexed with humic acid at pH 5, and by Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ for Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} ,

	рН 3		pH 3.5		pH 7			
Cation	FA (a)	HA (d)	FA (b)	FA (a)	FA (b)	HA (c)	HA (d)	HA (d)
Cu ²⁺	3.3	6.8	5.8	4.0	8.7	8.7	12.6	12.3
Ni ²⁺	3.2	5.4	3.5	4.2	4.1	_	7.6	9.6
Co ²⁺	2.8	_	2.2	4.1	3.7	_	_	_
Pb ²⁺	2.7	_	3.1	4.0	6.2	8.3	_	_
Zn ²⁺	2.3	5.1	1.7	3.6	2.3	_	7.2	10.3
Mn ²⁺	2.1	0	1.5	3.7	3.8	_	0	5.6
Cd^{2+}	_	5.3	_	_	_	6.3	5.5	8.9
Fe ²⁺	_	5.4	5.1	_	5.8	_	6.4	4.8
Ca ²⁺	2.7	0	2.0	3.4	2.9	_	0	6.5
Mg ²⁺	1.9	0	1.2	2.2	2.1	_	0	5.5
Fe ³⁺	6.1ª	11.4	_	_	_	_	8.5	6.6
Al ³⁺	3.7 ^b	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

 Table 30
 Stability Constants Expressed as Log K of Metal Fulvic and Humic Acid Complexes at Various pH Levels of the Media

Note: References are as follows: a, 692; b, 571; c, 771; and d, 395.

^a Determined at pH 1.7.

^b Determined at pH 2.4.

	Percentage of Initial Metal Concentration ^a					
Metal	pH 2.4	рН 3.7	pH 5.8			
Hg	99	98	98			
Fe	81	96	100			
Pb	19	80	96			
Cu	12	59	97			
AI	7	86	100			
Ni	5	6	61			
Cr	0	70	100			
Cd	0	7	77			
Zn	0	8	64			
Со	0	2	45			
Mn	0	3	13			

Table 31 Effect of pH on Sorption of Metals on Humic Acid¹⁰⁰⁷

 $^{\rm a}$ Initial metal content was 0.5 \times 10 $^{\rm -4}$ mol of each metal in 100 mL of solution.

 Ni^{2+} , and Cd^{2+} at pH 7. Andrzejewski and Rosikiewicz²⁸ observed that Mn^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Ni^{2+} complexes with humic acids were partly soluble, while those of Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , and Cr^{3+} were insoluble. Augustyn and Urbaniak⁴⁰ also stated that the higher retention by humic acid was of Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} as compared to other metallic ions. Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} , however, form the most stable complexes with fulvic acid which greatly interfere with the crystallization of aluminum hydroxide polymorphs.⁴⁰⁶

The stability of metal complexes with fulvic and humic acids increases, in many cases, with increasing pH from 3 to 7 (Table 30). Findings presented by Gamble¹⁰⁰⁷ confirmed a significant effect of pH on the sorption of metals on humic acid (Table 31). This is best illustrated for Pb, as studied extensively by Hildebrand and Blume.³¹⁹ The binding of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ by fulvic acid in solution below pH 5.0 is very strong and, apparently, cannot be exchanged easily by other metals. A relatively high value of the stability constants of Ca²⁺ suggests that this metal can compete with Zn²⁺ and Mn²⁺ in ion exchange processes. Most likely, however, several heavy metals such as Hg, Fe, Cu, Cr, and Pb will readily form stable organic complexes with fulvic acid and, most probably, also with other organic compounds.

		Content of Clay Fraction (<1 μ m)					
Surface Soil	Element	Total	Organic Matter	Humic Acid	Fulvic Acid		
Chernozem	Cu	90	33.0	3.6	29.4		
	Zn	116	41.5	3.4	38.1		
	Mn	1110	262	Trace	254		
	Мо	5	1.7	0.8	0.9		
Podzol	Cu	44	17.9	1.2	16.7		
	Zn	80	44.7	15.6	29.1		
	Mn	1830	307	44	267		
	Мо	3	0.7	0.2	0.5		

Table 32 Trace Elements in Soil Organic Matter and in Clay Fraction (ppm DW)756

Analyses of fractionated organic acids from soils by Stepanova⁷⁵⁶ confirm the greater affinity of fulvic acids for heavy metals (Table 32). Mickievich et al.⁵³⁷ also reported a much higher concentration mainly of Cu, Pb, and Ti in fulvic acid than was found in humic acid. Heavy metals in soils tend to accumulate in the organic substances, and the lower the metal content, the higher the energy linkage of the metallo-organic groups.⁹⁰⁸

The commonly used value of the stability constant of a complex can be defined as an equilibrium constant of a reaction that forms a soluble complex or chelate. In order to include information about the behavior of insoluble complexes, the value of the stability index has been proposed by Cottenie et al.¹⁴⁸ This index describes the ratio of a given metal fixed with organic substances to its amount in inorganic fractions. The stability index for pure humic and fulvic acids shows that heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Pb, Mn) form complexes several times more readily with humic acid than with fulvic acid, and that the highest proportion of Cu is fixed with humic acid over the range of pH 4 to 5, while with fulvic acid the range of pH is limited to 6 to 7. Both acids often show a higher affinity for Cu and Pb than for Fe and Mn. These findings agree with those reported by Van Dijk,⁸²⁰ Stevenson and Ardakani,⁷⁵⁷ Förstner and Müller,²⁴² Pauli,⁶⁰¹ Vlasov and Mikhaylova,⁸²⁵ and Schnitzer and Khan⁶⁹² and indicate that the order of the stability constants of metallo-organic complexes, although quite variable depending on pH and other properties of the medium, can be presented in the following sequence: U > Hg > Sn > Pb > Cu > Ni > Co > Fe > Cd > Zn > Mn > Sr.

Gamble¹⁰⁰⁷ emphasized, however, that because of a great number of variables in the natural system of soil organic polymers, the existence of an equilibrium function must be measured instead of an equilibrium constant. His data, obtained from the calculation based on weighted average equilibrium function theory, show the following order of metal affinity to sorption on humic acids, at pH 3.7:

$$Hg > Fe > Pb > Cr > Cu > Zn > Cd > Mn > Co$$

Schnitzer and Kerndorff⁶⁹⁰ recently established the order of the affinity of metal ions to form water-insoluble complexes with fulvic acid. Although this order depends on the pH of the medium, it may be presented as follows:

$$Fe = Cr = Al > Pb = Cu > Hg > Zn = Ni = Co = Cd = Mn$$

Despite the diversity in these orders calculated for various experimental conditions, there is general similarity in the estimation of two groups of metals, strongly and slightly fixed by organic polymers in soils.

The sorption capacity of humic acids increases with increasing acidity. Varshal et al.¹⁵³⁰ determined amounts of sorbed metals by humic acids at pH 5 to 6, and gave the following values (in mg/kg of humic acids): Cd 9, Au 16, Sr 17.5, Cu 18, Y 19, Os 24, Yb 28, Cs 29.3, Ce 31, and Pb 120.

The solubility of fulvic acid-metal complexes is strongly controlled by the ratio of FA:metal; therefore, when this ratio is lower than 2, the formation of water-insoluble complexes is favored. There is diversity, however, in the interpretation of metal ion binding by peat because, as Bloom and McBride⁸⁰ reported, peat and humic acids are likely to bind, at an acid pH, most divalent cations (Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Zn) as hydrated ions. The exception is the Cu²⁺ ion coordinating with functional oxygens of the peat which results in strongly immobilized Cu²⁺ binding.

The index of organic affinities of trace elements in various coal samples was calculated by Gluskoter et al.²⁶⁹ These authors distinguished three groups of elements:

- 1. With the highest organic affinity-Ge, Be, B, Br, and Sb
- 2. With medium organic affinity-Co, Ni, Cu, Cr, Se
- 3. With the lowest affinity, but occurring in all organic fractions-Cd, Mn, Mo, Fe, Zn, and As

Histosols, soils formed from decomposed plant material, play a specific role in the distribution of trace elements in the biosphere. The elements which are easily taken up by plants and are likely to be fixed by organic matter of histosols appeared to be concentrated in peat soils. Lieth and Markert¹⁰⁶³ compared chemical composition of the peat bog with the nearby mineral soil, and emphasized the variable pattern of the element distribution. They concluded that Cd and Pb are more abundant in the peat than in the mineral soil, apparently due to aerial deposition. The affinity of humic substances to accumulate trace cations has great importance in their geochemistry. The so-called "geochemical enrichment" factors of humic acid that was extracted from peat can reach a value of 10,000 from very low concentrations of cations in natural waters.^{299,691} Trace elements migrating as anions (V and Mo) are reduced by humic acids and fixed in the cationic forms (VO²⁺, MoO²⁺₄). Metals complexed by fulvic acid presumably are more available to plant roots and soil biota than are those accumulated by humic acid which can form both water-soluble and water-insoluble complexes with metal ions and hydrous oxides.

Cottenie et al.¹⁴⁸ calculated that the humic acid of a soil containing 4% humus may bind 4500 kg Pb, 17,929 kg Fe, 1517 kg Cu, 1015 kg Zn, and 913 kg Mn per hectare. The ability of humic acid to complex with metals was also calculated by Ovcharenko et al.⁵⁸⁷ and expressed in grams per kilogram of humic acid, as follows: Cu, 3.3; Zn, 3.3; Co, 3.2; Fe, 3.0, and Mn, 2.6. Sapek⁶⁸¹ showed that the ability of humic acids to fix cations differs widely, and that those isolated from the A_o horizon of podzolic soil have about two times lower sorption capacity to metals than those extracted from the B_h horizon of the same soil. In his experiment, the heavy metal content of air-dry humic acid reached more than 29%. All of these values were determined under laboratory conditions; in a natural soil system, these proportions would be appreciably smaller. In general, however, it can be expected that up to 50% of total trace element content is fixed by organic matter in mineral soils. These figures, however, can vary significantly.⁷⁵⁶

Owing to the relatively insoluble complexes of humic acids with heavy metals, especially in an acid medium, these complexes can be considered to be organic storage for heavy metals in soils. The organic matter may act as an important regulator of the mobility of trace elements in soils; however, in the majority of mineral soils, organic matter does not exceed 2% of total soil weight; therefore, it cannot be of the greatest importance in overall controls of trace element behavior in soils. The environmental role of humic substances has been summarized by the Dahlem Group of Experts¹⁰³⁰ as follows: "Humic substances appear to exert a stabilizing effect on environmental processes, for example by assimilation and subsequent slow release of chemical compounds, they act as a reservoir of trace nutrients and contaminants. Chemical partition of chemicals into humic substances results in a 'buffering' of the environmental mobility of chemicals. Their function, however, as scavengers of pollutants is limited and can be overtaxed. Care should be taken not to overload the system and to prevent long-term damage which may not be apparent until remedial action becomes difficult and perhaps impossible."

A high organic matter content of soil has a complex influence on the behavior of trace elements. The deficiency symptoms of plants grown on drained peatland or moorland (histosols) may be the result of a strong retention of Cu, Zn, Mo, and Mn by the insoluble humic acid.¹³⁵ A strong fixation of Cu in soils rich in humus is the most common and may result in a high Mo-to-Cu ratio in forage that is toxic to cattle. Applying organic matter to soil, however, raises the number of microorganisms that can reduce several cations, mainly Fe and Mn, and, in consequence, increase their availability. Increased organic matter content in Pb-amended plots is due to an enhanced preservation of stable humus, perhaps because of newly formed Pb-organic complexes with humic and fulvic acids which are protected from microbial attack.⁹⁰³ However, there is also evidence that Pb complexes with low-molecular-weight humic substances were mobilized in the soil solution. On the other hand, some organic compounds present in root exudates and in humus can oxidize and therefore immobilize cupric compounds in soil.^{81,189}

Simple organic compounds, such as certain amino acids, hydroxy acids, and also phosphoric acids naturally occurring in soils, are effective as chelating agents for trace elements. Cation chelation is an important factor in soil formation processes, as well as in nutrient supply to plant roots. The solubility of metal complexes depends on both the binding strength and the mobility of the complex thus formed, which is determined mainly by the size of the organic group involved. Strong binding of metal to a low-molecular-weight organic substance will appreciably increase its mobility in soil (Figure 22). Organic acids of leaf litter are known to be active in the mobilization of heavy metals in soils. An extract of pine needles dissolved more metals than an extract of oak leaves; in both cases, however, Cu and Zn were more readily complexed than were Co, Ni, and Cd. ^{87,374} Despite a high mobilization of heavy metals, forest soil litter is also well-known as an



Figure 22 The effect of pH on the solubility of Co and Cu mobilized by aerobically decomposing alfalfa. Solid lines, complexed metals; broken lines, control solution of CuCl₂ or CoCl₂ alone.⁸¹

important sink of heavy metal and radionuclide pollutants, as reported by Pavlotskaya,^{602,603} Van Hook et al.,⁸²³ and Schnitzer and Khan.⁶⁹² The ability of simple organic acids to solubilize heavy metals may be of importance in their cycling. Rashid⁶⁴² calculated that each gram of amino acids occurring in the sediments may mobilize 4 to 440 mg of various metals, showing the highest affinity to Ni and Co and the lowest to Mn.

Several chelating agents are at present used in diagnostic extraction for available micronutrients in soils (see Norvell,⁵⁷¹ Mengel and Kirkby,⁵³¹ and Lindsay⁴⁷⁷). Of those commonly used are ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA), which have been used for many years for determining plant-available trace elements in soils. Although the results differ when compared with other soil extractants and with uptake by plants, they are applied in many testing methods, and the ranges for critical levels are given for some micronutrients such as Cu, Zn, Mn, and Fe. The DTPA soil test has also been developed to assess pollution by heavy metals of soils.¹⁴³ Soluble chelates of some trace metals, mainly Mn and Zn (i.e., Mn-EDTA; Na₂Zn-EDTA), are also effective as fertilizers. Pickering¹¹¹⁸ summarized data showing the ability of synthetic chelating agents (EDTA and Na-citrate) to displace metal ions from soil components. Both agents easily mobilized metals (Zn, Cd, Cu, and Pb) fixed by kaolinite, illite, and montmorillonite. The mobilizing effect was moderate or very small when those metals were retained to the following substrates: Fe(OH)₃ gel, MnOOH, CaCO₃, and humic acid.

Trace Elements in Plants

I. INTRODUCTION

The trace element metabolism of plants has been extensively studied and the basic information on many topics is available in monographs on plant physiology or plant nutrition. The metabolic fate and role of each trace element in plants can be characterized in relation to some basic processes such as:

- 1. Uptake (absorption), and transport within a plant
- 2. Enzymatic processes
- 3. Concentrations and forms of occurrence
- 4. Deficiency and toxicity
- 5. Ion competition and interaction

These topics are relatively well-understood for certain micronutrients, but further investigations are needed for many other trace elements. The reaction of plants to chemical stresses that are caused by both deficiencies and excesses of trace elements cannot be defined exactly because plants have developed during their evolution and course of life (ontogeny and phylogeny) several biochemical mechanisms that have resulted in adaptation to and tolerance of new or chemically imbalanced environments. Therefore, plant responses to trace elements in the soil and ambient air should always be investigated for the particular soil–plant system.

Plants reveal various tendencies in the uptake of trace elements. Three general uptake characteristics can be distinguished: accumulation, indication, and exclusion. To a large extent, this depends on the specific ability of plants and huge differences in metal uptake between plant species. Also, between genotypes of a species, great variabilities have been demonstrated in many studies. The most common plants tested recently for phytoremediation have been listed by Felix et al.¹²⁹⁸: *Alyssum murale, Thlaspi caerulescens, Nicotiana tabacum, Zea mays, Brassica juncea*, and *Salix viminalis*. The ability of several agricultural crop plant species, such as mustard, radish, turnip, rape, and amaranth, to accumulate higher amounts of some metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, and Zn) has been investigated as well.¹⁴⁹²

Plants able to take up metals above established background concentrations and more than other species from the same soils are called *hyperaccumulators*. These are mainly populations of species found in soils rich in metals either due to geochemical parameters or due to pollution. Hyperaccumulators usually have a low biomass because they use more energy in the mechanisms necessary to adapt to the high metal concentrations in the tissues. There are a number of studies on hyperaccumulators because of their specific physiologic processes and practical aspects. Plants that highly accumulate metals are promising in phytoremediation programs (see Chapter 2, Section IV.B). This has been a highlighted topic at several recent conferences, and has been widely reviewed in recent publications and books.^{1244,1459}

A number of various plants are known as medicinal herbs and have been used for a very long time (possibly since Neanderthal man) to cure illness. Although a curative agent is mainly associated with organic compounds such as glycosides or alkaloids, trace elements can have additional impact. Most medicinal plants belong to a kind of weed that can accumulate a greater amount of trace elements than other plants. A good example is dandelion, often used in herb medicine and also as a nutritional plant. However, when dandelion grows in a polluted environment, it is known to take up, from both aerial and soil sources, many more trace metals than other plants.¹³⁵⁶ Thus, trace element content in those plants should be of special concern.

Hyperaccumulators are plants and/or genotypes that accumulate metals above certain concentrations in leaves. As Greger¹³¹⁶ presented, based on a literature review, hyperaccumulators should contain trace metals in leaves above the following levels (in ppm):

>100 — Cd >1000 — Co, Cu, Ni, Pb >10,000 — Mn, Zn

In polluted regions, however, some plants (not hyperaccumulators) may concentrate metals at those levels. Therefore, increased levels of a given metal in a hyperaccumulating plant must be related to their contents in other plants grown in the same environment.¹³⁵⁶

The chemical composition of plants reflects, in general, the elemental composition of the growth media. The extent to which this relation exists, however, is highly variable and is governed by many different factors. The common concentrations of trace elements in plants growing on various, but nonpolluted, soils show quite a large variation for each element.

A large variety of possible ligands for metals exist in plants, especially in xylem and phloem. Thus, metal ions form complexes with small and macromolecular substances, mainly organic. Inorganic ligands, however, are also very important complexants for metals. Depending on the size and physical and chemical characteristics, metals can form with ligands in plants either easily transported or strongly bound forms, and complexes. Both are of great metabolic importance because they control the transport of nutrients within the plant organs, and also protect the plant against an excess, especially of trace metals.^{1456,1459}

It is observed with some regularity that sea plants contain more Al, As, Br, Cl, I, Sr, V, and Fe (on a dry matter basis) than terrestrial plants.^{1333a} This is thought to be a general rule in chemical element distribution among sea and terrestrial plants that has also been emphasized by Dobrovolsky,¹²⁸⁰ who calculated the variable abundance of trace elements that are involved in biogeochemical cycling in different climatic zones of the globe. There is an increase of over tenfold when comparing element cycling in a tundra zone with that in a tropical forest.

II. ABSORPTION

The main sources of trace elements in plants are their growth media, for example, nutrient solutions or soils. One of the most important factors that determines the biological availability of a trace element is its binding to soil constituents. In general, plants readily take up the species of trace elements that are dissolved in the soil solutions in either ionic or chelated and complexed forms. Much has been written on the absorption of trace elements from solutions by Moore,⁵⁴⁸ Loneragan,⁴⁸⁹ Mengel and Kirkby,⁵³¹ Wild and Jones,¹¹⁸⁴ and others, and this absorption can be summarized as follows:

- 1. It usually operates at very low concentrations in solutions.
- 2. It largely depends on the concentrations in the solution, especially at low ranges.
- 3. The rate depends strongly on the occurrence of H^+ and other ions.
- 4. The intensity varies with plant species and stage of development.

- 5. The processes are sensitive to some properties of the soil environment such as temperature, aeration, and redox potential.
- 6. It may be selective for a particular ion.
- 7. The accumulation of some ions can take place against a concentration gradient.
- 8. Mycorrhizae play an important role in cycling between external media and roots.

Generalizations of plant processes operative in the absorption of trace elements rest on the evidence for one or a few of the elements, and most often can represent some approximation to processes acting in a natural plant-soil system. Absorption by roots is the main pathway of trace elements to plants; however, the ability of other tissues to readily absorb some nutrients, including trace elements, has also been observed.

In general, the uptake of trace elements by plants is affected, in addition to plant-specific ability, by soils factors, of which the most significant are pH, Eh, water regime, clay content, organic matter content, cation exchange capacity, nutrient balance, and concentration of other trace elements. Also, climatic conditions are shown to influence the rate of trace metal uptake, which may be partly an indirect impact due to the water flow phenomenon. Generally, a higher ambient temperature influences a greater uptake of trace elements by plants.

Plant ability to take up chemical elements from growth media is evaluated by a ratio of element concentration in plants to element concentration in soils and is called: Biological Absorption Coefficient (BAC), Index of Bioaccumulation (IBA), or Transfer Factors (TF). Some elements are more susceptible to phytoavailability than others (see Figure 23). There is, however, great variability among plants, which is indicated by the data of Bini et al.¹²²⁶ for four tree species (*Populus nigra* L., *Platanus orientalis* L., *Laurus nobilis* L., *Pinus picea* L). Ranges of BAC values of these trees varied from 209 to 2000 for Cu, and from 10 to 100 for Ni.



Figure 23 Bioaccumulation of trace elements by plants from soils. Index of bioaccumulation (BAC, IBA) was calculated as the ratio of trace elements in plants to their concentration in soils. The calculation is based on data for different plants and soils. Values for fungi are based on data from Byrne and Ravnik.¹¹⁷

A. Root Uptake

The absorption of trace elements by roots can be both passive (nonmetabolic) and active (metabolic), but there are some disagreements reported in the literature concerning which method is involved in certain elements. Despite controversies, in each case the rate of trace element uptake will positively correlate with its available pool at the root surface.

Passive uptake is the diffusion of ions from the external solution into the root endodermis. Active uptake requires metabolic energy and takes place against a chemical gradient. Several data support the suggestion that, at the concentration generally present in soil solutions, the absorption of trace elements by plant roots is controlled by metabolic processes within roots.^{489,548,788} The ion activity in the solution is believed to be one of the significant factors that influence plant uptake of ions. Presumably, this is an important factor when the uptake is active, but may not be important when the uptake is passive.

Mechanisms of uptake differ, depending on the given element. Pb and Ni are preferably absorbed passively, while Cu, Mo, and Zn are preferably absorbed actively. When biological and structural properties of root cells are altered, however, all elements are taken up passively. This is the case when concentrations of elements pass over a threshold value for a physiological barrier.

Much evidence indicates that roots exhibit great activity in the mobilization of trace elements that are bound by various soil constituents. Roots and associated microorganisms are known to produce various organic compounds which are very effective in releasing the trace elements from firmly fixed species in soil. The trace elements most readily available to plants are, in general, those that are adsorbed on clay minerals (especially, montmorillonite and illite), while those fixed by oxides and bound onto microorganisms are much less readily available. The depletion of trace elements in solution from the root-soil interface reflects a higher rate of their uptake by roots than mass-flow and diffusion mechanisms in certain soils.^{531,638} The mechanisms of uptake of trace elements by roots involve several processes:

- 1. Cation exchange by roots
- 2. Transport inside cells by chelating agents or other carriers
- 3. Rhizosphere effects

Ions and other materials released from roots to rooting media control nutrient uptake by roots. Root exudates of plants are composed mainly of amino acids (e.g., aspartic, glutamic, prolinic) and vary with plant species (and varieties), microorganism association, and conditions of plant growth. Cation oxidation states around roots are believed to be of great importance in these processes. Changes in the pH of the root ambient solution may play an especially significant role in the rate of availability of certain trace elements.²⁴¹ Root exudates (e.g., phytosiderophore 2'-deoxymugineic acid) of metal-deficient cereal plants (e.g., Zn, Fe) are especially effective in mobilizing these metals from various precipitations within the root media.^{1004a} The exudates released from roots of graminaceous species are of great importance since they are also active in mobilizing other trace metals from soils.

Specific physical and chemical properties of rhizospheres controlled by root exudates and microflora govern processes of the absorption of chemical elements. Apparently, the significant role played by low-molecular-weight organic acids (e.g., oxalic, acetic, fumaric, citric, tartaric) is dissolution and/or complexation processes that mobilize slightly soluble forms of trace elements.^{1262,1380}

Roots can also act as a "barrier" in the uptake or transport of trace elements. Otte et al.¹¹⁰⁷ described interesting properties of roots of the plants growing in marsh (e.g., *Spartica anglica*). The Fe-plaque formed on the roots consists mainly of Fe (hydro) oxides with a large capacity to adsorb metals. Above certain threshold concentrations, the Fe-plaque becomes saturated with the trace metal, and the root is confronted with higher metal contents exceeding that of the surrounding medium.

Chaney et al.¹²⁸ believed that the reduction step is obligatory in root uptake of Fe. The reduction of other metals such as Mn, Cu, Sn, or Hg in the uptake step apparently has not been clearly observed. Rice roots, on the other hand, exhibit a peculiar mechanism to absorb Si and Se in the form of oxides.³⁹⁵

The ability of different plants to absorb trace elements varies greatly; when compared on a large scale, however, the index of their accumulating ability illustrates some general trends. Some elements such as Cd, B, Br, Cs, and Rb are extremely easily taken up, while Ba, Ti, Zr, Sc, Bi, Ga and, to an extent, Fe and Se, are but slightly available to plants (Figure 23). This trend, however, will differ a great deal for particular soil-plant systems. Marked differences in the metal uptake between both plant species and varieties open up new aspects for plant breeding programs for the biodepletion in metal transport to the food chain.

Fungi are nongreen plants with quite a diverse mechanism of nutrient uptake, and they have a specific affinity for some trace elements. They may accumulate Hg and also other elements such as Cd, Se, Cu, and Zn to high levels (Figure 23). Concentrations of several trace elements in plants from a pine forest in Japan indicate that there is a preferable uptake by mushrooms of such elements as Cd, Zn, Cu, Cs, and Rb.^{1564,1565} Concentrations of these elements were one order of magnitude higher than those in plants growing in the same forest. Concentrations of Ca and Sr in mushrooms, on the other hand, were lower than those in plants.

B. Foliar Uptake

The bioavailability of trace elements from aerial sources through the leaves may have a significant impact on plant contamination and it is also of practical importance in foliar applications of fertilizers, especially of elements such as Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu. Foliar absorption of radionuclides released into the atmosphere from nuclear weapons testing and nuclear power installations is of especially great concern.

Foliar uptake is believed to consist of two phases—nonmetabolic cuticular penetration, which is generally considered to be the major route of entry, and metabolic mechanisms, which account for element accumulation against a concentration gradient. The second process is responsible for transporting ions across the plasma membrane and into the cell protoplast. Wyttenbach et al.¹⁵⁵³ studied the uptake of As, Br, and I by Norway spruce in order to distinguish between the uptake from soils and from gaseous or soluble compounds in the air. They found a strong positive correlation between the endogenous needle concentrations and the surface loadings, and also insignificant correlations with total soil concentrations of these elements.

Trace elements taken up by leaves can be translocated to other plant tissues, including roots where the excesses of some metals seem to be stored. The rate of trace element movement among tissues varies greatly, depending on the plant organ, its age, and the element involved. Results illustrated in Figure 24 show that Cd, Zn, and Pb absorbed by the tops of brome grass were not likely to move readily to the roots, whereas Cu was very mobile.

A fraction of the trace elements absorbed by leaves may be leached from plant foliage by rainwater. Differences in leaching of trace elements can be related to their function or metabolic association. For example, the easy removal of Pb by washing suggests that the metal was largely a superficial deposit on the leaf surface. In contrast, the small fraction of Cu, Zn, and Cd that can be washed off indicates a greater leaf penetration of these metals than was noted for Pb by Little and Martin⁴⁸² and Kabata-Pendias.³⁷⁴ Moreover, significant absorption of foliar-applied Zn, Fe, Cd, and Hg were reported by Roberts.⁶⁵⁷ Foliar leaching by acid rain may involve cation exchange processes, in which the H⁺ ion of rainwater replaces microcations held on binding sites in leaf cuticle.⁸⁸⁵

The absorption of trace metals, directly from wet (and dry) deposition by above-ground parts of plants, has been reported often. Morphology of the surface of leaves is an important factor governing foliar uptake of trace elements. Some plants (e.g., lichens, mosses, mushrooms, dandelion, etc.) are especially susceptible to absorb elements and some compounds from aerial sources.



Figure 24 Distribution of heavy metals from aerial sources between the tops (T) and roots (R) of brome grass.³⁷⁶



Figure 25 Geometric mean contents of Pb in winter wheat (*Triticale vulgare* L.) collected in 1985 from various countries in Europe. (FAO Cooperative Project Network on Trace Elements—Report, 1991, by M. Verloo *vide* Kabata-Pendias et al.¹³⁵⁸)

Such plants are very suitable for the phytoindication of atmospheric pollution. Also, cereal tops show a relative sensitivity to aerial pollution, revealing the variation in trace metal contents (especially Pb and Ni) mainly due to the absorption from aerial particles (Figures 25 and 26). Dalenberg and van Driel¹²⁷⁰ studied the uptake and translocation of ²¹⁰Pb from atmospheric deposition. Their results have indicated that 73 to 95% of the total Pb content in plants are taken up by leaves and transported to other plant organs. This was observed not only for leafy plants, such as spinach, but also for cereals.



Figure 26 Geometric mean contents of Ni in winter wheat (*Triticale vulgare* L.) collected in 1985 from various countries in Europe. (FAO Cooperative Project Network on Trace Elements—Report, 1991, by M. Verloo *vide* Kabata-Pendias et al.¹³⁵⁸)

III. TRANSLOCATION

The transport of ions within plant tissues and organs involves many processes:

- 1. Movement in xylem
- 2. Movement in phloem
- 3. Storage, accumulation, and immobilization

The chelating ligands are most important in the control of cation translocation in plants. However, numerous other factors such as pH, the oxidation-reduction state, competing cations, hydrolysis, polymerization, and the formation of insoluble salts (e.g., phosphate, oxalate, etc.) govern metal mobility within plant tissues.

Tiffin⁷⁸⁸ gave a detailed review of the mechanisms involved in the translocation of micronutrients in plants. It can be summarized that long-distance transport of trace elements in higher plants depends on the vascular tissues (xylem and phloem) and is partly related to the transpiration intensity. Chemical forms of trace metals in phloem exudates differ for each element. Van Goor and Wiersma,⁸²² for example, reported that Zn was almost all bound to organic compounds, while Mn was only partly complexed.

The distribution and accumulation patterns of trace elements vary considerably for each element, kind of plant, and growth season. As reported by Scheffer et al.,^{688a} in the phases of intensive growth of summer barley the amount of Fe and Mn is relatively low, whereas the amount of Cu and Zn is very high. While the first two metals are accumulated mainly in old leaves and leaf sheaths, Cu and Zn seem to be distributed more uniformly through the plant. Differentiation in trace element distribution between various parts of pine trees is also clearly shown by data presented in Table 33. A relatively common phenomenon, however, is the accumulation and immobilization of trace metals in roots, especially when their metal supply is sufficient. The mechanism of exclusion seems also to control the transport of cations from roots to aerial parts.⁴¹⁷

|--|

Plant Part	AI	в	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Ti	v
Needles											
1 year old	400	18.0	0.9	4.8	4.2	150	430	6.0	0.2	15	0.6
Older	200	24.0	0.8	4.0	2.5	370	740	2.1	0.5	30	1.2
Branches	400	6.0	0.6	1.6	3.0	650	430	1.1	0.6	25	1.8
Knots	120	4.5	0.2	0.8	1.2	78	185	0.3	0.1	6	0.8
Bark	230	4.5	0.4	1.0	2.0	100	123	0.4	0.3	15	2.8
Wood	7	0.9	0.1	0.3	0.6	5	61	0.3	0.1	1	0.2
Roots											
1-mm diameter	1430	6.5	0.1	0.9	3.5	7171	134	1.1	0.3	46	0.6
5-mm diameter	82	3.2	0.7	0.6	1.2	46	50	0.4	0.1	6	0.5

Note: Samples from a pine forest on old alluvial sands in Ukraine.

Brundin et al.⁹⁵³ have shown that roots of plants growing along stream channels (mainly *Carex* species) as well as aquatic mosses are barrier-free with respect to trace metal uptake. However, physiological barriers are evident with respect to the transport of some metals (e.g., Cu, Zn, and Co) from roots to aerial parts. Most *Carex* species are barrier-free with respect to the translocation of Pb, and partly of Ni, Cr, and Mo.

Horiguchi and Nishihara¹⁰²⁸ studied the association of trace metals with the major constituents of potato tubers and peanut seeds. They found that only a small proportion of metals was distributed in the soluble components of a low molecular weight of peanut seeds, while potato tubers contained higher proportions of the metals in the low-molecular-weight fraction. It is presumed that starch as well as lipid fractions have only a weak affinity for trace metals, and that proteins are the major ligands that form complexes with metals in plants.

The transport of trace elements among plant organs also depends on the electrochemical variables of elements. In general, easily transported from roots to above-earth parts are Ag, B, Li, Mo, and Se; moderately mobile are Mn, Ni, Cd, and Zn; and strongly bound in root cells are Co, Cu, Cr, Pb, Hg, and Fe.

IV. AVAILABILITY

The linear responses of trace element absorption by several plant species in increasing their tissue concentrations from nutrient and soil solutions are illustrated in Figure 27. These responses support the statement that the more reliable methods in diagnosing the available trace element status of soils are those based on element concentrations in the soil solutions rather than methods based on the pool of soluble and/or extractable trace elements. The phytoavailability of trace metals correlates best with the concentration of cationic species in the liquid phase. Table 34 summarizes these relations.

A number of extraction methods have been suggested in recent years for the evaluation of trace element concentrations in soils. In general, they can be classified into separate groups as follows: acids (HCl, NHO₃, aqua regia), chelating agents (EDTA, DTPA [+TEA]), buffered salt solutions (AAAc buffer), and unbuffered salt solutions (CaCl₂, MgCl₂, NaNO₃, NH₄NO₃). Acid extractants, depending on the strength and soil mineralogical composition, can extract nearly total amounts of trace metals. Chelating agents and buffered salt solutions are believed to extract potentially mobile portions of metals. Neutral salt solutions have been introduced as simulating the natural soil solutions and therefore are useful to evaluate the ecological relevance of metals.

Much work has been done on universal extractants for soil tests to assess micronutrient availability. Barber,⁹³⁰ Cox and Kamprath,¹⁵¹ and Walsh and Beaton⁸⁴⁷ prepared comprehensive reviews

Table 34	Relative Phytoavailability	y of Different Sp	becies of Metals from Soils
----------	----------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------------

Metal Species	Phytoavailability
Simple or complex cations in solution phase	Easy
Exchangeable cations in organic and inorganic complexes	Medium
Chelated cations	Slight
Metal compounds precipitated on soil particles	Available after dissolution
Metals bound or fixed inside organic substances	Available after decomposition
Metals bound or fixed inside mineral particles (primary or secondary soil minerals)	Available after weathering and/or decompositon



Trace element concentration in solutions

FIGURE 27 Trace element uptake by plants as a function of their concentrations in nutrient solutions.

of chemical tests for the determination of water-soluble, acid-extractable, exchangeable, and complexed or chelated trace elements in soils.

A wide overview of trace element soil tests was recently presented in *Method of Soil Analysis*, edited by Bingham and Bartels.^{1225b} In this volume, Amacher discussed extractants used to estimate plant-available Cd. Ni, and Pb in soils which are the same as for other trace metals. Good correlation was obtained for extracted and available metals added at elevated levels to the soils; whereas the correlation was less suitable for the prediction of plant-available quantities of metals under field conditions. Humez et al.¹³³⁹ presented different approaches to assessment of mobility of elements in sediments, including a method of kinetics extraction. Neutral salt solutions (e.g., CaCl₂, MgCl₂, NaNO₃) are also proposed as a simulated solution phase of soil for the estimation of bioavailable trace element contents. Barber⁹³⁰ concluded that 0.01 M CaCl₂ at any soil/solution dilution was particularly applicable to trace metal analyses where chelation effects are important. The interpretation of soil testing results is by no means an easy problem and may give reliable information for only a particular soil-plant system. These methods, nevertheless, are widely used, with various results, in agricultural practices. Minnich et al.¹⁰⁹⁴ stated that extraction procedures are easier and probably provide a better predictive ability for plant Cu uptake than Cu²⁺ activity measurements. The DTPA-extractable Cu and Zn correlated well with metal contents of plant tops.^{1047,1094} Minnich et al.¹⁰⁹⁴ emphasized that continual monitoring of soil solution ion activity or a buffering index

is needed to improve predictions of plant ion uptake. The uptake of elements by plant roots is restricted to the liquid phase, therefore the content of metals in the soil solution is of primary importance.¹⁰⁴⁶ However, more information is needed about multiple ion activity ratios and about antagonistic or synergistic effects of accompanying cations and anions in the soil solution, in relation to uptake and physiological effects of trace metals in plants. Also, there is insufficient information on the availability of organic metal complexes in the solution phase.

Complexing compounds produced on a base of acetic acid (e.g., EDTA, DTPA, EDDHA) and their mixtures with other compounds are more widely used for identifying deficiency problems, mainly with Mn, Cu, Zn, and Fe.^{1225b,1401} All soil tests were calibrated to determine deficient levels of micronutrients. Thus, most of these methods should be calibrated to determine phytotoxic levels of soil trace elements. Recently renewed is the earlier concept (Barber⁹³⁰) of using extractants that simulate natural soil solution.^{151,320} These are solutions of neutral salts, mainly CaCl₂, in various concentrations (most frequently 0.01 M). The suitability of this method has been discussed in some publications.^{1302,1335} The final conclusion is that CaCl₂ extractant can be considered universal because it has more or less the same ionic strength (0.03 M) as the average salt concentration in many soil solutions. It cannot however, serve as a soil test to estimate both deficient and phytotoxic levels of trace metals. To do this, calibration to biological indices is necessary. Possible formation of complex ions with Cl⁻ in certain soil conditions should be considered. Such complex ions (e.g., CdCl⁺, CdCl₃) can increase the solubility of some trace metals, as observed in the case of Cd.¹³³⁰

The mixed extractant AAAc-EDTA was applied in the large world project on available trace element contents of soils in 30 countries, as carried out by Sillanpää and Jansson.¹⁴⁴¹ The relation between Cd, Pb, Co, and Se in crop plants and the pool of soluble forms of elements in soils, despite differences in soil variables and climatic conditions, was acceptably close.

Recently, Coca Cola has been proposed as a relatively simple extractant and is easily applied in soil testing procedures for mobile trace elements.¹⁴⁸² The active compound in Coca Cola is phosphoric acid, which dissolves 27% of Fe, 38% of Cu, 86% of Zn, and 165% of Mn compared to those extracted by DTPA solution. Due to the relatively stable composition of Coca Cola, such an extractant might be suitable for specific experiments and projects.

The specific properties of plants are very significant in determining the bioavailability of trace elements and are quite variable with changing soil and plant conditions. The availability of different plant species to absorb certain trace elements from the same soil environment is illustrated in Table 35. In fact, different genotypes of the same species uptake different amounts of the trace elements. The wide variation (within the range of a factor of 100) in trace element contents of *Artemisia* species was observed in one meadow ecosystem.¹⁰⁹⁷ To provide an effective evaluation of the pool of bioavailable trace elements, therefore, techniques based on both soil tests and plant analyses should be used together.

Conditions of plant growth media also have a significant impact on the absorption of trace elements by roots. Findings of Miyasaka and Grunes¹⁴²¹ indicated that increasing the soil temperature from 8°C to 16°C influenced, by twofold higher, the contents of Cu, Zn, and Mn in leaves of winter wheat. Thus, during a cold season, plants may have low levels of some trace elements that may limit or affect their growth, and also cause a deficiency in grazing animals.

The sampling procedures for each field, each crop, and a specific plant part in the same stage of growth must be standardized for obtaining compatible results that could be classified as deficient, sufficient, or excessive or toxic for plants. Existing soil and plant tests, however, do not satisfactorily predict trace element deficiencies in crop plants that would respond to application of micronutrients.

Ranges of trace element concentrations and their classification for the mature leaf tissue presented in Table 36 are overall approximations and can differ widely for particular soil-plant systems. It is necessary to emphasize that ranges of concentrations of trace elements required by plants are often very close to the content that exerts a harmful influence on plant metabolism. It is not easy, therefore, to make a clear division between sufficient and excessive quantities of trace elements in plants.

Plants	в	Cd	Cu	Fe	Mn	Pb	Zn	Cr	Ni
Grass Agrostis alba	3.4	0.6	4.2	80	740	1.2	59	1.0	1.8
Clover Trifolium pratense	9.0	0.7	6.0	115	136	2.8	99	1.0	2.2
Plantain Plantago major	7.0	1.9	9.8	135	100	2.4	97	1.4	3.0
Mosses									
Polytrichum juniperinum	3.4	0.8	9.2	800	176	22.4	69	2.0	2.0
Éntodon schreberi	3.2	0.7	10.3	425	180	13.0	77	2.8	1.6
Lichens									
Parmelia physodes	2.4	0.4	5.0	1100	62	17.0	78	3.2	4.8
Lobaria pulmonaria	2.4	0.5	7.5	1450	66	28.0	74	3.2	2.4
Edible fungi									
Cantharellus cibarius	4.0	1.0	24.5	49	19	1.2	150	0.4	2.2
Leccinum scabra	0.8	2.7	18.0	44	6	<0.1	125	0.4	1.8
Inedible fungi									
Tylopilus felleus	3.5	1.6	35.0	50	14	0.4	180	0.4	1.2
Russula veternosa	6.4	1.0	32.0	28	18	1.0	175	0.4	1.0

 Table 35
 Variation in Trace Element Content among Various Plants from One Site in the Same Forest Ecosystem^a (ppm DW)¹⁰⁴⁵

^a Pine and birch forest on light sandy soil near Warsaw, Poland.

 Table 36
 Approximate Concentrations of Trace Elements in Mature Leaf Tissue Generalized for Various Species (ppm DW)^{66,171,279,322,369,381,395,531,916}

Element	Deficient (if less than the stated amounts of essential elements)	Sufficient or Normal	Excessive or Toxic	Tolerable in Agronomic Crops ^{1081,1357}
Ag	_	0.5	5–10	_
As	—	1–1.7	5–20	0.2ª
В	5–30	10–100	50-200	100
Ва	—	—	500	—
Be	—	<1–7	10–50	_
Cd	—	0.05-0.2	5–30	0.05-0.5
Co	—	0.02-1	15–50	5
Cr	—	0.1-0.5	5–30	2
Cu	2–5	5–30	20-100	5–20
F	—	5–30	50-500	—
Hg	—	—	1–3	0.2ª
Li	—	3	5–50	—
Mn	10–30	30–300	400-1000	300
Мо	0.1–0.3	0.2–5	10–50	—
Ni	—	0.1–5	10–100	1–10
Pb	—	5–10	30–300	0.5–10
Se	—	0.01–2	5–30	_
Sn	—	_	60	—
Sb	—	7–50	150	_
Ti	—	_	50-200	_
TI	_	_	20	_
V	_	0.2-1.5	5–10	_
Zn	10–20	27–150	100-400	50-100
Zr	—	—	15	—

Note: Values are not given for very sensitive or highly tolerant plant species. ^a FW basis.

Houba and Uittenbogaard¹³³⁴ published results of determining 27 trace elements in 140 plant kinds from 66 countries during the period from 1981 to 1993. Each plant kind was represented by a number of samples (a few tens) from various locations, and therefore it is difficult to interpret the variability in trace element contents among plants. Some general trends, however, indicate

that Pb is likely to be concentrated in cabbage and tomato leaves, Mo in sugar beet leaves and Chinese cabbage, Se in mushrooms, and Li in potato leaves. Onion bulbs contain elevated amounts of Cr, As, and Ni; lettuce leaves—Cr, Pb, and Cd; and spinach leaves—Li, Ni, and Pb.

V. ESSENTIALITY, DEFICIENCY, AND EXCESS

Knowledge of the importance of certain trace elements for healthy growth and development of plants dates from the last century. At present, 17 trace elements (Al, B, Br, Cl, Co, Cu, F, Fe, I, Mn, Mo, Ni, Rb, Si, Ti, V, and Zn) are known to be essential for all plants, several are proved necessary for a few species only, and others are known to have stimulating effects on plant growth, but their functions are not yet recognized (Table 37). A feature of the physiology of these elements is that although many are essential for growth, they can also have toxic effects on cells at higher concentrations. Hypothetical schemes of the reactions of plants to increasing concentrations of the essential and nonessential trace elements are presented in Figures 28 and 29.

The trace elements essential for plants are those which cannot be substituted by others in their specific biochemical roles and that have a direct influence on the organism so that it can neither grow nor complete some metabolic cycle. The elements needing more evidence to establish their essentiality usually are those thought to be required in very low concentrations (at $\mu g k g^{-1}$ or ng kg⁻¹ ranges) or that seem to be essential for only some groups or a few species of plants.

Bowen⁹⁴ classified the functions and forms of the elements in organisms, based on the current state of knowledge, by dividing the trace elements that occur in plants into the following groups:

- 1. Those incorporated into structural materials-Si, Fe, and rarely Ba and Sr
- 2. Those bound into miscellaneous small molecules, including antibiotics, and porphyrins—As, B, Br, Cu, Co, F, Fe, Hg, I, Se, Si, and V
- 3. Those combined with large molecules, mainly proteins, including enzymes with catalytic properties—Co, Cr (?), Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Se, Ni (?), and Zn
- 4. Those fixed by large molecules having storage, transport, or unknown functions—Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Hg, I, Mn, Ni, Se, and Zn
- 5. Those related to organelles or their parts (e.g., mitochondria, chloroplasts, some enzyme systems)—Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, and Zn

In summary, based on extensive literature, trace elements are involved in key metabolic events such as respiration, photosynthesis, and fixation and assimilation of some major nutrients (e.g., N, S). Trace metals of the transition metal group are known to activate enzymes or to be incorporated into metalloenzymes as electron transfer systems (Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn) and also to catalyze valence changes in the substrate (Cu, Co, Fe, and Mo). Some particular roles of several trace elements (Al, Cu, Co, Mo, Mn, and Zn) which seem to be involved in protection mechanisms of frost-hardy and drought-resistant plant varieties are also reported.^{511,718}

The requirements of plants and even of individual species for a given micronutrient have been well-demonstrated by Hewitt³¹⁷ and Chapman.¹³¹ If the supply of an essential trace element is inadequate, the growth of the plant is abnormal or stunted and its further development, especially its metabolic cycles, are disordered. Although deficiency symptoms cannot be generalized, they may be quite characteristic for the particular element. Bergmann and Čumakov⁶⁶ presented comprehensive illustrations of deficiency (and some toxicity) symptoms in cultivars. The descriptions of deficiency symptoms summed up in Table 38 indicate that chlorosis is the most frequent symptom. Visible symptoms are important in diagnosis of deficiencies; however, disturbance of metabolic processes and consequent losses in production of biomass may occur before the deficiency symptoms are recognized. In order to develop a better diagnostic method, biochemical indicators based on enzymatic assays were proposed by Ruszkowska et al.,⁶⁷² Rajaratinam et al.,⁶³⁹ and Gartrell et al.²⁵⁸ as a sensitive test for a hidden deficiency of a given micronutrient. The activity of some enzymes

Element	Constituent of	Involved in
Al ^a	_	Controlling colloidal properties in the cell, possible activation of some dehydrogenases and oxidases
As ^a	Phospholipid (in algae)	Metabolism of carbohydrates in algae and fungi
В	Phosphogluconates	Metabolism and transport of carbohydrates, flavonoid synthesis, nucleic acid synthesis, phosphate utilization, and polyphenol production
Br ^a	Bromophenols (in algae)	—
Co	Cobamide coenzyme	Symbiotic N ₂ fixation, possibly also in non-nodulating plants, and valence changes stimulation synthesis of chlorophyll and proteins (?)
Cu	Various oxidases, plastocyanins, and ceniloplasmin	Oxidation, photosynthesis, protein and carbohydrate metabolism, possibly involved in symbiotic N_2 fixation, and valence changes, cell wall metabolism
F ^a	Fluoracetate (in a few species)	Citrate conversions
Fe	Hemo-proteins and nonheme iron proteins, dehydrogenases, and ferrodoxins	Photosynthesis, $N_{\rm 2}$ fixation, and valence changes
la	Tyrosine and its derivatives (in angiosperms and algae)	_
Li ^a	—	Metabolism in halophytes
Mn	Many enzyme systems	Photoproduction of oxygen in chloroplasts and, indirectly, in No $_3^-$ reduction
Мо	Nitrate reductase, nitrogenase, oxidases, and molybdoferredoxin	N_{2} fixation, No_{3}^{-} reduction, and valence changes
Ni ^a	Enzyme urease (in <i>Canavalia</i> seeds)	Possibly in action of hydrogenase and translocation of N
Rb ^a	_	Function similar to that of K in some plants
Se ^a	Glycene reductase (in <i>Clostridium</i> cells) combined with cysteine and methionine	Can replace S in some plants
Si	Structural components	_
Sr ^a	—	Function similar to that of Ca in some plants
Ti ^a	—	Possibly photosynthesis and N ₂ fixation
Va	Porphyrins, hemoproteins	Lipid metabolism, photosynthesis (in green algae), and, possibly, in N_2 fixation
Zn	Anhydrases, dehydrogenases, proteinases, and peptidases	Carbohydrate, nucleic acid, and lipid metabolism

 Table 37
 Forms and Principal Functions of Trace Elements That Are Essential for Plants^{94,142,349,531,614,859}

^a Elements known to be essential for some groups or species and whose general essentiality needs confirmation.

is correlated mainly with Cu, Fe, and Mo levels in plant tissues. The practical use of the enzymatic assays is, however, greatly limited because of a high rate of variation and of technical difficulties in the determination of the enzymatic activity.

The most widely used diagnostic tests are soil and plant analyses. More specific diagnosis of critical levels of some trace metals in plant tissues should also be related to the ratio of antagonistic elements, as described by Nambiar and Motiramani⁵⁶⁰ for Fe:Zn ratios in maize. Concentrations of immobile trace elements in old leaves or in whole plants, unlike those of mobile micronutrients,



Concentration of trace elements

Figure 28 Schematic diagram of plant response to stress from deficiency and toxicity of trace elements: (a) essential trace elements; (b) non-essential trace elements.



Figure 29 Schematic diagram of behavioral plasticity of plants under chemical stress: (a) no behavioral change of entirely tolerant species; (b) development of behavioral tolerance; (c) reaction of nontolerant species leading to damage of organisms followed by no recovery.

may be misleading in assessing the nutrient status of plants. Nevertheless, plant tissue analysis has been used successfully for assessing deficiencies when based on the normal tissue contents for plant genotypes or species and organs and the stage of plant development. A comprehensive literature has been published in various countries on the diagnosis of trace element deficiencies and their correction through the application of particular micronutrients. Mengel and Kirkby⁵³¹ presented the most current information on micronutrients and indicated the need for their application to some cultivars. It should be emphasized, however, that the application of a given micronutrient is effective only if the soil content or the availability of the element is low. High-yield crop plants can suffer from acute or light deficiencies of some micronutrients. Finck⁹⁹⁵ gave an example of the extent of the deficiencies (both medium and light) in high-yield grain crops: (1) Mn supply to oats—on brown earths 40% and on calcareous marsh soils about 50% of fields, (2) Cu supply to oats—about 20% of fields on both soil types, and (3) Zn supply to wheat—about 15% of fields on both soil types.

Element	Symptoms	Sensitive crop
B	Chlorosis and browning of young leaves; killed growing points; distorted blossom development; lesions in pith and roots, and multiplication of cell division	Legumes, <i>Brassica</i> (cabbage and relatives), beets, celery, grapes, and fruit trees (apples and pears)
Cu	Wilting, melanism, white twisted tips, reduction in panicle formation, and disturbance of lignification and of development and fertility of pollen	Cereals (oats), sunflower, spinach, and lucerne (alfalfa)
Fe	Interveinal chlorosis of young organs	Fruit trees (citrus), grapes, and several calcifuge species
Mn	Chlorotic spots and necrosis of young leaves and reduced turgor	Cereals (oats), legumes, and fruit trees (apples, cherries, and citrus)
Мо	Chlorosis of leaf margins, "whiptail" of leaves and distorted curding of cauliflower, "fired" margin and deformation of leaves due to NO ₃ excess, and destruction of embryonic tissues	<i>Brassica</i> (cabbage and relatives) and legumes
Zn	Interveinal chlorosis (mainly of monocots), stunted growth, "little leaf" rosette of trees, and violet-red points on leaves	Cereals (corn), legumes, grasses, hops, flax, grapes, and fruit trees (citrus)

Table 38	Symptoms of Micronutrient Deficiency in Some Common
	Cultivars ^{66,114,531,718}

Both deficiencies and toxicities of trace elements for plants most commonly result from complex factors that vary with the specific environment. However, many observations and experiments conducted on various soil types in different countries have clearly demonstrated that soil genesis and soil properties are the main factors controlling micronutrient deficiencies. The data summarized in Table 39 present the general relationship between the occurrence of micronutrient deficiencies in plants and soil properties. The most frequently occurring deficiencies are related to extremely acid soils (light sandy) or to alkaline soils (calcareous) with improper water regimes and with excesses of phosphate, N, and Ca, as well as Fe and Mn oxides.

VI. TOXICITY AND TOLERANCE

Metabolic disorders of plants are affected not only by micronutrient deficiencies, but also by their excesses. In general, plants are much more resistant to an increased concentration than to an insufficient content of a given element. Although many observations have been published on the harmful effects of trace element excesses, the nature of these processes is still poorly understood. Basic reactions, as reviewed by Peterson,⁶⁰⁹ Foy et al.,²⁴¹ Bowen,⁹⁴ and Prasad and Strzalka,¹⁴⁶¹ related to toxic effects of element excesses are the following:

- 1. Changes in permeability of the cell membrane—Ag, Au, Br, Cd, Cu, F, Hg, I, Pb, UO₂
- 2. Reactions of thiol groups with cations-Ag, Hg, Pb
- 3. Competition for sites with essential metabolites-As, Sb, Se, Te, W, F
- 4. Affinity for reacting with phosphate groups and active groups of ADP or ATP—A1, Be, Sc, Y, Zr, lanthanides and, possibly, all other heavy metals
- 5. Replacement of essential ions (mainly major cations)-Cs, Li, Rb, Se, Sr
- 6. Occupation of sites for essential groups such as phosphate and nitrate—arsenate, fluorate, borate, bromate, selenate, tellurate, and tungstate
- 7. The damage to photosynthesis apparatus involved in several metabolic alterations is the most significant effect of the excess of trace metals

			Co	ntributing soil fac	tors	Critical		Some
Element	Soil Units ^a	pH Range	Organic Matter	Water Regime	Other Factors	Deficiency Limit in Soil ^b (ppm)	Plants Responding	Countries of Occurrence
В	Podzols, rendzinas, gleysols, nitosols, and ferralsols	Acid and neutral	Very low or very high	Flooded soils	Light texture, free $CaCO_3$	0.1–0.3 (HW)	Beets, legumes, crucifers, and grapes	Australia, Egypt, France, Poland, Taiwan, U.S., Russia, China, and India
Co	Podzols, histosols, rendzinas, and solonetz	Neutral, alkaline, or strongly acid	High	High moisture	Free CaCO₃, high Fe and Mn	0.02—0.3 (AA)°	Legumes	Australia, France, Germany, Poland, Sweden, U.S., and Russia
Cu	Histosols, podzols, rendzinas, solonchaks, and solonetz	_	Low or high	HIgh moisture	Light leached soil, high N, P, and Zn, free CaCO ₃	1–2 (NA, 0.5 <i>N</i>) 0.8–3 (NA, 1 <i>N</i>) 0.8–1 (Ac-ED) 0.2 (AC)	Cereals, legumes, and citrus	Australia, Egypt, U.S., Russia, and European countries
Fe	Rendzinas, ferralsols, solonetz, arenosols, and chernozems	Alkaline	High with free CaCO₃ or low in acid soil	Poor drainage, moisture extremes	Free CaCo ₃ , high P, Mn, and HCO_3^-	0.2—1.5 (DT) 2.5—4.5 (DT) <30—35 (Ac-ED)	Citrus, grapes, pineapples, and tomatoes	Throughout the world, and especially in arid and semiarid regions
Mn	Podzols, rendzinas, and histosols	Strongly acid or alkaline	High, e.g., alkaline peats	Moisture extremes	Free CaCO ₃ and high Fe	1—5 (DT) 20—100 (HR) 1—2 (AC) 14–70 (PA)	Cereals, legumes, beets, and citrus	Australia, France, U.S., and Russia

Мо	Podzols and ferralsols decalcified	Strongly acid to acid	—	Good drainage	High Fe and Al oxides, and high SO ₄ -S	0.01—0.6 (AO) ^d <0.1 (HW)	Crucifers, cucurbits, and legumes	Australia, India, U.S., and Russia
Se	Podzols, histosols, and ferralsols	Acid	High	Waterlogging	High Fe oxides, and SO_4 -S	<0.04 (T)°	—	Australia, U.S., and some European countries
Zn	Podzols, rendzinas, and solonetz	Strongly acid or alkaline	Low	_	Free CaCO ₃ , high N and P	1–8 (HA) 1.5–3 (ED) 0.4–1.5 (DT) 0.3–2 (AC)	Cereals, legumes, and citrus	Australia, France, India, U.S., and Russia

Note: Explanation of symbols used for extraction methods determining contents of soluble elements: AA, 2.5% acetic acid; AC, ammonium acetate; AO, ammonium oxalate; DT, DTPA; ED, EDTA; Ac-ED, ammonium acetate-EDTA; HA, 0.1 N hydrochloric acid; HR, hydroquinone reducible method; HW, hot water; NA, 0.5 or 1 N nitric acid; PA, 0.1 N phosphate acid; and T, total.

- ^a Generalized soil types assigned to soil units as given in Table 8.
- ^b Critical deficiency limits very highly among plant species and soil kinds.
- ° Animal response.
- ^d Highly pH dependent.

© 2001 by CRC Press LLC

Recent findings support the idea that metals induce biochemical changes in plants similar to responses elicited by pathogen attack.¹³⁷⁸

An assessment of toxic concentrations and effects of trace elements on plants is very complex because it depends on so many factors that it cannot be measured on a linear scale. Some of the most important factors are the proportions of related ions that are present in solution and their compounds. For example, the toxicity of arsenate and selenate is markedly reduced in the presence of excess phosphate or sulfate, and metallo-organic compounds may be either much more toxic than cations or much less so. It should also be noted that certain compounds (e.g., oxygenated anions of metals) may be more toxic than their simple cations. Van Assche and Glijsters¹¹⁷⁵ reported that enzyme measurements in plants (2-week-old test bean) can be a criterion for the phytotoxic effect of soil pollution with trace metals. The metal toxicity is reflected by the increase in capacity of various enzymes (e.g., peroxidase, dehydrogenase). The specific plant (iso)enzyme reactions on different trace metals were observed.

Several orders of trace element toxicity to plants are presented in the literature and they vary with each experiment and each plant; however, they correlate fairly well with the following factors:

- 1. Electronegativity of divalent metals
- 2. Solubility products of sulfides
- 3. Stability of chelates
- 4. Bioavailability

Despite the reported diversity in toxicity levels, it can be stated that the most toxic metals for both higher plants and certain microorganisms are Hg, Cu, Ni, Pb, Co, Cd, and possibly also Ag, Be, and Sn.

Although plants adapt rather readily to chemical stress, they also may be very sensitive to an excess of a particular trace element. Toxic concentrations of these elements in plant tissues are very difficult to establish. The values presented in Table 36 give very broad approximations of possibly harmful amounts of trace elements in plants. Visible symptoms of toxicity vary for each species and even for individual plants, but most common and nonspecific symptoms of phytotoxicity are chlorotic or brown points of leaves and leaf margins, and brown, stunted, coralloid roots (Table 40).

A common feature of plants is their ability to prolong survival under conditions of trace element excesses in their environments, mainly in soils. Lower plants especially, such as microorganisms, mosses, liverworts, and lichens, reveal an extremely high level of adaptation to toxic concentrations of certain trace elements. Zajic,⁸⁹⁸ Weinberg,⁸⁵⁶ and Iverson and Brinckman³⁴⁴ presented comprehensive reviews on microorganisms involved in the cycling of trace metals and of their resistance to high metal concentrations. Tyler et al.¹¹⁷¹ reported that the depressions by 20 to 40% of most biological activities in forest litter/soil, compared to controls, were measured when concentrations of trace metals (Cu, Zn, and Pb) amounted to 2 to 10 times those of regional baseline samples. McGrath et al.¹⁰⁸⁹ found that the process of N-fixation is sensitive to increased concentrations of metals in soils. The inhibition by 50% of N-fixation by white clover rhizobium bacteria was at concentrations (ppm DW) of Zn 334, Cu 99, Ni 27, and Cd 10. These authors stated that mechanisms of the inhibition are still unknown and need to be investigated further, because the toxic effects can occur at metal concentrations close to or below the current guidelines for soil protection (see Chapters 2. IV. A and 4. IV. A).

Although the higher plants are believed to be less tolerant of increased concentrations of trace elements, they are also widely known to accumulate these elements and to survive on soils contaminated by large quantities of various trace elements. Antonovics et al.,³³ Peterson,⁶⁰⁹ Bradshaw,¹⁰¹ Woolhouse and Walker,⁸⁸⁶ and Tyler et al.¹¹⁷¹ attempted to summarize and define what is implied by the term "tolerance" of plants. This term refers to both the population occurring in an area highly contaminated by trace elements, and to individual plants or species which are able to withstand greater levels of toxicity than are others. Extreme tolerance of many species of bryophytes, lichens, and fungi is widely reported.^{1037,1171}

Element	Symptoms	Sensitive Crop
Al	Overall stunting, dark green leaves, purpling of stems, death of leaf tips, and coralloid and damaged root system	Cereals
As	Red-brown necrotic spots on old leaves, yellowing or browning of roots, depressed tillering, wilting of new leaves	Legumes, onion, spinach, cucumbers, bromgrass, apricots, peaches
В	Margin or leaf tip chlorosis, browning of leaf points, decaying growing points, and wilting and dying-off of older leaves In severely affected pine trees, necrosis occurs on needles near the ends of shoots and in upper half on the tree	Cereals, potatoes, tomatoes, cucumbers, sunflowers, and mustard, apple, apricots, citrus, walnut
Be	Inhibition of seed germination and reduced growth, degradation of protein enzymes	
Cd	Brown margin of leaves, chlorosis, reddish veins and petioles, curled leaves, and brown stunted roots Severe reduction in growth of roots, tops, and number of tillers (in rice). Reduced conductivity of stem, caused by deterioration of xylem tissues. Reduction of chlorophyll and carotenoids	Legumes (bean, soybean), spinach, radish, carrots, and oats
Со	Interveinal chlorosis in new leaves followed by induced Fe chlorosis and white leaf margins and tips, and damaged root tips	_
Cr	Chlorosis of new leaves, necrotic spots and purpling tissues, injured root growth	—
Cu	Dark green leaves followed by induced Fe chlorosis, thick, short, or barbed-wire roots, depressed tillering. Changes in lipid content and losses of polypeptides involved in photochemical activities	Cereals and legumes, spinach, citrus seedlings, and gladiolus
F	Margin and leaf tip necrosis, and chlorotic and red-brown points of leaves	Gladiolus, grapes, fruit trees, and pine trees
Fe	Dark green foliage, stunted growth of tops and roots, dark brown to purple leaves of some plants (e.g., "bronzing" disease of rice)	Rice and tobacco
Hg	Severe stunting of seedlings and roots, leaf chlorosis and browning of leaf points	Sugar beets, maize, and roses
Li	Chlorotic and necrotic spots on leaves and injured root growth	Citrus
Mn	Chlorosis and necrotic lesions on old leaves, blackish- brown or red necrotic spots, accumulation of MnO ₂ particles in epidermal cells, drying tips of leaves, and stunted roots and plant growth	Cereals, legumes, potatoes, and cabbage
Мо	Yellowing or browning of leaves, depressed root growth, depressed tillering	Cereals
Ni	Interveinal chlorosis (caused by Fe-induced deficiency) in new leaves, gray-green leaves, and brown and stunted roots and plant growth	Cereals
Pb	Dark green leaves, wilting of older leaves, stunted foliage, and brown short roots	—
Rb	Dark green leaves, stunted foliage, and increasing amount of shoots	—
Se	Interveinal chlorosis or black spots at Se content at about 4 ppm, and complete bleaching or yellowing of younger leaves at higher Se content, pinkish spots on roots	_
Ti	Chlorosis and necrosis of leaves, stunted growth	Beans
TI	Impairment of chlorophyll synthesis, mild chlorosis and slight cupping of leaves, reduced germination of seeds and growth of plants	Tobacco and cereals
Zn	Chlorotic and necrotic leaf tips, interveinal chlorosis in new leaves, retarded growth of entire plant, and injured roots resemble barbed wire	Cereals and spinach

Table 40 General Effects of Trace Element Toxicity on Common Cultivars^{66,241,381,395,531,731,916,1009}

The heavy-metal resistance in plants is of special concern. Practical problems and implications concerning metal-tolerant organisms can be related to:

- 1. Microbial origin of metal ore deposits
- 2. Metal cycling in the environment
- 3. Geobotanical prospecting (i.e., the use of tolerant and sensitive plants to locate natural deposits of metal ores)
- 4. Microbiological extraction of metals from low-grade ores
- 5. Establishment of vegetation on toxic waste materials
- 6. Microbiological treatment of waste waters
- 7. Development of resistance in microorganisms to metal-containing fungicides and other pesticides

The evolution of metal tolerance is believed to be quite rapid in both microorganisms and sometimes in vascular plants. As stated by Tyler et al.,¹¹⁷¹ tolerant genotypes of some vascular plants may develop within a few years. Developed metal-tolerance of plants may be both phenotypically and genotypically acquired. Evolutionary changes caused by heavy metals have now been recorded in a large number of species occurring on metalliferous soils that differ from populations of the same species growing on ordinary soils. Species of higher plants that show a tolerance to trace elements belong most commonly to the following families: Caryophyllaceae, Cruciferae, Cyperaceae, Gramineae, Leguminosae, and Chenopodiaceae.

The ranges of highest concentrations of trace elements found in various plant species are presented in Table 41. Various fungi are also well-known to be able to accumulate a high proportion of easily soluble and/or easily volatile elements such as Hg, Se, Cd, Cu, and Zn. The "upper critical level" of an element is the lowest tissue concentration at which it has toxic effects. Macnicol and Beckett¹⁰⁸¹ made an extensive survey of literature to establish critical levels of 30 elements, most predominant of which are Al, As, Cd, Cu, Li, Mn, Ni, Se, and Zn. The values established by these authors for the "upper critical levels" are quite similar to those presented by others as "excessive or toxic levels" (Tables 36 and 42). They stated that the critical levels for a given element are

Reported in Various Plant Species ^{609,613}				
Element	Plant			
	>10%			
Ni	Alyssum bertolonii			
Zn	Thlaspi calaminare, caerulescens			
	1 to 3%			
Cr	Pimelea suteri and Leptospermum scoparium			
Co	Crotalaria cobaltica			
Ni	Alyssum bertolonii			
Se	Astragalus recemosus			
Sr	Arabis stricta			
U	Uncinia leptostachya and Coprosma arborea			
Zn	Viola calaminaria			
Mn	Macadamia neurophylla			
	0.1 to 1%			
Cu	Becium homblei, Aeollantus biformifolius			
Hg	Betula papyrifera			
W	Pinus sibiricus			
Zn	Equisetum arvense			
Ni	Berkheya coddi			
Pb	Minuratia verna			

Table 41 The Greatest Accumulation of Some Metals (% AW)

	Levels of Growth Depression				
Metal	In Sensitive Plant Species ¹⁰⁵²	For 10% Yield Loss ¹⁰⁸¹			
As	_	1–20			
Cd	5–10	10–20			
Со	10–20	20–40			
Cr	1–2	1–10			
Cu	15–20	10–30			
Hg	0.5–1	1–8			
Ni	20–30	10–30			
Zn	150–200	100–500			

Table 42Critical Concentrations of Trace Metals in
Plant Tissues (ppm DW)

variable, which reflects both effects of interactions with other elements and developing plant resistance to a high tissue concentration of certain elements.

Microorganisms can very rapidly develop mechanisms of tolerance to excesses of trace metals. Fungi are usually more resistant than bacteria. Lichens reveal a relatively high tolerance to trace metals due to the presence of fungi hyphae. Fungi of mycorrhiza developed at the surface of roots of several plant species, mainly trees, can protect against the transfer of trace metals into root cells from surroundings. These phenomena are observed especially on trees in soil polluted with Cu and Zn.¹⁵²⁵ Turnau et al.¹⁵²⁴ observed in vacuoles of fungi hyphae (*Paxillus involutus*) granules with high contents of P, S, Ca, Al, and Cd. The Cd accumulation inside fungal vacuoles suggests the possibility of immobilization of metals by the symbiotic fungus. Hamon et al.^{1321a} reported that Cd and Zn in the rhizosphere of radish existed mainly as immobile forms complexed by organic compounds, while dissolved Cd and Zn were largely uncomplexed in unplanted soil. The authors concluded that plants mainly absorb the free metal ions from soil solution.

Toxicity of trace metals to microorganisms and microbial processes in agricultural soils has been extensively reviewed by Giller et al.¹³¹⁰ The authors emphasized that the results of numerous laboratory ecotoxicological studies are the most difficult to meaningfully extrapolate to evaluate data on toxic effects that are likely to occur in the field.

A concept of "metal (Mn) equivalent" (related to "Zn equivalent" of trace metals to crop plants) in the toxicity to soil respiration has been proposed by Saviozzi et al.¹⁴⁸⁰ and is calculated as the sum of the amounts of the available metals, and related to the least toxic one: Mn equivalent = Mn +1.95 Pb + 2.1 Ni + 2.5 Zn + 6.7 Cd + 6.7 Cu. Pb, Ni, and Zn show about twice and Cd and Cu about seven times the toxic effects of Mn.

Mechanisms of trace element resistance in plants have been the subject of several detailed studies which indicated that both highly specific and multiple metal tolerance may appear, as reported by Antonovics et al.,³³ Bradshaw,¹⁰¹ Simon,⁷²⁸ Foy et al.,²⁴¹ and Cox and Hutchinson.¹⁴⁹ These authors summed up possible mechanisms involved in metal tolerance. They distinguished external factors, such as low solubility and mobility of cations surrounding plant roots, as well as effects of metal ion antagonisms. The real tolerance, however, is related to internal factors. This is not a mechanism of tolerance in a simple sense, but consists of several metabolic processes:

- 1. Selective uptake of ions
- 2. Decreased permeability of cell walls or other differences in the structure and function of membranes
- 3. Immobilization of ions in various organs (synthesis of immobilizing compounds including the formation of minerals, and/or fixation by charged ligands)
- 4. Alteration in metabolic patterns—increased enzyme system that is inhibited, or increased antagonistic metabolite, or reduced metabolic pathway by passing an inhibited site, or decreased requirement for products of inhibited synthesis
- 5. Adaptation to toxic metal replacement of a physiological metal in an enzyme

- 6. Release of ions from plants by leaching from foliage, guttation, leaf shedding, and excretion from roots
- 7. Release of volatile organic metal compounds (e.g., Hg, Pb, and Sn), mainly as methylated metals that are very toxic species to most organisms
- 8. Excretion from leaf tips in the form of salts

In addition to the mechanisms mentioned, phenomena of avoidance of polluted substrates are reported. This is observed especially in the behavior of microorganisms which are capable of developing on better (less contaminated) substrate. Some vascular plants, while growing on topsoil heavily contaminated by trace metals, can develop roots in deeper soil layers.¹¹⁷¹

Selective uptake of ions is related to a capability of plants for active selective sorption and discrimination of available ions or compounds in the soil. Selective transport of ions to the tops taken up by roots is also observed. This selectivity depends most probably on immobilization mechanisms. Mechanisms of selective sorption and transport of trace ions can be broken down under a critical concentration of trace elements, and a passive flux of ions within a plant organism takes place (see Chapter 5, Sections II and III).

Decreased permeability of cell walls is closely associated with the immobilization. These mechanisms of the plant tolerance to metals (Al, Cd, Zn, Mn, and Pb) are broadly reviewed by Foy⁹⁹⁸ and Tyler et al.¹¹⁷¹ Binding to the cell wall, including wall impermeability, is observed in various vascular plants, bryophytes, lichens, fungi, and microoganisms. Several compounds such as thioneins, sulfides, pectic substances, and organic acids (e.g., uronic, mannuronic, norstictic—in lichens only) are involved in metal complexation. Thus, synthesis of immobilizing compounds and/or fixation by charged ligands are responsible for the removal of trace ions from plant metabolism by deposition (storage) in fixed and insoluble forms in various organs and organelles. Roots are the most common storage for the excess of trace metals, but also foliage and seeds are known to accumulate deposited forms of different trace elements. Tyler et al.¹¹⁷¹ stated that the immobilization in cell wall ligands is most probably a key reaction in reducing the toxicity of trace metal excess.

Kvalevsky^{1373,1374} reported that in old above-earth parts of plants, several mineral forms of trace elements can be distinguished; for example, FeAsS (arsenopyrite), ZnS (sphalerite), PbS (galena), MoS (molybdenite), HgS (cinnabar), and also some other trace element minerals, in the forms of carbonates, sulfates, fluorides, wolframites, etc. Other metals like Ag and Au were observed in the form of thin microscopic flakes ($< 2 \mu$ m). He reported that more than 30 elements can be temporarily excluded from physiological processes and stored in plant tissues as inactive minerals.

The biochemical resistance is not yet well-recognized, and may involve several other mechanisms of the immobilization. The alteration in metabolic patterns is related to an increase of the enzyme system that is inhibited, or to an increase of antagonistic metabolite. This also may be associated with a decreased requirement for products of the inhibited syntheses or with a reduction of metabolic pathways by passing an inhibited site of a part of the metabolic system. The nature of an adaptation to toxic metal contents induced into an enzymatic system needs a better understanding. The great flexibility of enzymatic systems and variability among plant species are involved in the evolution of metal tolerance of which several points are still not clear. Considerable evidence was given by Antonovics et al.³³ and Cox and Hutchinson¹⁴⁹ that tolerant plants may also be stimulated in their growth by higher amounts of metal, which reveals a physiological need for an excess of a particular metal by a single plant genotype or species.

Tyler et al.¹¹⁷¹ discussed whether plants that become tolerant to trace metals may be equally productive as nontolerant species or populations. These authors concluded that: "The action of the tolerance mechanism often imparts a demand for metabolic energy, which would make tolerant forms less competitive under normal conditions than their nontolerant counterparts." Therefore, the growth of plants under the stress of elevated concentrations of trace metals is highly limited. In most cases, the morphology of those plants is altered, and salt excretion can be observed at the tips of leaves in some plants. In oats grown in soil heavily polluted with trace metals (mainly Zn

and Pb), the composition of salt extraction was (in % of oven-dried weight): Zn 0.76, Pb 0.15, Mn 0.068, and Cu 0.039.¹³⁹⁹

The tolerance of some plants to elevated concentrations of trace metals in growth media and in tissues creates a health risk to humans and animals. This is a special problem in cases of trace elements that are easily tolerated by plants and highly toxic to humans, as for example Cd. Therefore, health-related limits for certain elements in food plants are carefully controlled by national and international legislation. The contemporary limits can be changed in the future as more pertinent data are collected. Tolerant plants, due to their ability to grow in contaminated substrates, and due to the accumulation of extremely high amounts of trace metals, may create a great health risk by forming a polluted link in the food chain.

The excess of trace elements—both essential and nonessential to plants —has a deteriorating impact on metabolic processes. In some cases, toxic effects are specific for a given element (see Table 40). Plants develop different mechanisms to protect against their excess. These mechanisms are, in general, related to the root (mainly root tips, meristems) exudates containing polygalacturonic acid that fix metals outside or within root cells, and to the production of phytochelates (various derivatives of glutathione) fixing metals and displacing either in vacuoles or on cell membranes.^{1459,1542,1551}

VII. INTERACTION

A chemical balance in living organisms is a basic condition for their proper growth and development. Interactions of chemical elements are also of similar importance to deficiency and toxicity in the physiology of plants. Interactions between chemical elements may be both antagonistic and synergistic, and their imbalanced reactions may cause a real chemical stress in plants.

Antagonism occurs when the combined physiological effect of two or more elements is less than the sum of their independent effects, and synergism occurs when the combined effects of these elements is greater. These interactions may also refer to the ability of one element to inhibit or stimulate the absorption of other elements in plants (Figure 30). All these reactions are quite variable and may occur inside the cells, within the membrane surfaces, and also surrounding plant roots. Interaction processes are controlled by several factors and these mechanisms are still poorly understood, although some data are available.^{241,581,840}

Interactions between major and trace elements summarized in Table 43 clearly show that Ca, P, and Mg are the main antagonistic elements against the absorption and metabolism of several trace elements. Some synergistic effects, however, have also been observed for antagonistic pairs of elements, depending on the specific reaction of the plant genotype or species.

Antagonistic effects occur most often in two ways—the macronutrient may inhibit trace element absorption and, in turn, the trace element may inhibit absorption of a macronutrient. These reactions have been observed especially for phosphate, but have also been reported for other macronutrients whose uptake and metabolic activity may be inhibited by several trace elements.^{395,463}

Most important for practical application are the antagonistic effects of Ca and P on heavy metals such as Be, Cd, Pb, and Ni that often constitute a health hazard. Both major nutrients, Ca and P, are known to play a significant role in the integrity of cell membranes, and therefore any imbalance in these nutrients may affect interaction processes either in the nutrient solution external to or at the surface of root-cell membranes. It is noteworthy that although the antagonistic effects of P and Ca on many trace cations and anions are frequently reviewed in the literature, the antagonistic impact of Mg on trace metals is only occasionally reported. The addition of Mn and Mg to a solution reduced the mortality of nematodes (*Steinernema carpocapsae*) due to the excess of several trace metals.¹³⁴⁶

Deficiency of one trace element essential to a plant can facilitate uptake of other micronutrients. The compensatory absorption of micronutrients under deficiency stress is reported for several

Major Element	Antagonistic Elements	Synergistic Elements
Са	Al, B, Ba, Be, Cd, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, F, Fe, Li, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sr, and Zn	Cu, Mn, and Zn
Mg	Al, Be, Ba, Cr, Mn, F. Zn, Nia, Coa, Cua and Fea	Al and Zn
P	Al, As, B, Be, Cd, Cr, Cu, F, Fe, Hg, Mo, Mn, Ni, Pb, Rb, Se, Si, Sr, and Zn	Al, B, Cu, F, Fe, Mo, Mn, and Zn
К	Al, B, Hg, Cd, Cr, F, Mo, Mn, and Rb	_
S	As, Ba, Fe, Mo, Pb, Se, and Zn	F ^₅ and Fe
Ν	B, F, Cu, and Mn	B, Cu, Fe, and Mo
CI	Br and I	_
Na	Mn	_
Si	B, Mn	_

Table 43 Interactions Between Major Elements and Trace Elements in Plants^{251,381,531,554,663}

^aReported for microorganisms.

^bMutual pollution causes significant injury.



Figure 30 Interactions of trace elements within plant organisms and adjacent to plant roots.

elements and most commonly for Mn and Cu, and for Fe and Cu, Zn, and Mn.¹⁴⁶⁶ Antagonistic, additive or synergistic, reponse of plants to binary mixtures of elements seems to be a concentration-dependent effect. It initially was observed by Chaney and Hornick¹²⁹ for the Cd/Zn relationship. Recent findings presented by Sharma et al.¹⁴⁸⁵ indicated that when only one element of binary

mixtures, Cu/Cd, Cu/Zn, and Cd/Zn, exceeds some critical level of toxicity, the joint effect is either synergistic or additive. This clearly indicates that interaction effects are less metal-specific at high concentrations than at low metal concentrations.

Interrelationships between elements in different soils and/or plant species are of multivariant character. These relationships may be attributed to the ionic competition at the sorption sites of soil particles and also to properties of the surface root cell membrane and root exudates.

Interactions observed within plants between trace elements have also indicated that these processes are quite complex, being at times both antagonistic and synergistic in nature, and occasionally are involved in the metabolism of more than two elements (Figure 30). The greatest number of antagonistic reactions have been observed for Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn, which are, obviously, the key elements in plant physiology (Table 37). These trace metals are linked to processes of absorption by plants and to the enzymatic pathway. The other trace elements often involved in antagonistic processes with these four trace metals are Cr, Mo, and Se.

Synergistic interactions between trace elements are not commonly observed. Those reported for Cd and other trace metals such as Pb, Fe, and Ni may be artifacts resulting from the destruction of physiological barriers under the stress of excessive concentrations of heavy metals. Moreover, several reactions that occur in the external root media and affect root uptake should not be directly related to metabolic interactions, but the two reactions are not easily separated. Some interactions among trace metals are still unpredictable; for example, the addition of Ni- (organic and inorganic forms) enhanced Cu, Zn, and Mn uptake by winter wheat, whereas the addition of Pb increased Cu and Zn.¹⁴⁴²

VIII. BIOINDICATION

Indicator species are organisms that serve as a measure of the environmental conditions that exist in a given locale. These may be various animal and plant organisms and their organs, or products (e.g., honey). Earlier indicator plants were used in geobotanical prospecting to give evidence of a certain geological phenomenon. At present, it is a widely used method in environmental studies. Also monitoring, which is systematically checking the chemical state of the environment, is often based on bioindicators/biomonitors. Several characteristics of an ideal bioindicator have been given by various authors, and are summarized by Wittig.¹⁵⁴⁶ In general, an acceptable bioindicator requires the following:

- · Suitable accumulation rate of some or selected elements
- · Toxitolerance with no sensitivity to the accumulating element/substance
- · Present in large amounts in the ecosystem under investigation
- · Wide distribution in various environments, and wide geographic range
- · Easy to identify and sample
- · No seasonal differences in availability and applicability
- Existence of a correlation between accumulation and input to the ecosystem

There are several other conditions required for suitable bioindication and biomonitoring methods. These conditions are related to standardized sampling and analytical methods, comparing results with other monitoring methods, and possible retrospective and long-time investigation periods.

Dandelion is reported quite frequently as a useful environmental indicator, since this plant fulfills most of the listed demands.^{1278,1356,1470} An evaluation of metal pollution in selected regions of Poland using dandelion is presented on Table 44. Dandelion leaves grown in the industrial region (Region SW) contain more trace metals than dandelion leaves from other areas. The RDB value identifies a very high relative deviation from background values of all metals in plants from industrial regions. The order of relative accumulation of metals is the following: Ni > Cd > Zn >

Metal ^a	Country	Regions	Region SW	Region SE	Region NW	Region NE
	N = 780	N = 240	N = 60	N = 70	N = 60	N = 50
Cd	0.5	0.6	1.2	0.6	0.5	0.4
	-20	0	50	0	-20	-50
Cu	9.4	9.4	13.4	10	8.4	7
	-27	-22	10	-20	-42	71
Cr	0.8	0.8	0.7	1.3	0.7	0.4
	25	25	14	53	14	-50
Mn	60	65	74	103	69	42
	0	7	18	41	13	
Ni	3.4	1.3	4.2	6.4	3.1	1.9
	50	-53	52	68	35	-5
Pb	1.1	1.2	3	1	1	0.5
	81	-66	33	-100	100	300
Zn	45	50	72	67	35	40
	0	10	37	32	-28	-12

Table 44 Metals in Leaves of Dandelion Grown in Different Regions of Poland (ppm)1356

...

^a Upper values for metals are Geometric Means, and lover are RDB (Relative Deviation to Background) values, RDB = {[AM - RF]/RF} \times 100. RF, reference contents (mg/kg DW): Cd 0.6, Cr 0.6, Cu 12, Mn 60, Ni 2, Pb 2, Zn 45.

Pb > Mn > Cu. Also, needles of pine trees (e.g., Scots pine, Norway spruce) and chicory roots and shoots are often used for evaluation and monitoring of environmental pollution.^{1279,1496,1553} A good indication of industrial pollution in eastern Siberia was obtained by Arzhanova and Elpatevsky¹²⁰⁶ analyzing oak leaves which contain, after washing, up to (in ppm): Cd 7.7; Cu 21; Zn 264; and Pb 1155. Roots of aquatic plants as well as aquatic mosses have also been reported as very suitable phytoindicators in certain environments.¹⁴⁸³

Soil microorganisms are considered good candidates for bioindication of soil metal pollution. However, Parkhurst et al.¹⁴⁴⁹ have described some problems with using a population of microorganisms or microbial activity as soil biomonitors. These include a large natural variation in population size and activity of microorganisms in soils and their sensitivity to all chemical and physical soil factors. Some examples, however, indicate that the bioassay could be used to assess the bioavailability and harmful effects of trace metals.¹⁴⁶² Screening for the presence of rhizobia can be a rapid method for the soil bioassay of toxic effects of trace metals and allows the determination of the bioavailable pool of metals in soils.^{1310,1332}

The inhibitory effect on soil bioactivity expressed as the soil respiration, differs considerably among trace metals but is always a function of metal contents. The general order of toxicity of metals to microorganisms can be presented as follows: Ag > Cu > Cd > Ni > Zn > Pb > Mn. Two metals, Cu and Zn applied as sulfates to the soil at concentrations up to 2000 ppm, affect soil microbiota: fungi (glucophilic, cellulose-decomposing, thermophilic, and thermotolerant), bacteria, and actinomycetes, generally causing reduction in their populations and soil enzyme activities.¹³²⁵ Soil enzyme activity has been used as a sensitive indicator of the effect of trace metals on soils. Most soil enzymes are inhibited by metals (e.g., the contents of Cu, Cr, and As at the levels (in ppm) 900, 842, and 1124, respectively) decrease enzyme activity in the following order (relative to control values): phosphatase 70%; urease, 31%; sulphatase, 25%; and dehydrogenase, 17%.¹⁴⁶¹ Alkaline and acid phosphatase is reported to be most sensitive to an excess of Cd, Co, and Zn.¹⁴⁴³

Elements of Group I

I. INTRODUCTION

The alkali trace elements of the subgroup Ia (new group I) are Li, Rb, and Cs. The common characteristic of the alkali elements is the single electron in the outermost energy level, resulting in highly reactive chemical behavior. The relative bonding force holding these monovalent cations is presented most often in the order $Cs^+ > Rb^+ > Li^+$. These cations do not usually form complex ionic species, but can be bound in some chelates and organometallic compounds.

The subgroup Ib (new group II) contains Cu and the noble metals Ag and Au. Cu occurs most often as the divalent cation, but can also form single monovalent cations and complex anions. This metal shows many unusual features, including an affinity to combine with S, Se, and Te, and is of great importance in biochemistry. Chemical characteristics of Ag are somewhat similar to those of Cu; however, its role in biochemistry is largely unknown. Au has relatively slight chemical reactivity. Its simple monovalent and trivalent cations exist usually in negligible amounts, thus biogeochemical properties of Au are mostly related to its complex ions.⁴⁵³ A geochemical relationship is observed between Cu, Ag, and Au.

II. LITHIUM

A. Soils

Li is widely distributed throughout the Earth's crust and is likely to be concentrated in acidic igneous rocks and sedimentary aluminosilicates. Li is also likely to be associated with the minerals of the late pegmatitic stage, for example, micas (mainly biotite), pyroxenes, etc. The mean Li content of magmatic rocks is lower than that of sedimentary rocks (Table 45). Li abundance in the Earth's crust ranges from 25 to 40 ppm. Li is very mobile in geochemical processes and preferably enters silicate minerals rather than sulfide minerals. It is also readily absorbed by clay minerals. During weathering, Li is released from the primary minerals relatively easily in oxidizing and acid media and then is incorporated in clay minerals, is also slightly fixed by organic matter, and is fixed by Mn-oxides and accumulated in phosphate rocks. Thus, the Li content of soils is controlled more by conditions of soil formation than by its initial content in parent rocks. The Li distribution in soil profiles follows the general trends of soil solution circulation; however, it may be highly irregular.⁸⁶⁴

The abundance of Li in surface soils, as presented in Tables 9 and 46, seems to be correlated with soil units. Grand means for Li vary from 1.3 ppm in light organic soils to 56 ppm in calcareous soils. Lower contents of Li are reported for light sandy soils, especially those derived from glacial drift in a humid climate. In the arid climatic zones, Li follows the upward movement of the soil

	•• •		•		
Li (ppm)	Rb (ppm)	Cs (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Ag (ppb)	Au (ppb)
	Magmatic Ro	ocks			
0.5–X.0	0.1–2	0.X	10–40	50–60	5
6–20	20–45	0.5–1.5	60–120	100	0.5–3
20–28	100	0.6	15–80	50–70	3.2
25–40	150	2–5	10–30	40	1.2–1.8
15–45	100–200	3	5–20	50	1.5
Se	edimentary R	ocks			
60 50–75 10–40 5–20	120–200 140–160 45 5–30	5–10 6–8 0.5–2 0.5–2	40–60 40 5–30 2–10	70 70–100 50–250 100–150	3–4 2.5–4 3–7 2–6
	Li (ppm) 0.5–X.0 6–20 20–28 25–40 15–45 5 5 60 50–75 10–40 5–20	Li (ppm) (ppm) Magmatic Rc 0.5–X.0 0.1–2 6–20 20–45 20–28 100 25–40 150 15–45 100–200 5 Sedimentary R 60 120–200 50–75 140–160 10–40 45 5–20 5–30	Li (ppm) Rb (ppm) Cs (ppm) Magmatic Rocks 0.5-X.0 0.1-2 0.X 6-20 20-45 0.5-1.5 20-28 100 0.6 25-40 150 2-5 15-45 100-200 3 60 120-200 5-10 50-75 140-160 6-8 10-40 45 0.5-2 5-20 5-30 0.5-2	Li Rb Cs Cu (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) Magmatic Rocks 0.5–X.0 0.1–2 0.X 10–40 6–20 20–45 0.5–1.5 60–120 20–28 100 0.6 15–80 25–40 150 2–5 10–30 15–45 100–200 3 5–20 5 Sedimentary Rocks 60 120–200 5–10 40–60 50–75 140–160 6–8 40 10–40 45 0.5–2 5–30 5–20 5–30 0.5–2 2–10	Li Rb Cs Cu Ag (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) Magmatic Rocks 0.5–X.0 0.1–2 0.X 10–40 50–60 6–20 20–45 0.5–1.5 60–120 100 20–28 100 0.6 15–80 50–70 25–40 150 2–5 10–30 40 15–45 100–200 3 5–20 50 Sedimentary Rocks 60 120–200 5–10 40–60 70 50–75 140–160 6–8 40 70–100 10–40 45 0.5–2 5–30 50–250 5–20 5–30 0.5–2 2–10 100–150

Table 45 Lithium, Rubidium, Cesium, Copper, Silver, and Gold in Major Rock Types

Note: Values commonly found, based on various sources.

solution and may precipitate at top horizons along with easily soluble salts of chlorites, sulfates, and borates. These reactions explain a relatively higher Li content of soils such as solonchaks, kastanozems, and prairien soils. Also, intrazonal young soils derived from alluvium reveal elevated Li concentrations. The Li content in mineral surface soils of Poland is highly and positively correlated with clay fraction. Its median values (of about 100 samples for each textural soil group) increase in the following order (in ppm): sandy light soils 3.8, light loamy soils 5.8, medium loamy soils 8.8, and heavy loamy soils 12.9.¹³⁵¹ Average Li content in reference soils of the U.S. is 33 ppm and in soils of China, it is 35 ppm.¹³¹³ Geometric mean Li content in surface Venezuelan soils varies from 2.0 to 13.9 ppm upon different transection near the Caribbean shore.¹²⁷³

In the initial processes of soil formation, Li seems to be highly mobile, while later it may become more stable due to its firm bonding with clay minerals.⁸⁶⁴ However, as Shakuri⁷¹³ reported, water-soluble forms of Li in the soil profile reach up to about 5% of the total soil content and therefore Li is likely to occur in ground waters of areas having elevated Li contents in rocks and soils. Exchangeable soil Li is reported to be strongly associated with Ca and Mg.¹⁶⁴

B. Plants

The soluble Li in soils is readily available to plants; therefore, the plant content of this element is believed to be a good guide to the Li status of the soil.²⁷⁹ There are considerable differences in the tolerance of various plant species to Li concentrations, as well as in the plants' ability to take up this element. Borovik-Romanova and Bielova⁹² calculated the index of the biological concentrations, based on the ratio of Li in plant ash to Li in topsoil. For plants of the Rosaceae family with the highest mean Li content (Table 47), this index is 0.6; while for plants of the Polygonaceae, it is 0.04. The highest value of this index, 0.8, however, was calculated for plants of the Solanaceae, members of which are known to have the highest tolerance to Li. Some plants of this family when grown in an aridic climatic zone accumulate more than 1000 ppm Li.⁷²⁵ The highest uptake of Li was reported for plant species growing on solonetz and solonchak soils or other soils having increased contents of alkali metals.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	U.S.	<5–50	16.5	706
	Russia	17–60	34	92
	New Zealand	5–72	31	864
	Poland	0.01–12	6	1351
Loess and silty soils	New Zealand	_	95	864
-	U.S.	9–30	20.5	706
Loamy and clay soils	New Zealand	1.4–130	45.5	864
	U.S.	10–64	23.5	706
	Poland	0.1–38	15	1351
Soils on glacial till	Denmark	—	6.2	801
Fluvisols	New Zealand	65–160	98	864
Gleysols	New Zealand	50–100	68	864
Rendzinas and other calcareous soils	Russia	—	42	7
	New Zealand	60–105	80	864
	U.S.	6–88	26.5	706
Kastanozems and brown soils	Russia	31–48	37	7, 92
	New Zealand	9–175	57.5	864
Ferralsols	Russia	10–25	17	92
Solonchaks and solonetz	Russia	23–53	42	7, 92
	New Zealand	36–68	55	864
Chernozems	Russia	20–65	45	7, 92, 713
	U.S.	8–40	22.5	706
Prairien and meadow soils	Russia	—	73	713
Histosols and other organic soils	Denmark	0.5–3.2	1.6	1, 801
	New Zealand	0.01–2.8	1.2	864
	U.S.	10–57	24	706
Forest soils	Russia	25–65	50	7, 92, 713
Various soils	Denmark	—	8.6	801
	New Zealand	10–100	64	864
	Great Britain	—	25	818
	New Guinea	6–28	15	164
	U.S.	0.7–16	5.5	976

Table 46	Lithium Content of	Surface	Soils of	Different	Countries	(ppm	DW)
----------	--------------------	---------	----------	-----------	-----------	------	-----

Table 47 Lithium Content of Plant Families (ppm DW)

Family	Russia. (Mean) (92)	U.S. (Range) (29, 725, 94)	New Zealand (Range) (864)
Rosaceae	2.9	_	_
Ranunculaceae	2.0	_	—
Solanaceae	1.9	0.01–31 (1120)	—
Violaceae	1.3		_
Leguminosae	0.67	0.01–3.1	<0.03–143
Compositae	0.55	—	—
Cruciferae	0.54	_	—
Chenopodiaceae	0.32	_	_
Urticaceae	0.24	_	—
Gramineae	0.24	0.07-1.5	<0.02–13
Polygonaceae	0.10	_	_
Lichenes	—	0.02–0.3ª	—

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a Worldwide data.

Li appears to share the K^+ transport carrier and therefore is easily transported in plants, being located mainly in leaf tissues. The Li content of edible plant parts presented in Table 48 shows that some leaves accumulated a higher proportion of Li than did storage roots or bulbs. However, a higher Li content is very often reported for roots. The ratio of root to top for Li in ryegrass was 4.4, while
		Mean Con	ent (ppm)	
Plant	Tissue Sample	DW Basis	AW Basis	
Celery(a)	Leaves	6.6(a)		
Chard(a)	Leaves	6.2(a)	—	
Corn(a)	Ears and stover	0.8(a)	—	
Corn(b)	Grains	0.05(b)	_	
Cabbage	Leaves	0.5	4.9	
Carrot	Roots	0.2	2.3	
Lettuce	Leaves	0.3	2.0	
Onion	Bulbs	0.06	1.6	
Potato	Tubers	_	<4	
Tomato	Fruits	_	<4	
Apple	Fruits	—	<4	
Orange	Fruits	0.2	5.3	

Table 48 Lithium Content of Plant Foodstuffs^{131,197,705}

for white clover it was 20.⁸⁶⁴ This may suggest that a difference in plant tolerance to Li concentration is related mainly to mechanisms of biological barriers in root tissues. Li is concentrated, rather, in above-earth parts of plants. This is especially noticeable in plants growing in industrial regions; for example, the leaves:roots ratio for Li in dandelion from a rural region is 0.8, and from an industrial region it is 5.0.¹³⁵¹

Wallace et al.^{844a} reported that, in most experimental treatments, bush beans accumulated more Li in shoots than in roots while growing in a solution culture with high concentrations of Li. They reported also that higher levels of Li decreased the Zn content in leaves and increased Ca, Fe, and Mn content in all plant tissues.

Although Li is not known to be an essential plant nutrient, there is some evidence that Li can affect plant growth and development.²⁹ However, stimulating effects of several Li salts reported by various authors have never been confirmed. This observed stimulation may also be related to the influence of other factors, including secondary effects of anions associated with Li. Szentmihalyi et al.¹¹⁶⁰ recently reported somewhat higher Li concentrations in plant foodstuffs than those cited in Table 48. Despite their detailed investigation, the role of Li in higher plants has not yet been clarified.

Increased Li concentration in soil is toxic to some plant species. Citrus trees are known to be the most susceptible to injury by an excess of Li, which is reported to be toxic at a concentration in leaves of 140 to 220 ppm. Threshold concentrations of Li in plants are highly variable, and moderate to severe toxic effects of 4 to 40 ppm Li concentration in citrus leaves have been observed.²⁷⁹ In high-Li soils, damage to root tips of corn as well as necrotic spots in the interveinal leaf tissues and other nonspecific injury symptoms, mainly chlorotic and necrotic spots on leaves and injured root growth, have been observed.²⁹ Resistant to a high concentration of Li are plants of the *Solanaceae* family which may accumulate, when grown in salic soils, even above 1000 ppm Li. Citruses are sensitive to elevated levels of Li in soils and their growth in salt-enriched soil can be significantly reduced due to high Li contents.

Ca inhibits Li uptake by plants; therefore, the addition of lime to high-Li soil may reduce toxic effects of this element. Li is also toxic to many microorganisms, although the fungi *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* adapt easily to higher Li concentrations in their growth media.

III. RUBIDIUM

A. Soils

Rb abundance in the major rock types reveals its geochemical association with Li, and therefore it has higher concentrations in acidic igneous rocks and sedimentary aluminosilicates (Table 45). In weathering, Rb is closely linked to K; however, its bonding forces to silicates appear to be

Soil	Range	Mean
Sandy soils and lithosols on sandstones	<20–120	50
Light loamy soils	30–100	60
Loess and soils on silt deposits	45–100	75
Clay and clay-loamy soils	45–120	80
Alluvial soils	55–140	100
Soils over granites and gneisses	<20–210	120
Soils over volcanic rocks	20–115	65
Soils over limestones and calcareous rocks	50-100	75
Soils on glacial till and drift	30–80	65
Light desert soils	70–120	95
Silty prairie soils	50-100	65
Chernozems and dark prairie soils	55–115	80
Organic light soils	<20–70	30
Forest soils	<20–120	55

Table 49 Rubidium Content of Surface Soils of the U.S. (ppm DW)⁷⁰⁶

stronger than those of K; therefore, the K:Rb ratio continually decreases in soil-forming processes. The behavior of Rb in sedimentary and pedogenic processes is controlled mainly by adsorption on clay minerals. Franz and Carlson⁹⁹⁹ observed that Rb markedly decreased the rate and activation energy of K release from micaceous minerals. The Rb content of soils is largely inherited from the parent rocks, as is indicated by the highest mean Rb contents, 100 to 120 ppm, in soils over granites and gneisses, and in alluvial soils (Table 49). The lowest mean Rb concentrations (30 and 50 ppm) were reported by Shacklette and Boerngen⁷⁰⁶ for sandy and organic soils, and by Markert and Lieth¹⁰⁸⁶ for peat bogs (1 to 10 ppm). The mean Rb content in light loamy soils of Poland was established as 66 ppm by Dobrowolski,¹⁸¹ and in deep loess deposits in Russia it was given as 96 ppm by Waganov and Nizharadze¹¹⁸¹ while means for soils of various countries range from 33 to 270 ppm as given by Wedepohl.⁸⁵⁵ Naidenov and Travesi⁵⁵⁸ reported Rb in Bulgarian soils to be within the range of 63 to 420 ppm (mean, 179). Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported recent results for Rb in reference soils ranging from 15 to 140 ppm (mean 106 ppm) in soils from China and from 69 to 1141 ppm (mean 86) in soils from the U.S. Organic matter and micaceous clay minerals increase the sorption capacity of soils for Rb. A positive correlation between the Rb content and clay fraction in loess deposit was established.1181

B. Plants

Rb apparently is easily taken up by plants, as are other monovalent cations. It may partly substitute for K sites in plants, as their properties are similar, but cannot substitute for K metabolic roles; therefore, in high concentrations, it is rather toxic to plants. If some plants (e.g., sugar beets) are deficient in K, Rb together with Na can stimulate the growth.²¹¹ The radionuclide ⁸⁶Rb is used in K studies of the soil-plant-water system.

Despite the chemical similarity of Rb to K, Rb uptake and transportation within plants were reported to be different from those of K.^{749,839} Tyler¹¹⁷⁰ described increased availability of Rb to a wide range of vascular plants and fungi, with soil acidity. Such a soil condition does not stimulate plant absorption of K. Thus, effects of interactions between Rb and K on their availability to plants need more basic information. The Rb content of green plants differs for each species and for parts of plants, as is shown in Table 50. Rühling et al.¹¹³⁵ found Rb to range from 14 to 37 ppm (DW) in mosses from the Nordic countries. Zajic⁸⁹⁸ reported concentrations of Rb in fungi to vary from 3 to 150 ppm. Some bacteria are known to accumulate Rb and other monovalent cations in subcellular vesicles.⁸⁵⁶ Horowitz et al.³²⁵ found the highest Rb content to be more than 100 ppm in some fungi. However, most of the higher plant species analyzed by these authors contained Rb in the range of 20 to 70 ppm (DW).

Plant	Tissue Samples	Mean Content
Cereal	Grains	4
Corn	Grains	3
Onion	Bulbs	1
Lettuce	Leaves	14
Cabbage	Leaves	12
Bean	Pods	51
Soybean	Seeds	220
Apple	Fruits	50
Avocado	Fruits	20
Clover	Tops	44
Lucerne (alfalfa)	Tops	98
Grass	Tops	130

Table 50	Rubidium Content of Plant Foodstuffs
	and Fodders (ppm DW) ^{381,588,710}

IV. CESIUM

A. Soils

Geochemical characteristics of Cs are similar to those of Rb, but Cs appears to have a greater affinity to be bound to aluminosilicates. Cs is concentrated mainly in acidic igneous rocks and argillaceous sediments (Table 45), as are other monovalent trace cations.

Cs released by weathering in soils is strongly adsorbed, but there is very little information on the Cs status of soils. Using old data cited by Wedepohl,⁸⁵⁵ the range of Cs in soils can be calculated as 0.3 to 26 ppm. Koons and Helmke⁴⁰⁹ gave the range to be from 0.3 to 5.1 ppm Cs in four Canadian reference soils, and Naidenov and Travesi⁵⁵⁸ found Cs levels in Bulgarian soils to range from 2.2 to 16.7 ppm, being the highest in surface forest soil and chernozems. Waganov and Nizharadze¹¹⁸¹ reported the Cs mean content of deep loess deposit in the European part of Russia to be 3.2 ppm (DW). Markert and Lieth¹⁰⁸⁶ found Cs in peat bogs in Germany and in Sweden to be within the range 0.1 to 1 ppm (DW). These values correspond closely to Cs occurrence in rocks, with the highest values indicating also a possible Cs accumulation in organic horizons of soils. Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported that the Cs contents in reference soils from China range from 2.7 to 21.4 ppm (mean 9.31 ppm), and in soils from the U.S., from 0.41 to 5.07 (mean 3.03 ppm).

B. Plants

Cs apparently is not an essential component of plant tissues, and there are few data on its occurrence in plants. As Wedepohl⁸⁵⁵ reported from old analytical results, Cs in species of flowering plants was found to range from 3 to 89 ppm (average, 22). Aidiniyan⁷ found 0.5 to 1 ppm Cs in tea leaves (AW), and Inarida et al.¹⁰³² found Cs in different kinds of tea leaves to be widely variable, from 0.01 to 0.31 ppm (DW), with the highest averages in jasmine tea of China (0.25 ppm) and in black tea of India (0.21 ppm). Wallace⁸⁴⁰ reported Cs in desert plants to range from 0.03 to 0.4 ppm (DW), with a mean value of 0.1 ppm. Ozoliniya and Kiunke⁵⁸⁸ analyzed Cs in different parts of three crops—lettuce, barley, and flax. Each plant accumulated Cs in roots (highest value, 0.32 ppm DW) and in old leaves (highest value, 0.16 ppm DW), while in young leaves Cs reached the highest concentration, 0.07 ppm, in flax. Montford et al.⁵⁴⁷ reported Cs to range from 0.2 to 3.3 ppb (FW) in vegetables and from <0.1 to 2.9 ppb (FW) in fruits. Rühling et al.¹¹³⁵ found Cs to range from 0.13 to 0.48 ppm (DW) in moss samples from the Nordic countries.

Souty et al.⁷⁴⁹ and Yudintseva et al.⁸⁹⁶ reported that Cs is relatively easily taken up by plants, although its absorption by roots appeared not to parallel K absorption. An addition of lime and peat to soil greatly inhibited the bioavailability of this metal.

C. ¹³⁷Cesium

One of many Cs isotopes, ¹³⁷Cs is of special environmental concern because it is a by-product of atomic energy production and nuclear weapons testing. Large amounts of these long-lived radioisotopes are released through accidents at nuclear plants. The geochemical characteristics of this radionuclide are fairly similar to those of nonradioactive Cs; therefore, ¹³⁷Cs released into the atmosphere becomes strongly adsorbed by clay minerals and also by organic matter in soils, and appears to migrate in soils quite slowly.^{1090a} However, Comans et al.⁹⁶⁸ reported easy post-depositional mobilization of particle-bound ¹³⁷Cs, probably caused by ion exchange with NH₄ in anoxic solid-liquid systems.

The fate of ¹³⁷Cs in various soils, 5 years after the fallout following the Chernobyl accident, was investigated by Mondini et al.¹⁴²⁴ The distribution of this radionuclide was related to organic matter content (highly concentrated in the top organic soil layer) and to the CEC. Its content was higher in calcareous soils than in soils derived from siliceous parent material. The highest concentrations of the radionuclide, which ranged from 841 to 1622 Bq/kg, were in the litter layers.

Median values for the inventory of ¹³⁷Cs in topsoils of Belarus, about a decade after the Chernobyl accident, ranged from 103 to 1500 kBq/m², and the highest concentration was as much as 600 times higher than the pre-accident value.¹³⁶⁰ The authors estimated that about 90% of the inventory of ¹³⁷Cs is contained in the top 3 to 7 cm soil layer. Results of recent studies on the mobility of ¹³⁷Cs issued from the Chernobyl accident (1986) indicate the presence of increased contents of clay minerals in soils. Fe and Mn sesquioxides and organic matter decrease the mobility, and thus the migration rate of this nuclide.¹³¹⁷ Concentrations of ¹³⁷Cs in surface paddy field soils of Japan range from 1.7 to 28.2 Bq/kg.^{1512a} Surface soils in the Tatra Mountain area, in Poland, contain this radionuclide within the range of 160 to 650 Bq/kg.¹³¹⁴

Recently, the role of microbiota in the behavior of radionuclides has been extensively studied.¹³⁷⁰ Horizontal distribution of radionuclide deposits and temporal pattern of radiocontamination are directly correlated with the seasonal microbiota activity. Also, climatic factors are of great importance in governing the behavior of radionuclides in the environment; however, more studies in this field are needed.¹²⁴⁹ The distribution of ¹³⁷Cs in soils and plants is a subject of several detailed environmental studies (Table 51).

Grogan et al.¹⁰¹⁶ studied soil-plant transfer factors for several radionuclides and found that ¹³⁷Cs is relatively easily taken up by winter wheat. Some grasses can accumulate up to 36% of the total amount of ¹³⁷Cs from the growth media after the first five harvests, and the concentrations of this radionuclide in grass correlates curvilinearly with its content of the growth media.¹²⁹² Schimmack et al.¹¹⁴⁰ reported that the rates of vertical migration of ¹³⁴Cs and ¹³⁷Cs deposited on grassland soil by fallout from the reactor accident in Chernobyl were considerably faster (0.2 and 0.3 cm hr⁻¹) than those for the long-term migration of ¹³⁷Cs from the global fallout of weapon-testing. Meriwether et al.^{1090a} found ¹³⁷Cs only in the top 15 to 20 cm of the soils of Louisiana, within the range from 1 to 92 Bq kg⁻¹.

with the Addition of 0.00 hor kg						
	Sand	ly Soil Loamy S		y Soil	Soil Chernoze	
Plant and Part	FW	DW	FW	DW	FW	DW
Cabbage, leaves	4.0	34.9	0.4	6.3	0.6	8.3
Carrot, roots	0.9	6.5	—	_	0.2	1.5
Beet, roots	1.0	5.1	0.4	2.2	0.3	1.6
Potato, tubers	1.3	5.9	1.0	2.3	0.6	2.3
Cucumber, fruits	0.3	8.1	0.1	2.5	0.1	2.4
Tomato, fruits	0.11	2.5	0.02	0.6	0.03	1.2
Oat, straw	—	10–19	_	—	—	_
Oat, grain	—	3–15	—	—	—	—

Table 51Concentrations of 137 Cs (nCi g $^{-1}$) in Various Plants Grown on Soils
with the Addition of 0.03 nCi kg $^{-1}$ 290.292

Plant uptake of ¹³⁷Cs has been studied because of concern about radioactive emissions from nuclear tests and stations, especially after the Chernobyl accident in 1986. A willow grown on a ¹³⁴Cs artificially contaminated site took up only a small fraction of this nuclide since most of ¹³⁴Cs was returned in autumn by litter fall or leached by rainwater.¹³¹¹ On the other hand, *Amaranthus* and *Helianthus* species are reported to accumulate a significant amount of ¹³⁷Cs and are suggested as an alternative for the phytoremediation of polluted sites.¹²⁸³ As reported by Tsukada et al.,¹⁵²² ¹³⁷Cs is more easily taken up by rice than the stable Cs, apparently due to a higher biological activity of this radionuclide compared to Cs. Radioactive Cs in lichens sampled from 1986 to 1988 from the Tatra Mountains National Park in Poland was at similar levels, ranging from 1510 to 8120 Bq/kg, and was about tenfold higher than that measured in 1985 (range 480–790 Bq/kg).¹³¹⁴

Recent studies in a Lithuanian forest indicated that during the period from 1986 to 1992, the radioactivity in lichens due to ¹³⁷Cs and ¹³⁴Cs decreased by a factor of two to three orders (10–100 times).¹²⁸¹ In Scotland, on the other hand, up to 50% of ¹³⁷Cs emitted during the Chernobyl catastrophy remains in the surface soil layer.¹³³¹ However, mycorrhiza of the root of heathers acts as a barrier and limits the transfer of this radionuclide from root to tops. The highest accumulation of radiocesium is in mushroom pericarp, and therefore the health-related limit for ¹³⁷Cs in edible mushrooms is established in Europe at 600 Bq/kg.¹³⁵⁷ The median content of radiocesium in 110 samples of mushrooms (65 species) collected in 1990 in Japan was ¹³⁷Cs 60 Bq/kg, and ¹³⁴Cs <7 Bq/kg. Soil-mushroom transfer factors were in the range 5.5 to 13.¹⁵⁶³

V. COPPER

A. Soils

Cu in the Earth's crust is most abundant in mafic and intermediate rocks and has a tendency to be excluded from carbonate rocks (Table 45). Cu forms several minerals of which the common primary minerals are simple and complex sulfides. These minerals are quite easily soluble in weathering processes and release Cu ions, especially in acid environments. Therefore, Cu is considered among the more mobile of the heavy metals in hypergenic processes. However, Cu is a very versatile trace cation and in soils or depositional material exhibits a great ability to chemically interact with mineral and organic components of soil. The Cu ions can also readily precipitate with various anions such as sulfide, carbonate, and hydroxide. Thus, Cu is a rather immobile element in soils and shows relatively little variation in total content in soil profiles (Figure 31).

Although soluble, therefore mobile and available, forms of Cu in soils are of great importance in agronomic practice, total Cu content of soils gives basic information for geochemical studies. Total Cu distribution in surface soils of various countries is presented in Table 52. In compiling



Figure 31 Distribution of Cu in the profiles of different soils developed under humid climate. (Letters indicate genetic soil horizons.)

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Sandy soils and podzols	Australia	22–52	_	792
	Israel	_	16ª	644
	Poland	1–26	8	91, 378, 382
	Romania	3–34	18	1127
	Nigeria	7–12	9	817
	U.S.	1–70	14	706
	Russia	1.5–29	11	900
Loess and silty soils	Israel	—	25ª	644
	China	18–46	26	1068
	East Germany	_	21	428
	West Germany	14–31	—	689
	Poland	8–54	19	91, 378, 382
	Nigeria	21–41	31	817
	U.S.	7–100	25	706
Loamy and clay soils	Germany	—	14	428
	Germany	16–70	_	689
	Great Britain		37	876
	Poland	4–36	15.5	91, 378, 382
	Romania	9–44	27	1127
	U.S.	7–70	29	706
	Russia	4–21	12	346, 900
Soils on glacial till	Denmark		13.2	801
	Poland	3–14	6	974
Fluvisols	Egypt	50–146	80	561
	India	114–160	_	640
	Israel		34ª	644
	Poland	16–28.5	22	378
	Russia	11.5–36	25	900
Gleysols	Australia	38–61	—	792
	Great Britain	—	31	876
	Madagascar	35–40	_	557a
	Poland	3–53	12.5	378, 683
Rendzinas	Australia	6.8–43	_	522
	Egypt	11-13	12	561
	Germany	35–46		428
	Israel		35ª	644
	Poland	7-54	16	378, 382, 685
	U.S.	7-70	21	706
	Russia	7-23	15	900
Kastanozems and brown solis	Australia	83-140		792
	China	17-33	23	1008
	Romania	0-32 14 44 F	17	1127
	Australia	14-44.5	25.5	343,900
Ferraisois	Australia	2-90	<u> </u>	1069
	India	13-150	41	640
	Inuia	44-205	60a	644
	Islael	1_100	00	650
	Madagascar	15-40		557a
Solonchaks and solonetz	India	55-112		640
	Madagascar	15-60	_	557a
	Russia	9-37	19	12 351 900
Chernozems	Bulgaria	26-38	20	774
	Poland	6.5-53	19	378, 683
	US	10-70	27	706
	Bussia	16-70	27 5	346 351 900
Prairien and meadow soils	Surinam	1-22.5		178
				(Continued)

Table 52	Copper Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
	China	18–35	26	1068
	Former Soviet Union	13–70	35	12, 900
Histosols and other organic soils	Denmark	4–24	15	1, 801
	Israel	27–41ª	_	644
	Ivory Coast	1–3	_	650
	Poland	1–113	6	682, 683
	U.S.	1–100	15	706
	Russia	5–23	12.5	900
Forest soils	China	_	22	225
	U.S.	7–150	17	218, 219
	Russia	12.5–32	22	346, 900
Various soils	Canada	5–50	22 ^a	521
	Czech Republic	23–100ª	_	64
	Denmark	_	12.5	801
	Great Britain	11–323	23	100, 876
	Italy	7–200	24	946
	Japan	4.4–176	34	395
	Poland	1–31	7.6	1045
	U.S.	3–300	26	706
	Russia	1–60	28	432, 900

Table 52 Copper Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW) (Continued)

^a Data for whole soil profiles.

Figure 32 Relative explanation index (RDI) of statistically significant relationship between Cu and soil parameters in mineral soils at the 99% confidence level (N = 780). Soil parameters: CF—clay fraction <0.02 mm; CEC—cation exchange capacity; BS—base saturation; SOM—soil organic matter; Fe—total content; Mn—total content; pH—in water (soil:water ratio 1:2.5).



this table, an attempt was made to exclude contaminated soils; therefore, the mean values for Cu in the given soil groups can be considered as background Cu contents. The mean levels for Cu vary from 13 to 24 ppm, being highest for kastanozems and chernozems and lowest for podzols and histosols (Table 9). Quite similar Cu background contents were calculated for the surface soils of the U.S. from data given by Shacklette and Boerngen.⁷⁰⁶ Ferrasols and fluvisols from several countries are reported to contain very high Cu levels (Table 52). The Cu geometric mean of over 32,000 agricultural soil samples of Poland is only 6.5 ppm, which is due to the predomination of light sandy acid soils. However, the variations in the spatial distribution is remarkable, indicating both relation to parent materials and to the impact of industrial and/or agricultural pollution.¹⁵¹⁴ The concentration of Cu in 3045 samples of surface soil from major agricultural production areas of the U.S. varies from <0.6 to 495 ppm (geometric mean, 18 ppm).¹³²⁹ The regularity in largescale Cu occurrence in soils indicates that two main factors, parent material and soil formation processes, govern the initial Cu status in soils. Also, the clay fraction contributes significantly to the Cu content of soils. As the RDI value indicates, this is a main soil parameter influencing Cu soil status (Figure 32). Other soil properties, such as Fe and Mn oxides, and base saturation, explain about 15 to 25% of all impact factors.

The common characteristic of Cu distribution in soil profiles is its accumulation in the top horizons. This phenomenon is an effect of various factors, but above all, Cu concentration in surface soils reflects the bioaccumulation of the metal and also recent anthropogenic sources of the element.

		Mean or Range	
Site and Pollution Source	Country	of Content	Ref.
Old mining area	Great Britain	13–2000	166, 915, 959
Nonferric metal mining	Great Britain	415–733	166
	Japan	456-2020	395
Metal-processing industry	Australia	847	57
	Belgium	16-1089	1142
	Bulgaria	24–2015	774
	Canada	1400–3700ª	245
	Japan	26–206 ^b	926
	Poland	72–620	380, 1014
	Romania	1387	1126
	Russia ^f	121-4622	1217
Urban gardens, orchards, and parks	Australia	210	795
	Canada	11–130	332
	Japan	31–300	395
	Philippines	352	1178
	Poland	12-240	159
	U.S.	3–140	628
	Russia	50–83°	394
Sludged, irrigated, or fertilized farmland	Holland	265	314
	Great Britain	40-800	959, 1134
	Poland	80–1600	682
	U.S.	58–130 ^d	1186
	Germany	187–280	176
Application of fungicides	Germany	273–522	652
	Great Britain	60–380	959
	Kenya	883 ^e	1059

Table 53 Copper Contamination in Surface Soils (ppm DW)

^a For 3 to 6.3 km distance from a smelter.

^b Paddy soils.

° Vineyard.

^d Plot experiment, 9 years after sludge addition 33 to 180 t ha⁻¹, respectively.

e Coffee plantation.

^f Up to 10 km distance from a smelter.

The Cu balance in surface soils of different ecosystems presented in Table 17 shows clearly that the atmospheric input of this metal may partly replace the removal of Cu by biomass production and in some cases may even exceed the total output of the metal from soils. Contemporarily observed soil contamination with Cu can lead to an extremely high Cu accumulation in top soils (Table 53).

1. Reactions with Soil Components

Although the most common mobile Cu in the surface environment is believed to be the cation with the valence of +2, several ionic species may occur in soils (Figure 33). However, Cu ions are held very tightly on both inorganic and organic exchange sites. The processes controlling fixation by soil constituents are related to the following phenomena:

- 1. Adsorption
- 2. Occlusion and coprecipitation
- 3. Organic chelation and complexing
- 4. Microbial fixation

Adsorption mechanisms of Cu have been extensively studied by many scientists and comprehensive publications concerning the physical and chemical behavior of the metal in soils are



Figure 34 Schematic diagram of solubility of Cu ionic species and Cu compounds in soil.^{475,518}

available in papers by McLaren and Crawford,⁵²⁷ McBride,⁵¹⁸ James and Barrow,³⁵² and Kitagishi and Yamane.³⁹⁵ Nonspecifically adsorbed Cu due to ionic bond formation is an easily exchangeable cation. The specific sorption of Cu is related to the reaction with electron-pair donors, and forms rather strong bonds of a relatively high covalency.

Very high correlation was found between *aqua regia* (pseudo total) Cu and DTPA-soluble Cu, while very low correlation was found between CaCl₂ and *aqua regia* or DTPA-soluble Cu.¹²⁷⁶

All soil minerals are capable of absorbing Cu ions from solution and these properties depend on the surface charge carried by the adsorbents. The surface charge is strongly controlled by pH; therefore, the adsorption of Cu ion species can be presented as a function of pH (Figure 34). This type of Cu adsorption is likely to be most important in soils with a large content of variable-charge minerals.³⁵² Bodek et al.⁹⁴⁸ stated that of two mobile Cu species, CuOH⁺ is the preferably sorbed cation rather than Cu²⁺.

Many authors have reported that Cu can be adsorbed by minerals within the range from 0.001 to 1 μ M dm⁻³ or from 30 to 1000 μ M g⁻¹. The greatest amounts of adsorbed Cu have always

Figure 33

been found for Fe and Mn oxides (hematite, goethite, birnessite), amorphous Fe and Al hydroxides, and clays (montmorillonite, vermiculite, imogolite). Harter³⁰⁷ reported that the most significant correlation was obtained between Cu adsorption and the sum of bases for the surface soils, while in the subsurface horizons the adsorption of Cu was highly related to vermiculite content.

Occlusion, coprecipitation, and substitution are involved in nonspecific adsorption of Cu. Nondiffusible fractions of soil Cu are most probably incorporated in various mineral structures. Some soil minerals, such as Al and Fe hydroxides, carbonates, and phosphates, and to some extent also silicate clays, have a great affinity to bind a part of the soil Cu in a nondiffusible form, which is the most stable portion of the metal in soil. In this chemisorption, the formation of oxygen bridge bonds may also be involved (Figure 33).

Chelation and complexing are the key reactions governing Cu behavior in most soils. The ability of the organic soil constituents to bind Cu is well-recognized, and there are a tremendous number of comprehensive publications on this subject. Stevenson and Fitch⁷⁵⁸ stated that the maximum amount of Cu^{2+} that can be bound to humic and fulvic acids is approximately equal to the content of acidic functional groups. In general, this corresponds to the sorption of from 48 to 160 mg of Cu per gram of humic acid. Sapek⁶⁸² reported the maximum sorption capacity of peat-muck soil to be from 130 to 190 meq per 100 g of peat, while Ovcharenko et al.⁵⁸⁷ calculated Cu sorption as 3.3 g kg⁻¹ of humic acid. These values, however, will differ greatly depending on the physical and chemical properties of organic substances.

Organic binding of Cu in soils differs to some extent from that described for other divalent ions. According to Bloom and McBride⁸⁰ and Bloomfield,⁸¹ peat and humic acids strongly immobilize the Cu²⁺ ion in direct coordination with functional oxygens of the organic substances. Ponizovsky et al.¹⁴⁵⁸ found that the retention of Cu²⁺ by organic-rich soils differs from mechanisms of exchange of alkali and alkali earth metal cations and should be regarded as triple Cu²⁺, Ca²⁺, and H⁺ cation exchange. Binding of Cu by soils is related to the formation of organic complexes and is highly dependent on soil pH.¹⁵¹¹

To sum up the key role played by organic matter in the behavior of soil Cu, it can be emphasized that humic and fulvic acids are likely to form stable complexes when Cu is present in small amounts and that organic matter can modify several Cu reactions with inorganic soil components.

Microbial fixation plays a prominent role in the binding of Cu in certain surface soils. The amount of Cu fixed by the microbiomass is widely variable and is affected by various factors, such as metal concentration, soil properties, and growing season (Table 26). Microbial fixation of Cu is an important step in the ecological cycling of this metal.

Although Cu is one of the least mobile heavy metals in soil, this metal is abundant, as free and complexed ions, in soil solutions of all types of soils. Concentrations of Cu in soil solutions obtained by various techniques from different soils vary from 3 to 135 μ g L⁻¹, which corresponds to Cu concentrations of 0.047 to 2.125 µM (Table 16). Overall solubility of both cationic and anionic forms of Cu decreases at about pH 7 to 8. It has been estimated that hydrolysis products of Cu (CuOH⁺ and $Cu_2(OH_2)_2^{2^+}$) are the most significant species below pH 7, while above pH 8 anionic hydroxy complexes of Cu become important (Figure 34). As Sanders and Bloomfield⁶⁷⁷ stated, the solubility of CuCO₃ is not pH dependent and this compound seems to be a major inorganic soluble form of Cu in neutral and alkaline soil solutions, while nitrate, chloride, and sulfate do not complex a significant portion of Cu in the soil solution. However, the most common forms of Cu in soil solutions are soluble organic chelates of this metal. Although very little is known of the kinds of soluble organic Cu forms, about 80% of the soluble Cu forms have been estimated to be organic chelates.³²⁰ McBride and Blasiak⁵¹⁹ reported that due to a great affinity of Cu for organic complexing, soluble Cu-organic forms appear to comprise most of the Cu solution over a wide range of pH. Organic complexing of Cu has a prominent practical implication in governing the bioavailability and the migration of Cu in soil. The bioavailability of soluble forms of Cu depend most probably on both the molecular weight of Cu complexes and on the amounts present. Compounds of low molecular weight liberated during decay of plant and animal residues as well as those applied with sewage sludges may greatly increase the availability of Cu to plants. In summary, it should be emphasized that the concentrations of Cu in soil solutions are principally controlled by both the reactions of Cu with active groups at the surface of the solid phase and by reactions of Cu with specific substances.

Cu deficiencies most often result from management practices used during crop production (e.g., overliming, P fertilization, organic matter amendment).

2. Contamination of Soils

Contamination of soil by Cu compounds results from utilization of Cu-containing material such as fertilizers, sprays, and agricultural or municipal wastes as well as from industrial emissions (Table 53). Some local or incidental Cu input to soils may arise from corrosion of Cu alloy construction materials (e.g., electric wires, pipes).

Major sources of pollution (mainly nonferric metal smelters) present halos in which Cu concentrations in surface soils decrease with distance, which is especially pronounced in a downwind direction (Figure 35). While main point sources of industrial pollution have a local environmental impact, they also contribute to the global long-distance pollution of the atmosphere. Airborne fallout of Cu pollutants differs greatly in a specific area and for parts of the continents, being the greatest in central Europe. Heindrichs and Mayer³¹⁰ reported the atmospheric input of Cu to be 224 g ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ for Germany, which is, comparatively, the highest value (Table 17).

The addition of Cu to cultivated soils with applied fertilizers, chemicals, and wastes has recently been extensively investigated. Tiller and Merry⁷⁹⁵ reviewed all basic problems of Cu behavior in contaminated soils and the assessment of the environmental impacts. Mingelgrin and Biggar¹⁰⁹³ studied the behavior of Cu species in sewage sludges under various conditions and observed that the increase of easily soluble Cu in sludges on drying in soils can be a source of Cu contaminations of plants, and in some cases also of groundwater. In the surface soil layer of vineyards, after 50 to 100 years of applied fungicides, the content Cu forms dissolved by various extractants were as follows (in ppm): aqua regia 220, DTPA 82.5, and CaCl₂ 0.23.¹²⁷⁶ As a result of using a copper sulfate fungicide (Bordeaux mixture) for more than 100 years, Cu concentrations in top vineyard soils in France vary from 100 to 1500 ppm.¹²²⁵ The disposal of metal-contaminated sludge can also be a significant source of Cu in a soil. In sewage sludge-treated soil, Cu accumulations up to 1170 ppm have been reported.¹²⁶⁴



Figure 35 Smoothed patterns for Cu concentrations in soils as a function of downwind distance from a Cu smelter (mean values of 3 years); (a), total content; (b), soluble content.³⁸⁰

The most important statement on Cu contamination of soils is the great affinity of surface soils to accumulate this metal. As a consequence, Cu content of soils has already been built up to the extremely high concentration of about 3500 ppm Cu from industrial sources of pollution and of about 1500 ppm Cu from agricultural origins of the metal (Table 53). The threshold value of 100 ppm Cu (Table 6) has been exceeded in several contaminated surface soils. The highest soil contamination with Cu up to above 4500 ppm is reported for the area surrounding the Cu-Ni smelter in the Kola Peninsula.¹²¹⁷

The amelioration techniques based mainly on the addition of lime, peat (organic matter), and phosphate to soils bring variable effects related to the soil and plant factors. It should, however, be kept in mind that Cu stored in surface soils influences their biological activity and may become availabile to plants under various conditions. According to results of the experiment carried out by Boon et al.¹²³⁶ planting a Cu-tolerant grass (*Agrostis capillaris* L.) on Cu-contaminated acid soil (750 kg Cu ha⁻¹) can significantly increase the biological activity of a soil.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Transport

Numerous studies have greatly increased the present knowledge of Cu absorption mechanisms. The mechanisms of Cu absorption are still far from clear, but it may be stated that, although there is increasing evidence of the active absorption of Cu, passive absorption is likely to occur, especially in the toxic range of this metal in solutions.

In root tissue, Cu is almost entirely in complexed forms; however, it is most likely that the metal enters root cells in dissociated forms. Graham²⁸⁰ compared the results of different studies carried out on the rates of Cu absorption by higher plant roots and stated that these rates are among the lowest of the essential elements, varying from pico- to micromole $hr^{-1} g^{-1}$ (DW) of roots in the physiological concentration range (0.01 to 20 μ M Cu).

Despite the great complexity of the absorption mechanisms, a relationship between the concentration of the metal measured in either a nutrient solution or a soil solution and in the soil that supports plants can be observed, especially in the toxic range (Figures 36 and 37). The rate of Cu uptake by plants, however, widely differs with species of the metal. Grupe and Kuntze¹⁰¹⁹ found that anthropogenic metal (added in the form of Cu oxide) is more available to barley than that of pedogenic origin (Figure 2).

The movement of Cu among various parts of plants plays a predominant role in the plant's utilization of Cu. The strong capability of root tissues to hold Cu against the transport to shoots under conditions of both Cu deficiency and Cu excess has been observed. These processes are not yet fully understood; however, Loneragan⁴⁹⁰ and Tiffin⁷⁸⁸ concluded that excretion of Cu from root cells into the xylem and phloem saps where Cu occurs in mobile forms is a key process in the Cu nutrition of plants.

The concentrations of Cu in xylem and phloem saps range from traces to 140 µM and seem to correlate with the concentrations of amino acids. The Cu mobility within plant tissues strongly depends on the level of Cu supply, being the highest with a "luxury" supply.⁴⁹⁰ However, Cu has low mobility relative to other elements in plants and most of this metal appears to remain in root and leaf tissues until they senesce; only small amounts may move to young organs. Therefore, the young organs are usually the first to develop symptoms of Cu deficiency.

The distribution of Cu within plants is highly variable. Within roots, Cu is associated mainly with cell walls and is largely immobile. The highest concentrations of Cu in shoots are always in phases of intensive growth and at the luxury Cu supply level. Scheffer et al.^{688a} showed that distribution of Cu in barley leaves is relatively uniform for a given stage of plant growth.

A considerable proportion of the Cu present in green tissues appears to be bound in plastocyanin and in some protein fractions. There is also a tendency to accumulate Cu in reproductive organs



Figure 36 Relationship between Cu content of plants and its concentration in soil solution obtained from Cu-contaminated soils.³⁷⁹



Figure 37 Cu uptake by plants and organs from Cu-contaminated soils. (a) Valencia orange roots; (b) potato stalks; (c) pasture herbage; (d) lettuce leaves; (e) barley grains; (f) wheat grains.^{176,231,783}

of plants; this, however, differs widely among plant species. The highest concentrations of Cu have been found in the embryo of cereal grains and in the seed coat. Loneragan⁴⁹⁰ cited Cu concentration in the embryo to be from 2 to 18 ppm and from 8 to 23 ppm in the seed coat, while in whole seeds the highest value was 4 ppm (DW). These proportions do not correspond closely to those reported by Liu et al.,⁴⁸⁴ who found a more uniform distribution of Cu throughout the barley grain.

2. Biochemical Functions

Very extensive studies have been made on the forms and behavior of Cu in plants. All findings described in a number of outstanding textbooks can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Cu is mainly complexed with organic compounds of low molecular weight and with proteins.
- Cu occurs in the compounds with no known functions as well as in enzymes having vital functions in plant metabolism.

- 3. Cu plays a significant role in several physiological processes—photosynthesis, respiration, carbohydrate distribution, N reduction and fixation, protein metabolism, and cell wall metabolism.
- 4. Cu influences water permeability of xylem vessels and thus controls water relationships.
- 5. Cu controls the production of DNA and RNA, and its deficiency greatly inhibits the reproduction of plants (reduced seed production, pollen sterility).
- 6. Cu is involved in the mechanisms of disease resistance. This resistance of plants to fungal diseases is likely to be related to an adequate Cu supply. There is also evidence that plants with enriched Cu concentrations are susceptible to some diseases. These phenomena may indicate that the role of Cu in disease resistance is an indirect one.

The most important practical implications are related to deficiency and toxicity of Cu. Cu deficiency affects physiological processes and therefore plant production. However, as Bussler¹¹⁵ stated, in most of the processes affected by the Cu deficiency the shortage of Cu operates indirectly. This explains the difficulties in the assessment of Cu needs and Cu availability to plants. The Cu-deficient concentration in the nutrient solution for cereal growth is given as 5 μ g L^{-1,317} Jewell et al.¹⁰³⁹ grew Cu-deficient barley at the level of about 1 μ g L⁻¹ (12.5 ng cm⁻³). These authors observed a high irregularity in both pollen development and fertility and described some reorganization of nuclear structure.

Although Cu deficiency is widespread (Table 39) and has long been known, diagnosis and correction of the deficiency still need more study. Different methods have been applied to diagnosis using plant symptoms, soil testing, or biochemical assays. However, when these approaches are used singly, results are so crop and soil specific that the development of a universal test seems unlikely. Recently Robson and Reuter,⁶⁶⁰ Gartrell et al.,²⁵⁸ and Mengel and Kirkby⁵³¹ suggested that the tissue test should be considered together with other relevant information (field observations, soil analysis, etc.) for the most accurate assessment of the need for Cu applications.

The deficiency levels of Cu in plants show large genetic differences; however, some generalizations are presented in Table 36 which indicate that Cu levels less than 2 ppm are likely to be inadequate for most plants. The threshold contents of Cu in soils that were established using different procedures differ widely (Table 39) and their use is not encouraged for the determination of Cu deficiency for various crops.

Removal of Cu by crops is negligible when compared to its content in soil. An average cereal crop removes Cu in amounts of about 20 to 30 g ha⁻¹, whereas forest biomass removes about 40 g ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. Gartrell²⁵⁷ pointed out that comparing the excesses of Cu applied and the amounts removed in farm produce and leached with percolating waters shows that "depletion of soil reserves" is an unlikely explanation for the appearance of Cu deficiency over a short period. Cu application to soils has a long-term effect, and 10 years after Cu fertilization the contents of Cu were still increased in pasture herbage. Repeated Cu applications to soils can lead to toxic concentrations of this metal for some crops. Minnich et al.¹⁰⁹⁴ observed that the Cu concentration in shoot tissues of snapbeans increased linearly with the Cu content of the sludge applied, while the Cu content of roots was dramatically increased with both the Cu content and the proportion of sludge in the growth media.

Despite the general Cu tolerance of plant species and genotypes, this metal is considered to be highly toxic. General symptoms of the Cu toxicity listed in Table 40 show that Cu-induced chlorosis and root malformation are the most common characteristic symptoms of this toxicity. Recently, the effects of excess Cu on photosynthetic electron transport have been studied intensively. All recent findings can be summarized to show that the decrease of photosynthetic efficiency resulting from Cu-induced destabilization of the photosystem is connected with an inhibition of its donor side and the primary photochemical processes.¹³⁹⁴ The growth depression of sensitive plants was observed at 15 to 20 ppm (DW) Cu in tissues,¹⁰⁵² and 10% yield decrease is most likely at 10 to 30 ppm (DW) Cu.¹⁰⁸¹

Based on the statements made by Woolhouse and Walker⁸⁸⁶ and Sandmann and Boger,⁶⁷⁸ the processes induced by an excess of Cu²⁺ and Cu⁺ ions may be summarized as follows:

- 1. Tissue damage and elongation of root cells
- 2. Alteration of membrane permeability, causing root leakage of ions (e.g., K^+ , PO_4^{3-}) and solutes

- 3. Peroxidation of chloroplast membrane lipids and inhibition of photosynthetic electron transport
- 4. Immobilization of Cu in cell walls, in cell vacuoles, and in nondiffusible Cu-protein complexes
- 5. Damage to DNA, and in consequence, inhibition of photosynthetic processes.

Excess Cu in tropical plantations is of special concern. In plantations of citrus, coffee, cacao, tea, olives, and vineyards, Cu-fungicides have been applied for quite a long time. Now, microorganisms have developed a resistance to Cu, but the accumulation of this metal in surface soils is harmful to many new plant species. In old plantations, a significant increase in Cu content is noticed in consumed parts of plants. For example, tea leaves contain Cu from 20 ppm (Kenya) to about 80 ppm (Japan), of which up to 30% is easily extracted by hot water. The Cu concentrations in tea drinks are from 9 to 19 ng L^{-1} .¹⁵⁵⁰

Prediction of the Cu content of soil that results in toxic effects on plants is extremely complex. Before toxic symptoms and yield reductions are evident, the nutritive value of the crops having increased Cu levels seems to create the most significant health risk. A number of observations that Tiller and Merry⁷⁹⁵ reported on the depressed plant growth, especially retarded seed germination and seedling and root development, resulted from excess Cu concentrations in surface soils.

McGrath¹⁴⁰⁷ described that some 24 Cu hyperaccumulating species have been recorded in various families, but as yet no data are available on their use in phytoextraction of this metal.

3. Interactions with Other Elements

For optimal development, the plant must have not only an appropriate amount of active Cu in the cells, but also a balance of chemical elements. Due to the significant functions of Cu in enzymes and its variable valence, ions which have similar affinity as Cu to proteins and other compounds may have antagonistic interrelationships. Many complex interactions of Cu with other elements are observed within plant tissues and also in the external root media, particularly in the uptake-transport processes.

Cu-Zn interactions are commonly observed.^{280,654} These metals apparently are absorbed by the same mechanism and therefore each may competitively inhibit root absorption of the other.

Cu-Fe antagonism is indicated as Cu-induced chlorosis. High levels of Cu in the plant decrease the Fe content in chloroplasts.⁶⁴⁷ Fe, on the other hand, reduces Cu absorption from soil solutions, especially on peat soils. The optimal Cu:Fe ratio varies for different plant species. The toxic effects of Cu can be decreased by the addition of Fe. However, a synergistic effect of Cu on Fe absorption by rice seedlings was reported by Kitagishi and Yamane.³⁹⁵

Cu-Mo interactions are closely related to N metabolism. Cu interferes with the role of Mo in the enzymatic reduction of NO_3 . The mutual antagonism existing between these elements is highly dependent on plant species and kind of N nutrition. Cu aggravates Mo deficiency in plants, especially those using N from NO_3 , because Cu interferes with the role of Mo in the enzymatic reduction of NO_3 . Some vegetables are quite susceptible to Mo deficiency if growing in soil with a high level of Cu; and in other plants, the deficiency of Cu is increased with the application of Mo to the soil.⁵⁸¹

Cu-Cd interactions are reported by some authors as both antagonistic and synergistic in the element uptake by roots. Synergism may be a secondary effect of the damage to membranes due to the imbalanced proportions of the metals. Cu-Se interactions are observed mainly as inhibited Cu uptake with increased Se level. Cu-Mn interactions are reported to be both synergistic and antagonistic in the uptake processes under defined conditions and at high concentrations. Cu-Ni synergism is observed in similar conditions as Cu-Mn relationships. Cu-Al antagonism leads to the reduction of Cu uptake by roots under Al toxic levels, especially in acid soils.

Cu-Cr interrelationships may occur within plant tissues, as well as in the external root media. Antagonistic reactions apparently are related to the variable valency of Cr. Significant information on synergistic interconnections between Cu-F and Cu-Ag in microbial metabolisms were reported by Gadd and Griffiths.²⁵¹

Interrelationships between Cu and major elements are presented in Table 43. Cu and N interact most strongly in the nutrition of plants. The concentrations of the two elements are highly correlated in shoots of a wide range of species and are related to the formation by protein of strong complexes with Cu. On the other hand, plants with high N levels readily show symptoms of Cu deficiency that results from increased growth.

Cu-P antagonisms occur in root media where phosphates have a strong tendency to adsorb Cu. High phosphate levels in soils also reduce mycorrhizal absorption of Cu. An excess of Cu, in contrast, inhibits activity of phosphatase thereby diminishing the availability of P.⁸¹³

Cu-Ca interactions are highly complex and apparently are cross-linked with the range of pH in the growth media. The affinity of carbonates to precipitate Cu is the most common reaction leading to Cu deficiency in soils within the alkaline range of pH or having free CaCO₃. Liming is the most frequent practice in the amelioration of Cu-contaminated soils. The relatively common occurrences of reduced Cu contents in plants that have an increased supply of some nutrients are often related to secondary effects of Cu dilution resulting from enhanced growth rates of the plant.

4. Concentrations in Plants

The appropriate content of Cu in plants is essential both for health of the plant and for the nutrient supply to man and animals. Some plant species have a great tolerance to increased concentrations of Cu and can accumulate extremely high amounts of this metal in their tissues (Table 41).

The concentration of Cu in plant tissues seems to be a function of its level in the nutrient solution or in soils (Figures 36 and 37). The pattern of this relationship, however, differs among plant species and plant parts.

Opinions appear to vary considerably as to which factor, soil or plant, affects concentrations of Cu in plant tissues to a higher degree. Anke et al.³² reported a significant variation in Cu uptake by red clover from different soils, while Kähäri and Nissinen³⁸⁸ found fairly uniform Cu levels in timothy from different soils. These authors, however, did not support their results with information on the Cu status of soils (Table 54).

Cu contents of various plants from unpolluted regions of different countries range from 1 to XO ppm (DW) (Tables 55, 56, and 57). Cu in ash of a variety of plant species is reported to range from 5 to 1500 ppm.⁷¹⁰ in several species growing under widely ranging natural conditions, Cu contents of whole plant shoots do not often exceed 20 ppm (DW), and thus this value is most often considered to indicate the threshold of excessive contents (Table 36). However, under both natural and man-induced conditions, the majority of plant species can accumulate much more Cu, especially in root, storage tissues (Table 58). Coffee (*Coffea arabica*) shoots and their brown bark are also reported to contain extremely high levels of Cu, up to 4186 ppm (DW). ¹⁰⁵⁸ The significance of

Factors (ppm DW)					
	Re	Red Clover ³²			
Soil Parent Material	Meadow	Cultivated Field	Timothy ³⁸⁸		
Phyllite	11.1	11.3	_		
Porphyry	11.5	10.2	_		
Basalt	9.6	10.0			
Loess or silt	9.7	9.8	4.1		
Granite	9.2	9.7	_		
Alluvial loamy deposit	9.0	9.8	4.8		
Diluvial sand	8.4	8.1	3.9		
Glacial till	8.5	7.5	4.0		
Moor and peat	6.4	6.3	4.0		

Table 54	Variation in Copper Content of Red Clover (Bud Stage) and Timothy (Immature Stage) as Influenced by Soil
	Factors (ppm DW)

	Grasses		Clovers		
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
East Germany	7.4–15.0	10.1	7.6–15.0	10.5	31, 32, 65
Finland	3.8–4.8	4.3	—	_	388
Hungary	3.6-8.4	5.0	4.2-16.2	10.5	803
Japan	1.3-33.1	6.9ª	2.0-12.5	6.5 ^b	395
New Zealand	7.3–13.4	10.5°	8.1–17.5	11.7 ^d	536
Poland	2.2-21.0	6.0	4.2-20.9	11.3	381
U.S.	1.5–18.5	9.6	10.2-29.0	16.2	172
Russia ecosystems					
Meadow-bog	1.1–3.8	1.8	8.8-20.0	12.5	806
Forest-steppe	1.1–3.9	2.6	5.1–24	14.8	806
Forest	2.2–3.8	3.0	—	6.4	806

Table 55 Mean Levels and Ranges of Copper in Grass and Clover at the Immature Growth Stage from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Dactylis glomerata.

^b Trifolium repens.

° Lolium perenne.

d Trifolium pratense.

Table 56 Mean Copper Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)

	Tissue	FW Basis			DW Basis	AW Basis		
Plant	Sample	(547, 574)	(395, 1187)	(705)	(354, 381)	(354)	(705)	(354)
Sweet corn	Grains	0.60	1.5	1.4	2.1	_	54	88
Bean	Pods	1.7	10.0ª	5.1	_	8	73	126
Cabbage	Leaves	0.33	0.3	2.9	3.3	4	31	40
Lettuce	Leaves	0.11	1.7 ^b	8.1	_	6	58	42
Beet	Roots	_	_	_	8.1	5	_	87
Carrot	Roots	0.22	0.58	4.6	8.4	4	65	70
Onion	Bulbs	0.69	0.37	4.6	6.0	4	110	68
Potato	Tubers	0.38	1.3	3.7	6.6	3	88	105
Tomato	Fruits	0.65	0.65	8.8	_	6	73	84
Apple	Fruits	0.03	_	1.1	_		63	
Orange	Fruits	0.11	0.4°	1.9	—	—	52	—

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a Pulses.

^b Spinach.

^c Citrus unshiu (Satsuma orange).

elevated contents of Cu in feed and food plants that reflect man-made pollution needs evaluation from the environmental health point of view.

A number of projects have been carried out recently on Cu levels in edible plants, since about 30% of daily Cu intake by adults in Europe is from cereals and potatoes.¹²⁴⁷ Based on monitoring studies in Poland (5000 to 7000 sample sites), the geometric mean concentrations of Cu were calculated (in ppm) for: cereal grain 3.7, for potatoes 4.5, and for grasses 5.5.¹⁵¹⁴ These are a bit lower values than presented for other countries (Tables 55 to 57). Wolnik et al.¹⁵⁴⁹ gave, for the U.S., a mean content of Cu in potatoes as 0.96 ppm and in sweet corn 0.45 ppm. The recent reference for food compositions in the U.S. gives the following values for Cu in some categories of plant food (ppm FW): (a) vegetables: range 0.1–3.2, the lowest value for celery root, and the highest for garlic cloves; (b) fruits: range 0.3–4.0, the lowest value for grapes, and the highest for avocados, without skin; (c) cereals: range 0.3–13.0, the lowest value for otas, whole grain, and the highest for rye, whole grain; and (d) nuts: range 0.2–23.8, the lowest value for fresh coconut meat, and the highest for shelled Brazil nuts.¹²⁹¹

Country	Cereal	Range	Mean	Ref.
Afghanistan	Barley	_	6.3	446
-	Wheat	_	4.1	446
Australia	Wheat	1.3–5.0	3.5	391, 867
Canada	Oats	_	5.5	514
Egypt	Wheat ^a	4.5-10.3	6.7	213
Finland	Wheat ^a	4.7-6.9	5.7	508
	Wheat ^b	3.7-6.8	5.3	508
Germany	Barley	1.8-6.2	_	65
-	Oats	2–4	_	65
	Wheat	6–10	_	65
Great Britain	Barley	2.5-6.0	4.3	783
Japan	Rice	_	2.8°	395
	Wheat (flour)	_	1.1°	395
Norway	Barley	2.1–9.2	5.5	446
-	Wheata	2.1-6.1	4.0	446
Poland	Rye	1.4–7.0	3.6	1045
	Oats	1.0-5.2	2.2	1045
	Triticale	_	3.2	667
	Wheat ^b	2.6-6.5	3.8	267, 355
U.S.	Barley	4–15	_	484
	Rye	4–8	_	490, 492
	Rice	0.5–5.1	1.9°	1187
	Triticale	_	7.8	490, 492
	Wheat	0.6-5.4	4.5	906
Russia	Barley	_	5.2	586
	Oats	2.3-4.2	3.2	586
	Wheat ^b	3.8-6.5	5.1	586

Table 57 Copper Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Spring wheat.

^b Winter wheat.

° FW basis.

Various microorganisms are known for their resistance to a high concentration of Cu (see Chapter 4, Section IV.A). Zabawski and Boratyński¹¹⁹⁵ reported that *Penicillium* species may accumulate up to about 20,000 ppm (DW) Cu from the solution containing 100 ppm Cu. Micromycetes seem to develop resistance to Cu in soils contaminated with this metal. *Penicillium* species isolated from the Cu-polluted soil absorbed less Cu and grew better under increased doses of the metal than *Penicillium chrysogenum* isolated from the unpolluted soil (Figure 38).

VI. SILVER

A. Soils

The geochemical characteristics of Ag are similar to those of Cu, but its concentration in rocks is about 1000 times lower than Cu (Table 45). Ag is easily released by weathering and then precipitated in alkaline reduction-potential media and in media enriched in S compounds.

Ag can form several ionic species, such as simple cations (Ag^+, Ag^{2+}, AgO^+) and complexed anions, mainly with Cl and S: $AgCl_2^-$, $AgCl_3^{2-}$, $AgCl_4^{3-}$, $Ag(HS)_2^-$, $Ag_2S_3H_2^{2-}$, $Ag(S_2O_3)_2^{3-}$, and $Ag(SO_4)_2^{3-}$. Despite several mobile complexes, Ag apparently is immobile in soils if the pH is above 4. There is some evidence that MnO₂ has a strong affinity for Ag and appears to be the most significant sorbent of Ag in soils.⁹⁴⁸ Humic substances are known to absorb and complex Ag. Sikora and Stevenson¹¹⁴⁸ studied the impact of organic substances on the availability of Ag. Maximum

		Mean or Range		
Site and Pollution Source	Plant and Part	of Content	Country	Ref.
Metal processing industry	Lettuce, leaves	64	Australia	57
	Blueberry, leaves	75	Canada	866
	Grass, tops	21	Great Britain	1005
	Grass, tops	20-70ª	Canada	245
	Horsetail, tops	70–250ª	Canada	245
	Sugar beet, leaves	79–590	Poland	1161
	Dandelion, tops	73–274 ^b	Poland	380
	Dandelion, roots	22–199 ^b	Poland	380
	Wheat, grains	21	Poland	1006
Urban garden	Radish, roots	2–14	Great Britain	167
-	Leafy vegetables	4–19	U.S.	628
Sludged or irrigated farmland	Grass, tops	14–38	Holland	297
	Rice, grains	4	Japan	395
	Rice, roots	560	Japan	395
	Potato, tubers	5	Germany	176
Fungicide treatment	Coffee°		Kenya	1058
-	Medium roots	21.6		
	Fine roots	154.0		
	Trunk wood	6.7		
	Trunk bark	1122.5		
	Whole stems	9.4		
	Follage	409.4		
	Dealis	17.0		

Table 58 Copper Content of Plants Grown in Contaminated Sites (ppm DW)

^a For 1.6- to 5.8-km distance from a smelter.

^b For 0.5- to 2.5-km distance from a smelter.

^c 68-year-old coffee bushes, 236 ppm Cu in surface soil.



Figure 38 Copper accumulation and relative growth of thallus of soil micromycetes as a function of the metal concentration in nutrient solution. (a₁) *Penicillium* sp. from soil polluted with Cu—accumulation of metal, (a₂) growth of *Penicillium* sp., relative to control, (b₁) *Penicillium chrysogenum* from unpolluted mineral soil—accumulation of metal, (b₂) growth of *Penicillium chrysogenum*, relative to control.¹¹⁹⁵ *Values given in powers of ten.

binding capacity of HA and FA ranges from 0.81 to 1.85 mM Ag g^{-1} at pH 6.5, and it is more closely related to the magnitude in N contents of the humic substances than to acidic functional group contents.

The literature published on Ag distribution in the environment has been reviewed by Smith and Carson⁷³⁹ and Mukherjee¹⁴³⁰ and shows the common range of Ag in soil to be 0.03 to 0.4 ppm. Ag in Canadian soils ranges from 0.2 to 3.2 ppm and for British standard soil samples Ag was reported to average 0.4 ppm.^{96,629,818} Recently calculated by Jones et al.,¹⁰⁴² background Ag levels were <0.1 ppm, while soils contaminated by past mining activities in Wales contained up to 9 ppm Ag. The sorption of Ag by soil components was very strong, and nearly half of the total Ag was "residual" in very immobile species. Thus, Ag showed a marked surface enrichment in all contaminated soils.

Data given by Shacklette and Boerngen⁷⁰⁶ show Ag in the plow zone of mineral soil to be 0.7 ppm, and in soils rich in organic matter, to be from 2 to 5 ppm. An average Ag content given for soils by Wedepohl⁸⁵⁵ ranges from 0.01 to 5 ppm, and values established by Bowen⁹⁴ range from 0.01 to 8 ppm. The Ag concentrations in soils of Poland do not exceed 1 ppm, with the exception of soils from the Cu smelter vicinity where the highest Ag content was 16 ppm.¹³⁹⁰ All higher values for Ag in soils are found in mineralized areas. Davies and Ginnever¹⁶⁸ found up to 44 ppm Ag in soils from the vicinity of old base metal mines, and Guseva¹³²¹ found up to 30 ppm in soils from Moscow surroundings. The EDTA-soluble Ag in Japanese soils ranges from 0.024 to 0.167 ppm, and in polluted soils is greater than 1.5 ppm.¹²⁰⁸ Kiriluk³⁹⁴ reported the range of Ag from 0.44 to 0.93 ppm in chernozems of vineyards. Jones and Peterson¹⁰⁴³ described that adsorption and retention of Ag by HA and FA in soils may limit its phytoavailability. Thus, the excess of Ag in soils of a low organic matter content is more phytotoxic than in soils of a high organic content.

B. Plants

Ag concentrations in plants are reported by Smith and Carson⁷³⁹ to range from 0.03 to 0.5 ppm (DW). Chapman¹³¹ established the intermediate range of Ag in plant foodstuffs as 0.07 to 2.0 ppm (DW). According to Gough et al.²⁷⁹ and Shacklette,⁷⁰⁵ the mean Ag content of plant ash is usually less than 5 ppm.

Ag concentrations differ greatly between plant species and between times of sample collection. Horowitz et al.³²⁵ reported that Ag in plants sampled in September was much lower than in plants sampled in May. They found Ag in plants to range from 0.01 to 16 ppm (DW), with the highest values being for fungi and green algae.

The amount of Ag absorbed by several plants (e.g., horsetail, lichens, mosses, fungi, and some deciduous trees) seems to be related to the amount of the metal in soils. Thus, Ag can be concentrated to toxic levels in plants growing in Ag-mineralized areas.^{120,417} Soil Ag is apparently deposited in root tissues in the form of Ag₂S and/or metallic, and therefore is excluded from metabolic processes. However, AgNO₃ can be easily taken up by plants, and is toxic in relatively low concentrations (<8.5 μ M L⁻¹). AgNO₃ is reported to be the most toxic compound to terrestrial plants, of which the most sensitive are lettuce (NOEC at concentration 0.75 mg L⁻¹), radish and corn (NOEC at concentration 7.5 mg L⁻¹).¹⁴⁶⁵ Ag is very toxic to heterotrophic bacteria and is widely used as an aseptic substance. However, some bacteria (e.g., *Thiobacillus forroxidans*) are capable of accumulating great amounts of Ag.¹⁴³⁰

Wallace et al.⁸⁴¹ wrote that about 5 ppm Ag in the tops and above 1500 ppm in the roots of bush beans (DW) greatly reduced yields, but the plants grew without symptoms of toxicity. Ozoliniya¹¹⁰⁹ on the other hand reported that 10 ppb Ag in the nutrient solution stimulated the growth of grass roots without producing a higher root mass. This author speculated that some cations (e.g., Ag, Co, and Cu) can indirectly change cell metabolism, resulting in a higher growth rate of cells. As described by Hendrix and Higinbotham,³¹⁵ Ag can substitute for K⁺ sites in membranes and thus inhibit the absorption of other cations by roots. Ag compounds are known to

precipitate bacterial proteins as well as to form insoluble complexes with ribonucleic acids.⁸⁵⁶ Ag ions have a great affinity for binding sulfhydryl groups of some organic compounds.

VII. GOLD

A. Soils

Au is a rare element in the Earth's crust and its average concentration in rocks does not exceed the order of magnitude 0.00X ppm (Table 45). Au is relatively stable in hypergenic zones; however, under certain weathering conditions, it is known to form several complex ions, $AuCl_2^{2-}$, $AuBr_4^-$, AuI_2^- , $Au(CN)_2^-$, $Au(CNS)_4^-$, $Au(S_2O_3)_2^{3-}$ that are readily mobile. However, Au seems to be transported most often in the form of organometallic compounds or chelates.⁹⁷ Thus, the chemistry of Au in soils is essentially a chemistry of complex compounds since no simple Au cations exist in soil solutions.⁴⁵³

Lakin et al.⁴⁵³ studied Au distribution in soil profiles and showed that, depending on the origin of soil material and its weathering stage, Au can be present in fine soil particulates or in cobbles and pebbles, Au is most often enriched in the humus layer because it can act as a reductant and precipitation medium for mobile forms of Au. However, distribution of Au within soil profiles may follow different trends, depending on its content in parent rocks.

There is a relative paucity of information on the Au content of soils. The Au status in normal soils may be established to be within the range from 0.6–20 ppb.¹³¹³ Roslakov⁶⁶⁴ gave the range of background contents of Au in soils as ranging from 0.8 to 8.0 ppb, with the greatest amounts in chernozems and kastanozems. Lakin et al.⁴⁵³ reported that in mineralized areas, Au in forest mull ranged from 0.05 to 5.0 ppm, while mineral-size fractions contained from 0.04 to 0.44 ppm Au. Soils from the Au mine region in New Zealand contain 30 to 48 ppb of this metal.¹⁵⁴⁴

B. Plants

Plants can absorb Au in soluble forms, and when Au enters the root vascular systems of plants, it can be easily transported to the tops. In reducing media, however, Au precipitates on the cell surface and thus inhibits membrane permeability.

Root exudates of cyanogenic plants are known to dissolve Au. The ability of microorganisms to solubilize elemental Au is still being discussed, but findings were not clear-cut.^{1042a} Cyanogenic plants and some deciduous trees are able to accumulate even greater than 10 ppm Au (DW).^{453,711} Horsetail is also known as a good indicator for Au; however, Cannon et al.¹²⁰ reported Au to be in the range of 0.1 to 0.5 ppm (AW) in horsetail species from Alaska, and only slightly higher Au concentrations were found in plant samples from mineralized areas. Various plant species collected from mineralized areas of British Columbia contained from 0.7 to 6.5 ppm Au (DW), and the herbaceous plant *Phacelia sericea* (family Hydrophyllaceae) appeared to be the best Au accumulator.²⁶³ Data reviewed by Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ indicate that the Au content of plants ranges from 0.0005 to 125 ppm (AW). All anomalous high Au contents of plants are reported for plants of mineralized areas. Williams et al.¹⁵⁴⁴ reported a broad range of Au, from 224 to 7450 ppm, in lichens from the vicinity of an Au mine in New Zealand.

Oakes et al.⁵⁷⁴ found Au in fruits and vegetables in the range of 0.01 to 0.4 ppb (FW), while Bowen⁹⁴ reported 1 to 40 ppb (DW) for other vascular plants. According to Ozoliniya and Kiunke,⁵⁸⁸ Au in barley and flax is detectable only in the roots, in the range of 14 to 22 ppb (DW). After Girling et al.,²⁶³ background Au concentrations are typically <1 ppb (DW) in vegetation. Several plant species are relatively resistant to higher Au concentrations in tissues. Au toxicity leads to necrosis and wilting by loss of turgidity in leaves.

CHAPTER 7

Elements of Group II

I. INTRODUCTION

Trace elements of Group Ia (new group 2) belong to the alkaline earths (Be, Sr, and Ba) and behave similarly to Ca and Mg. The microcations favor coordination with oxygen donors and do not usually form complex ions species. The Sr and Ba cations are more similar to Ca than to Mg, and Sr^{2+} in particulars very close in size to Ca^{2+} . A characteristic of these cations is the small ionic radius and high charge:radius ratio. These cations can replace each other, while the small size Be prevents their replacement by other ions. The common characteristic of the alkaline earths is their association with the carbon cycle; therefore, the processes of solution of hydrogen carbonates and the precipitation of carbonates strongly control the behavior of these metals in the terrestrial environment. Be in the terrestrial environment is more susceptible to hydrolysis and complexation processes than other elements of this group.

Group IIb (new group 12) is composed of three metals of the transition series—Zn, Cd, and Hg. They have comparatively high electronegativity values and easily form bonds with nonmetals of significant covalent character. They occur most often as divalent cations and show a great affinity to combine with S anions and with several organic compounds; thus, they are all of great importance in biochemistry. They are also known to form inorganic complex ions. Unlike the sulfides of the elements of Group IIa, the sulfides of Zn, Cd, and Hg are insoluble in water. However, the compounds of Zn and Cd immediately hydrolyze, but the corresponding compounds of Hg are rather resistant to hydrolysis. The three metals are all relatively mobile in the Earth's surface and their cycling may be highly modified by their accumulation by plants and organic debris.

II. BERYLLIUM

A. Soils

Be, the lightest of the alkaline earths, although widely distributed, exists in relatively small quantities, comprising less than 10 ppm of the major rock types. This metal is likely to concentrate in the acid magmatic rocks, and is associated with micas and some dark minerals. Its concentration in argillaceous sediments and shales is also enhanced and ranges from 2 to 6 ppm (Table 59). During rock weathering, Be usually remains in the residuum and resembles Al in its geochemical nature; however, its behavior is much different in various environments because of its small size, relatively high ionization, and electronegativity.

Be occurs most often as the divalent cation, but its complex ions are also known— $(BeO_2)^{2^-}$, $(Be_2O_3)^{2^-}$, $(BeO)^{6^-}$, and $(Be_2O)^{2^+}$. Thus, Be is present in soils primarily in oxidic-bonded forms. In the alkaline environment, Be forms complex anions such as $Be(OH)CO_3^-$ and $Be(CO_3)_2^{2^-}$.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					
Rock Type	Be	Sr	Ва	Zn	Cd	Hg
		Magmatic	Rocks			
Ultramafic rocks						
Dunites, peridotites,						
pyroxenites	0.X	2–20	0.5–25.0	40–60	0.03–0.05	0.0X
Mafic rocks						
Basalts, gabbros	0.3–1.0	140–460	250-400	80–120	0.13–0.22	0.0X
Intermediate rocks						
Diorites, syenites	1.0–1.8	300–600	600–1000	40–100	0.13	0.0X
Acid rocks						
Granites, gneisses	2–5	60–300	400–850	40–60	0.09–0.20	0.08
Acid rocks (volcanic)						
Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	5.0–6.5	90–400	600–1200	40–100	0.05–0.20	0.0X
		Sedimentary	Rocks			
Argillaceous sediments	2–6	300–450	500-800	80–120	0.30	0.20-0.40
Shales	2–5	300	500-800	80–120	0.22-0.30	0.18-0.40
Sandstones	0.2-1.0	20–140	100-320	15–30	0.05	0.04-0.10
Limestones, dolomites	0.2-2.0	450-600	50-200	10-25	0.035	0.04-0.05

Table 59	Beryllium, Strontium, Barium, Zinc, Cadmium, and Mercury in Major Rock Types (ppm)
	(values commonly found, based on various sources)

Different Countries (ppm DW)						
Country	Range	Mean	Ref.			
Brazil	0.44-1.69	0.92	927			
Canada	0.10-0.89	0.35	244			
Czech Republic	4.01-4.55	4.28	1136			
Great Britain	—	2.7	818			
Indonesia	0.31-1.22	0.7	927			
India	0.33-0.77	0.48	1225a			
Japan	0.27-1.95	1.17	928			
New Zealand	0.82–1.33	0.99	927			
Thailand	0.20-5.50	1.46	927			
U.S.	0.04–2.54	0.54	976			
U.S. (Alaska)	<1–7	1.5	1012			
Russia	1.1–1.6	1.3	1123			
Russia	1.01–2.73	—	927			
Yugoslavia	2.49-4.97	3.52	927			

Table 60	Beryllium Content of Surface Soils of
	Different Countries (ppm DW)

The abundance of Be in surface soils has not been investigated on a large scale. The most intensive studies made by Shacklette and Boerngen⁷⁰⁶ for soils of the conterminous U.S. gave the mean content of 1.6 ppm for various soil units (Table 60). In soils of Poland, Be ranges from <1 to 5 ppm,¹³⁵⁷ and geometric means range within 0.3 to 0.7 ppm and show a positive correlation with the content of clay fraction (Table 20). Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported the Be content in reference soils from different countries to range from 1.4 to 4.4. ppm. Asami⁹²⁵ found in 27 unpolluted surface soils of Japan a Be range from 0.27 to 1.95 ppm, average 1.17 ppm. Asami and Fukazawa⁹²⁸ reported background levels of Be for andosols from 0.59 to 1.57 ppm, and for calcareous soils from 0.67 to 2.47 ppm. The mean content of Be in topsoils of the other countries varies from 0.35 to 3.52 ppm (Table 60).

Organic substances are known to bind Be easily; therefore, Be is enriched in some coals and accumulates in organic soil horizons. Be may substitute for Al and for some divalent cations, and therefore is strongly bound by montmorillonitic clays. Hädrich et al.²⁹⁸ reported the range in Be concentrations in soil solutions as 0.4 to 1.0 μ g L⁻¹. Although Be appears to be rather immobile in soils, its readily soluble salts, such as $BeCl_2$ and $BeSo_4$, can be available and therefore toxic to plants. The distribution of Be in the soil profiles also reflects the leaching processes of the element and shows its accumulation in the subsoil layers. The concentration of Be in soil solutions varies from 0.21 μ g L⁻¹ in surface soil layer to 1.1 μ g L⁻¹ in mother material (leucogranite). Be is highly soluble under the condition of acid (pH 3.4) forest soil.¹³⁷⁶ This may have serious environmental consequences in temperate climate regions.

Due to some new technologies that employ Be (rocket fuels and light, hard, and high corrosionresistant alloys), as well as to coal combustion, there is concern that Be may be increasing in agricultural soils. There is not much information on Be in contaminated soils, which were reported to range from 15 to 50 ppm Be in the vicinity of smelters and coal power stations in Poland, while the control soils contained less than 1 ppm Be.⁵⁹² The report of studies conducted by Asami⁹²⁵ in the neighborhood of Be factories in Japan showed that topsoils less than 500 m from the pollution source contain on average up to 8.56 ppm Be (range 6.5–55.0 ppm). These concentrations, measured in 1976, showed a significant increase of Be pollution when compared with earlier data.

Among the seven isotopes of Be, only ⁹Be is stable. The others, mainly ⁷Be and ¹⁰Be, are produced by nuclear reactions induced either by cosmic rays or by accelerator mass spectrometry. As Bourles¹²⁴⁰ described, both radionuclides reveal a great affinity for either inorganic or organic particles of soil. ¹⁰Be is especially likely to be concentrated in organic-rich soil.

B. Plants

Be apparently is easily taken up by plants when it occurs in soluble forms in soils. Its concentration in plants under natural conditions is reported to range from 0.001 to 0.4 ppm (DW) and to range from <2 to 100 ppm in plant ash.

Be concentrations as high as 250 ppm (AW) are reported for an accumulator plant (*Vaccinium myrtillus*).⁸⁵⁵ Some plant species in the Leguminosae and Cruciferae families have a pronounced ability to accumulate Be, particularly in their root tissue.²⁸³

Although Be is known to be concentrated mainly in roots, Krampitz⁴²⁵ reported a relatively high Be content in lettuce leaves (0.033 ppm DW) and tomato fruits (0.24 ppm DW), and Bowen⁹⁴ stated that Be in lichens and bryophytes ranged from 0.04 to 0.9 ppm (DW). Padzik and Wlodek⁵⁹² gave the content of Be in grass from an industrial area as 0.19 ppm (DW). Bohn and Seekamp⁸⁴ found that plant Be increased up to 20 ppm (DW) at 100 ppm Be addition to the soil.

Vaessen and Szteke¹⁵²⁷ broadly reviewed Be concentrations in plant food of several countries. The range of mean values for Be in vegetables is 0.0002 to 0.077 ppm (FW), the lowest for tomatoes and the highest for parsley roots. Reported contents of Be in various berries have not exceeded 0.002 ppm (FW).

Be absorption mechanisms of plants seem to be similar to those involved in the uptake of Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} . However, these elements have antagonistic interactions, and Be is able to replace Mg^{2+} in some plants. Gough et al.²⁷⁹ and Krampitz⁴²⁵ reported stimulating effects of the dilute solution of Be(NO₃)₂ on growth of several plant species, microorganisms in particular (*Aspergillus niger*). Biochemical mechanisms of this phenomenon, however, are not clear.

On the other hand, the toxicity of Be to plants has been frequently reported. Toxic Be concentrations in mature leaves range most often from 10 to 50 ppm (DW). This range is highly variable for each plant species and growth condition. Be contents in willow leaves grown in the Sudeten Mountains and of Turkish hazel grown in Wroclaw (Poland) varies from 4 to 39 ppm, and from 7 to 32 ppm, respectively. The increased concentrations of Be indicate polluted sites and periods of high industrial emissions.^{1336,1477}

Relatively low Be concentrations in solution, ranging from 2 to 16 ppm or from 10^{-3} to 10^{-4} M Be²⁺, is highly toxic to plants. Gettier and Adriano¹⁰⁰⁹ observed that collard and wheat root length were decreased 50% by Be at concentrations of 5 to 10 ppm in the nutrient solution. Most Be taken up by plants remains in the roots and only a very small proportion of absorbed Be is translocated to above-ground plant parts. Visual symptoms of the Be toxicity, aside from reduced growth, are not evident, but

Be concentrations greater than 8 mg Be L^{-1} totally inhibited seed germination. Be is known to inhibit the germination of seeds and the uptake of Ca and Mg by roots, to have diverse effects on P absorption, and to degrade some proteins and enzymes. These processes, however, are not fully understood. Although specific symptoms of Be toxicity to plants are not known, the common symptoms are brown, retarded roots and stunted foliage. Although at present there is no evidence that Be in food plants can be a health risk to man, more data are needed to evaluate the risk.²⁸⁵

III. STRONTIUM

A. Soils

Sr is a relatively common trace element in the Earth's crust and is likely to concentrate in intermediate magmatic rocks and in carbonate sediments (Table 59). Geochemical and biochemical characteristics of Sr are similar to those of Ca; thus, Sr is very often associated with Ca and, to a lesser extent with Mg, in the terrestrial environment. The Sr to Ca ratio seems to be relatively stable in the biosphere and therefore is commonly used for the identification of built-up concentrations of Sr in a particular environment. A Ca:Sr ratio less than 8 indicates a possible toxicity of Sr. During the formation of calcareous and sulfuric sediments, Sr is mobilized as easily soluble strontianite (SrCO₃), and later deposited as celestite (SrSo₄). The concentration of this mineral in terrestrial material is an environmental risk.¹⁴⁴⁵

Sr is easily mobilized during weathering, especially in oxidizing acid environments, and then it is incorporated in clay minerals and strongly fixed by organic matter, but most Sr is precipitated as biogenic carbonates, largely in the form of invertebrate shell material. This element is known to occur mainly as Sr^{2+} ions; however, its chelated forms play an important role in cycling, which is closely associated with Ca cycling.

Sr content of soils is highly controlled by parent rocks and climate, and therefore its concentrations range in surface horizons from 715 to 1000 ppm (Table 61), being highest in Russian chernozems and in forest soils. Soils derived from glacial till under the humid climate of Denmark are reported to be very poor in Sr, whereas these kinds of soils from the U.S. are relatively rich in this element (Table 61). Mean contents of Sr as calculated for American topsoils range from 110 to 445 ppm, being the highest in desert soils and soils derived from magmatic rocks. Sr mean content for the worldwide soil units is the highest for cambisols (210 pm) and the lowest for podzols (87 ppm) (Table 9). Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported that the Sr contents in reference soils from China range from 26 to 150 ppm, and in soils from the vicinity of a polymetallic ore field from 180 to 380 ppm. Aqua regia-soluble Sr in Venezuelan surface soils varies from 13 to 39 ppm (geometric mean values).¹²²⁷ The mean content of Sr in surface mineral soils of Poland varies from 10 to 24 ppm,¹³⁵⁷ whereas HCl (1:4) soluble Sr averages less than 10 ppm, and above 100 ppm in some calcareous soils and soils in industrial regions of Poland.¹³⁹⁰

The Sr distribution in soil profiles follows the general trends of soil solution circulation. However, it may also be irregular, depending on soil properties. In acid soils, Sr is highly leached down the profile. In organic surface layers of soils in Norway, an enrichment in Sr content as compared to C horizons is explained as evidence of the atmospheric input of sea-salt.¹⁵⁰⁵ In calcareous soils, Sr may be replaced by various cations and, in particular, hydrogen ions. Displacement of Sr by Ca solutions has practical implications in the reclamation of contaminated soils.⁴⁵⁰

Sr exists in the geologic formations in several isotopic forms, of which ⁸⁸Sr is the most abundant. The ⁸⁷Sr:⁸⁶Sr ratio is used in age determinations since ⁸⁷Rb decays to ⁸⁷Sr. ⁹⁰Sr is produced in many nuclear processes and is considered to be one of the most biologically hazardous radioactive elements for man. Since Ca and Sr are known to be the carrier elements for ⁹⁰Sr, the cycling of ⁹⁰Sr is related to that of Ca and Sr.

Numerous studies have been carried out on ⁹⁰Sr in biological environments. Several authors stated that ⁹⁰Sr as a pollutant is easily mobile in light soils and therefore is readily taken up by

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Australia	_	118	196
	New Zealand	350–570ª	_	861
	U.S.	5-1000	125	706
Loess and silty soils	New Zealand	220–380ª	_	861
-	U.S.	20-1000	305	706
Loamy and clay soils	New Zealand	18–86ª	_	861
	U.S.	15–300	120	706
	Former S.U.	280-310	295	714
Soils on glacial till	Denmark	_	14.7	801
Solonetz	Former S.U.	_	181	1031
Kastanozems	Former S.U.	70–280	175	714, 1031
Chernozems	Former S.U.	73–147	93	1031, 1123
	U.S.	70–500	170	706
Prairien and meadow soils	Former S.U.	150–500	300	714
Rendzinas	U.S.	15–1000	195	706
Histosols and other organic soils	Denmark	_	92	801
-	U.S.	5–300	110	706
Forest soils	Former S.U.	_	675	714
	U.S.	20-500	150	706
Various soils	Canada	30-500 ^b	210	521
	Denmark	_	17.2	801
	Great Britain	_	261	818
	U.S.	7–1000	200	706

Table 61	Strontium	Content	of	Surface	Soil	of	Different	(ppm	DW))
----------	-----------	---------	----	---------	------	----	-----------	------	-----	---

^a Soil derived from basalts and andesites.

^b Data for whole soil profiles.

plants.^{603,676,791} Pavlotskaya et al.⁶⁰⁴ reported the easy coprecipitation of ⁹⁰Sr by hydrous Fe oxides, which leads to its accumulation in Fe-rich soil horizons.

The distribution of 90 Sr, about a decade (1986–1995) after the Chernobyl accident, varies in the territory of Belarus, from 1.64 to 109 kBq m⁻². As Kagan and Kadatsky¹³⁶⁰ reported, 90 Sr inventories in soils vary from so called "global impact effect" up to 70 times the pre-accident value. The migration of this radionuclide in soil profiles is relatively high, especially in the floodplain where up to 30% of its content in the top soil layer is below 5 cm depth. In topsoil horizons along the Prypec River in Belarus, 90 Sr is concentrated up to 340 Bq kg⁻¹, and is reported to be also highly mobile.¹³⁸⁴

B. Plants

The Sr concentration in plants is highly variable and is reported to range from <1 to 10,000 (DW) and to 15,000 ppm (AW). Its common amounts, however, calculated as the mean for different food and feed plants, range from about 10 to 1500 ppm (DW) (Table 62). The lowest mean contents of Sr were found in fruits, grains, and potato tubers, whereas legume herbage contained from 219 to 662 ppm (DW). Bowen gave the broad range in Sr concentrations in lichens as 0.8 to 250 ppm (DW). In the European forest ecosystems of Russia and Germany, the following concentrations of Sr in blueberries are reported: 4.5 to 5.5 ppm for Russia (near Moscow), and 2.9 to 3.9 ppm for Germany.¹⁴⁰⁰

Sr uptake by roots is apparently related to both the mechanisms of mass-flow and exchange diffusion.²⁰⁹ The Ca to Sr ratio of uptake was proposed by some authors to determine the source of these cations and the rate of their uptake. Wallace and Romney⁸⁴² reported that Sr is not very readily transported from roots to shoots; however, the highest concentrations of Sr are often reported for tops of plants.⁷¹⁰

Interactions between Sr and Ca are complex, for they may compete with each other, but Sr usually cannot replace Ca in biochemical functions. Weinberg⁸⁵⁶ reported that the enzyme amylase with Sr^{2+} substituted for Ca²⁺ had full activity, but varied in some physical properties. Liming of soil may have both inhibiting and stimulating effects, depending on soil and plant factors.⁴⁵⁰ However, in bush beans

Plant	Tissue Sample	Range	Mean
Wheat	Grains	0.48–2.3	1.5
Oats	Grains	1.8–3.2	2.5
	Green tops	9–31	20
Corn	Grains	0.06-0.4	—
Lettuce	Leaves	—	74
Spinach	Leaves	45–70	—
Cabbage	Leaves	1.2–150	45
Bean	Pods	1.5–67	18
Soybean	Leaves	58–89	—
Carrot	Roots	1.5–131	25
Onion	Bulbs	10–88	50
Potato	Tubers	—	2.6
Tomato	Fruits	0.4–91	9
Apple	Fruits	0.5–1.7	0.9ª
Orange	Fruits	—	0.5ª
Clover	Tops	95–850	219
Lucerne (alfalfa)	Tops	50–1500	662
Grass	Tops	6–37	24

Table 62 Mean Levels and Ranges of Strontium in Food and Feed Plants (ppm DW)^{131,381,514,574,705,853}

^a FW basis.



Figure 39 Effects of Ca on Sr distribution in bush beans exposed 48 hr to 10^{-3} *N* Sr in solution culture.* Ca normality is given in powers of ten.⁸⁴²

grown in solution culture, Ca decreased Sr content, particularly in root and stem (Figure 39). Reported interactions between Sr and P apparently are related to processes in soil; however, opinions vary as to the effects of P on Sr adsorption by plants.^{175,439}

There are not many reports of Sr toxicity in plants, and plants vary in their tolerance to this element. Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ gave the toxic Sr level for plants as 30 ppm (AW). There is no evidence that stable Sr at levels present in the biosphere has any deleterious effects on man and animals; however, the accumulation of the radionuclide ⁹⁰Sr in food and feedstuffs is of the greatest environmental concern.

⁹⁰Sr is relatively easily taken up by plants, but its availability may be inhibited by the application of Ca, Mg, K, and Na to soils. Beans grown on soil treated with ⁹⁰Sr accumulated up to 565 nCi g⁻¹ (DW) in leaves, while in grains, only 24 nCi g⁻¹ (DW).^{290 90}Sr in oats in a similar experiment ranged in straw from 17 to 137 nCi g⁻¹ (DW) and in grains ranged from 1 to 11 nCi g⁻¹ (DW).^{291,292} Alamo switchgrass can accumulate up to 44% of the total amount of ⁹⁰Sr from the growth media after the first five harvests, and the uptake of this radionuclide is correlated curvilinearly with subratum.¹²⁹² Also, *Amaranthus* species are reported to be effective in phytoextraction of this nuclide from soils in the Chernobyl exclusion zone.¹²⁸³

IV. BARIUM

A. Soils

In the Earth's crust, Ba is likely to concentrate in intermediate and acid magmatic rocks and commonly ranges in concentration from 400 to 1200 ppm (Table 59). In geochemical processes, Ba is usually associated with K^+ due to their very similar ionic radii (Table 12), and therefore its occurrence is linked with alkali feldspar and biotite.

Ba released by weathering is not very mobile because it is easily precipitated as sulfates and carbonates, is strongly adsorbed by clays, is concentrated in Mn and P concretions, and is specifically sorbed onto oxides and hydroxides (Tables 22 and 23). Ba easily displaces other sorbed alkaline earth metals from some oxides (MnO₂, TiO₂), but on the other hand is displaced from Al_2O_3 by alkaline earth metals (Be, Sr.)⁹⁴⁸ Varnishes formed at the surface of aridic soils always have an enrichment in Ba. In some tropical soils, Ba occurs in the mobile form of hollandite, $Ba_2Mn_8O_{16}$, and is easily available to plants. In soils of temperate humid climate zones, Ba is likely to be fixed by Fe-oxides and become immobile.

Ba in topsoils and rocks has similar ranges in concentration (Table 63). The reported range for soil Ba, on a world scale, is from 19 to 2368 ppm. Ba distribution among the soil units shows much lower contents in histosols (mean 175 ppm) than in the other soils (range of means from 330 to 520 ppm) (Table 9). Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported the Ba content in reference soils from China to range from 180 to 1210 ppm, and for soils of the U.S. from 290 to 2240 ppm. The high concentration of Ba in Chinese soils is related to the Au ore field.

(PP =)				
Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Australia	_	207	196
	New Zealand	270–780ª	—	861
	U.S.	20–1500	400	706
	Belarus	180–260	220	493
Loess and silty soils	New Zealand	240–590ª	—	861
	U.S.	200–1500	675	706
	Belarus	—	960	493
Loamy and clay soils	New Zealand	19–200ª	—	861
	U.S.	150–1500	535	706
	Belarus	—	240	493
	Bulgaria	—	402	558
Fluvisols	Belarus	—	240	493
	Bulgaria	—	691	558
Rendzinas	U.S.	150–1500	520	706
Chernozems	Russia	475–620	525	4, 1123
	Bulgaria	—	458	558
	U.S.	100–1000	595	706
Histosols and other organic soils	Belarus	—	84	493
	U.S.	10–700	265	706
Forest soils	Russia	—	560	4
	U.S.	150–2000	505	706
	Bulgaria	397–850	631	558
Various soils	Bulgaria	492–2368	838	558
	Canada	262-867	669	409
	Great Britain	—	672	818

Table 63 Barium Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Soils derived from basalts and andesites.

(PP)				
	Tissue	DW	FW Basis	
Plant	Sample	Range	Mean	Mean
Cereal	Grains	4.2-6.6	5.5	_
	Green tops	132–181	160	—
Sweet Corn	Grains	—	0.034	_
Snap bean	Pods	_	7	0.4
	Seeds	1–15	8	_
Cabbage	Leaves	_	4.8	1.3
Lettuce	Leaves	_	9.4	0.5
Carrot	Roots	2–50	13	0.14
Onion	Bulbs	3–75	12	
Potato	Tubers	1.3–35	5	0.7–1.4
Tomato	Fruits	—	2	
Apple	Fruits	—	1.4	0.03
Orange	Fruits	—	3.1	0.17
Clover	Tops	142–198	170	
Lucerne (alfalfa)	Tops	—	100	—

Table 64	Mean Levels and Ranges of Barium in Food and Feed Plants
	(ppm) ^{267,381,574,705}

Ba in soils may be easily mobilized under different conditions; therefore, its concentrations in soil solutions show considerable variation, from 43 μ g L⁻¹ (loamy soil) to 307 μ g L⁻¹ (sandy soil).¹¹⁶⁹ Turski et al.¹¹⁶⁹ found that the input of Ba from aerial sources and P-fertilizers increases its output (leaching and plant uptake). Thus, the Ba budget in rural soils shows a steady increase.

B. Plants

Although Ba is reported to be commonly present in plants, it apparently is not an essential component of plant tissues. The Ba content ranges from 1 to 198 ppm (DW), being the highest in leaves of cereal and legumes and the lowest in grains and fruits (Table 64). The highest concentrations of Ba, up to >10,000 ppm (DW), are reported to be in different trees and shrubs and in Brazil nuts.⁷¹⁰ In the European forest ecosystems of Russia and Germany, the following concentrations of Ba in blueberries are reported: 8 to 60 ppm for Russia (near Moscow), and 5 to 31 ppm for Germany.¹⁴⁰⁰

Plants may take up Ba quite easily from acid soils; Weinberg⁸⁵⁶ reported a high affinity of Ba²⁺ to be bound to the surface of yeast. There are, however, only a few reports on toxic Ba concentrations in plants. Chaudry et al.¹³⁴ gave 1 to 2% (DW) Ba in plants as highly toxic, while Brooks (see Shacklette et al.)⁷¹⁰ stated that 220 ppm (AW) is moderately toxic.

Possible toxicity of Ba to plants may be greatly reduced by the addition of Ca, Mg, and S salts to the growth medium. Antagonistic interactions between these elements and Ba (Table 43) may occur in both plant tissues and soils.

V. RADIUM

Ra occurs in the environment as radioactive nuclides of which ²²⁴Ra, ²²⁶Ra, and ²²⁸Ra are the common products of the uranium and thorium decay chains.²²⁶ Ra is the most stable and relatively frequent radionuclide in the biosphere. The geochemistry of ²²⁶Ra is entirely governed by ²³⁰Th.

Bowen⁹⁴ reported ²²⁶Ra to range in rocks from 0.6 to 1.1 ng kg⁻¹ and in soil to be 0.8 ng kg¹. This radionuclide in vegetation ranged from 0.03 to 1.6 ng kg⁻¹ (DW).

Megumi and Mamuro⁵²⁹ studied the concentrations of U-series nuclides in soils derived from granites and found that they increase with decreases in particle size (Figure 40). They reported that divalent Ra cations seem to be strongly absorbed by hydrous oxides of Mn and Fe.



Figure 40 Concentration of some radionuclides in soil particle size from the B horizon of soils derived from two different granites in Japan.⁵²⁹

Taskayev et al.⁷⁷³ reported stable complexing of 226 Ra in soils where this radionuclide ranged from 36 to 351 pg g⁻¹. 226 Ra was most mobile under very acid conditions and its solubility ranged from about 1 to 10% of its content in the surface soils.

The ²²⁶Ra content of the surface soils is higher than that of deeper soil horizons and this is because the radionuclide has recently been added to soils from anthropogenic sources. As Martell⁵⁰⁹ stated, P and K fertilizers increased the ²²⁶Ra in the plowed layer of soils, and according to data presented by Moore and Poet,⁵⁵⁰ the manufacturing of P fertilizers and cement, as well as coal combustion, are the main sources of the radionuclides of Ra.

VI. ZINC

A. Soils

Zn seems to be distributed rather uniformly in the magmatic rocks, and only its slight increase in mafic rocks (80 to 120 ppm) and its slight decrease in acid rocks (40 to 60 ppm) is observed (Table 59). The Zn concentration in argillaceous sediments and shales is enhanced, ranging from 80 to 120 ppm; while in sandstones and carboniferous rocks, concentrations of this metal range from 10 to 30 ppm. The Zn occurs chiefly as single sulfides (ZnS), but is also known to substitute for Mg^{2+} in silicates.

The solubilization of Zn minerals during weathering produces mobile Zn^{2+} , especially in acid, oxidizing environments. Zn is, however, also easily adsorbed by mineral and organic components and thus, in most soil types, its accumulation in the surface horizons is observed (Figure 41).

Mean total Zn contents in surface soils of different countries and of the U.S. range from 17 to 125 ppm (Table 65). Thus, these values may be considered as background Zn contents. The highest means were reported for some alluvial soils, solonchaks, and rendzimas, while the lowest values were for light mineral and light organic soils (Table 9). Grand mean Zn for worldwide soils may be calculated as 64 ppm. Geometric means for Zn in all mineral soils of Poland differ significantly for various textural groups; for example, for sands—33 ppm; for light loams—52 ppm; and for heavy loams—80 ppm (Table 49). In agricultural soils of the U.S., the geometric mean for Zn is 42.9 ppm, within the range of <3 to 264 ppm as given by Holmgren et al.,¹³²⁹ and the median Zn content, as presented by



Figure 41 Distribution of Zn in the profiles of different soils developed under humid climate. (Letters indicate genetic soil horizons.)

White et al.,¹⁵⁴¹ varies slightly depending on the source of data and is 50 ppm (USGS) or 54 ppm (USDA).

The Zn balance in surface soils of different ecosystems shows that the atmospheric input of this metal exceeds its output due to both leaching and the production of biomass (Table 17). Only in nonpolluted forest regions of Sweden is the discharge of Zn by water flux reported to be higher than its atmospheric input.⁸¹⁶

1. Reactions with Soil Components

The most common and mobile Zn in soil is believed to be in forms of free and complexed ions in soil solutions, but several other ionic species that occur as nonspecifically and specifically adsorbed cations can also be easily mobilized in soils (Figure 42). The important factors controlling the mobility of Zn in soils are very similar to those listed for Cu, but Zn appears to occur in more readily soluble forms. Many studies of Zn adsorption and retention in soils were reviewed by Lindsay,⁴⁷⁶ and it has been shown that clays and soil organic matter are capable of holding Zn quite strongly; thus the solubility of Zn in soils is less than that of $Zn(OH)_2$, $ZnCO_3$, and $Zn(PO_4)$ in pure experimental systems.

Processes involved in Zn adsorption are not yet completely understood; however, some generalizations can be made from studies reported by Lindsay,⁴⁷⁵ Farrah and Pickering,²²⁸ Peneva,^{605a} Kuo and Mikkelsen,⁴⁴⁰ and Wada and Abd-Elfattah.⁸³⁴ There are two different mechanisms of Zn adsorption: one in acid media related to cation exchange sites, and the other in alkaline media that is considered to be chemisorption and is highly influenced by organic ligands.

McBride and Blasiak⁵¹⁹ stated that nucleation of Zn hydroxide on clay surfaces may produce the strongly pH-dependent retention of Zn in soils (Figure 43). The adsorption of Zn^{2+} can be reduced at lower pH (<7) by competing cations and this results in easy mobilization and leaching of Zn from light acid soils (Table 15). At higher pH values, while an increase of organic compounds in soil solution becomes more evident, Zn-organic complexes may also account for the solubility of this metal (Figure 43). In sandy, acid soils, on the other hand, organic matter seems to be the most important soil component for binding Zn, while oxides (hydro) of Al, Fe, and Mn appear to be of minor importance.

As Zyrin et al.⁹¹¹ reported, Zn in soils is associated mainly with hydrous Fe and Al oxides (14 to 38% of total Zn) and with clay minerals (24 to 63%), while its readily mobile fractions and its organic complexes make, respectively, 1 to 20 and 1.5 to 2.3%. Recent findings¹³⁵⁵ have supported these calculations, indicating that the clay fraction controls up to about 60% of Zn distribution in soils (Figure 44).

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Australia	39–86		792
2	New Zealand	14–146	42	870
	Poland	5–220	24	378, 382
	Romania	25-188	61	1127
	U.S.	5–164	40	706
	Russia	3.5–57	31	271, 900
	Germany	40-76	_	689
Loess and silty soils	New Zealand		61	870
·····	Poland	17–127	47	378, 382
	Romania	_	73	42
	U.S.	20-109	58.5	706
	Russia	40-55	48	271
	Germany	58-100	_	689
Loamy and clay soils	Canada	15-20	17	692
	Great Britain	_	70	876
	New Zealand	31–177	79	870
	Poland	13-362	67.5	378, 382
	Romania	37-101	75	1127
	US	20-220	67	706
	Bussia	9-77	35	271 900
	Germany	40-50		689
Soils on glacial till	Denmark	40° 00	28	801
Solio on gladiar in	Poland	19-52	40	974
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	10 02	62	752
1 10/13013	Great Britain	67-180	125	166 786
	New Zealand	53-67	60	870
	Poland	55-124	84.5	378
	Russia	34_49	42	900
Gloveole	Australia	21 62	42	702
Cleysols	Chad	25-300	_	30
	Great Britain	23-300	54	876
	Now Zoolond	60.94	72	870
	Poland	13_08	73 50 5	378
	Puccia	26 5 70	52.5	000
Pondzinas	Polond	59 150	52.5 77	370 303
Hendzinas	China	54-570	236	110/
		10 106	200	706
	0.3. Puesia	10-100	47	271 000
	Gormany	23-71	100	271, 900 690
Kastanozome and brown soils	Australia	20 70	100	702
Rastanozenis and brown solis	Chod	29-79	—	792
	China	23-100	08	1069
	Now Zoolond	44-770	90 52 5	970
	New Zealanu Romonio	30-07	53.5	0/0
	Runnia	27-113	37	242 000
Formalagla	Chod	02.0-04 05 145	43	343, 900
Ferraisois	Chino	20-140	190	1069
	lorool	20-600	180	1000
Colonabaka and colonatz	Dulgaria	200-214	—	750
Solonchaks and solonelz	Duigana	39-03		752
		25-100		39
	New Zealand	04-00	102	0/U 10,000
Champana	Russia	44-155	100	12, 900
Unernozems	Bulgaria	63-97		/52
	Poland	33-82	61.5	3/8
	U.S	20-246	83.5	/06
	Hussia	39-82	57	712, 900

Table 65 Zinc Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

(Continued)

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Prairien and meadow soils	Bulgaria	88–98	_	752
	China	51–130	87	1068
	Former S.U.	31–192	105	12, 89, 271, 900
Histosols and other organic soils	Bulgaria	_	80	752
	Denmark	48–130	72.5	1, 801
	New Zealand	21–34	27	870
	Poland	13–250	60	382
	U.S.	5–108	34	706
	Russia	7.5–74	34	271, 900
Forest soils	Bulgaria	35–106	_	752
	China	_	85	225
	U.S.	25–155	45.7	218, 219
	Russia	42.5–118	71.5	900
Various soils	Bulgaria	39–99	65	541
	Canada	20-110	57	629
	Canada	10–200	74ª	521
	Chad	25-90	—	39
	Denmark	—	31	801
	Great Britain	20–284	80	100, 786, 818, 876
	Japan	10-622	86	395
	Italy	16–157	68	946
	New Zealand	45-88	59	870
	Poland	3–762	46.6	1045
	Romania	35–115	61	43
	U.S.	13–300	73.5	706
	Russia	47–139	78	900

Table 65 Zinc Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW) (Continued)

^a Data for whole soil profiles.



Figure 42 Ionic species and compounds of Zn occurring in soils.

Abd-Elfattah and Wada² found the highest selective adsorption of Zn by Fe oxides, halloysite, allophane, and imogolite and the lowest by montmorillonite. Thus, clay minerals, hydrous oxides, and pH are likely to be the most important factors controlling Zn solubility in soils, while organic complexing and precipitation of Zn as hydroxide, carbonate, and sulfide compounds appear to be of much lesser importance. Zn can also enter some layer lattice silicate structures (e.g., montmorillonite) and become very immobile.

Soil organic matter is known to be capable of bonding Zn in stable forms; therefore, the Zn accumulation in organic soil horizons and in some peats is observed. However, stability constants of Zn-organic matter in soils are relatively low, but a high proportion of Zn is bound to organic



- Figure 43 Influence of soil pH on adsorption of Zn at (a) 20 ppm and (b) 40 ppm Zn levels in initial solutions and on formation of soluble Zn-organic complexes in the solution of loamy silt soil (c).⁵¹⁹
- Figure 44 Relative explanation index (RDI) of statistically significant relationship between Zn and soil parameters in mineral soils at the 99% confidence level (N = 780). Soil parameters: CF—clay fraction <0.02 mm; CEC—cation exchange capacity; BS—base saturation; SOM—soil organic matter; Fe—total content; Mn—total content; pH—in water (soil:water ratio, 1:2.5).

E. 34%

Δ

F. 29%

5, 13%

F3, 21%



Figure 45 Variation in Zn species in soils under sludge application: (A) unsludged soil, (B) sludged soil. Zn species: (F_1) easily soluble; (F_2) exchangeable; (F_3) associated with hydrous oxides; (F_4) bound to organic matter; and (F_5) residual.⁹⁸⁵

matter in mineral soils. The addition of sewage sludges to these soils modifies the distribution pattern of Zn, increasing significantly two Zn species—easily soluble and exchangeable (Figure 45). Wada and Abd-Elfattah⁸³⁴ gave the range in maximum Zn adsorption by different soils as 16 to 70 μ eq g⁻¹ for Ca-saturated samples. This finding supports several statements about Ca-exchange sites with high selectivities for Zn. Shukla et al.⁷²¹ reported, on the other hand, that the order of Zn adsorption in different cation-saturated soil was the following: H < Ca ≤ MG < K < Na.

Zn is considered to be readily soluble relative to the other heavy metals in soils. The Zn concentrations in soil solutions range from 4 to 270 μ g L⁻¹, depending on the soil and the techniques used for obtaining the solution (Table 16). Itoh et al.³⁴¹ reported a maximum of 17,000 μ g Zn in

1 L of solution and this value is, apparently, for highly contaminated soils. However, in natural but very acid soils (pH < 4), Zn concentration in solutions was reported to average 7137 μ g L⁻¹. The concentration of Zn in soil solutions of podzols of Taiga (Russia) varies from 20 to 350 μ g L⁻¹ and is higher in the upper layer.¹⁴²⁶ Although Zn is highly soluble in solution of peaty soils, it occurs mainly in colloidal forms (up to 60% of total content). Zn anionic organic and inorganic complexes account for up to 40%, whereas cationic forms are present only below 10%.¹⁴²⁷

Zn is most readily mobile and available in acid light mineral soils. As Norrish⁵⁷⁰ stated, the Zn fraction associated with the Fe and Mn oxides is likely to be the most available to plants. Acid leaching is very active in Zn mobilization; thus, losses of this metal are observed in certain horizons, particularly of podsols and brown acid soils derived from sands (Figure 41).

Solubility and availability of Zn is negatively correlated with Ca-saturation and P compounds present in soils. This relationship may reflect both adsorption and precipitation processes, as well as interactions between these elements. However, soluble Zn-organic complexes and complex anionic forms of Zn (Figures 42 and 43) may account for the relative solubility and availability of Zn in soils with a high pH range. This is supported by Bloomfield,⁸¹ who found that Zn was mobilized from the basic carbonates and from the oxides produced by aerobically decomposing plant material. Zn oxides added to a soil are easily transformed to other species, mainly carbonates.¹³⁵⁷ However, Zn in metallic and sulfide forms seems to be relatively stable in a specific condition of composted municipal solid waste.¹²⁵⁹ In soils heavily polluted with Zn, the formation of Zn-pyromorphite, $Zn_5(PO_4)_3OH$, was observed, mainly at the surface of grass roots, which suggests the impact of the rhizosphere on this process.¹²⁶³

The immobilization of Zn in soils rich in Ca and P, in well-aerated soils with S compounds, and in soils containing enhanced amounts of certain Ca-saturated minerals such as allophane, imogolite, and montmorillonite, as well as hydrous oxides, has an important practical impact on the Zn deficiency of plants. Zn deficiencies most frequently result from management practices used during crop production (e.g., overliming, P fertilization, organic matter amendment).

2. Contamination of Soils

The anthropogenic sources of Zn are related, first of all, to the nonferric metal industry and then to agricultural practice. Contemporarily observed soil contamination with Zn has already brought Zn to an extremely high accumulation in topsoils in certain areas (Table 66). Additional problems related to Zn pollution are changes in the metal speciation. For example, in soil (loamy sand, pH 6.1, OM 1.25%) amended with Zn-enriched sewage sludge, an increase was observed of easily available Zn species from 3% to 21%, and weakly bound or exchangeable Zn species, from 21% to 34% of the total Zn content (Figure 45).

Calculating the first half-life of Zn in contaminated soils in lysimeters showed that Zn decrease was relatively rapid and that soil containing 2210 ppm Zn will reduce Zn content by half during 70 to 81 years.³⁹⁵ These results, however, were reported for paddy soils with a long drainage period. Based on results of other experiments, the half-life of Zn as a pollutant in soil may be much longer. Amelioration of Zn-contaminated soils is commonly based on controlling its availability by the addition of lime or organic matter or both. Soluble Zn-organic complexes that occur particularly in municipal sewage sludge are very mobile in soils and therefore are easily available to plants.⁴⁵¹ Zn contamination of soils may create an important environmental problem.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Transport

Soluble forms of Zn are readily available to plants and the uptake of Zn has been reported to be linear with concentration in the nutrient solution and in soils (Figure 46). The rate of Zn



Figure 46 Zn uptake by plants from soil contaminated by this metal. (a) Pasture herbage, (b) wheat straw, (c) wheat grains, (d) potato stalks, (e) potato tubers.^{176,783}

Figure 47 Zn content of cereal tops at the boot stage as a function of Ca/Zn ratio in the soil solution. *Ca/Zn ratio is given in powers of ten.⁹¹⁵

Figure 48 Impact of Zn added, as Zn and Pb smelter flue-dust, to the soil on concentration of Zn in spring barley grain and straw. Zn levels in soils (ppm): I, 60 (blank); II, 560; III, 960; IV, 4060; V, 15,060.¹³⁵⁷

absorption differs greatly among both plant species and growth media. The composition of the nutrient solution, particularly the presence of Ca, is of great importance (Figure 47). At a high pH value (7.2–7.8), Zn uptake by barley is also closely correlated with its content in soils (Figure 48). Only the Zn transport to grain has not been increased at the soil-Zn level above 50 ppm; this suggests an effect of a biological barrier.

Disagreement exists in the literature whether Zn uptake is an active or a passive process. Moore,⁵⁴⁸ Loneragan,⁴⁸⁹ and Hewitt³¹⁷ have reviewed this topic, and it may be summed up that several controversial results strongly suggest that Zn uptake is mostly metabolically controlled; however, it can also be a nonmetabolic process. Fusuo Zhang et al.^{1004a} reported that root exudates of Zn-deficient cereal plants were active in mobilizing both Zn and Fe from various precipitations in soils.

The form in which Zn is absorbed by roots has not been precisely defined. There is, however, general agreement on the predominating uptake of both hydrated Zn and Zn²⁺. Several other complex ions and Zn-organic chelates may also be absorbed.^{489,788,856} Halvorsen and Lindsay³⁰²
concluded that only Zn^{2+} was absorbed by corn roots and that very low concentrations of that ion species are adequate for plant growth.

Several findings support the general statement that Zn is generally bound to soluble low-molecular-weight proteins; however, the formation of Zn-phytate and other insoluble Zn complexes was also reported by Weinberg⁸⁵⁶ and Tinker.⁷⁹⁸

Fractions of Zn bound to light organic compounds in xylem fluids and in other plant tissue extracts may suggest its high mobility in the plant.^{789,822} Tinker⁷⁹⁸ reported that the portion of Zn bound in complexes with a negative charge constitutes more than half of the total metal present in the plant.

Some authors regard Zn as highly mobile, while others consider Zn to have intermediate mobility. Indeed, when given luxury supplies of Zn, several plant species have mobilized appreciable quantities of this metal from old leaves to generative organs; but when under Zn-deficiency conditions, the same species have mobilized little, if any, Zn from old leaves. Hence, in summary of several findings, it may be stated that Zn is likely to be concentrated in mature leaves. However, Scheffer et al.^{688a} reported the highest Zn content of barley leaves, sheaths, and internodes to always be during the phase of intensive growth, which demonstrated a great Zn fluctuation within the plant during the vegetative period. Yläranta et al.,⁸⁹⁵ on the other hand, reported that the variation in the Zn content of wheat is surprisingly small and that the content increases slightly during the whole growth period. It has been calculated by Baumeister and Ernst⁵⁵ that up to 75% of the total Zn that is taken up is in the tops of young plants, whereas 20 to 30% occurs in the tops of old plants.

Roots often contain much more Zn than do tops, particularly if the plants are grown in Zn-rich soils. With luxury levels of soil Zn, this element may be translocated from the roots and accumulated in the tops of the plant. Zn is reported to be concentrated in chloroplasts, especially in those of some plants (e.g., spinach). This metal is also likely to be accumulated in vacuole fluids and in cell membranes.⁷⁹⁸

2. Biochemical Functions

Zn plays essential metabolic roles in the plant, of which the most significant is its activity as a component of a variety of enzymes, such as dehydrogenases, proteinases, peptidases, and phosphohydrolases (Table 37). Lindsay,⁴⁷⁶ Price et al.,⁶³⁰ and Shkolnik⁷¹⁸ have indicated that the basic Zn functions in plants are related to metabolism of carbohydrates, proteins, and phosphate and also to auxins, RNA, and ribosome formations. There is evidence that Zn influences the permeability of membranes and that is stabilizes cellular components and systems of microorganisms.^{718,856} Zn is believed to stimulate the resistance of plants to dry and hot weather and also to bacterial and fungal diseases.

Plant species and varieties differ widely in their susceptibility to Zn deficiencies. Although these deficiencies are relatively common (Table 39), their diagnosis is rather complex, and the best diagnoses are obtained when based on visual symptoms, plant analyses, and soil testing together. It must be emphasized, however, that for certain crops and soil, two chelating extractants, DTPA and EDTA, give linear relationships between Zn in the plant and the soluble Zn pool in the soil.^{476,797}

Nambiar and Motiramani⁵⁶⁰ reported that Zn levels in tissues used for the prediction of its deficiency often fail as the diagnostic test and that tissue Fe to Zn ratios appear to be more promising for the prediction even of hidden Zn deficiency. The critical Fe to Zn ratio in maize was found to be around 6.0. Lindsay⁴⁷⁶ extensively reviewed the patterns of Zn deficiency and its common occurrence throughout the world and listed the most important factors contributing to Zn deficiency as follows:

- 1. Low soil Zn content
- 2. Calcareous soils and pH above 7
- 3. Soils low in organic matter
- 4. Microbial inactivation of Zn in soil
- 5. Limited Zn uptake by roots due to restricted root zone and to cool spring seasons
- 6. Differential responses of plant species and genotypes
- 7. Antagonistic effects

Site and Pollution Source	Country	Mean or Range in Content	Ref.
Old mining area	Great Britain	220-66,400	808, 915
C	Great Britain	455-810	165
Nonferric metal mining	Great Britain	185–4500	165, 786, 1005
-	U.S.	500-80,000	615, 1108
	Russia	400-4245	467, 567
Metal-processing industry	Canada	185–1397	363
	Belgium	66-180,000	1142
	Holland	915–3626	305
	Greece	550-16,000	559
	Japan	132–5400	891, 403, 926
	Poland	1665–13,800	224, 1015, 1526
	U.S.	155–12,400	365, 259
	Romania	3000	1126
	Zambia	180–3500	573
Urban garden and orchard	Canada	30–117	243, 848
	Great Britain	250-1800	956, 1190
	Poland	15–99	159
	U.S.	20-1200	628
Sludged farmland	Great Britain	217–525	59
	Great Britain	1097–7474	165, 166
	Hungary	100–360	1177
	Germany	190–1485	397, 176
	Holland	234–757ª	314
	Sweden	369	24
	U.S.	345-764	964, 1186

Table 66 Zinc Contamination of Surface Soils (ppm DW)

^a 6 and 16 tonnes dry matter sludge/ha/year, for 5 years.

Zn toxicity and Zn tolerance in plants have recently been of special concern because the prolonged use of Zn fertilizers, as well as its input from industrial pollution, is reflected in enhanced Zn content of surface soils (Table 66).

Several plant species and genotypes are known to have a great tolerance of Zn and a great selectivity in absorbing Zn from soils. Plants usually reflect changes in the Zn content of growth media and therefore are good indicators in biogeochemical investigations. Some genotypes grown in Zn-rich soils or in areas of heavy atmospheric Zn deposition may accumulate extremely large amounts of this metal without showing symptoms of toxicity. Petrunina⁶¹³ and Kovalevskiy⁴¹⁷ listed several species, particularly of the families Caryophyllaceae, Cyperaceae, and Plumbaginaceae, and also some trees, as extremely good Zn indicators that concentrate this metal in the range from about 0.1 to about 1% (DW). Tolerant species may reduce the effect of excessive Zn concentrations either by metabolic adaptation and complexing or by limiting the presence of the metal at cellular locations or by immobilization in storage tissues.

Most plant species and genotypes have great tolerance to excessive amounts of Zn. Chlorosis, mainly in new leaves, and depressed plant growth are the common symptoms of Zn toxicity (Table 40). An excess of Zn can be bound by phytic acid in roots of some crop plants (e.g., soybean, tomato, cabbage, wheat). This mechanism does not work, however, when there is also an excess of Cd.¹⁵⁰⁷

Zn phytotoxicity is reported relatively often, especially for acid and heavily sludged soils. The physiology and biochemistry of the toxic effects of Zn in plants are likely to be similar to those reported for other heavy metals; however, Zn is not considered to be highly phytotoxic. The toxicity limit for Zn depends on the plant species and genotypes, as well as on the growth stage. Hence, about 300 ppm Zn is reported to be toxic to young barley, whereas about 400 ppm is toxic to oats at the beginning of tillering.^{171,322} However, in root tissues, where Zn is immobilized in cell walls or complexed in nondif-fusible Zn proteins, the critical concentration of Zn is much higher. Sensitive plant species are reported

to be retarded in growth when their tissues contain 150 to 200 ppm Zn.¹⁰⁵² Most commonly, however, the upper toxic levels range in various plants from 100 to 500 ppm (DW).¹⁰⁸¹

Some species are known to hyperaccumulate Zn, such as *Thlaspi caerulencens* or *Thlaspi ochroleucum*, and are recommended for *in situ* decontamination of polluted soils. The first species of *Thlaspi* appears to be more resistant to a very high concentration of Zn and to significantly higher accumulated amounts of Zn (up to 8000 ppm) than the second species (up to 2000 ppm). Both plants, however, accumulate more Zn in shoots than in roots.¹⁴⁰⁹ Mean extraction of Zn by these plants from soils contaminated with Zn (124–444 ppm Zn) was calculated at 14 to 57 kg ha⁻¹.¹⁴⁰⁷

3. Interactions with Other Elements

Zn is relatively active in biochemical processes and is known to be involved in several biological and chemical interactions with several elements. Findings of Graham et al.¹⁰¹³ supported earlier reports of Loneragan et al.¹⁰⁷⁴ that Zn deficiency interferes with the control of ion absorption, causing ions to accumulate to high levels in plant tissues. Thus, supplying Zn is expected to decrease the uptake of most nutrients. This was not observed for Fe, while the uptake of B and Mn was especially depressed. Graham et al.¹⁰¹³ were the first to report that inadequate Zn content leads to increased uptake of B and S by barley.

Zn-Cd interactions appear to be somewhat controversial, since there are reports of both antagonism and synergism between the two elements in the uptake-transport processes. Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ explained the observed synergism in rice plants in terms of Zn competition for the Cd sites, resulting in an increase in Cd solubility, and in Cd translocation from the roots to tops. Wallace et al.⁸⁴⁶ reported a high Cd accumulation in roots of plants at a high Zn level and at a low pH of the solution. Earlier findings, well illustrated by those of Lagerwerff and Biersdorff,⁴⁴⁹ however, show antagonism between these cations in the uptake-transport process. It may be stated that the ratio of Cd to Zn in plant media controls the occurrence of synergism and antagonism between these cations.

Zn-Cu antagonistic interactions have been observed in which the uptake of one element was competitively inhibited by the other. This may indicate the same carrier sites in absorption mechanisms of both metals.

Zn-Fe antagonism is widely known and its mechanism is apparently similar to the depressing effects of other heavy metals on Fe uptake. An excess of Zn leads to a marked reduction in Fe concentration in plants. Olsen⁵⁸¹ stated that Zn interfered more with the absorption and translocation of Fe than it did with Cu and Mn. There are two possible mechanisms of this interaction—the competition between Zn^{2+} and Fe^{2+} in the uptake processes, and the interference in chelation processes during the uptake and translocation of Fe from the roots to tops. Also, Fe decreases Zn absorption and the toxicity of Zn that was absorbed. Observed Zn-Fe synergism is reported to be linked with the P supply. It is suggested that at a relatively high accumulation of P and Zn in roots, the precipitation of FePO₄ in root tissues can account for the increase in Fe uptake.¹⁰¹³

Zn-As interaction was reported by Shkolnik⁷¹⁸ as a possible antagonism observed in the decrease of toxic effects of As excesses after Zn treatments. Similar interaction between Zn and Hg was also mentioned, although not described clearly.

Zn-P interaction has been widely observed and reported for many crops, especially after phosphate and lime applications.⁴⁹¹ Norvell et al.¹¹⁰⁴ studied Zn-P interaction in alkaline soils and stated that the specific effects of P on the concentration of Zn²⁺ in the solution were small and observed only when the Zn²⁺ content was raised previously by Zn fertilizers. However, the addition of P also caused small decreases in the concentrations of other divalent cations in the solution. The P-Zn imbalance, resulting from excessive P accumulation, is known to induce Zn deficiency. This antagonism appears to be based to a large extent on chemical reactions in the root media.^{581,675} However, Smilde et al.⁷³⁸ stated that Zn-P antagonism could not be explained by only mutual immobilization and that this interaction is mainly a plant physiological characteristic. The specific mechanisms of Zn-P interaction are not yet known. Usually, the antagonistic effect of P on the

concentration of Zn was more pronounced than that of Zn on P. The optimum P:Zn ratio for corn plants is 100. At lower and higher values, a steep decrease in yield is observed.^{1542a} Synergism between P and Zn was also observed in some plants.⁶⁵⁴ A balanced P and Zn nutrition was reported by Shukla and Yadav⁷²² to be essential for the proper activity of *Rhizobium* and N fixation.

Zn-N interaction is mostly a secondary "dilution" effect due to the increase of biomass because of the heavy N treatment. Olsen⁵⁸¹ also reported an enhancement of Zn in tops due to a higher bonding of Zn by proteins and amino acids in root tissues.

Zn-Ca and Zn-Mg interactions appear to vary for a given plant and media (Table 43). Apparently, several other factors, pH in particular, control the antagonistic and synergistic character of interactions between these elements. Olsen⁵⁸¹ stated that reactions which lowered Zn deficiency by Mg applications occurred within the plant rather than within the soil, but that competition between Mg and Zn in soil exchange sites cannot be precluded.

4. Concentrations in Plants

It is assumed that the Zn content of plants varies considerably, reflecting different factors of the various ecosystems and of the genotypes. However, the Zn contents of certain foodstuffs, cereal grains, and pasture herbage from different countries do not differ widely. Zn content ranged from 1.2 to 73 ppm (DW) in apple and lettuce leaves, respectively (Table 67). Chinese tea leaves contain Zn from 26 to 40 ppm, of which up to 50% is extracted by hot water. Tea drinks have from 0.08 to 0.17 ng Zn L^{-1} .¹⁵⁵⁰

Mean values for Zn in wheat grains ranged from 22 to 33 ppm (DW) and did not show any clear differences in country of origin (Table 68). Rye seems to contain a little less, and barley somewhat more Zn than wheat. The geometric mean of Zn in 6500 samples of cereal grains (wheat and rye) collected from 1992 to 1995 from the whole territory of Poland is 33 ppm (Table 7), within the range from 0.3–298, and is very close to the mean values reported for worldwide cereals about two decades earlier (Table 68). The Zn mean content of over 5000 samples of potato tubers (washed, unpeeled) grown in Poland accounts for 20 ppm within the range from 1.2 to 150.¹⁵¹⁴ The median Zn content (N = 128) in wheat grain grown in France is 15.5 ppm (range 7–43 ppm).¹²¹³ A recent reference for food compositions in the U.S. gives the following values for Zn in some categories of plant food (ppm FW): (1) vegetables: range 0.7–8.0, the lowest value for celery root, and the highest for spinach; (2) fruits: range 0.4–3.0, the lowest value for grapes, and the highest for black

	Tissue FW Basis			DW Basis	AW Basis			
Plant	Sample	(574)	(395, 1187)	(705)	(852)	(381)	(705)	(852)
Sweet corn	Grains	_	18.5	25	36	_	980	1060
Bean	Pods	0.3	28.3	38	32	_	550	550
Cabbage	Leaves	0.6	2.2ª	24	26	31	270	275
Lettuce	Leaves	0.1	11.7	73	44	_	520	240
Carrot	Roots	0.5	2.6	21	24	27	290	325
Beet	Roots	_	_	_	28	46	_	485
Onion	Bulbs	_	1.7	22	22	32	530	395
Potato	Tubers	0.3	3.4	14	10	26	340	310
Tomato	Fruits	_	1.4	26	17	_	220	235
Apple	Fruits	0.03	_	1.2	_	_	67	_
Orange	Fruits	0.09	0.9 ^b	5.0	_	_	140	_
Теа	Leaves	—	—	34°	32°	32°	—	—

Table 67 Mean Zinc Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a Pulses.

^b Citrus unshiu (Satsuma orange).

^c Tea from Japan, India, and China, respectively.¹⁰³²

Country	Cereal	Range	Mean	Ref.
Afghanistan	Barley	_	20	446
	Wheat	_	25	446
Australia	Wheat	16–35	22	867
Canada	Oats	_	37	514
Egypt	Wheat ^a	19–29	25	213
Germany	Wheat	6–40	23 ^b	65
Finland	Wheat ^a	25–47	37	508
	Wheat ^c	27–35	32	508
Great Britain	Barley	16–49	30	783
Japan	Wheat (flour)	_	5 ^d	395
	Brown rice	19–28	23	395
	Unpolished rice	_	21 ^d	891
Norway	Barley	15–51	29	446
	Wheat	21–67	33	446
Poland	Wheat°	23–38	27	268
	Rye	14–73	31	424, 1045
	Oats	12–75	29	1045
	Triticale		22	667
Sweden	Wheat ^c	20–40	34°	26
U.S.	Barley	20–23	22	484, 710
	Wheat, soft		5	906
	Wheat, hard	20–47	28	906
	Rye	_	34	492
	Rice	7.2–21	14 ^d	1187
	Triticale	—	26	492
Russia	Rye	—	19	501

Table 68 Zinc Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Spring wheat.

^b Mean value calculated from the given range.

° Winter wheat.

d FW basis.

^e Data calculated from the figures given.

	Grasses		Clov		
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Bulgaria	24–50	34	_	_	680
Czech Republic	15–35	25ª	_	_	154
Germany	15–80	47ª	20-50	24 ^b	65
Germany	27–67	31°	_	_	596
Finland	28–39	32	_	_	388
Great Britain	22–54	33	_	_	165
Hungary	21–36	27	30–126	39	803
Japan	18–38	28	23–55	34	770
New Zealand	16–45	28	20–49	27	536
Poland	12–72	30 ^d	16–86	37°	838, 1045
Russia	_	_	_	45	501
Yugoslavia	6–11		48–94	62	623, 755

 Table 69
 Mean Levels and Ranges of Zinc in Grass and Clover of Immature Growth from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Mean value calculated from the given range.

^b Alfalfa.

° Perennial rye grass and clover mixture.

d Orchard grass.

e White clover.

Site and Pollution		Mean, or Range			
Source	Plant and Part	of Content	Country	Ref.	
Old mining area	Grass	65–350	Great Britain	165, 167	
	Clover	450	Great Britain	513	
Nonferric metal mining	Onion bulbs	39–710	Great Britain	169a	
	Lettuce leaves	55–530	Great Britain	169a	
Metal industry	Lettuce leaves	316	Australia	57	
	Chinese cabbage leaves	1300	Japan	403	
	Oat grains	132–194	Poland	224	
	Potato tubers	74–80	Poland	224	
	Lettuce leaves	213–393	Poland	224	
	Carrot roots	201–458	Poland	224	
	Blueberry tops	130–680	Poland	922	
Urban garden	Radish roots	27–708	Great Britain	166, 167	
	Leafy vegetables	35–470	U.S.	628	
Sludged, irrigated or	Grass	126–280	Holland	297	
fertilized farmland	Sudan grass	66–250	Hungary	1177	
	Rice grains	21	Japan	395	
	Rice roots	4510	Japan	395	
	Oat grains	27–85	U.S.	726	
	Soybean leaves	156	U.S.	126	
	Soybean seed	114	U.S.	126	
	Sagebrush	2600ª	U.S.	278	
	Potato tubers	36	Germany	176	

	Table 70	Excessive Levels	of Zinc in Plants	Grown in	Contaminated	Sites	(ppm C	ЭW
--	----------	------------------	-------------------	----------	--------------	-------	--------	----

^a AW basis.

currant (European); (3) cereals: range 0.7–32.5, the lowest value for barley pearls (cooked), the highest for rye (whole grain); and (4) nuts: range 5–42.3, the lowest value for coconut (meat, fresh), and the highest for Brazil nuts (shelled).¹²⁹¹

Background content of Zn in grass and clover throughout the world is also relatively stable, and its mean levels in grasses ranged from 12 to 47 ppm (DW), and in clovers ranged from 24 to 45 ppm (DW) (Table 69).

The deficiency content of Zn in plants has been established at 10 to 20 ppm (DW) (Table 36). These values, however, may vary considerably because the Zn deficiency reflects both the requirements of each genotype and effects of the interactions of Zn with other elements within the plant tissues.

Environmental Zn pollution greatly influences the concentrations of this metal in plants (Table 70). In ecosystems where Zn is an airborne pollutant, the tops of plants are likely to concentrate the most Zn. On the other hand, plants grown in Zn-contaminated soils accumulate a great proportion of the metal in the roots. The reported Zn contents of plants from some contaminated sites have already reached the magnitude of 0.X% (DW) and are a real health risk.

VII. CADMIUM

A. Soils

There is growing environmental concern about Cd as being one of the most ecotoxic metals that exhibit highly adverse effects on soil biological activity, plant metabolism, and the health of humans and the animal kingdom. The behavior of Cd in the environment and related health aspects has recently been reviewed by Kabata-Pendias and Pendias¹³⁵⁷ and Stoeppler.¹⁵⁰⁶

The abundance of Cd in magmatic and sedimentary rocks does not exceed around 0.3 ppm, and this metal is likely to be concentrated in argillaceous and shale deposits (Table 59). Cd is





strongly associated with Zn in its geochemistry, but seems to have a stronger affinity for S than Zn, and also exhibits a higher mobility than Zn in acid environments. Cd compounds are known to be isotypic with corresponding compounds of such cations as Zn^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and, in some cases, of Ca^{2+} .

During weathering, Cd goes readily into solution and, although known to occur as Cd^{2+} , it may also form several complex ions (CdCl⁺, CdOH⁺, CdHCO₃⁺, CdCl₃⁻, CdCl₄²⁻, Cd(OH)₃⁻, and Cd(OH)₄²⁻) and organic chelates. However, the most important valence state of Cd in the natural environment is +2, and the most important factors which control Cd ion mobility are pH and oxidation potential. Under conditions of strong oxidation, Cd is likely to form minerals (CdO, CdCO₃) and is also likely to be accumulated in phosphate and in biolith deposits.

The main factor determining the Cd content of soil is the chemical composition of the parent rock. The average contents of Cd in soils lie between 0.06 and 1.1. ppm (Table 71) and seem not to correlate with the soil units, although its highest mean content is for histosols (0.78 ppm) and the lowest for podzols (0.37 ppm) (Table 9). This relationship is nicely illustrated by the Cd geometric mean contents increasing with the content of the soil clay fraction. Light sandy soils of Poland contain 0.22 ppm Cd, whereas light loamy soils contain 0.31, and heavy loamy soils contain 0.51 ppm (Table 20). Although clay fraction is not a major soil factor controlling the Cd distribution, it contributes up to 10% of all relationships (Figure 49). A stronger relationship is observed for Cd with Fe and Mn contents of soil. Oxides appear to be of minor importance in soil at low pH (<5.5). The calculated worldwide mean is 0.53 ppm Cd in surface soils, and apparently all higher values reflect the anthropogenic impact on the Cd status in topsoils. Surface soils from major agricultural production areas of the U.S. contain Cd within the range of <0.01 to 2.0 ppm Cd (geometric mean, 0.175).¹³²⁹ Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported Cd contents in reference soils from different countries to range from 0.06 to 4.3 ppm. Soils from Sichote-Alin (remote region of Russia) contain Cd from 0.2 to 1.14 ppm, and the greatest concentration was noticed in flooded soils.¹⁴²⁸

1. Reaction with Soil Components

The sorption of Cd species by soil components has recently been widely studied. Farrah and Pickering²²⁸ stated that competitive adsorption by clays is the predominant process in Cd bonding. Also, findings of Tiller et al.⁷⁹⁶ and Soon⁷⁴⁷ support the opinion that adsorption, rather than precipitation, controls Cd concentrations in soil solutions until a threshold pH value is exceeded. The pH-solubility diagram (Figure 50) indicates that above pH 7.5, Cd sorbed in soils is not easily mobile; therefore, the solubility of CdCo₃, and possibly of Cd₃(PO₄)₂, would control the Cd mobility in soils. The solubility of Cd appears to be highly dependent on the pH; however, the nature of sorbent surfaces and of organic ligands is also of importance. As John³⁶¹ reported, the coefficient of bonding energy of the Cd adsorption was higher for organic matter than for soil clays. Abd-Elfattah and Wada² on the other hand, stated that Fe oxides, allophane, and imogolite reveal the highest affinity for the selective adsorption of Cd. Hydroxides appear to be of minor importance in soil at low pH (<5.5). Cd sorption in acid soil is highly influenced by composition of the liquid phase.¹²³³

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Romania	0.2–2.7	0.9	1127
	Poland	0.01-0.24	0.07	378
	U.S.	0.08-0.47	0.21	1026
	Canada	0.10-1.80	0.43	243
	Russia	_	0.32	1031
Loess and silty soils	Poland	0.18-0.25	0.20	378
Loamy and clay soils	Poland	0.08-0.58	0.26	378
	Romania	0.5-1.6	0.9	1127
	Canada	0.12-1.61	0.64	243
	U.S.	0.13-0.55	0.27	1026
Soils on glacial till	Denmark	_	0.25	801
5	Great Britain	0.49-0.61	_	353
	Poland	0.04-0.80	0.27	974
Fluvisols	Austria	0.21-0.52	0.37	6
	Great Britain	0.41–2	1.10	166, 353, 786
	Poland	0.24-0.36	0.30	378
	Bulgaria	0.42	_	612
Gleysols	Poland	0.14-0.96	0.50	378
Rendzinas	Poland	0.38-0.84	0.62	378
Brown soils	Austria	0.22-0.49	0.33	6
	Romania	0.3–1.4	0.7	1127
	U.S.	0.05-0.71	0.27	1026
Chernozems	Poland	0.18-0.58	0.38	378
	Bulgaria	0.55-0.71	0.61	612
	Russia	_	0.32	1031
Histosols and other organic soils	Denmark	0.8-2.2	1.05	1.801
	Canada	0.19-1.22	0.57	243
	Great Britain	0.56	_	353
	U.S.	0.36-1.44	0.72	1026
Forest soil	U.S.	0.5-1.5	0.73	87
Yermosols	U.S.	0.17-0.71	0.35	1026
Various soils	Austria	0.19-0.46	0.29	6
	Denmark	_	0.26	801
	Great Britain	0.27-4	1.00	100. 353. 786. 818
	Germany	0.3–1.8	0.80	390
	Italy	0.07-0.89	0.44	946
	Janan	0.03-2.53	0.44	395
	Poland	0.1-0.6	0.41	1046
	Bulgaria	0.24-0.35	0.29	612
	Canada		0.56	243
		0 41-0 57	0.00	396
	Bussia	0.01-0.11	0.06	233 1131
	1100010	5.01 0.11	0.00	200, 1101





Figure 50 Solubility of Cd²⁺ in soils as a function of soil pH. Equilibration with 15, 25, and 100 μ g Cd per 2 g of soil.⁷⁴⁷



Figure 51 Variation in Cd species in soils under sludge application: (A) unsludged soil, (B) sludged soil. Cd species: (F₁) easily soluble; (F₂) exchangeable; (F₃) associated with hydrous oxides; (F₄) bound to organic matter; and (F₅) residual.⁹⁹⁵

Recently, Cd adsorption to organic matter as well as to Fe and Mn oxides has been widely studied by Gadde and Laitien,²⁵³ Forbes et al.,²⁴⁰ and Street et al.⁷⁶¹ All the findings lead to some generalizations: in all soil, Cd activity is strongly affected by pH; in acid soils, the organic matter and sesquioxides may largely control Cd solubility, and that in alkaline soil, precipitation of Cd compounds is likely to account for Cd equilibria. The amount of Cd bound to organic matter and in residual fraction seems to be relatively stable in the soil, while its exchangeable forms increase significantly under sludge application (Figure 51).

Soil microbial activity is also believed to play a significant role in the Cd behavior in soils. Chammugathas and Bollag⁹⁶² observed both binding of Cd and its subsequent release from soils under the influence of soil microorganisms. The rate and degree of these processes depend upon soil parameters of which the Eh-pH system is of greater importance. Isenbeck et al.¹⁰³³ reported that the carbonate concentration in the soil solution leads to total Cd precipitation, and that no other soil factors are so active in Cd immobilization. This observation is also supported by recent findings of Fic⁹⁹² and Christensen.⁹⁶⁷

Cd is most mobile in acidic soils within the range of pH 4.5 to 5.5, whereas in alkaline soil Cd is rather immobile. However, as the pH is increased in the alkaline range, monovalent hydroxy ion species are likely to occur (e.g., CdOH⁺), which could not easily occupy the sites on cationic exchange complexes. The sorption of Cd is known to be the fast process. Christensen⁹⁶⁷ found that 95% of the Cd sorption takes place within 10 minutes, reaching equilibrium in 1 hr and that the soil has very high affinity for Cd at pH 6. In the pH interval from 4 to 7.7, the sorption capacity of the soil increases approximately 3 times for a pH increase of one unit.

Cd concentration in the soil solution is relatively low and is reported to range from 0.2 to 6 μ g L⁻¹. The much higher value (300 μ g L⁻¹) reported by Itoh and Yumura³⁴² presumably indicates contaminated soil and corresponds to the value (400 μ g L⁻¹) given by Kabata-Pendias and Gondek³⁷⁹ for contaminated soil. The solubility of Cd is closely related to the acidity of the soil solution (Figure 14). The critical acidity in mineral soils is within the pH range of 4.0 to 4.5, at which a drop in pH of merely 0.2 units results in a 3 to 5 times increase in the Cd concentration.⁹³⁸ Asami⁹²⁴ found that a half or more of the total Cd in paddy soils is readily extractable by 0.05 M CaCl₂. Among the other trace metals, Cd is known to be most mobile under conditions of different soils. Lodenius¹⁰⁷¹ studied the mobilization of Cd in sand and peat soils under leaching with artificial acid rain (pH 3.6 and 5.4) and with salt solution (pH 7.0). In each case, the strongest leaching was achieved by a neutral solution containing Ca²⁺, K⁺, NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻ ions. This supports Laxen's conclusion¹⁰⁵⁷ that as alkalinity increases, Cd adsorption decreases, probably due to the competition from Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions. These findings have important implications for the development of realistic reclamation techniques for the management of Cd-enriched soils.

	Maximum or Range		
Site and Pollution Source	of Content	Country	Ref.
Mineralized bedrock and mining area	0.6–468	Great Britain	166, 808. 915
-	2–336ª	Great Britain	959
	2–144	Belgium	728
Metal-processing industry	3.2-1781	Belgium	1142
	2–5	Bulgaria	611
	2–36	Canada	363
	9–33	Holland	305
	1.8–88	Japan	336, 403, 891, 926
	6–270	Poland	224, 871, 1015
	12	Romania	1126
	26-1500	U.S.	233, 1108
	0.6–46	Zambia	573
Urban gardens	1–17	Great Britain	959
	0.08–61	Poland	159, 1173
	0.02-13.6	U.S.	127, 628
	10–100 ^b	U.S.	1073
	3.9	Germany	1046
Sludged, irrigated, or fertilized farmland	7.3–8.1	Canada	243
	1.5–167	Great Britain	959, 987
	15–57°	Holland	314
	2.5-5.6	Hungary	1177
	2.2-7.5 ^d	Japan	924
	0.4–107	Poland	1173
	2.6-8.3	U.S.	964, 1186
Vicinity of highways	1–10	U.S.	705a

Table 72 Cadmium Contamination of Surface Soils (ppm DW)

^a Zn mining area.

^b Soils sludged since 1964.

° 6 and 16 t dry matter sludge/ha/year, for 5 years.

d Paddy soils.

In soils developed under the influence of humid climate, migration of Cd down the profile is more likely to occur than its accumulation in the surface horizon; thus, the enrichment in Cd content observed so commonly in topsoils should be related to contamination effects.

2. Contamination of Soil

Soil contamination with Cd is believed to be a most serious health risk. Under man-induced conditions, Cd is likely to build up in surface soils (Tables 18 and 72). However, elevated levels of Cd in soils can also be of lithogenic (geogenic) origin. This is reported by Čurlik and Forgač¹²⁶⁷ for alluvial soils derived from pyritized quartzes and from hydrothermally altered pyritized andezine in Slovakia (area near Banska Stavnica) in which a high concentration of Cd up to 222 ppm is observed at a depth of 100 to 120 cm. This phenomenon is due to the redeposition of sulfide materials which absorb some metals mobilized during weathering. Natural Cd anomalies have also been detected by Baize et al.¹²¹⁴ in France. These Cd-contaminated soils are derived from Jurassic or Cretaceous limestones. The Cd content of Jurassic rocks ranges broadly from 0.02 to 8.15 ppm.

The present concentration of Cd in topsoils is reported to be very high in the vicinities of Pb and Zn mines and, in particular, smelting operations (Table 72). Sewage sludges and phosphate fertilizers are also known as important sources of Cd, and there are several comprehensive reviews of this subject, as given by Fleischer et al.,²³³ Williams and David,^{878,879} Street et al.,⁷⁶¹ Chaney and Hornick,¹²⁹ von Jung et al.,³⁷² and Andersson and Hahlin.²² Poelstra et al.¹¹²¹ calculated the accumulation of Cd in soils due to the application of sewage sludges. The permissible level



Figure 52 Influence of KH_2PO_4 on Cd fractionation in soil contaminated with Cd (370 ppm), soil pH 7.0 after CaCo₃ amendment. Fractions of Cd (after Tessier et al.¹¹⁶²): I, exchangeable; II, carbonate; III, oxide; IV, organic; V, residual, (With permission from the authors.¹³²⁸)



Figure 53 Cd input in Swedish agricultural soils with phosphate fertilizers. (Modified from Gunnarson.¹³²⁰)

of Cd (i.e., 22 kg ha⁻¹) from this source will be reached, in different periods, depending upon soil variables and, in particular, upon the adsorption coefficient. The significant increase of weakly bound and exchangeable species of Cd in the sludged soils will affect its phytoavailability (Figures 51 and 55).

Jones et al.¹⁰⁴⁴ gave an excellent example for the Cd long-term increase in the soil plow layer in the Rothamsted Experimental Station, England. Both the Cd atmospheric deposition and fertilizers (farmyard manure or phosphate fertilizers) resulted in Cd increases. Changes in soil Cd levels in untreated plots were from 0.51 to 0.77 ppm over a period of about 40 years. Even in the forests of remote regions in various countries, with the exception of one site in Sweden, the atmospheric input of Cd exceeded the output of this metal from the soil profile (Table 17). In France, some highly polluted soils are reported to contain over 100 ppm Cd.¹²¹⁴

In recent years, a decrease of Cd emissions from anthropogenic sources has been noted in some countries. For example, in Finland, the Cd emission decreased from 6.3 tonnes in 1990 to 1.1 tonnes in 1997.¹⁴³³

A significant source of Cd in soils is phosphate fertilizers (Table 23). However, phosphate added to soils immobilizes the Cd. As Hettiarachchi et al.¹³²⁸ reported, the mobility of Cd in phosphorusamended soil is significantly reduced. The exchangeable fraction of Cd decreases with the presence of phosphate in soils, while carbonate and oxide fractions increase (Figure 52). Phosphate fertilizers are a continuous source of Cd in soils. The highest content of Cd, up to >100 ppm, is reported to be in raw phosphates from the U.S. (Idaho), and the lowest, below 3 ppm, in phosphate rocks of igneous origin mined in Russia (Kola mine) and in Finland (Siilinjärvi).¹⁴²⁰ Gunnarson¹³²⁰ calculated the added Cd to soils in Sweden since 1901 (Figure 53). Recent data^{1044,1257} have also shown a significant increase in Cd concentration in agricultural soils (Figure 54). Similar results were

Figure 54 Changes in soil Cd levels in unfertilized plots of long-term experiments in Great Britain and Denmark. (Modified from Jones et al.¹⁰⁴⁴ and Christensen and Tjell.¹²⁵⁷)



Figure 55 The effect of Cd concentration in the culture solution on Cd uptake by grass *Bromus unioloides*.¹⁰⁴⁵

presented by Sillanpää and Jansson¹⁴⁹¹ for worldwide soils (N = 1823). The Cd contents of soils and of crop plants increased from 0.04 to 0.1 ppm and from 0.04 to 0.12 ppm, respectively, with increasing fertilization from 5 to 70 kg P ha⁻¹. The prediction presented by Stigliani¹⁵⁰⁸ indicates that due to the continuous increase of Cd in soils and decrease of soil pH, the transfer of Cd to the food chain will grow significantly with time. In the year 2010, the crop from acid soils (pH 5.0) can increase the PTWI doses up to 1200 μ g Cd, which is several times higher than the dose accepted as the health-related limit for Cd intake by humans.

Because of the environmental significance of the Cd accumulation in soil, several techniques for the management of Cd-enriched cropland have been investigated. Similarly, as in the case of Zn-contaminated soils, these techniques are based on increasing the soil pH and CEC. Although liming generally is expected to decrease Cd absorption by raising the soil pH, it is not effective for all soils and plants. Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ reported that the best and most reliable results in reducing Cd availability was the layering of unpolluted soil over polluted soil to a depth of 30 cm. The maximum permissible rate of Cd addition to soil should depend strongly on the soil pH. Escrig and Morell¹²⁹⁵ reported that a tenfold increase in Ca concentration in soil reduced the Cd adsorption capacity by approximately three times.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Transport

Although Cd is considered to be a nonessential element for metabolic processes, it is effectively absorbed by both the root and leaf systems, and is also highly accumulated in soil organisms (Table 28). In almost every case, a linear relationship between Cd in plant material *vs*. Cd in growth medium is reported (Figures 55 and 56). Nevertheless, several soil and plant factors affect the plant uptake of Cd. The variable ability of plants to take up Cd from a calcareous soil treated with 0.1 and 5 mg Cd kg⁻¹ soil in sewage sludge is presented by McGrath¹⁴⁰⁶ (after Bingham). While field



Figure 56 Cd uptake by plants from soil contaminated by this metal. (a) Potato stalks, (b) potato tubers, (c) wheat grains, (d) brown rice, submerged during growth period, (e) brown rice, drained after tillering stage, (f) barley grains, value in ng g⁻¹, (g) spinach leaves, data for 0.1 *N* HCI-soluble Cd in soil.^{22,176, 336, 342}



Figure 57 (a) Effect of soil pH on Cd content of soybean leaves and (b) effect of solution pH on relative Cd absorption by rice seedlings.^{129,395}

bean contents of Cd (ppm in edible tissue) were at levels <0.1 and 0.4, carrot contained 0.9 and 8.2, lettuce contained 1.4 and 21, and spinach contained 3.6 and 91, respectively.

In nearly all the publications on the subject, soil pH is listed as the major soil factor controlling both total and relative uptake of Cd (Figure 57). Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ reported results of their experiment, indicating that the relative uptake of Cd by rice seedlings was the greatest within the pH range of 4.5 to 5.5. Bingham et al.⁷⁷ also found that the Cd content of rice grain is highly dependent upon the soil pH and is highest at pH 5.5. However, there are contradictory results which show that when Cd becomes more mobile in alkaline soil due to the formation of complexes or metal chelates, the plant uptake of Cd may be independent of the pH.^{41,129} Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ described that when the redox potential of soils decreases to about -0.14 V, the proportion of soluble Cd decreases, corresponding to the reduction of sulfate to sulfides. This is well-illustrated in the much lower uptake of Cd by rice grown on submerged soil than on soil drained after the tillering stage (Figure 56).

Even at the high soil pH (7.2–7.8), Cd uptake by barley was a function of its content in soil. The rapid increase in the Cd concentration in straw at the 0.5-ppm level, and in grain at the 0.3-ppm level (Figure 58) is most probably related to a break of the physiological barrier controlling a metabolic



Figure 58 Impact of Cd added, as Zn and Pb smelter flue-dust, to the soil on the concentration of Cd in spring barley grain and straw. Cd levels in soils (ppm): I, 0.7 (blank); II, 3.7; III, 7.7; IV, 50.7; V, 100.7.¹³⁵⁷



Figure 59 Relation between the Cd content of wheat grain (Cd_g) and the total content of Cd in soil (Cd_s). (Modified from Hornburg and Brümmer.¹⁰²⁹)

absorption of this metal. The influence of low-molecular-weight organic acids present in the rhizosphere of durum wheat on the mobility of particulate-bound Cd and on metal phytoaccumulation is reported by Cieoeliñski et al.¹²⁶²

Although soil characteristics other than the pH can also cause differences in the Cd absorption by roots, it may be stated that soluble species of Cd in soil are always easily available to plants. Poelstra et al.¹¹²¹ described that the calculation of the uptake of Cd by vegetation from soils of variable conditions is difficult and uncertain. Findings of Hornburg and Bümmer,¹⁰²⁹ however, indicated that the Cd concentrations in wheat grains increase linearly with the total Cd content of soils (Figure 59). Also, a worldwide experiment carried out in 30 countries with young wheat (N = 1723) and young corn plants (N = 1892) indicates that plant-Cd is a function of soil-Cd.¹⁴⁹¹ The content of clay fraction proved to be the most important factor, among six investigated soil parameters, controlling the Cd uptake by plants. In some cases, however, soil pH and carbonates also influenced the Cd phytoavailability. The frequency distribution of Cd contents of both plants indicated the most common (ca. 15%) concentrations ranging from 0.03 to 0.1 ppm. Results of this research program clearly indicated the effect of phosphorus fertilization on increased levels of plant-Cd and soil-Cd. Effects of the phosphate application rates of 3 years prior to sampling were as follows: at 10 kg P ha⁻¹ rate, plants contain 0.06 ppm Cd; and at 50 kg P ha⁻¹, 0.1 ppm Cd. Effectiveness of liming to minimize uptake of Cd by cereals has also been reported.¹⁴⁴⁴ However, the response was variable, and in some cases raising soil pH to 6.0 was not sufficient to decrease the Cd concentration in grain below 0.05 ppm (MAC), and would not be considered economically acceptable in several areas.

Mench¹⁴¹³ reviewed changes in Cd availability in relation to major long-term changes in the agronomy system, and concluded that cropping systems and fertilizing affect Cd concentrations in potato tubers and cereal grains, which are the major plant-derived diet of the European population.

Some plants reveal a great affinity to absorb Cd from growth media. Lepp et al.¹⁰⁶⁰ gave as an example the mushroom (*Amanita muscaria*), growing in unpolluted woodland in soil with a relatively low Cd content (total Cd 0.34 ppm DW), and containing 29.9 ppm Cd. Nuorteva^{1104a} reported high Cd contents (12 to 24 ppm DW) of fruitbodies of some mushrooms also growing in unpolluted forest in Finland. The origin of the Cd is an additional important factor controlling its solubility and phytoavailability. Grupe and Kuntze¹⁰¹⁹ have shown that oats absorbed a much higher proportion of the anthropogenic Cd (added as Cd oxide) than that of the lithogenic origin (Figure 2). Filipiński⁹⁹³ calculated that spinach uptakes about three times more Cd from the anthropogenic source than from the pedogenic one. Although an appreciable fraction of Cd is taken up passively by roots, Cd is also absorbed metabolically.⁷³⁷

Some soil variables are known to affect Cd phytoavailability. McLaughlin et al.¹⁴¹¹ reported that plants, especially sunflowers and potatoes, take up significantly more Cd from salt-affected soils. Chloride forms strong complexes with Cd (e.g., $CdCl^+$, $CdCl_2^0$, $CdCl_3^-$, and $CdCl_4^{2-}$) and therefore mobilizes and increases its phytoavailability. Data from several experiments indicate that soil salinity effects may dominate soil pH effects on the Cd uptake by plants, which is especially observed in potato tubers. A reported increase of Cd content in sunflower seeds was from 0.41 ppm on control soils to 1.12 ppm on soils with increased levels of Cl.¹³⁸⁸ The Cd contents increased up to 2.3 ppm and 2.8 ppm in winter and spring wheat grain, respectively, when grown on soil with KCl addition (control value, 0.18 and 0.16 ppm); whereas this increase was up to 2.03 and 1.49 ppm on soil amended with K₂SO₄ (control value, 0.10 and 0.16 ppm).¹⁵¹⁰ A remarkable increase in Cd concentration in soil solution affected by NaCl is responsible for high Cd uptake by crop plants.¹⁵⁰² Peat and phosphate amendments are known to inhibit the Cd uptake by crop plants.¹²³⁵ On the other hand, an increased Cd availability to crops on a sewage-sludge amended soil does not indicate an immobilization of Cd under influence of increased organic matter content.¹⁵¹⁷ Results of the experiment carried out by Singh and Myhr¹⁴⁹⁷ have not shown any significant effect on its concentration in barley grain when Cd was applied to a soil in various forms.

Chaney and Hornick¹²⁹ reviewed plant response to increased levels of Cd in soil and showed a great difference in the ability of various plant species to absorb this metal. The difference in Cd content of crops grown on the same soil containing 10 ppm Cd exceeded 100-fold; the lowest concentration was in rice plants, Sudan grass, and clover, and the highest was in spinach and turnip. Also, the distribution of Cd within plant organs is quite variable and clearly illustrates its rapid transport from roots to tops and, in particular, to leaves (Figure 60). The Cd distribution in



Figure 60 Distribution of Cd in corn plants grown in the soil with 15 ppm Cd.¹⁰⁴⁵

strawberries indicates a rather low rate of transport from roots to above-earth parts of plants. The following Cd concentrations were found in strawberries grown on soil with 60 ppm Cd and neutral pH; roots 191–509 ppm; leaves 3.7–8.9 ppm; and fruits 0.15–0.7 ppm.¹²⁶¹

Tiffin⁷⁸⁹ found the ¹⁰⁹Cd concentration in tomato xylem exudates to be from 1.5 to 3.5 μ M after treatment with this radionuclide. This suggests that Cd, as other heavy metals, can be easily transported within a plant in the form of metallo-organic complexes.

Mechanisms of the long distance transport of Cd^{2+} have not yet been presented, but Cd most likely would be transported by the carrier mechanisms similar to those of Zn translocation. Cunningham et al.¹⁵⁵ reported that increased Cd^{2+} treatment progressively suppressed the proportion of Cd translocation to epigeal parts of young leaves and that Cd was localized mainly in roots, with lesser amounts in stem nodes, petioles, and major leaf veins.

A great proportion of the Cd is known to be accumulated in root tissues, even when Cd enters the plant via foliar systems.³⁷⁶ This, however, was not reported for rice plants when ¹⁰⁹Cd was applied to the leaves.³⁹⁵ When the amount of Cd is increased in the growth medium, the concentration of this metal in roots exceeds its content in the tops by about 100 times (Figure 55).

It may be concluded that the Cd in plants is relatively very mobile, although the translocation of Cd through the plant tissues may be restricted because Cd is easily held mainly in exchange sites of active compounds located in the cell walls.

2. Biochemical Roles

The most important biochemical characteristic of Cd ions is their strong affinity for sulfhydryl groups of several compounds (Cd complexes with metallothionein-like protein are already known). In addition, Cd also shows an affinity for other side chains of protein and for phosphate groups.

Dabin et al.¹⁶¹ and Braude et al.¹⁰² reported that Cd is likely to be concentrated in the protein fractions of plants. This fact is very important in food production problems.

There are no known enzymes that depend on Cd for their normal activity. Roucoux and Dabin⁶⁶⁶ reported that Cd specifically induced cysteine and methionine synthesis in soybeans, depending on the degree of plant resistance to increased Cd levels. Cd is considered to be a toxic element to plants, and the basic cause of its toxicity lies in its disturbing enzyme activities. Cunningham et al.¹⁵⁵ and Baszynski et al.⁵⁴ reported inhibition of the formation of anthocyanin and chlorophyll pigments in plants that were treated with Cd. The amount of chlorophyll was found to be a function of the Cd concentration in plant tissues and has been proposed as an indicator of the upper critical Cd level in plants.⁹⁵⁸ Kloke et al.¹⁰⁵² estimated the phytotoxic concentrations of Cd to be 5 to 10 ppm (DW) in sensitive plant species, while Macnicol and Beckett¹⁰⁸¹ gave the range of 10 to 20 ppm (DW) as critical Cd levels (Tables 36 and 42).

The response of bean plants to Cd in growth media depends on the age of plants and time of Cd action. With plant aging, their sensitivity to Cd increases and is more related to the functioning of the photosynthetic apparatus than to growth parameters. As Skórzynska-Pilot and Baszyñski¹⁴⁹⁹ reported, in young plants big changes in growth parameters are observed but photosynthetic activity is almost unchanged. Elevated concentrations of Cd in the rooting medium of barley affected the increase of shoot thionein mRNA, which is similar to the effect of a pathogen attack.¹³⁷⁸

In general, overt symptoms induced by elevated Cd contents of plants are growth retardation and root damage, chlorosis of leaves, and red-brown coloration of leaf margins or veins. The phytotoxicity of Cd, beyond interfering with normal metabolism of some micronutrients, shows inhibitory effects on photosynthesis, disturbs transpiration and CO_2 fixation, and alters the permeability of cell membranes. The excess Cd has a complex inhibitory impact on the Calvin cycle, and especially disturbs a function of the key enzyme, ribulose diphosphate carboxyhydrazine. Photochemical activities of chloroplasts are significantly reduced at Cd concentrations of 50 to 75 μ M L⁻¹ in the nutrient medium.¹⁵²³ Cd is also known to inhibit the DNA-mediated transformation in microorganisms and to interfere with symbiosis between microbes and plants, as well as to increase plant predisposition to fungal invasion. McKenney and Vrisacker¹⁰⁹⁰ found that Cd is an effective and specific inhibitor of the biological reduction of NO_2^- to NO. However, even at a high Cd content of soils, a slow adaptation of some streptomycetes species was observed.¹⁰⁴⁹

The Cd content of plants is, however, of great concern as a Cd reservoir and as the pathway of Cd to man and animals. Thus, tolerance and adaptation of some plant species to higher Cd levels, although important from an environmental point of view, create a health risk.

3. Interactions with Other Elements

Plants are simultaneously exposed to a variety of pollutants, and thus their integrated effects most often are different from the effect of Cd only. Several elements are known to interact with Cd in both the element uptake by plants and in biochemical roles.

Cd-Zn interactions are commonly observed, but findings appear contradictory, since both depressing and enhancing effects of each have been reported (Chapter 7, Section VI.B.3). The interaction of Cd and Zn has received much study, and all findings may be summed up by stating that, in most cases, Zn reduces the uptake of Cd by both root and foliar systems. Chaney and Hornick¹²⁹ suggested that when the Cd:Zn ratio in plant tissues is limited to 1%, the Cd content is restricted to below 5 ppm, thus below its phytotoxic level (Table 36). Babich and Stotzky⁴¹ described the double antagonistic interactions between Cd-Zn and Cd-Mg in microorganisms.

Cd-Cu interactions are also complex (Chapter 6, Section V.B.3). The inhibitory effect of Cu on Cd absorption is reported most often. Interactions of Cd with other heavy metals such as Mn and Ni are also often reported and appear to be related to their replacement by Cd during the uptake processes.

Cd-Fe interactions are related to disturbances in the photosynthetic apparatus. Only a moderate excess of Fe has a detoxificatory influence on Cd-treated plants, while a higher dose is toxic. Effects of Cd-Fe interaction on photosynthesis is most probably of an indirect nature.¹⁴⁸⁹

Cd-Se mutual antagonistic effects were observed in certain crops. Cd-P interaction is exhibited in the P effects on Cd uptake by plants. Both increased and decreased contents of Cd under phosphate treatment are reported. Apparently, these reactions take place in the root media, and thus, the influence of the P supply on soils may differ for various soils and crops. It is most likely that Cd-P interactions may be similar to those in the Zn-P relationship.

Cd-Ca relationship seems to be highly cross-linked with variation in the soil pH. It cannot be precluded, however, that Ca^{2+} cations are able to replace Cd^{2+} in the carrier mechanism and thus Cd absorption by plants may be inhibited by an excess of Ca^{2+} ions. Cd uptake-translocation mechanisms are reported to be influenced by the supply of other nutrients such as K, N, and Al, but the results are not clear at this time and may be related to some secondary effects.

Interactions of Cd with several other essential elements (e.g., Mg, Mn) are observed and may be related to an impaired effect of Cd on the cell membrane, which disturbs their uptake and transport within plant organs. An antagonistic impact of Cd on P absorption by plants is significant for plant growth and agricultural practices. P uptake by plants can be reduced up to 40% of control values.

4. Concentrations in Plants

In man and animal nutrition, Cd is a cumulative poison; therefore, its content in food and feed plants has been widely studied. A comparison of the Cd contents of plant foodstuffs produced under uncontaminated conditions of various countries shows the highest Cd concentration in spinach leaves (0.11 ppm, FW) and lettuce leaves (0.66 ppm DW, 3.00 ppm AW) (Table 73). When plants are grown on contaminated soil, however, Cd is very likely to also be concentrated in roots (Table 74). This clearly confirms the statement that leafy vegetables such as spinach, and root vegetables such as turnips, carrots, and potatoes, should be considered to be the main routes of Cd supply to man. The highest contribution of Cd in the diet in Germany is calculated, in % of Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA), for cereal bread as 13.3%, and for potatoes as 5.7%.¹²⁴⁷ In Japan, rice is the major source of Cd in the diet.

	Tissue		FW Basis			DW Basis		AW Basis
Plant	Sample	(569, 1187),	(395, 891)	(704, 705)	(233)	(497, 852)	(430)	(704, 705)
Sweet corn	Grains	0.012	_	0.007	0.1	_	0.06	1.00
Bean	Pods	_	0.02ª	0.024	_	0.29 ^b	_	0.34
Cabbage	Leaves	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.05	_	_	_
Lettuce	Leaves	_	0.11°	0.42	0.4	0.66	0.12°	3.00
Carrot	Roots	0.09, 0.03	0.05	0.15	< 0.35	0.24	0.07	2.10
Onion	Bulbs	0.011	0.01	0.05	_	_	0.08	1.20
Potato	Tuber	0.001-0.09	0.02	0.08	0.05-0.3	0.18	0.03	1.80
Tomato	Fruits	0.02	—	0.11	—	0.23	0.03	1.00
Apple	Fruits	0.008	0.003	0.03	—	—	0.05	0.19
Orange	Fruits	—	0.002	0.005	—	—	—	0.14

Table 73 Mean Cadmium Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a Pulses.

^b Soybean seeds.

° Spinach.

The background levels of Cd in cereal grains, as well as in common feed plants that are reported for various countries are fairly low and surprisingly similar (Tables 75 and 76). Thus, grand mean values for all cereal grains range from 0.013 to 0.22 ppm (DW), grasses range from 0.07 to 0.27 ppm (DW), and legumes range from 0.08 to 0.28 pm (DW). Recent data (1998) for cereals grain in Poland gave the mean Cd content as 0.06 ppm (Table 7), and similar values were obtained in monitoring during the period 1995 to 1997: mean Cd in wheat grain 0.09 ppm, and in rye grain 0.05 ppm.^{1416,1514} The range for Cd in wheat flour in Poland is 0.001 to 0.1 ppm (average, 0.04 ppm).¹⁴⁰² The median (N = 128) Cd concentration in wheat grain grown in France is 0.045 ppm (range, 0.015–0165 ppm).¹²¹³ The average Cd concentration in wheat grain collected in Germany from 1989 to 1993 was 0.057 ppm (FW).¹²⁴⁷ Wheat grain of the U.S. has an average Cd concentration of 0.03 ppm (FW).¹⁵⁴⁹ The maximum limits for Cd in wheat grain established in various countries range from 0.05 to 0.1 ppm.

Potato stalks and tubers are especially susceptible to increased levels of Cd and potatoes are considered a major source of Cd in diets of several human populations.¹⁴⁵⁵ Therefore, the Cd concentration in potatoes is monitored in some countries. Potato tubers (washed, unpeeled) sampled from the whole territory of Poland from 1995 to 1998 contained a Cd average of 0.11 ppm (DW), and 0.02 ppm (FW).^{1416,1357} Potato tubers collected in Germany (1989–1993) had 0.108 ppm.¹²⁴⁷ A median value for Cd in potato tubers on a state basis for the U.S. is 0.028 ppm (FW) (which roughly corresponds to 0.15 ppm DW).¹⁴¹¹ Potatoes sampled a decade earlier were reported to have a similar level of Cd, mean 0.03 ppm (FW).¹⁵⁴⁹

At the present time, special attention is being given to the Cd content of carrot roots, which have a major use in baby foods. Usually, carrot fields are highly fertilized with phosphates. The average Cd concentrations in commercially grown carrots in different countries is (ppm FW): Sweden 0.32; Romania (industrial region) 0.23; Poland (industrial region) 0.20 and (rural region) 0.04.¹³⁵⁷

Because Cd is readily available to plants from both air and soil sources, its concentration rapidly increases in plants grown in polluted areas. Data collected for several countries show that both industrial and agronomic practices may create a significant Cd supply to plants (Table 74). The highest concentrations of Cd in polluted plants were always reported for roots and leaves, whereas Cd seems to be excluded from seed crops. The highest Cd values reported for wheat grains (14.2 ppm) and brown rice (5.2 ppm) were less than the amounts of the metal accumulated in the root and leaf tissues of these plants. At present, Cd levels in cereal grains are of special interest as a potential significant source of this metal in the human diet. Despite considerable seasonal differences in the Cd uptake by cereals, the long-term experiment showed a general upward trend in the Cd content of grains grown on plots receiving

		Mean or Range		
Site and Pollution Source	Plant and Part	in Content	Country	Ref.
Ancient mining area	Grass tops	1.0–1.6	Belgium	728
-	Lichens	11–22	Belgium	728
	Brussels sprouts	0.10-1.77	Great Britain	168
	Grass tops	1.1-2.0	Great Britain	513
	Clover tops	4.9	Great Britain	513
Metal-processing industry	Lettuce leaves	45	Australia	57
	Silver beet leaves	0.04–0.49ª	Australia	793a
	Turnip leaves	0.5	East Germany	430
	Grass, tops	8.2	Great Britain	1005
	Brown rice	0.72-4.17	Japan	395, 891
	Lettuce leaves	5.2-14.1	Poland	224
	Carrot roots	1.7–3.7	Poland	224
	Spinach leaves	6.4	Zambia	573
	Wheat grain	0.22-0.47	Poland	1510
	Mushrooms	3–56	Finland	1069
Lignite coal-fired power station	Grass tops	1.1	Czech Republic	655
Urban garden	Brussels sprouts	1.2–1.7	Great Britain	786
-	Cabbage outer leaves	1.1–3.8	Great Britain	786
	Lettuce leaves	0.9–7.0	U.S.	627
Sludged, irrigated, or fertilized	Cereal grains	0.1-1.1	Finland	748
farmland	Brown rice	5.2 (max.)	Japan	336
	Sudan grass, tops	0.3-2.9	Hungary	1177
	Lettuce ^b	70	U.S.	789
	Lettuce leaves	8–37	Germany	947
	Corn ^b	35	U.S.	789
	Lettuce leaves	0.5-22.8	U.S.	127
	Carrot roots	0.2–3.3	U.S.	127
	Soybean seeds	2.3	U.S.	102
	Cabbage leaves	130	Russia	826
	Wheat grains	5.5–14.2°	Russia	338
	Wheat leaves	19–47°	Russia	338
	Wheat roots	397–898°	Russia	338
Airborne contamination	Lettuce leaves	5.2	Denmark	625
	Spinach leaves	3.9	Denmark	625
	Carrot roots	3.5	Denmark	625

Table 74 Excessive Levels of Cadmium in Plants Grown in Contaminated Sites (ppm DW)

^a FW basis.

^b Diagnostic leaf.

° Pot experiment.

^d Boletus edulis.

NPK fertilizers.¹⁰⁴⁴ Hornburg and Brümmer¹⁰²⁹ found also that the Cd content of wheat grain is highly correlated with the Cd concentrations in plow layer (Figure 59).

The maximum allowable limit of Cd in plant foodstuffs has been widely discussed and should always be calculated on the basis of daily metal intake by a given population group.^{395,404} The threshold concentrations in feed plants may be a bit higher than those established for food plants and may differ for each kind of animal. However, in view of variable and secondary effects of Cd in the food chain, it is desirable to minimize its concentrations in crops.

The distribution of Cd in forest ecosystems is of special environmental concern. Cd is likely to be concentrated in the outer parts of conifer trees (spruce and pine), phloem, and bark (up to 1.3 ppm), which leads to its accumulation in wood ash up to 30 ppm. In the wood ash of willow, Cd contents can reach 70 ppm.¹³⁹¹

Country	Cereal	Range	Mean	Ref.
Australia	Wheat	0.012-0.036	0.022	878
Canada	Oats	_	0.21	497
Denmark	Oats	—	0.03ª	625
Egypt	Wheat	0.01-0.09	0.05	213
Germany	All cereals	0.02-0.06	0.05	430, 488
Germany	Various cereals	0.01-0.75	0.22	55
	Barley	0.01-0.02	0.02	577
	Wheat	0.03-0.04	0.04	577
Great Britain	Wheat	0.03-0.06	0.04	1254
	Oats	0.02-0.03	0.02	577
Japan	Rice, unpolished	0.01-0.11	0.07	395, 891
	Wheat (flour)	—	0.03ª	395
Norway	Barley	0.006-0.044	0.022	446
	Wheat	0.008-0.260	0.071	446
Poland	Oats	0.03-1.3	0.11	1045
	Wheat	—	0.056	1045
	Rye	0.03-0.25	0.08	1045
Sweden	Barley	—	0.013	22
	Wheat	_	0.06	18
U.S.	Rice	<0.001–0.23	0.012ª	1187
	Wheat	0.07-0.13	0.10	906
	All cereals	0.1–0.5	—	704
Russia	Wheat	0.06-0.07	—	338

Table 75 Cadmium Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a FW basis.

Table 76 Mean Levels and Ranges of Cadmium in Grasses and Legumes at the Immature Growth Stage from Different Countries (ppm DW)

	Grass	ses	Clove	rs	
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Canada	_	0.21	_	0.28ª	497
Czech Republic	_	0.6	_	_	655
France	_	0.16	_	0.11ª	577
Germany	0.05-1.26	0.27	0.02-0.35	0.16	430, 488
Germany	0.03-0.14	0.07	0.04-0.18	0.08ª	577
Iceland	0.07-0.14	0.10	_	_	577
Poland	0.05-1.25	0.32 ^b	0.07-1.0	0.46°	1045
U.S.	0.03-0.3	0.16	0.02–0.2ª	_	330a, 704
Kazakhstan	0.1–0.55	0.22	—	—	1131

^a Alfalfa.

^b Orchard grass.

° White clover.

VIII. MERCURY

A. Soils

In all types of magmatic rocks, the Hg content is fairly low and does not exceed the order of XO ppb (Table 59). Initial estimation of the Clarke value for Hg varies from 50 to 77 ppb. Recently, there is a tendency to lower, by about half, the Hg Clarke value. Thus, Hg abundance in the Earth's crust is still uncertain (Table 59). A much higher concentration of this metal is reported for sedimentary rocks, argillaceous sediments and, in particular, organic-rich shales (common range, 40 to 400 ppb).



Figure 61 Ionic species and transformations of mercury compounds in soils. (1) Reduction; (2) oxidation; (3) formation of organic compounds; (4) hydration; R: CH₃,CH₃CH₂,C₆H₅.

The most important geochemical features of Hg are:

- 1. Affinity to form strong bonds with S (e.g., cinnabar, HgS, is the most common Hg mineral)
- 2. Formation of organomercury compounds that are relatively stable in aqueous media
- 3. Volatility of elemental Hg

Hg occurs in several minerals, but the only frequent one is cinnabar, HgS. This mineral is not easily altered and is seldom found as detrital material. Although Hg may form several ionic species (Figure 61), it is not very mobile during weathering (Table 10). As Jonasson,³⁶⁷ Shcherbakov et al.,^{715a} and Landa⁴⁵⁸ have reported, Hg is likely to be strongly bound when added to soils as elemental Hg or as cationic or anionic complexes. Mercuryl ions (Hg₂⁺) generally form weaker complexes than do mercury ions (Hg₂²⁺). Hg is retained by soils mainly as slightly mobile organocomplexes. The behavior of Hg in soils depends highly on its form, which is a function of several soil parameters, and of initial Hg concentration in soil solution, as well as of other ions (e.g., Cl).¹⁵⁶² Easily volatile fractions are, for example, Hg⁰ and (CH₃)₂Hg; easily soluble are HgCl₂, Hg(OH)Cl, and Hg(OH)₂; and slightly mobile are mainly organic complexes (CH₃Hg⁺ and CH₃HgS⁻). Simple cations of Hg dominate in aerobic soil conditions. Complex anions of Hg with S are likely to occur in anoxic soil conditions, while methylated Hg compounds predominate in soils of intermediate redox states.

A broad review of Hg in soil, its distribution, speciation, and biological effects is presented by Rundgren et al.¹⁴⁷³ and Ebinghaus et al.¹²⁸⁴ The findings of Hem³¹² and of Farrah and Pickering²²⁹ clearly indicate that Hg(OH)₂ is likely to predominate over other aqueous species at a soil pH near or above neutrality. Some investigators believe, however, that Hg(OH)₂ is the preferred sorbed species.⁹⁴⁸ The presence of an excess of Cl⁻ ions in soils appears to decrease the sorption of Hg⁺ onto both mineral particles (including Mn oxides) and organic matter, because the highly stable Hg-Cl complexes are rather poorly sorbed.⁹⁴⁸ The sorption of Hg by clays in soil seems to be relatively limited and to vary only a little with pH. However, in acid gley soils, the formation of HgS and even of metallic Hg may take place. The accumulation of Hg in soil is, therefore, controlled mainly by organic complex formation and by precipitation. Thus, the mobility of Hg requires dissolution processes and biological and chemical degradation of organomercury compounds.

Investigations carried out by Yin et al.¹⁵⁶² show that less than 4% of the total Hg content could be adsorbed via electrostatic interaction, and it is not a major driving force for Hg adsorption by soil particles. The data of these authors clearly indicate the importance of soil organic matter in the adsorption and desorption of Hg^{2+} . However, the adsorption and desorption isotherms do not follow the same track. Anoshin et al.¹²⁰³ studied Hg behavior in the environment of western Siberia, and also emphasized the significant role of organic matter in its distribution within soil profiles and indicated different cyclings of Hg in forest ecosystems and steppe ecosystems. The studies of Malinina et al.¹³⁹⁸ indicate that suspension forms of Hg are mainly responsible for its migration in various soils of semi-humid and humid zones in Russia. The transformation of organomercury compounds, especially the methylation of elemental Hg, plays the most important role in the Hg cycle in the environment. The mechanism of methylation has recently been the subject of many studies because methylated Hg is readily mobile and easily taken up by living organisms, including some higher plants.^{58,359,470,856} Humic substances are known to mediate the chemical methylation of inorganic Hg by releasing labile methyl groups. On the other hand, a rapid conversion of organic Hg and Hg²⁺ ions to the elemental state (Hg⁰) in contact with humic substances is also reported.¹⁰⁶⁴ The mechanism of the methylation of Hg is still not fully understood. It can occur abiotically; also, a vast number of organisms (especially microorganisms) may carry out these reactions. The methylation processes evidently have been involved in environmental catastrophes of Hg poisoning.

Several types of bacteria and yeasts have been shown to affect the reduction of cationic Hg^{2+} to the elemental state (Hg^{0}); thus, the result of these processes is the volatilization of Hg from the medium. The oxidation of elemental Hg to its cationic form can also be mediated by microorganisms.

The Hg content of virgin soil profiles is inherited mostly from the parent material; however, because Hg is easily volatile, some additional natural sources, such as degassing and thermal activity of the earth, cannot be precluded. The accumulation of Hg is related to the organic C and S levels in soils and is distributed in the surface soils at several times the concentration in the subsoils. Generally, organic soils have a higher Hg content than mineral soils. This is mainly due to a great capacity of humus, especially raw humus, for binding Hg. Johansson et al.¹⁰⁴¹ reported high Hg concentrations in raw humus, often exceeding 0.3 ppm (DW). These authors assumed that the original level (baseline) was about 0.1 ppm, and they related this increase of Hg mainly to atmospheric fluxes of the metal. The sorption of Hg varies with pH, the highest being at pH from 4 to 5.^{1143,1163} Although soils have greater capacity for organic Hg than for HgCl₂, the sorption of all Hg compounds is positively correlated with the organic C and CEC of the soils.

Background levels of Hg in soils are not easy to estimate due to widespread Hg pollution. Nevertheless, data reported for various soils on a worldwide basis show that mean concentrations of Hg in surface soils do not exceed 400 ppb (Table 77). The highest mean levels of Hg were reported for histosols of Canada (400 ppb) and for paddy soils of Japan (350 ppb) and Vietnam (300 ppb). Similarly, in organic and clay soils of the U.S., the highest average concentrations were found to be 280 ppb in histosols and 130 ppb in loamy soils. Apparently, the organic soils and paddy soils are likely to retain more than any other soils, the Hg resulting from vegetable decay and absorption from the atmosphere. The range of Hg in reference soils of China is from 15 to 294 ppb (mean, 142 ppb).¹³¹³ The mean content of total Hg in agricultural surface soils and forest soils of Poland is estimated at 61 ppb, within the range 3.4 to 284.4 ppb, with the highest concentration in forest soils near the Nitrogen Fertilizers Works.¹²⁷⁴ The range for urban soils in Poland is 130 to 500 ppb.¹²⁹⁷ Thus, Hg contents exceeding these values should be considered contamination from anthropogenic or other sources.

1. Reactions with Soil Components

In soils, the migration processes involving Hg are rather limited; therefore, the Hg content of surface soils is slowly built up, even under a low input of this metal.²⁰⁵ However, Landa⁴⁵⁹ reported Hg losses by volatilization from soils, which increased with higher soil temperature and with higher soil alkalinity, and Kulikova and Nurgaleyeva⁴³⁸ described a short life of Hg residues in chernozems from Hg seed dressing. As reported by Lodenius,¹⁰⁷¹ a strong affinity of Hg to organic matter seems to increase with increasing soil acidity. Even in sandy soil, Hg is strongly adsorbed, and the addition of acid rain or salt solution does not increase its solubility. The Hg leaching from the soil may be stimulated by factors increasing the leaching of organic matter. Recent studies conducted on a large scale in Sweden showed a relative mobility of Hg in soils, especially of the Hg fraction which is bound to organic matter.¹⁰⁶⁴ Thus, Hg is leached out from the soil profiles in an acid environment in a form bound to organic compounds; and in neutral and slightly alkaline soils, it is leached out in an inorganic active fraction. Wallschläger et al.¹⁵³⁶ have shown that only a small part (about 1%) of the total Hg present in

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Holland	_	0.04	223
-	Canada	0.01-0.70	0.06	243
	U.S.	0.01-0.54	0.08	706
	Poland	0.05-0.95	0.05	1390
Desert sands	Israel	0.008-0.03	0.02	724
	U.S.	0.02-0.32	0.06	218, 219
Cambisols, luvisols,	Holland	0.45-1.1	—	223
and other loamy soils	Egypt	0.012-0.03	—	990
	Canada	0.02-0.78	0.13	243
	U.S.	0.01-0.90	0.13	706
Soils on glacial till	Canada	0.02-0.10	0.05	368
	U.S.	0.02-0.36	0.07	706
Gleysols	Canada	0.018-0.22	0.05	520
Rendzinas	U.S.	0.01-0.50	0.05	706
Histosols and other	Canada	0.05-1.11	0.41	243
organic soils	U.S.	—	0.28	706
	Switzerland	0.04-0.11	0.08	637
Chernozems	U.S.	0.02-0.53	0.10	706
Forest soils	Norway	0.02-0.55	0.19	447
	Japan	0.02-0.20	0.07ª	395
	U.S.	0.02-0.14	0.06	218, 219
	Yugoslavia	0.08-0.58	0.23	413, 754a
	Switzerland	0.073-0.10	0.08	637
	Russia (Asia)	0.12-0.35	0.19	1197
Paddy soils	Japan	0.15-0.76	0.35ª	395
	Japan	0.13-0.46	0.27	277
	Vietnam	0.02-1	0.3	8
Volcanic soils	Italy (Etna)	0.03-0.101	0.08	931
Various soils	Canada	0.005-0.10	0.06 ^b	521
	Great Britain	0.01-0.09	0.03	164a
	Greece	0.033-0.101	—	1022
	Japan	0.08-0.49	0.28	395
	Norway	0.02-0.35	0.19	367
	Poland	0.02-0.16	0.06	162
	Spain	0.03-0.37	0.15	929
	Sweden	0.004-0.99	0.06	19
	Germany	0.025-0.35	0.09	390
	U.S.	0.02-1.50	0.17	706
	Russia (Europe)	0.04-5.8	—	8
	Former S.U. (Asia)	0.01-0.29	0.08	1131, 1197, 1396
	Poland (peats)	0.05–1.4	0.09	1390

Table 77 N	Aercury (Content of	Surface	Soils of	Different	Countries	(ppm	DW)
------------	-----------	------------	---------	----------	-----------	-----------	------	-----

^a Calculated from the histogram.

^b Data for whole soil profiles.

contaminated soils can be mobilized by water, and entirely in the form of a complex bound to humic acids. Fulvic acids and particulates do not seem to contribute to this process. In contrast to other trace metals, the amount of mobilized Hg decreases at pH < 3 and at pH > 12, due to the extremely high buffering capacity of humics, both in acidic and alkaline states. Mou et al.¹⁴²⁹ estimated that the maximum Hg capacity of soil minerals and humus is in the range of 106 to 474 ppm, and 322 to 889 ppm, respectively. The humus-Hg is more easily released through evaporation and leaching than mineral-Hg. Johansson et al.,¹⁰⁴¹ observed that the largest amounts of Hg are transported in the autumn and spring when the water discharge is high and the Hg content and acidity of the water are elevated. They calculated the flow rate between 1 and 6 g Hg km⁻² per year. The Hg leached from soils, together with the Hg transported from the soil with runoff and direct aerial

Hg deposition onto the lake surface, have created complex and serious environmental problems.^{1041,1064} Lodenius¹⁰⁷⁰ reported, however, that increased acidity of the eluent enhances the sorption of Hg to the humic substances, and thus decreases the leaching of Hg. Therefore, Hg is likely to be relatively strongly bound to organic compounds in acid soil conditions, and thus the Hg in acidified lakes of Scandinavian countries does not seem to be caused by an increased leaching of Hg from the soil.

The affinity of Fe oxy-hydroxides to bind Hg results in a concentration of this metal in the ferralitic soils, rich in ferruginous nodules. In these types of soils, Hg distribution is associated with Fe compounds rather than with organic matter. Increased levels of Hg are observed in Amazonian soils with horizons enriched in Fe oxides.¹³⁰⁰ Under processes of the reduction of Fe-oxides and the podzolization, Hg trapped by Fe-compounds is released into aquatic systems. Such behavior of Hg is likely to occur in soils of an aridic climatic zone.

Kot et al.¹³⁷¹ measured Hg forms in soils and bottom sediments and concluded that the most mobile fraction of Hg bound to fulvic acids is present mainly in urban soils (up to 7% of total contents) and in bottom sediments (up to 14% of total contents). Predominating fractions of Hg, however, are those trapped by humic acids (26–59% of total contents) and fixed as not-hydrolyzing residue (17–66% of total contents).

The behavior of Hg in contaminated soils is of great interest since the ready bioavailability of this metal creates an important health hazard. Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ have widely reviewed this topic, giving special concern to paddy soil. It can be generalized that inorganic compounds of Hg added to soils are likely to be absorbed well by humus and partly by clays. Various organic compounds of Hg (methyl, ethyl, and phenyl) added to soil are partly decomposed or adsorbed by soil constituents. However, all these compounds, having a relatively small degree of dissociation and adsorbability, are readily taken up by plants. The authors reported that methyl-Hg was the most available, while phenyl- and sulfide-Hg were the least available to plants and that residue of organomercuries varied, being the highest for phenyl-Hg and methyl-Hg iodide. Compared with methylation, demethylation is a much slower process, although both biological and chemical demethylations of methyl-Hg are reported.⁹⁴⁸ Inverfeldt et al.¹³⁴² reported that the rate of methyl-Hg production decreases with time, indicating that the added inorganic Hg is immobilized and unavailable for methylation a few months after addition. The net production of methyl-Hg is much faster in wetlands than in dry soil (up to 20 times). Thus, it is difficult to calculate the net production of methyl-Hg considering the total Hg pool of soils. Wallschläger and Bloom¹⁵³⁵ estimated that dimethyl-Hg contributes between 1 and 15% of the total Hg emissions from wetlands. Thus, dimethyl-Hg can be considered a "carrier species" in the transport of Hg from the soil to the atmosphere, but due to a rapid decomposition cannot serve as a simple indicator of the total Hg emission from soils.

The potential for microbial methylation of Hg by bacteria and fungi exists under both aerobic and anaerobic soil conditions. Soil contamination with Hg itself is usually considered not to be a serious problem, although there is the possibility that a large amount of methyl-Hg will result. Nevertheless, even simple Hg salts or metallic Hg create a hazard to plants and soil biota from the toxic nature of Hg vapor. The volatilization of Hg from Hg-polluted soils can also have an adverse effect on human health when exceeding the EPA inhalation reference concentration of 300 ng Hg m⁻³.¹³²⁶

Lagerwerff^{447a} stated that Hg uptake by plant roots may be minimized by neutralizing the soil pH with lime. Also sulfur-containing compounds and rock phosphates have been proposed to inactivate mercurial fungicides or elemental Hg in soils. Sorterberg,⁷⁴⁸ in contrast, reported that heavy liming was ineffective in reducing toxic effects of Hg excesses in the soil. Also, studies conducted by Oberländer and Roth¹¹⁰⁵ with labeled Hg (²⁰³Hg) showed that plants took up several times more Hg from the calcareous soil than from the acid soil. Stankheev et al.¹⁵⁰³ studied Hg flow in the soil gas in earthquake areas and have stated that the increase of the flow of Hg-vapors corresponds to the intensity of earthquakes.

2. Contamination of Soil

Sources of contamination of soil with Hg are related mainly to base metal processing industries and some chemical works (chloralkali, in particular), as well as due to earlier use of fungicides containing Hg (although these have not been used in most countries for the last 20 years). Worldwide occurrence and problems related to Hg-contaminated sites (Table 78) are discussed in a recent publication edited by Ebinghaus et al.¹²⁸⁴ Increased levels of Hg are also observed around coal power stations and metallurgic plants; in Poland, Hg contents of surface soils in such areas are up to 0.4 and 7.55 ppm, respectively.¹³⁹⁰ Extremely high concentrations of Hg were found in soils at a former battery recycling facility.¹³²⁶

In the last few decades, Hg has been used in the gold amalgamation process in gold mines in Brazil (Amazon region) and in Siberian regions. The emission of Hg in one Hg mining area in central Brazil during the 1980s was estimated at about 80 t year^{-1,1467} Mallas and Benedicto¹⁰⁸² estimated Hg losses to be 1.32 kg per kilogram Au. The amount of Hg used for gold mining in Brazil calculated for 50 t in 1985 was increased to 5000 t in 1995. Yagolnister et al.¹⁵⁵⁹ estimated that 210 t Hg remain in the Siberian environment annually. Such amounts of Hg added to the environment create a great risk of its transfer to the food chain. An increased load of Hg is an especially serious threat in the Amazonian region due to specific climatic conditions in which Hg becomes extremely mobile. The impact of Hg released into the atmosphere and into rivers and on

Maximum or Range of						
Site and Pollution Source	Content	Country	Ref.			
Ancient mining area,	0.21–3.4	Great Britain	164a, 165			
Hg mining or ore deposit	0.2-1.9	Canada	368			
	0.09-0.22	Brazil	1467			
	54	Czech Republic	1114			
	0.6-4.2	Yugoslavia	413, 414			
	2.6-2.9	France ^c	1463			
	8.2-40	U.S.	79, 703			
	0.1–5.6	Former S.U.	198, 1396			
Chloralkali or chemical works	3.8	Great Britain	111			
	0.32-5.7	Canada	776			
	0.06-0.495	Egypt	990			
	0.10-0.43	Switzerland	637			
	0.08-0.26	France	1463			
Urban garden, orchard, and park	0.03-1.14	Canada	243			
	0.25-15.0	Great Britain	488a, 850			
	0.6	Great Britain	634			
	0.6	U.S.	208			
	0.04-0.08	Israel	724			
	0.06-0.24	Japan	277			
Sludged or irrigated farmland	10.0ª	Holland	223			
	0.03-0.15	Hungary	1177			
	0.43–24	Germany	205, 947, 1023			
	0.29-0.71	Japan	395			
	0.8	Sweden	25			
	0.68–1.43 ^b	U.S.	1186			
	0.12-0.35	Poland	162			
Application of fungicides	9.4–11.5	Canada	498			
Volcanic area	0.041-7.450	Italy (Etna)	931			
	0.0014-0.0232	U.S. (Mt. St. Helens)	1146			

^a Soil flooded by Rhine River water.

^b Nine years after sewage sludge application at rate 33 and 180 t ha⁻¹, respectively.

° Alluvial soils downstream from the ancient industrial Hg pollution.

plants and soils of this region, as well as in the Siberian subregion, has been extensively investigated in recent years.^{1203,1284,1293,1559}

Although the physical sorption of Hg predominates in soils, in areas close to Hg ore deposits, sulfur compounds of Hg are frequently found.¹⁵¹³ The dredging of sediments could mobilize Hg in aquatic systems since dissolved oxygen increases the amount of Hg^{2+} and methyl-Hg in solution.⁹⁴⁸

B. Plants

1. Biochemical Roles

The information on the biochemistry of Hg is concerned mainly with biological transformation of Hg compounds, but it is not yet clear which processes are most important in the cycling of Hg in the environment. Doelman,⁹⁸² Jernelöv,³⁵⁹ Johansson et al.,¹⁰⁴¹ and Weinberg⁸⁵⁶ reviewed all topics related to Hg transformation and to Hg resistance in microorganisms. There is an assumption that microorganisms produce methyl-Hg for the purpose of detoxifying the metal, but at the same time methylated Hg being readily absorbed through cell membranes is highly biotoxic.¹¹⁷¹

Plants seem to take up Hg easily from solution culture (Figure 62). There is also much evidence that increasing soil Hg generally causes an increase in the Hg content of plants. The rate of increase of the Hg content in plants when the soil was the only source of this metal was reported to be highest for roots, but leaves and grains also accumulated much Hg.^{321,481,748} These findings show that Hg is easily absorbed by the root system and is also translocated within the plants. Blanton et al.,⁷⁹ on the other hand, reported that Hg levels in plants bear little relationship to the Hg content of soils from an Hg mining district and that this reflects strong Hg bonds to soil components. Also, in the official report on Environmental Mercury and Man,²¹⁴ it was stated: "For most plants, even when grown on soils having much higher concentrations of mercury, there is very little additional uptake." Malikova et al.,¹³⁹⁷ however, have stated that the Hg content of the roots of *Artemisia* species is a function of its soil granulometric fraction of less than 0.1 mm, and increasing up to 0.15 ppm at about 1 ppm Hg in the soil fraction. Fine, hairy roots contain more Hg than coarse roots.

Plants are known to directly absorb Hg vapor. Browne and Fang¹⁰⁷ reported that the rate of Hg vapor uptake is particularly influenced by illumination, but is unaffected by ambient temperature. Thus, soil Hg is not only directly absorbed by plants, but also indirectly absorbed from Hg vapor



Figure 62 Uptake of Hg by 7-day-old oat seedlings from the culture solution of HgNO₃ concentration. (a) Tops; (b) roots.⁷³⁵

gradually released in soils. The soluble Hg forms in aerosols are easily adsorbed by pine needles and transported inside plants.¹³¹⁹

Translocation of Hg occurs in various plant tissues, including apple leaves to fruits, from potato leaves to tubers, from rice leaves to grains, and also from the wheat and pea seed treated with mercurial fungicides into the first-generation seed.^{447a} The content of Hg in rice grains harvested from plants treated with phenylmercuric acetate was reported to be the highest in bran but the fraction of Hg accumulated in whole grains apparently was associated mainly with gluten.³⁹⁵ The Hg content of grains is shown to be correlated with the ash content of grain fractions. Since bran is the richest and flour is the poorest in ash, the Hg content of bran is about three times higher, on average, than the Hg content of flour.¹¹⁰⁵

Although Hg is known to bind strongly to the amino acid S atoms contained in many proteins and enzymes, this metal seems to be relatively easily transported in plants. The Hg affinity to sulfhydryl groups apparently is the key reaction in disrupting metabolic processes of plants.

Toxic effects in young barley were observed at an Hg level of 3 ppm (DW), and Hg at a concentration of 0.01 ppm (AW) was reported to be severely toxic.^{171,710} The toxicity of volatilized elemental Hg and of some methylated compounds is known to be the most serious for plants. Siegel et al.¹¹⁴⁵ suggested that Hg vapor accelerates senescence-related processes via elevated ethylene production and that the most active toxicant is elemental Hg—not ionic Hg. They found that young plants are more sensitive to Hg-saturated air than mature plants. Kloke et al.¹⁰⁵² reported the retardation of growth of sensitive plant species at an Hg content ranging from 0.5 to 1 ppm (DW), whereas Macnicol and Beckett¹⁰⁸¹ established the critical level of Hg from 1 to 8 ppm (DW) (Table 42). Mhathre and Chaphekar¹⁰⁹² observed damage to plants even at 1 ppb Hg concentration in the nutrient solution. They reported that Hg impact should be considered in the event of failure of various metabolic processes like photosynthesis, chlorophyll manufacture, exchange of gases, and respiration.

The symptoms of Hg toxicity are, most commonly, stunting of seedling growth and root development and inhibition of photosynthesis and, as a consequence, a reduction in yield. Hg accumulated in root tissue inhibits K^+ uptake by plants, although the stimulating effect of low Hg concentration on K^+ uptake was also reported by Hendrix and Higinbotham.³¹⁵

The resistance to Hg compounds in fungi and bacteria has received extensive study, as it is of practical importance. Weinberg⁸⁵⁶ reviewed results and demonstrated that in most cases the resistant strain was capable of volatilizing Hg. Plasmids are believed to carry determinants for the Hg resistance.

Particularly resistant to high Hg concentrations is the transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* with the genes for mercuric ion reductase (reduction of toxic Hg²⁺ to the relatively inert Hg⁰).¹⁴⁰⁷ This plant (as probably others with such a gene) is believed to be useful as a phytoextractive plant to grow on the contaminated sites. The tolerance to Hg in higher plants has also been reported, and although the mechanism of the physiological barrier is not known, it is most probably related to the inactivation of Hg at the membrane sites. The affinity of Hg to form insoluble compounds with S-rich proteins was reported for several plant species.

Some chemical fertilizers are known to affect Hg uptake in roots. Their impact, however, differs with soil characteristics and amount of Hg. Most commonly, ammonium sulfate and calcium phosphate decrease the Hg uptake in corn roots and increase the amount of Hg volatilizing from soil with high Hg contents.¹⁵⁵⁸

2. Concentrations in Plants

The distribution of Hg in plants has recently received the most study because of the Hg pathway into the food chain. Therefore, most information is at present related to the Hg content of plant foodstuffs. The background levels of Hg in vegetables and fruits vary from 2.6 to 86 ppb (DW) and from 0.6 to 70 ppb (FW) (Table 79). Vegetables from western Siberia contain from 5 to 36 ppb Hg (FW), and the highest concentrations were in cucumbers.¹²⁰³ Potato tubers collected in Poland have

Plant	Tissue Sample	FW Basis	DW Basis	Ref.
Sweet corn	Grains	_	4.6, 3	126, 705
	Corn Products	_	11	1136a
Bean	Pods	70, 17	3, 11	163, 373, 395, 705
Beet	Roots	3	_	776
Carrot	Roots	_	86, 5.7	496,705
Lettuce	Leaves	<0.6	8.3	705, 776
Cabbage	Leaves	10	6.5	395, 706
Potato	Tubers	3, 12	47, <10	163, 496, 705, 710
	Potato products	—	26	1136a
Onion	Bulbs	7	<10	163, 705
Cucumber	Unpeeled fruits	1,11	_	163, 776
Tomato	Fruits	1	34, 3.1	496, 705, 776
Apple	Fruits	10	<10	163, 705
Orange	Fruits	_	2.6	705
Lemon	Fruits	43	_	163
Mushroom	Caps and stalks	_	3.5	754a
Теа	Leaves	—	34–46	1032

Table 79	Mean Mercury	Content	of Plant	Foodstuffs	(ppb)
----------	--------------	---------	----------	------------	-------

Table 80 Mercury Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppb DW)

Country	Cereal	Range	Mean	Ref.
Canada	Barley	5–17	12	279ª
	Oats	4–19	9	279ª
	Wheat	7–15	11	279ª
Egypt	Wheat	11–28	21	213
Germany	Wheat	—	<10	400
Japan	Wheat (flour)	—	20ª	395
	Buckwheat (flour)		10ª	395
Norway	Barley	0.2-17.2	3.4	446
	Wheat	0.2-2.7	0.9	446
Poland	Barley	7–82	19	373
	Oats	7–42	20	373
	Rye	3–18	9	373
	Wheat	4–33	13	373
	Wheat (flour)	0.8–17	4.2	1136a
Sweden	Oats	<4–45	14	748
Switzerland	Wheat	6–10	7	637
U.S.	Barley	—	19	110
	Oats	—	12	110
	Wheat	10–16	14	110, 447a
Russia	Wheat	7–12	10	163
	Various grains	12–18	15	1197

^a FW basis.

from 3 to 45 ppb Hg (FW). The highest Hg concentrations were always found in crops grown in industrial regions.¹²⁴⁵

Gracey and Stewart^{279a} reported Hg to average 39 ppb (DW) in alfalfa; Rovinskij et al.¹¹³⁰ gave the range from 10 to 160 ppb Hg (DW), average 50 ppb, in grasses of northern Kazakhstan; and other observations¹⁰⁴⁵ show that natural Hg levels in grass and feed legumes do not exceed 100 ppb (DW). The Hg contents of cereal grains seem to be fairly similar for various countries and for certain kinds of cereals, with mean values ranging from 0.9 to 21 ppb (DW) (Table 80). However, grain crops from land where mercuric compound dressings of seeds were used show some elevation (up to 170 ppb DW) in the Hg content.¹⁶² A similar statement was made by Kulikova and Nurgaleyeva,⁴³⁸ although without

analytical data. The latter reports do not support the earlier findings indicating that the use of Hg in the U.K. for the treatment of cereal seeds had very little effect on Hg levels in the grains.²¹⁴

Plants differ in their ability to take up Hg and can also develop a tolerance to high Hg concentrations in their tissues when grown in soils overlying Hg deposits. Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ reported Hg to range from 0.5 to 3.5 ppm (DW) in trees and shrubs from areas of Hg mineralization. In general, Hg content of plants is high when the Hg content of soils is also high, but this relation does not always hold. Siegel et al.¹¹⁴⁷ discussed this problem and stated that several data support the hypothesis that specific local environmental factors strongly influence the accumulation of Hg in plants, even when the immediate soil concentrations are the same.

Plants grown in contaminated sites may accumulate much higher than normal amounts of Hg (Table 81). Certain plant species—lichens, carrots, lettuce, and mushrooms in particular—are likely to take up more Hg than other plants grown at the same sites. Also, some parts of plants have a greater ability to adsorb Hg, as is the case of apple flesh and apple pips. Extremely high Hg content (from 72 to 200 ppm [DW]) was found by Lodenius and Herranen¹⁰⁷² in mushrooms (*Agaricus* species) that occur in the immediate vicinity of the chloralkali plant in Finland (Table 81). Nuorteva^{1104a} described a high accumulation of Hg by various species of mushrooms in Finland and gave, after

		Maximum or Range		
Site and Pollution Source	Plant and Part	of Content	Country	Ref.
Mining area and metal-	Edible mushrooms	37.6	Yugoslavia	414
processing industry	Boletus edulis	0.3–1.5	Finland	1069
	Carrot, roots	0.5–0.8ª	Yugoslavia	116
	Apple, flesh	0.04–0.13ª	Yugoslavia	116
	Apple, pips	0.33–1.32ª	Yugoslavia	116
	Pine needles	0.2–11.4	Italy	931
	Labrador tea, stems	1–3.5	U.S.	703
Chloralkali or chemical	Lettuce, leaves	0.09-0.35	Egypt	990
works	Lettuce, leaves	0.15-0.36	Switzerland	637
	Spinach, leaves	0.11-0.59	Switzerland	637
	Corn, grains	0.074-0.136	Switzerland	637
	Radish, roots	0.032-0.29	Egypt	990
	Lettuce, leaves	0.1ª	Canada	776
	Festuca rubra	4.0	Great Britain	111
	Lichens	36.0	Finland	485
	Edible mushrooms	72–200	Finland	1072
Urban vicinity and parks	Edible mushrooms	33.6	Switzerland	635
	Bryophytes	1.4	U.S.	893
Sludged or irrigated	Bromegrass, tops	0.09-2.01 ^b	Canada	321
farmland	Sudan grass, tops	0.04-0.06	Hungary	1177
	Brown rice, grains	4.9	Japan	336
Application of fungicides or	Potato, leaves	1.1–6.8	Canada	585
Hg salts	Lettuce, leaves	0.1–0.3	Canada	496
	Oat, grains	0.63 ^b	Sweden	748
	Oat, straw	99 ^b	Sweden	748
	Wheat, grains	0.05–0.17°	Poland	162
Volcanic areas	Lichens	0.102-0.213	Italy (Etna)	931
	Pine needles	0.007-0.027	Italy (Etna)	931
	Pine needles	0.031-0.330	U.S. (Hawaii)	931
	Horsetail	0.002-0.045	U.S. (Mt. St. Helens)	1146

Table 81	Mercury	Content of	F Plants	Grown in	Contaminated	Sites	(ppm	DW)
	mereary		i i iuiito	0.00011111	oomumutou	01100	(ppiii	,

^a FW basis.

^b Pot experiment.

° After Hg treatment of seeds.

Autio, the Hg range from 1.1 to 4.7 ppm (DW) for edible *Boletus edulis* growing in sites with no local pollution sources. Thus, mushrooms as well as rootless mosses and lichens are known to be suitable bioindicators for Hg in the ecosystems. Pine needles are also most often reported as sensitive Hg environmental biomonitors⁹³¹ (Table 81).

Several authors have made an attempt to estimate a permissible limit for Hg in food plants and have proposed 50 ppb (FW), although the background Hg levels for plants have been estimated by Kosta et al.⁴¹⁵ to range from 1 to 100 ppb DW. The baseline Hg in indicator plants selected by Nuorteva^{1104a} for the forest ecosystems in Finland is within the range of 10 to 330 ppb (DW). The allowable limit of Hg in plant foodstuffs should always be calculated on the basis of daily Hg intake by a given population group.

Elements of Group III

I. INTRODUCTION

Geochemical and biochemical properties, as well as the abundance in the biosphere, of all the trace and rare elements of Group III (new group 13) are highly divergent. The geochemistry of Group IIIa elements is especially complicated, reflecting a wide range in occurrence and behavior from B, the lightest nonmetal, to amphoteric Al, which is one of the basic constituents of the lithosphere. Ga, In, and Tl (the latter two being widely distributed elements in the lithosphere and biosphere) also belong to this group. A strong affinity for oxygen and the predominant +3 state are common characteristics of these elements. In Group IIIb (new group 3) Sc and Y are very rare in the environment. Other rare elements are subdivided into the lanthanides and actinides, of which many are natural or artificial radionuclides.

II. BORON

A. Soils

B, the only non-metal among the elements of Group III, is not uniformly distributed in the Earth's crust. The B content of magmatic rocks increases with the acidity of the rocks; while in sedimentary rocks, the element is associated with the clay fraction (Table 82). B is known to be associated with organic matter and is enriched in some carboniferous sediments. This indicates that B may be preferentially fixed in some kind of organic substances, and its concentrations are elevated in some coals.¹³⁵⁷ The largest quantities of B are concentrated in marine evaporites and in marine argillaceous sediments; therefore, their B content can serve as a paleosalinity indicator. It should be emphasized, however, that the geochemistry of B is characterized by an abnormally large range of variation in its concentration in rocks. In the terrestrial environment, B is likely to occur in chemical combination with oxygen and is known to form several minerals, mainly hydroxides and silicates, of which the tourmaline group is the most common in soils.

During chemical weathering of rocks, B goes easily into solution, forming several anions such as BO_2^- , $B_4O_7^{2^-}$, $BO_3^{3^-}$, $H_2BO_3^-$, and $B(OH)_4^-$. Although B is likely to be retained by clays (illitic minerals in particular and also by sesquioxides and organic substances), its concentration in soil solutions in relatively high, ranging from 67 to 3000 µg L⁻¹ (Table 16). The most common forms of B in soil solutions are, apparently, its undissociated acid H₃BO₃ and, in part, $B(OH)_4^-$. Only at pH above 7 are other anions such as H₂BO₃⁻ and B₄O₇^{2^-} likely to occur in soil solutions.

•		,		,			
Rock Type	B (ppm)	AI (%)	Ga (ppm)	ln (ppb)	TI (ppm)	Sc (ppm)	Y (ppm)
		Mag	matic Rocl	ks			
Ultramafic rocks: dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites	1–5	0.45–2.0	1–3	10–60	0.05–0.2	5–15	0.5
Mafic rocks: basalts, gabbros	5–20	7.8–8.8	15–20	20–220	0.1–0.4	20–35	5–32
Intermediate rocks: diorites, syenites	9–25	8.8	15–24	40–130	0.5–1.4	3–10	20–35
Acid rocks: granites, gneisses	10–30	7.2–8.2	16–20	40–200	0.6–2.3	3–14	30–40
Acid rocks (volcanic): rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	15–25	6.9–8.1	20	30–150	0.5–1.8	3–8	28–44
		Sedim	entary Ro	cks			
Argillaceous sediments Shales Sandstones Limestones, dolomites	120 130 30 20–30	7.2–10.0 7.8–8.8 2.5–4.3 0.43–1.30	19–25 15–25 5–12 1–3	70 50 XO XO	0.5–1.5 0.5–2.0 0.4–1.0 0.01–0.14	12–15 10–15 1 0.5–1.5	25–35 30–40 15–50 4–30

Table 82 Boron, Aluminum, Gallium, Indium, Thallium, Scandium, and Yttrium in Major Rock Types (values commonly found, based on various sources)

The behavior of B in soils has been widely studied, and the basic results have been summed up by Ellis and Knezek^{207a} and more recently reviewed in a book edited by Gupta.^{1320a} It has been shown that B is sorbed more strongly by soils than are other anions (e.g., Cl^- and NO_3^-), and the manner of B sorption by the clay surface is somewhat similar to that of cations rather than anions. B may be entrapped in the clay lattice by substitution for Al^{3+} and/or Si^{4+} .⁴²⁷ Thus, B-silicate compounds (minerals) are likely to occur in soils. Adsorbed B on soil minerals is rather easily leachable; however, irreversibility of B sorption has also been observed. The variable fixation of B is due to different mechanisms of B-anion sorption, including ligand exchange, formation of surface complexes, and incorporation into clay mineral lattices.

In general, retention of B is greater on sesquioxides than on clay minerals, and the hydrous oxide of Al is more effective than that of Fe. Lindsay⁴⁷⁵ stated that B adsorption on oxides of Fe and Al is believed to be an important mechanism governing B solubility in soils. Čumakov⁹⁷³ observed the decrease in the solubility of B in soils heavily fertilized with K. This might be a secondary effect of the B affinity to illites or K-montmorillonites, which are known to be formed in soils enriched in K. Organic matter also exercises a powerful influence on B mobility and availability, particularly in acid soils.¹⁶⁶ Soil organic matter adsorbs more B than mineral soil constituents. B adsorption on a soil humic acid increases with increasing pH, up to a maximum near pH 9, and decreases at pH > 9. Ligand exchange is a possible mechanism for B sorption by organic matter.

There are several descriptions in the literature of mechanisms of B reactions with soil components; however, the nature of B adsorption in soils is still not well-understood. These reactions are highly pH dependent, with the maximum always occurring at pH above 7. The B adsorption by oxy and hydroxy bonds by surface coatings and by incorporation into interlayer or structural positions of aluminosilicates are the mechanisms likely to predominate in acid and neutral soils. Jin et al.¹⁰⁴⁰ found the highest amount of B occluded in crystalline Al and Fe oxyhydroxides (up to 74% of the total soil B), and a smaller amount occluded in amorphous Al and Fe oxyhydroxides (up to 34%). On the other hand, in arid-zone soils, B is likely to be coprecipitated with Mg and Ca hydroxides as coatings of soil particles, and B may also occur as Na-metaborate. In sodic soils, tourmaline is reported to be the major source of B.⁷²



Figure 63 Distribution of B in the profiles of different soils developed under humid climate. (Letters indicate genetic soil horizons.)

In soils, B is considered to be the most mobile element among the micronutrients, although its water-soluble fraction is relatively low and varies from 3.2 to 5.3% of the total content.⁹⁷³ Thus, B movement in soils follows the water flux, and in cool humid-zone soils B is leached downward in soil profiles, whereas in soils of warm humid, or arid and semiarid regions, B is likely to concentrate in surface horizons (Table 14). B may also be concentrated in other soil horizons that are enriched in illitic clays or sesquioxides (Figure 63).

The B status of arable soils has been extensively investigated throughout the world. The total B content in surface soil ranges from 1 to 467 ppm, and its average content ranges from 9 to 85 ppm. The lowest amounts of B were found in sandy and loamy soils of Poland and New Zealand, while the highest concentrations were reported for lateritic soils of India, for solonchaks of Russia, and for calcareous soils of Israel (Table 83). On a world scale, total B contents are lower in podzols and histosols than in other soil units, and average 35 ppm for all soils (Table 9). Levels of total B reported for soils of the U.S. seem to be fairly stable, with calculated means from 20 to 55 ppm^{-219,706} It should be pointed out, however, that due to the low detectability of the analytical method that was used, some values of B in soils could be overestimated.

Although B is a rather deficient micronutrient in most soils, some soils of arid or semi-arid regions and soils overfertilized with B may contain hazardous amounts of this element. Some sewage sludges and fly-ash may also be significant sources of B contamination of soils.

B-rich soils are known to cause B toxicity in the field, as well as decreased crop yields in different regions of the world. This is primarily associated with soils developed on marine argillaceous sediments and with man-induced contamination; for example, in sewage wastewaters, up to 40% of B is from a detergent source. The yearly input of B in surface waters in the U.S. is calculated at 32,000 t, and this creates both environmental and agricultural problems in some areas. The amelioration of high-B soils is very difficult.¹⁴⁴⁰

Light acid soils with an excessively high level of B are easily improved by irrigation. The B hazard in sodic soils is proposed by Bhumbla and Chhabra⁷² to be ameliorated by the addition of gypsum, which converts readily soluble Na-metaborate to sparingly soluble Ca-metaborate. Also, phytoamelioration has been recently reviewed as a promising practice. B-tolerant grass species and some *Brassica* are suitable for phytoremediation because these plants can extract B from soils and decrease soil total B by about 7% compared with the control. As McGrath¹⁴⁰⁷ stated, such a decrease—especially in extractable and leachable species of B in soils—can alleviate two problems: toxicity to subsequent crops and the potential toxicity of drainage water. Heavy applications of $Ca(H_2PO_4)_2$ also resulted in a lower availability of B, especially in acid soils. As Prather⁶²⁶ reported, sulfuric acid can effectively aid in reclaiming soil high in B, but the enhanced desorption of B by silicate ions is believed to be the main soil reaction.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Israel	29–43	_	644
	New Zealand	1–56	15.5	865
	Poland	5–134	9	91, 382
	U.S.	<20–100	35	706
	Russia	—	15.5	912
Loess and silty soils	China	48–128	88	1068
	New Zealand	—	37	865
	Poland	14–48	35	91, 382
	U.S.	<20–70	40	706
Loamy and clay soils	New Zealand	<1–32	10.5	865
	Poland	3–75	15	91, 382
	U.S.	7–70	50	250, 706
Fluvisols	India	4–9	_	772
	Israel	50-85	_	644
	Czech Republic	48–75	61	973
	New Zealand	14–37	29	865
Gleysols	New Zealand	13–60	31	865
Rendzinas and other calcareous soils	China	20–210	97	1124
	Israel	100-145	_	644
	Poland	1–194	25	91, 382
	U.S.	<20–70	35	706
	Russia	_	10.5	912
Kastanozems and brown soils	China	31–92	61	1068
	New Zealand	11–70	34.5	865
	Bussia	_	40.5	912
Ferrasols	China	5-300	60	1068
	India	14–467	_	772
	Israel	30–60	_	644
Solonchaks and Solonetz	India	12-81	34 ª	772
	New Zealand	28–67	44	865
	Russia	49–105	85	12, 351, 912
Chernozems	Czech Republic	42–64	54	973
	U.S.	<20-70	35	706
	Russia	47–68	52	4, 351, 912
	Yugoslavia	_	32	412
Prairien and meadow soils	China	32–72	54	1068
	Russia	27–50	38.5	12
	Yugoslavia	_	38	412
Histosols and other organic soils	New Zealand	4–15	8.8	865
	Poland	17–48	_	91, 382
	U.S.	<20–100	30	706
	Russia	8–47	26.5	912
Forest soils	China	_	46	225
	U.S.	30–70	35	218, 219
	Russia	_	32	4, 912
Various soils	Czech Republic	54-76	65	973
	Great Britain	4 7_21	13	818
	New Zealand	2.5-47	15.5	865
	Romania	21.5-68.5	43	43
	U.S.	<20–150	45	706

Table 83	Boron Content	of Surface Soil	s of Different	Countries (ppm D	W)
----------	---------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------	----

^a Data for alkaline, saline, and calcareous alluvial soils.



Figure 64 The effect on sugar beet of B levels in the nutrient solution. (a) B concentration in leaves; (b) Mo concentration in leaves; (c) sugar content of sap.⁹⁰

B. Plants

B is important in plants metabolically and is believed to play the most significant role in the translocation of sugars because the borate-polyhydric complex is more mobile than polar sugar molecules. Most studies have been done on the effects of B on the metabolism of sugar beet, and it has been shown that adequate B supply is necessary for sugar synthesis (Figure 64).

1. Absorption and Transport

Soluble forms of B are easily available to plants which can take up undissociated boric acid as well as other B species present in the ambient solution. The property of boric acid to complex with polysaccharides is believed to play an important role in passive sorption.⁵³¹

There is still controversy as to the extent to which the uptake process is either passive or active. From several reviews presented by Moore,⁵⁴⁸ Price et al.,⁶³⁰ Shkolnik,⁷¹⁹ and Loneragan,⁴⁸⁹ it may be concluded that B uptake by roots consists of different phases. Moore⁵⁴⁸ described three processes, whereas Bowen⁹⁵ observed six phases of B absorption by barley roots. The metabolically controlled process seems to be relatively minor; the B absorption mainly follows water flow through the roots. The B uptake is, therefore, proportional to its concentration and to the water flow. Indeed, Jin et al.¹⁰⁴⁰ reported that B concentration in corn tissues correlates positively with four easily soluble species of B; for example, water soluble, sorbed (either specifically or nonspecifically), and occluded in Mn oxyhydroxides. The sum of these four B species accounts for only 0.4 to 2.0% of the total B in soils. Szabo¹¹⁵⁸ reported that the B uptake by plants increases with its content of nutrient solution, and that is far greater than physiological needs. A relatively close relationship was observed between citrus leaf B and hot-water-soluble B in soil in several studies.

Hu and Brown¹³³⁷ have reviewed the mechanisms of B absorption by plant roots; B is absorbed from soil solution mainly as undissociated boric acid, which theoretically should be membrane permeable. Thus, it can be predicted that B absorption is primarily determined by the B concentration in the nutrient solution and the transpiration rate of the plants. However, B uptake differs

between species, genotypes, etc. Also, the range of genotypic variation in plant response to a low B level in soil is very large. B uptake in higher plants is most probably a passive process related to the external boric acid concentration and to the transpiration rates, but it is under the control of membrane permeability and internal complex formation. There is ample evidence that B nutrition has marked effects on proton secretion and creation of an electrical potential gradient across the membranes. Thus, the B supply may stimulate proton-pumping activity of membranes, resulting in an increased driving force for ion influx (uptake). On the other hand, B deficiency results in an increase in membrane permeability of leaf cells. As compared with B-sufficient leaves (of sunflower), B-deficient leaves net efflux was 35-fold higher for K⁺, 45-fold higher for sucrose, and sevenfold higher for phenolic and amino acids.¹²⁴⁸

Soil pH is one of the most important factors affecting the availability of B to plants. The lowest ratio of B uptake occurs when the soil pH is approximately 7. In alkaline soils, the availability of B increases with an increase in soil pH. This affects B hazard problems, particularly in irrigated saline-alkaline soils.⁷² The absorption of B is temperature dependent and increases during warm periods.

B is relatively immobile in plants; but because it is translocated mainly through the xylem, it is largely accumulated in old leaves in which the highest B content is in the tips and margins.¹¹⁵⁸ Epigeal parts of plants usually contain more B than do roots. Although B is easily retranslocated in phloem, the mobility of B in plant tissues is restricted. This phenomenon has implications in the diagnosis of B imbalance in crops. The foliar application of B has not been widely used in agriculture as it was believed that the immobility of B would limit its effectiveness. Recent findings, however, have shown that foliar application of B can supply B to flower and fruit tissues, and at any time to functional leaves. However, after foliar B fertilization, there was no corresponding effect on fruit yield (orange juice) or on chemical characteristics.¹²³²

Van Goor^{820a} compared the B concentrations in phloem exudate (10 μ g g⁻¹) and in leaf tissue (34 μ g g⁻¹) and showed that B content relative to other micronutrients is higher. This may indicate that the absorption of B during its transport within veins is less than that of other elements. Although B may become quite immobile within plant tissues, there are indications that B can be transported from leaves to developing fruits and seeds.

2. Biochemical Functions

The physiological role of B differs from other micronutrients in that this anion has not been identified as a component of any specific enzyme. Despite the essentiality of B for higher plants, the biochemical role of this element is still not well-understood. There have been many physiological experiments, usually with B-deficient plants, and the results are extensively reviewed by Price et al.,⁶³⁰ Shkolnik,⁷¹⁹ Jackson and Chapman,³⁴⁸ and Mengel and Kirkby.⁵³¹

The *Symposium on Boron in Soils and Plants* held in Thailand (Chiang Mai) in 1997 highlighted recent research activities and results, mainly on B deficiencies. Crop responses to B are highly variable on plant species and genotypes, as well as on growth conditions. Recent research of the biochemistry of B has emphasized the particular importance of B interactions with biological membranes, and with a variety of biomolecules. The deficiency of B in the field reveals an impaired sexual reproduction, marked seed yield reduction, and low male fertility. However, there is a great diversity of effects of low B on reproductive growth among species. As Dell and Huang¹²⁷⁵ stated, much of the data on severely B-deficient plants require reevaluation. However, positive responses to B application have been reported in over 80 countries, where soil parent material and texture are major factors controlling the B status of soils (Table 39).

The functions of B are related to some basic processes such as:

- 1. Carbohydrate metabolism and transport of sugars through membranes
- 2. Nucleic acids (DNA and RNA) and phytohormone syntheses
- 3. Formation of cell walls
- 4. Tissue development (involvement in a messenger role in plants is suggested)
- 5. Formation of stable complexes with compounds having cis-hydroxyl groups
- 6. Formation of B complexes with the constituents of cell walls and plasma membranes

Although B is well-known to be essential for plants, the primary functions of B are still not fully understood. B seems to be of crucial importance for the structural integrity of plasma membranes. As Matoh¹⁴⁰³ described, it is now clear that boric acid links some cell wall components, but it is not clear yet whether there is a structural requirement for B in cell wall function.

B deficiency in several plant species is common on a worldwide scale (Table 39), and its deficiency in some commercial crops such as sugar beet and mangels, celery, sunflower, legumes, and apples is of great agronomic concern. Specific symptoms of B deficiency (Table 38) first appear as retarded and abnormal development of growing points, blue-green color of young leaves, and impairment of fruit formation. Jackson and Chapman³⁴⁸ reported that there is a general similarity of B deficiency symptoms and the response of plant tissue to treatment with growth hormones (e.g., auxin, gibberellic acid). Cakmak and Römheld¹²⁴⁸ reported after Dugger that the enhancement in concentration of phenolics in B-deficient tissues is a result of either stimulation of the pentose phosphate pathway or restriction in biosynthesis of phenolic alcohols. This is less pronounced in monocots as compared with the dicotyledons. Also, the concentration of ascorbic acid is reported to be substantially decreased in plant tissues in response to B deficiency.¹³⁹³ Apparently, the biosynthesis of ascorbic acid was reduced and the consumption (oxidation) of ascorbic acid was stimulated during B deficiency. In return, B fertilization (potato experiment) increases the concentration of ascorbic acid in plant tissues.¹²⁴⁸ In B-deficient leaves, impaired photosynthesis and enhanced phenol oxidation is associated with photooxidative damage to membrane and chlorophyll. B is required to detoxify the phenolics produced at higher light intensities, which can increase plant sensitivity to B deficiency.

Strangely, B seems not to be essential for some fungi and algae, although this element was reported to stimulate N fixation by bacteria.^{504,630} Bonilla et al.^{1235a} reported that nodules from plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) grown without B in the nutrient solution were smaller and had lower weights than controls. Thus, B is an obligatory requirement for normal nodule development and functioning. On the other hand, exudation of B complexing agents into the rhizosphere restricts B uptake from the soil. A great difference (three- to tenfold) is observed in the root B-adsorption capacity between dicots and graminaceous monocots. Hu and Brown¹³³⁷ suggested that more B is required by dicots than graminaceous monocots because of the higher pectin content in the dicots. Mycorrhizal plants have a greater need for a B supply than do nonmycorrhizal plants.⁴⁵⁷ The beneficial impact of B on nodule formation and nitrogenase activity in pea roots is reported by Bolanos et al.¹²³⁴ A recent report by Dixon¹²⁷⁷ has indicated a beneficial effect of B on the resistance mechanism of *Brassicas* against clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*), a soil-borne fungi pathogen that affects crops on a worldwide scale.

The assessment of B availability and its requirement by plants have been extensively studied and it has been found that analysis of water-soluble B in soils and plant tissue tests are adequate for the diagnosis and prediction of B deficiency (Table 39). Some plant species have a low B requirement and may also be sensitive to elevated B levels even only slightly above those needed for normal growth. Therefore, toxic effects of B are likely to arise by excessive use of B fertilizers. The toxicity is usually more common in arid and semiarid regions on soils with naturally high levels of B. Sensitive crop plants (e.g., cereals, cotton) may be affected by a B concentration in soil solutions as low as 1 mg L⁻¹, but 5 mg L⁻¹ may be tolerated by various plant species, whereas 10 to 15 mg L⁻¹ was toxic to tolerant plants.^{11,90,648} The increased B content of irrigation water may be especially toxic to crops grown in an arid region.^{133,674}

Diagnosis and prediction of B deficiency for plant production has been widely reviewed by Bell.¹²²⁰ The author emphasizes that one of the major difficulties with B deficiency diagnosis is

that environmental factors such as low soil water, low temperature, high light, and low vapor pressure can induce a temporary B deficiency.

If there is an excess of B, a very high concentration (1000 to 1500 ppm) of this element often occurs in leaf tips or margins. These parts of leaves become necrotic, while at the early stage of B toxicity the leaves are dark green and wilted. The growing points of such plants become dark and decay.

There is a narrow range of toxic and deficient B levels to plants. However, due to a great species variation, toxic symptoms are observed at very different B concentrations in plant tissues. For example, B toxicity occurs in cotton at tissue concentrations of 422 ppm and in kenaf at 222 ppm; both plants were grown in soil with 45 ppm B content.¹⁴⁰⁷ For animal nutrition, B above 150 ppm in fodder is not recommended.

3. Interactions with Other Elements

Interactions of B in the uptake of other nutrients by plants are apparently related to changes in membrane permeability and in the status of cell colloids. Physiological mechanisms of these reactions are, however, still not well-understood.

Several interactions reported for B and other trace elements, as shown in Figure 30, have not yet been confirmed. The possible antagonisms with Cu, Cr, Mo, and Mn may be effects of indirect influence through the increase of growth, hence increased demands for a given micronutrient. However, Lambert et al.⁴⁵⁷ reported that B-deficient alfalfa also contained a lower amount of Cu. Leal et al.⁴⁶³ explained Fe-B antagonism as a result of increased B accumulation in roots with a higher soil supply of Fe. B-Si antagonism is an effect of possible competition by silicate ions for adsorption sites of B, and this reaction has been observed in both soil environments and root tissues. Graham et al.¹⁰¹³ demonstrated that both low Zn and high P supplies increase the accumulation of B in barley plants. Thus, the B uptake to toxic levels in plants may be expected in soils with a low level of available Zn, a high B level in the rooting zone, and a high P fertilization rate. Findings of these authors show that at the excessive B concentrations, the toxicity symptoms first appeared on low-Zn plants, which suggests a partial protective effect of Zn against toxic levels of B in the rooting zone.

The B-Ca interrelationship is reported most often. Plants grow normally if a certain balance exists in the intake and in the tissue concentrations of Ca and B. Lime-induced B deficiency has frequently been observed in acid soils. However, it has also been shown that at equivalent amounts of Ca, tissue B concentrations are much higher if CaSO₄, rather than CaCO₃, is applied to the soil.^{626,772} Liming is believed to result in decreased B adsorption; thus, toxic effects of B may be reduced or prevented by adding Ca to soils. These phenomena have been ascribed both to reactions within soil media and to metabolic processes. The B-Mg synergic interrelationship is observed at combined fertilization of barley.

B and P have similar reactions with OH⁻ groups; thus, the uptake of these elements by plants is likely to follow similar patterns.⁵² The uptake and distribution of P are reported to be dependent on B concentrations because B increases P immobilization in roots.⁴⁶³ B-P interactions in soils are related to the interference of phosphate ions with B mobility. Graham et al.¹⁰¹³ described that interactions between P and B are not yet fully understood; however, these two elements influence the integrity of cell membranes, and imbalances in these nutrients could lead to aberrations in ion uptake. These interactions are cross-linked with Zn functions in the absorption and transport of nutrients in plants. Other effects of nutrients, such as K and N, on the B content of plants are, presumably, secondary results of increased plant growth or of some physiological disorders.

B is reported to have beneficial effects on Al toxicity on plant growth. However, the ameliorative effect of B is related rather to root activity under Al stress, and not directly to Al toxicity involved in the ascorbate metabolism.¹³⁹³

High salinity levels of some coastal soils (e.g., in Chile) due to Na and Cl can reduce increased levels of B in crop plants.¹²⁹⁹

	Grass	Grasses		ers		
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.	
Great Britain	_	26ª			112	
Czech Republic	14–30	22 ª	_	_	154	
Finland	3.9–6.3	4.9	_	_	590	
Germany	_	_	20-50 ^b	_	65	
-	_	_	20-60	_	65	
Germany	_	_	30–100 ^b	_	65	
Hungary	1.0-7.9	5.8	20–35	33	803, 804	
Japan	1.6–12	4.9	12–35	21	770	
Poland	1.0–15.6	5.6	11.3–16.5	14	1045	
New Zealand	1.7–10.0	5.2	6–120	26 ^b	865	
U.S.	<5–20	7.4	10–70	22°	710	
Russia	2–10	5	32–50	40 ^b	337	
	_	_	10–40	26	337	
Yugoslavia	—	—	70–97	78	623	

Table 84 Boron Content of Grasses and Legumes (ppm DW)

^a Pasture herbs.

^b Alfalfa.

° Calculated from AW basis.

Foodstuffs (ppm) ^{301,710}						
Plant	Sample	DW Basis	AW Basis			
Sweet corn	Grains	1.5	58			
Bean	Pods	13	180			
Cabbage	Leaves	14	140			
Lettuce	Leaves	1.3	93			
Carrot	Roots	9.9	140			
Onion	Bulbs	10	250			
Potato	Tubers	6.1	58			
Tomato	Fruits	6	84			
Apple'	Fruits	8.3	455			
Orange	Fruits	9.4	260			

Table 85 Mean Boron Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)^{381,710}

4. Concentrations in Plants

The B content of plants grown under natural conditions is widely varied for plant species and kinds of soil. In general, however, dicot plants have a higher B requirement and thus a higher B content than do monocot plants. The average B content of forage plants from various countries supports this statement (Table 84). This table gives the average B concentration in grasses as 5.7 ppm, whereas the content of alfalfa and clover is 37 ppm. Using these averages, the ratio of B in dicots to monocots is 6.5, which is higher than that calculated by other authors.¹⁶⁶

The range of the B content in vegetables and fruits is 1.3 to 16 ppm (DW) or 58 to 455 ppm (AW) (Table 85). Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ reported that trees and shrubs (B content, 50 to 500 ppm AW) generally contain 2 to 10 times as much B as do vegetables. The lowest B amounts, however, have always been found in seeds and grains, cereal grains in particular (Table 86). The highest B level was found in leaves of sugar beets (Figure 64). The general trend in the B distribution among different crop plants is illustrated in Figure 65.

It has been relatively easy to establish the critical B levels in plant tissues as 5 to 30 ppm (DW) (Table 33). The toxic B contents, on the other hand, have been reported as follows: John et al.³⁶² found that spinach, although with reduced yield, could grow when containing 348 to 990 ppm B, whereas corn could tolerate a content of 1007 to 4800 ppm; Chapman¹³¹ reported toxic concentrations

Country	Cereal ^a	Range	Mean	Ref.
Canada	Oats	—	0.7	293
Great Britain	Barley Oats	_	3.4 3.3	112 112
Poland	Oats	1.9–2.3	2.0	1045
	Rye	1.1–1.6	1.3	1045
	Wheat	0.3–1.5	0.8	267
Finland	Barley	0.7–1.1	1.0	829
	Oats	0.9–1.3	1.2	829
	Wheat	1.1–1.2	1.2	829
U.S.	Barley	0.9–2.3	1.6	200
	Oats	1.6–3.8	2.2	200
	Wheat (s)	0.8–4.3	1.9	200
	Wheat (w)	0.8–3.5	1.8	200
Russia	Barley	5–9	6.6	337
	Oats	5–8	6.8	337
	Rye	5–12	7.3	337
	Wheat	1–15	6.8	337

 Table 86
 Boron Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Spring, s; winter, w.



Figure 65 Distribution pattern of B in different crop plants. (Modified from Yläranta and Sillanpää.¹¹⁹¹)

for alfalfa to be from 283 to 333 ppm; Alikhanova¹¹ observed B toxicity in cotton at 283 to 333 ppm; and Davis et al.¹⁷¹ stated that 80 ppm B is toxic to barley seedlings. Cole crops are known to be very resistant to high B concentrations in plant tissues. Gupta et al.¹⁰²⁰ found the B as much as 123 and 161 ppm (DW) in brussels sprouts growing in soils with 7.6 and 91 ppm B (hot water soluble), respectively, and no symptoms of toxicity were observed. Ryegrass grown in the soil amended with fly-ash (enriched in easily soluble B) contained up to 430 ppm (DW) B and did not show toxic symptoms.¹⁰⁴⁵ In orchards where citrus trees showed some toxicity symptoms, about 14% of leaf samples had B greater than 200 ppm, and only 18% had B less than 100 ppm.¹²¹⁰

The B toxicity in some crops (commonly in cereals and sunflower) occurs most often on soil contaminated with B due to: (1) irrigation with treated municipal wastewaters or with B-enriched

river waters in aridic climatic zones, (2) amendment with fly-ash, and (3) foliar application of B on citrus and/or apple orchards. In plants grown in saline soils, foliar levels of B may be reduced because high soil salinity reduces plant water uptake.¹²⁹⁹ Plants that exhibit salt tolerance are usually also sufficiently tolerant of B elevated concentration in growth media. Thus, plant species such as *Astragalus* are considered to be B tolerant.¹⁴⁵⁰

III. ALUMINUM

A. Soils

As one of the main constituents of the Earth's crust, Al in rocks commonly ranges from 0.45 to 10% (Table 82). The only stable and frequently occurring ion, Al^{3+} , is known to coordinate with oxygen-bearing ligands.

During weathering of primary rock minerals the series of Al hydroxides of variable charge and composition, from Al(OH)²⁺ to Al (OH)³⁻₆, are formed and they become the structural components of clay minerals. Some Al silicates (e.g., imogolite) are believed to be the principal dissolved Al species in podzols, while in some sulfate- and acid-spoiled soils, the Al solubility is governed mainly by Al sulfate.¹¹⁰² In general, the solubility of Al hydroxides is low, especially in the pH range 5 to 8, and solubility decreases with aging. Freshly precipitated solid Al hydroxide species and colloidal species have a potential for anion adsorption, as well as the ability to flocculate negatively charged particles. Thus, Al hydroxides contribute greatly to various soil properties.

The important results related to Al species and Al toxicity in soil and water are reviewed by Klöppel et al.¹³⁶⁶ Depending on the properties of both environmental compartments, a variety of Al complexes and species can occur, as well as free $Al(H_2O)_6^{3+}$, which is regarded as the most toxic to biota. However, when Al products are exposed to environmental media, the toxic Al species are not significantly increased.

Al ions in soil solutions depend on the pH. Al^{3+} predominates at pH 4 to 5, $Al(OH)^{2+}$ and $Al(OH)_2^+$ at pH 5.5 to 7, and $Al(OH)_4^-$ at pH 7 to 8. Organic compounds, both high and low molecular weight (e.g., humic acid, citric acid), decrease soil acidity and therefore decrease free Al ion contents. Al is known to form several polynuclear species in aqueous environments. The tridecameric (Al_{13}) species, $AlO_4Al_{12}(OH)_{24}$ $(H_2O)_{12}^{7+}$, is the primary proposed model of polynuclear species in soil-water systems. The Al_{13} polynuclear species is believed to be important in the behavior of Al in soils and aquatic systems, and is known to reveal a complexing ability to immobilize some free cations.¹²¹¹ Berth and Parker¹²²⁴ reported that polynuclear Al_{13} and Almontmorillonite immobilize Cd and Zn in polluted soils. The binding of Zn and Pb by the clay mineral montmorillonite is stimulated by Al hydroxides and low-molecular-weight acids.¹³⁴⁴

The total Al content of soils is inherited from parent rocks; however, only that fraction of Al which is easily mobile and exchangeable plays an important role in soil fertility.⁵²⁸ In acid soils with pH below 5.5, the mobility of Al increases sharply and very actively competes with other cations for exchange sites. A sudden increase of the Al solubility is observed mainly in the narrow pH range from 4.5 to 4.0. The solutions of neutral soils contain Al on the order of about 400 μ g L⁻¹ (Table 16); while in the soil solution at pH 4.4, Al concentration was reported to be 5700 μ g L^{-1,279} Nilsson and Bergkvist¹¹⁰² studied Al chemistry in a Swedish podzol and found higher Al concentrations (ranging from 95 to 115 μ M L⁻¹) in leachates collected below the B horizon than below the upper A₀ and A₂ horizons. These investigators emphasized a significant contribution of the organic Al species to Al solubility. They stated that DOC (probably FA) affects the Al release through the complex formation in the upper soil layer, while the other types of mechanisms are operating in the lower B horizon. Also, soil acidification due to the atmospheric deposition of S (mainly SO₂) increases the Al solubility in soils, possibly by the formation of soluble Al(OH)SO₄. With sulfides (e.g., pyrites) present in soils, oxidation produces H₂SO₄, which interacts with other

minerals to yield Al ions which then occupy exchange sites.¹¹¹⁸ The mobile Al in acid soils can be taken up rapidly by plants and it creates a problem of chemical stress in the plants. Foy⁹⁹⁸ reported that Al toxicity in subsoils is particularly harmful because it causes shallow rooting, drought susceptibility, and poor use of subsoil nutrients.

B. Plants

Al is a common constituent of all plants and is reported to occur in higher plants in the range X0 to X00 ppm (DW). However, the content of this element in plants varies greatly, depending on soil and plant factors (Table 87). Some species of Al-accumulating plants may contain more than 0.1% (DW) of Al.

The physiological function of Al in plants is not clear, although there is some evidence that low levels of Al can have a beneficial effect on plant growth, especially in Al-tolerant plant species.^{141,241} Small quantities of Al are believed to activate some enzymes and to control physical properties of plasma and membrane permeability. According to Ślaski,¹⁵⁰⁰ Al ions interact with root tips of cereals sensitive to an excess of Al in mobile forms. Roots of cereals tolerant to the Al excess (e.g., wheat, sp. *Atlas*) exclude phosphate compounds, increasing pH at the rhizosphere and complexing Al³⁺ ion, and limiting its uptake.¹⁴⁵¹ Al injury or toxicity is often reported for plants grown in acid soils. Several recent reviews have been published on this subject, which emphasized that a high availability of Al in acid soils is one of the limiting factors in the production of most field crops.^{44,241,646,998} In fact, the reduced yield of crops as well as forest decline on acid soils is often due to increased availability of Al rather than high H⁺ concentrations.

Plant species and even cultivars of the same species differ considerably in their ability to take up and translocate Al, which affects the tolerance of plants to excesses of Al. In most plants, the symptoms of Al injury first appear in the roots, and Al is likely to be concentrated in the roots of several plant species. The amount of Al passively taken up by roots and then translocated to tops reflects the Al tolerance of plants, but the ability to accumulate Al in roots is not necessarily associated with Al tolerance. Tea bushes grow mainly in very acid soils and therefore accumulate much Al. The median content of tea leaves from plantations of various countries is 2969 ppm.¹³³⁴ Chinese tea has from 676 to 1875 ppm Al, of which about 35% is extracted to hot water. Wong et al.¹⁵⁵⁰ reported that tea drinks contain from 2.1 to 2.5 mg Al L⁻¹.

	··· AFF /			
Plant	Tissue Sample	FW(a) Basis	DW Basis	AW Basis
Barley	Grains	_	135, 10	_
Oats	Grains	—	82	—
Sweet corn	Grains	_	2.6	100
Cabbage	Leaves	1.5	8.8	95
Spinach	Leaves	—	104	—
Lettuce	Leaves	0.1	73	520
Carrot	Roots	0.4	7.8	110
Onion	Bulbs	—	63	1500
Potato	Tubers	3.8	76, 13	310
Tomato	Fruits	—	20	170
Apple	Fruits	0.9	7.2	400
Orange	Fruits	1.4	15	430
Legumes	Tops	—	85–3470	—
Grass, timothy	Tops	—	6.5–23.5	—
Grasses ^a	Tops	—	60–14,500	—
Grasses	Tops	—	50–3410	—
Mushrooms ^b	Fruitbodies	_	25–130	_

 Table 87
 Aluminum Content of Food and Forage Plants (ppm)15,55,113,484,536,547,590,705,1104a

^a Sample from grass tetany pasture.

^b Suillus variegatus.

As Foy et al.²⁴¹ stated, the physiological mechanisms of Al toxicity are still debated; however, they are related mainly to impaired nutrient uptake and transport and to an imbalanced ratio of cations to anions. The Al excess in plants is also likely to interfere with cell division and with properties of the protoplasm and cell walls. Al is known to form organic complexes and therefore to precipitate nucleic acids. Taylor^{1161a} reviewed recent findings on Al phytotoxicity which is related to several effects, mainly reductions in root elongation and root biomass production, reduction in membrane fluidity, and changes in DNA synthesis. The most significant mechanisms of Al tolerance depend upon: (1) exclusion of Al at the root-soil interface, (2) plant-induced pH barrier in the rhizosphere, (3) Al immobilization at the cell wall and binding by proteins, and (4) evolution of enzymes.

The complex physiology of Al toxicity in plants is reflected in several interactions with the uptake of nutrients such as P, Ca, Mg, K, and N. In general, cation uptake by plants is reduced with an excess of Al. Al toxicity is also frequently associated with increased levels of Fe and Mn, and possibly other heavy metals, which are readily available in acid soils. However, Al-induced chlorosis due to impaired Fe metabolism in some plants and Fe deficiency were also reported by Foy et al.²⁴¹ It is to be expected that the toxicity would be accompanied by lower levels of Ca and Mg in both soils and plants.

The interaction of Al and P is related to the formation of sparingly soluble Al phosphates in soils and to other coreactions of internal adsorption or precipitation of Al and P, as well as to Al interference with normal P metabolism, mainly in root tissues. Jarvis and Hatch¹⁰³⁶ observed that the effects of Al³⁺ at low concentrations were related to the reduced uptake of P and NO₃⁻ by white clover. Hence, Al toxicity is often manifested as a P deficiency, and P is an effective agent for detoxifying excess Al. Also Si is known to play a significant role in detoxifying Al.^{241,998}

Al excess in plants is known to induce Ca deficiency or reduce Ca transport. Also, the Mg content of plants is greatly decreased by Al, and this decrease in Mg may be an important response of plants sensitive to Al. The addition of both Ca and Mg to soil greatly reduces Al toxicity. Al tolerance in plants seems to be associated with NH_4 tolerance because nitrification is strongly inhibited in acid soils. The mechanisms of Al tolerance in plants are known to be genetically controlled; therefore, the selection of plants having genetic adaptability may be a solution to the problem of Al stress for crops grown in acid soils. Al toxicity is one of the major growth-limiting factors in many acid soils. Foy^{241,998} discussed differences in plant tolerance to the Al excess in acid soils, and the effective use of genetic diversity to solve some of the problems of the acid soil fertility.

IV. GALLIUM

A. Soils

Ga is distributed rather uniformly in the major types of rocks and its common values in both magmatic and sedimentary rocks range from 5 to 25 ppm; but in ultramafic and calcareous rocks, the concentration of this metal is about 3 ppm (Table 82). Ga is a widely dispersed element in many minerals, usually in the 5 to 200 ppm range and mainly in sulfides and hydroxides. The highest concentration of Ga, up to about 2%, is found in the mineral germanite, Cu_3 (Fe, Ge, Zn, Ga)S₄. Elevated content of this metal is reported in micas, especially in muscovite, and also in feldspars and amphiboles.

In weathering, Ga behaves like Al and is usually strongly associated with Al minerals (e.g., bauxites). This general tendency of Ga is reflected in the fact that in soil profiles Ga is positively correlated with the clay fraction. The distribution of Ga in soils also shows a relation to Fe and Mn oxides.

The ionic form of this element in natural environments is Ga^{3+} , but the low solubility of $Ga(OH)_3$ seems to be most responsible for its limited migration. Ga is likely to be accumulated in the organic

Soil	Range	Mean
Sandy soils and lithosols on sandstones	<5–30	11.0
Light loamy soils	5–50	20.5
Loess and soils on silt deposits	5–30	16.5
Clay and clay loamy soils	5–70	18.5
Alluvial soils	5–30	18.0
Soils over granites and gneisses	15–50	29.5
Soils over volcanic rocks	15–30	22.5
Soils over limestones and calcareous rocks	<5–30	12.0
Soils on glacial till and drift	7–30	15.0
Light desert soils	7–30	17.0
Silty prairie soils	10–20	14.5
Chernozems and dark prairie soils	7–30	15.0
Organic light soils	<5–50	13.5
Forest soils	<5–50	17.0
Various soils	<5–50	12.0
Various soils (of Alaska)	<4–32	15.0

Table 88 Gallium Content of Surface Soils of the U.S. (ppm DW)^{145,706,1012}

matter of soil. Moreover, the higher concentrations of Ga are reported for bioliths, but whether Ga forms organometallic complexes has not been confirmed.⁸⁵⁵

The Ga status in soils has not been intensively studied. The data summarized by Wedepohl⁸⁵⁵ for soils from various countries show that the Ga content ranges from 1 to 70 ppm and that the grand mean content is 28 ppm. Wells⁸⁶³ reported Ga for soils derived from basalts and andesites of New Zealand to range from 16 to 48 ppm, and Gribovskaya et al.²⁸³ gave the range from 6 to 17 ppm in various soils of Russia. The British standard soil sample contained 21 ppm Ga, as reported by Ure and Bacon.⁸¹⁸ Also, for calcareous soils of China, the average Ga concentration was given as 21 ppm (DW), with the highest value 50 ppm.¹¹²⁴

Average Ga content has been calculated for different soils of the U.S. to range from 11 to 30 ppm, being the lowest in sandy and calcareous soils and the highest in soils derived from granitic and volcanic rocks (Table 88). Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported recent results for Ga in reference soils from different countries that ranged from 10 to 40 ppm in soils from China, and from 6.4 to 24.3 ppm in soils from the U.S.

Ga as a pollutant is emitted from aluminum works and during coal combustion. However, elevated concentrations of Ga in surface soils has not yet been reported. Asami et al.¹²⁰⁹ studied soils from sites both polluted and unpolluted by metals in Japan, and did not notice any significant difference. Ga content of both kinds of soils range between 13 and 16 ppm.

B. Plants

There is insufficient evidence to demonstrate either the necessity for or the toxicity of Ga in plants, although some earlier studies suggested a beneficial role of this element in the growth of microorganisms. Nevertheless, Ga is commonly found in plant tissues, and its concentration is reported to range from 3 to 30 ppm (AW) in a variety of native species from the U.S., as reported by Shacklette et al.,⁷¹⁰ and from 0.02 to 5.5 ppm (DW) in native herbage from the (former) Soviet Union as described by Gribovskaya et al.²⁸³ and Dvornikov et al.¹⁹⁹ The highest Ga contents were given by Wedepohl⁸⁵⁵ and Bowen⁹⁴ for lichens (2.2 to 60 ppm, DW) and bryophytes (2.7 to 30 ppm, DW). A higher ratio of Ga to Al in land plants than in the soils in which the plants grow can reflect the selective uptake of Ga by plants.

V. INDIUM

A. Soils

The geochemistry of In is not well-known. Concentrations of In in magmatic rocks exceed its occurrence in sedimentary deposits by about ten times (Table 82). The grand mean In content of rocks is 0.1 ppm. It exhibits a chalcophilic behavior in the Earth's crust and therefore forms mostly sulfide minerals, but selenide and telluride minerals are also common. Most recent geochemical studies of In have been made because it seems to be associated with base metal deposits. The current information on In in the environment has been extensively discussed by Smith et al.⁷⁴² During weathering, In oxidized to In³⁺ follows Fe³⁺, Mn⁴⁺, and, partly, Al³⁺, and usually precipitates under conditions which form hydrous Fe oxide. The concentration of In up to X0% has been reported, as found in some Fe oxides as a result of their sorption capacity.

Indium in acid solutions may form several ionic species (e.g., $InCl^{2+}$ and $In(OH)^{2+}$), which are precipitated in the pH range of 5 to 9. Above pH 9.5, the anion $In(OH)_4^{-1}$ is likely to occur.

Indium is commonly found in coals and crude oil and is reported to be combined with organic substances. In soils, In also seems to be associated with organic matter and therefore its concentration is increased in surface-soil horizons. This concentration may also reflect pollution.

Natural In content of various soils in the U.S. range from <0.2 to 0.5 ppm (average, 0.2 ppm), whereas in soils of other countries, In is reported to average 0.01 ppm. Chattopadhyay and Jervis¹³² reported that the In content of cultivated surficial organic soil increased up to 2.6 ppm. The natural concentration of In in soils of Japan is reported to range from 0.02 to 0.08 ppm, whereas soils polluted with metals contain from 0.107 to 1.92 ppm In.¹²⁰⁹ Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported results for In in reference soils from China to range from 0.03 to 4.1 ppm (average, 0.675). Somewhat elevated concentrations of In (up to 4.2 ppm) in the topsoil near Pb and Zn works are described by Smith et al.⁷⁴² Some sewage sludges may also be a source of In.²⁴⁹

B. Plants

In is known to be readily available to plants, although it is not significantly concentrated by most plants. Physiological effects on plants are reported mainly in relation to In-induced toxicity in roots, which were described by Smith et al.⁷⁴² to occur in various plants at 1 to 2 ppm In concentrations in culture solutions. More results were obtained from studies of the effects of In on microorganisms, which reveal a greater resistance to In concentrations in solution than do higher plants. However, concentrations of 5 to 9 ppm In were reported to inhibit activity of nitrate-forming bacteria in soil.

A few data collected by Smith et al.⁷⁴² show that the In content of vegetation from unpolluted sites ranged from 30 to 710 ppb (FW) (mean, 210 ppb); whereas in unwashed plants (chiefly grass) from the industrial region, these values were 0.008 to 2.1 ppm (FW). Furr et al.²⁴⁹ gave the range of In in beets grown in soil amended with sewage sludge to be 80 to 300 ppb (DW). Much lower values reported for In content (0.64 to 1.8 ppb DW) of the standard samples of orchard and tomato leaves show that either the In content of plants is highly variable or that determinations are not very precise.

VI. THALLIUM

A. Soils

The distribution of Tl in the Earth's crust shows that its concentration seems to increase with increasing acidity of magmatic rocks and with increasing clay content of sedimentary rocks (Table 82). Common Tl contents of mafic rocks range from 0.05 to 0.4 ppm, and in acid rocks from 0.5 to 2.3 ppm. Calcareous sedimentary rocks contain as little as 0.01 to 0.14 ppm Tl.

In geochemical environments, Tl is known to occur in three oxidation states, +1, +2, and +3. The cation Tl⁺ is highly associated with K and Rb, and also with several other cations. It behaves like a lithogenic and a chalcophilic element and is incorporated into various minerals, mainly sulfides. Geochemical behavior of Tl is analogous to that of K. Tl reveals a great affinity to micaceous minerals and inhibits the activation energy of K released from these minerals.⁹⁹⁹

During weathering, Tl is readily mobilized and transported together with alkaline metals. However, Tl is most often fixed *in situ* by clays and gels of Mn and Fe oxides. The sorption of Tl by organic matter, especially under reducing conditions, is also known.

Smith and Carson⁷⁴⁰ widely reviewed environmental occurrences of Tl and cited its concentration to range from 0.02 to 2.8 ppm in surface soils of the U.S. and enriched contents of Tl (up to about 5 ppm) in soils over sphalerite veins. Dvornikov et al.¹⁹⁹ reported the Tl content of soil within an Hg mineralization area in Russia to be 0.03 to 1.1 ppm. In garden soil analyzed by Chattopadhyay and Jervis,¹³² Tl occurred in concentrations from 0.17 to 0.22 ppm, with the highest value in the surface samples. Ure and Bacon⁸¹⁸ found 0.27 ppm Tl in the standard soil sample. Lukaszewski¹⁰⁷⁶ gave the range of Tl from 0.014 to 0.405 ppm in topsoils of Poland, with the lowest contents in sandy soils. Recent data given by Govindaraju¹³¹³ for Tl in reference soils, indicate the range in Chinese soils to be from 0.21 to 2.4 ppm (average, 0.98 ppm); and in soils from the U.S., from 0.18 to 0.64 ppm (average, 0.41 ppm).

The largest anthropogenic source of Tl is related to coal combustion, but also heavy metal smelting, the cement industry, and refining processes may release some amounts of Tl into the environment. Asami⁹²⁶ cited that soils around abandoned Hg mines in China contain Tl within the range of 20 to 80 ppm (DW), and Schoer¹¹⁴¹ reported the range 3 to 6 ppm (DW) in soils in the vicinity of a cement plant. Anthropogenic Tl in soils seems to be easily soluble and thus readily available to plants. Highly elevated Tl levels, from 8.8 to 27.8 ppm, are also reported for soils in the vicinity of Pb and Zn mines in Germany.¹⁵²⁰ The highest Tl concentrations, however, were found in soils around old Zn mines (maximum, 73 ppm; mean, 15 ppm) and in surroundings of cement plants (maximum, 15 ppm; mean, 1.4 ppm).¹⁴⁷⁴ In general, Tl soil contents above 1 ppm indicate pollution and this concentration is proposed as the MAC value for agricultural soils. However, a lithogenic increase in Tl level, up to 40 ppm, has also been observed.¹⁵²⁰

B. Plants

The Tl content of plants seems to be a function of Tl concentrations in soils, as illustrated in Figure 66. Herbage and woody plants apparently contain higher amounts of Tl than do other plant species. Dvornikov et al.¹⁹⁹ found Tl in herbage to range from 0.02 to 1.0 ppm (DW), and Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ cited Tl for pine trees to range from 2 to 100 ppm (AW), being higher in needles than in stems. Smith and Carson⁷⁴⁰ gave Tl levels in edible plants to range from 0.02 to 0.125 ppm (DW), in clover from 0.008 to 0.01 ppm (DW), and in meadow hay from 0.02 to 0.025 ppm (DW). Rape seed can accumulate large amounts of Tl, up to 33 ppm, when grown in soils enriched in this metal.¹⁵²¹ High Tl concentrations, up to 5.5 ppm, are sometimes observed in mushrooms. Reference content of Tl in plants has been calculated by Markert^{1399a} at 0.05 ppm.

There is an assumption that some plants, especially of the *Cruciferae* (Brassica) and *Gramineae* families, can serve as hyperaccumulators for phytoremediation of contaminated soils. Some species of the *Cruciferae* family can accumulate Tl above 2000 ppm from soils with about 40 ppm of this metal. McGrath¹⁴⁰⁷ reported that there are programs for screening plants (e.g., *Brassica* sp.) for their ability to phytoextract Tl from soils impacted by a cement factory.

Źyka⁹⁰⁹ analyzed herbaceous plants grown in soil over Tl mineralization and showed accumulations as high as 17,000 ppm (AW) in flowers of *Galium* sp. (Rubiaceae family), while other plants accumulated Tl in leaves and stalks at about 100 ppm (AW).



Figure 66 TI content of two herbs, (a) wormwood and (b) euphorbia, as a function of its concentrations in soil.¹⁹⁹

Increased Tl levels in plant tissues are highly toxic to both plants and animals. The concentration of 5 ppm Tl in the solution decreased by 50% the length of roots of collard and wheat, with no visual symptoms of Tl toxicity.¹⁰⁰⁹ Some plant species (e.g., wormwood [*Artemisia* sp., Compositae family]) are likely to accumulate Tl, and the concentration factor may be high. As Smith and Carson⁷⁴⁰ described, at potash fertilizer works and smelter and bituminous coal plant sites, plants contained elevated Tl amounts. In industrial regions, Tl can be easily absorbed by plants from aerial deposits. Plants grown around coal power plants and cement factories are most likely to have elevated levels of Tl; for example, *Cruciferae* species accumulated up to approximately 450 ppm Tl near a cement plant in Germany.¹⁴⁰⁷

Tl is easily available to plants, and when concentrated in roots up to about 2 ppm, can inhibit the germination, plant growth, and chlorophyll content. Plants especially sensitive to increased levels of Tl are the Leguminosae species, cereals, tobacco, and buckwheat. Microorganisms are reported to be relatively sensitive to Tl, and therefore the inhibition of nitrate formation in Tl-polluted soils may have an agronomic impact. There is also an opinion that Tl is likely to be involved in microbial cycling by possible methylation.⁸⁵⁶

VII. SCANDIUM

A. Soils

The lithospheric abundance of Sc presented in Table 82 shows that the element is likely to be enriched in mafic rocks and also in argillaceous sediments, whereas the Sc content of sandstones and limestones is low. Sc is known to occur in natural environments as Sc^{3+} which can substitute for Al^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , Y^{3+} , and also Ti^{4+} ; thus, the element is mainly associated with ferromagnesian minerals and biotite. However, the simple Sc^{3+} ion probably does not exist in solutions. The complexes such as $Sc(H_2O)_6^{3+}$ and $Sc(H_2O)_5OH^{2+}$ are likely to occur in aqueous environments. Sc shows also an affinity for complexing with PO_4 , SO_4 , CO_3 , F, and amines. PO_4 especially is most effective in the precipitation of Sc compounds; thus, its enrichment in phosphorites can be expected (Table 5).

The Sc content of surface soils ranges from 0.5 to 45 ppm (Table 89A). Erdman et al.²¹⁸ calculated the mean Sc content of uncultivated soils in the U.S. to be 7.1 ppm and in cultivated soils to be 5.1 ppm. Laul et al.⁴⁶² gave the range of Sc concentration in soils as 2.9 to 17 ppm.

The soil Sc content is governed mainly by the parent material, and its lowest concentrations are reported for sandy and light organic soils, whereas somewhat higher amounts have been found in soils derived from granitic and volcanic rocks. Waganov and Nizharadze¹¹⁸⁴ gave 5 ppm for the mean Sc concentration in deep loess deposits of the European part of Russia. On a world scale, the mean contents of Sc range from 5 to 10 ppm, the lowest for podzols and highest for kastanozems and

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols	Czech Republic	5.1–14	10	938
	Poland	0.8-3.5	1.5	1045
	U.S.	<5–30	5	145, 706
Loamy and clay soils	Bulgaria	11.7–13.9	12.9	558
	Poland	2.4-3.5	3	1045
	U.S.	<5–20	10	145, 706
Fluvisol	Bulgaria	_	7.6	558
	Poland	_	3.1	1045
Andosols	Japan	25.8-30.5	_	1192
Black earth	Poland	_	2.3	1045
Rendzinas	Poland	_	5.8	1045
	Czech Republic		11	938
Chernozems	Bulgaria	10.9–13.7	12	558
	Czech Republic	8.5-12.6	9.5	938
Forest soils	Bulgaria	4.2-24.8	12.5	558
	U.S.	5–20	7	145, 706
Various soils	Bulgaria	3.4-46.4	16.6	558
	Czech Republic	5.1-12.6	9.5	938
	Canada	4.9–17.8	10.5	409
	Great Britain	_	12.7	818
	Japan	14.9–22.2	—	1192
	Germany	0.5–9.0	4.3	325
	U.S.	5–30	11	145, 706
	U.S. (Alaska)	<2–39	13	1012

Table 89 A. Scandium Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

B. Yttrium Content of Surface Soils of the U.S. (ppm DW)^{145,706}

Soil	Range	Mean
Sandy soils and lithosols on sandstones	<10–100	22
Light loamy soils	10–70	29
Loess and soils on silt deposits	10–50	27
Clay and clay loamy soils	10–100	28
Alluvial soils	10–50	23
Soils over granites and gneisses	10–150	30
Soils over volcanic rocks	10–70	33
Soils over limestones and calcareous rocks	<10–70	27
Soils on glacial till and drift	10–20	16
Light desert soils	10–100	31
Silty prairie soils	10–30	20
Chernozems and dark prairie soils	10–70	24
Organic light soils	<10–50	21
Forest soils	<10–150	25
Various soils	10–150	26

chernozems (Table 9). Recent data for Sc in reference soils from China gave a range of 5 to 28 ppm (average, 15 ppm); and in soils from the U.S., a range of 5 to 18 ppm (average, 11 ppm).¹³¹³

Wedepohl⁸⁵⁵ reported that the ash residues of some peats, coal, and crude oil carry significant amounts of Sc (5 to 1000 ppm, AW); therefore, environmental enrichment of Sc due to coal and oil combustion processes should be expected.

B. Plants

There is a paucity of data on Sc distribution in plants. Connor and Shacklette¹⁴⁵ gave the mean content of Sc in some shrubs and trees as <5 ppm (AW) and reported that in about 3% of the analyzed samples Sc was at detectable concentrations. Duke¹⁹⁷ gave the Sc range for several plant

foods of tropical forest Indians to be 0.002 to 0.1 ppm (DW). Laul et al.⁴⁶² found 0.005 ppm Sc in vegetables and 0.07 ppm in grass. Sc content of mosses from Scandinavia varies from 0.16 to 0.25 ppm (DW), with the lowest from Sweden and the highest from Denmark.¹¹³⁵ Yoshino and Goto¹¹⁹² found 0.174 ppm (DW) Sc in rice straw and 0.998 ppm Sc in manure produced from that straw.

Ozoliniya and Kiunke⁵⁸⁸ reported high concentrations of Sc in barley roots (up to 0.63 ppm DW) and observed that the greatest amounts of Sc were taken up by plants from sandy soils. The Sc content seemed to be higher in old leaves than in young leaves, and its highest concentrations (0.014 to 0.026 ppm DW) were reported for flax plants, while in lettuce leaves Sc ranged from 0.007 to 0.012 ppm. Relatively high levels of Sc were found by Inarida et al.¹⁰³² in tea leaves from different countries within the range 0.013 to 0.14 ppm (DW), with an average of 0.037 ppm. Bowen⁹⁴ reported the range of Sc in lichens and bryophytes to be from 0.3 to 0.7 ppm (DW), whereas in fungi the amounts of Sc were lower (<0.002 to 0.3 ppm).

VIII. YTTRIUM

A. Soils

The occurrence of Y in the Earth's crust is relatively common, and its abundance does not show any great differences between various rock types (Table 82). Ultramafic rocks contain somewhat smaller amounts of Y (0.5 to 5 ppm) than acid rocks and sandstones (28 to 50 ppm).

Geochemical properties of Y are similar to those of the lanthanides. Y is known to be incorporated mainly as Y^{3+} into several minerals, of which silicate, phosphate, and oxide forms are most frequent. The Y content of coal (range, 7 to 14 ppm) does not indicate its sorption by organic substances.

Soils have not often been analyzed for Y. The most comprehensive data are reported for Y in the soils of the U.S. (Table 89B). The Y content of these soils ranged from <10 to 150 ppm and averaged 25 ppm. Erdman et al.²¹⁸ gave the mean Y content of uncultivated soils as 23 ppm and of cultivated soils as 15 ppm. Gough et al.¹⁰¹² found Y in various soils of Alaska to range from <4 to 100 ppm (DW), with a mean of 14 ppm. Similar values for Y in soils are given by Ure and Bacon⁸¹⁸ for Great Britain (22 ppm), by Duddy¹⁹⁶ for Australia (17 ppm), and by Dobrowolski¹⁸¹ for Poland (10 ppm in sandy soil). Yoshino and Goto¹¹⁹² found Y within a range of 5.3 to 17.1 ppm (DW) in Japanese soils. Govindaraju¹³¹³ has reported results for Y in reference soils of China that range from 11 to 39 ppm (average, 22 ppm), and of the U.S., from 16 to 40 ppm (average, 24 ppm). The Y content of nitrogen fertilizers is given in a range of 2 to 4 ppm.¹³⁶²

B. Plants

The most data for the Y content of plants are given by Connor and Shacklette¹⁴⁵ and Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ These authors found Y at detectable levels in about 10% of the plants studied. In edible plants, the Y content ranged from 20 to 100 ppm (AW), the highest amount being reported for cabbage. Duke¹⁹⁷ gave the range from 0.01 to 3.5 ppm (DW) for the Y content of food plants from a tropical forest region. Yoshino and Goto¹¹⁹² found Y in rice straw at the level of 0.061 ppm (DW), while in manure produced from that straw, Y content increased up to 0.73 ppm. The reference content of Y in plants is calculated at 0.02 ppm.^{1399a}

Woody seed plants can accumulate Y to as much as 700 ppm (AW). Data collected by Bowen⁹⁴ show that lichens accumulated Y in the range of 0.2 to 2 ppm (DW), whereas bryophytes concentrated 1.3 to 7.5 ppm (DW). Erämetsä and Yliroukanen²¹⁷ gave the range in Y contents in mosses and lichens as 2 to 200 ppm (DW).

IX. LANTHANIDES

A. Soils

Lanthanides, also called rare earth metals or more commonly rare earth elements (REE), comprise a group of 15 elements, of which one, promethium (Pm), does not occur naturally in the Earth's crust (it has not yet been detected), while the others occur in all types of rocks (Tables 90 and 91). The terrestrial abundance of the rare earth metals shows a general peculiarity; their contents decrease with an increase in atomic weights, and, according to the Oddon-Harkins rule, the element with the even atomic number is more frequent than the next element with an odd atomic number (Figure 67 and Table 92).

The geochemical properties of the lanthanides are fairly similar—they occur mainly as +3 cations, show an affinity for oxygen, and are likely to be concentrated in phosphorites and in argillaceous sediments. The common mineral monacite (CePO₄) is often associated with other REE, and thus is often presented as (Ce, La, Y, Th)PO₄. Apparently, Ce⁴⁺ oxygenated form is likely to occur in soil and is less available to plants than other REE. Most often, their lowest concentrations are reported for ultramafic and calcareous rocks. Two subgroups of lanthanides are distinguished: the first, composed of the more basic and more soluble, light metals from La to Gd, and the second, composed of less basic and less soluble metals from Tb to Lu. During weathering processes, the REE are fractionated. Their relative enrichment in weathered material is relatively high, especially for the light REE subgroup.¹⁹⁶

Brookins⁹⁵² studied the behavior of fissiogenic REE at the natural reactor which occurred in the U ores in Oklo Mine, Gabon, and observed a relatively low mobility with retention in host pitchblende and in gangue minerals. He concluded that a chemically reducing environment of the U-ore shales results in long-term storage of the REE. A baseline survey of REE in soils was presented by Barnard and Halbig⁹³² for the island of Hawaii. They stated that REE concentrations in the soils are moderately enriched, up to two times greater than in common sedimentary rocks worldwide.

sources)							
Rock Type	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd
		Мас	gmatic Roc	ks			
Ultramafic rocks Dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites	0.X–1.8	0.X–3.3	0.6	0.X–2.4	0.X	0.01–0.X	0.X
Mafic rocks Basalts, gabbros	2–27	4–50	1–15	5–30	0.9–7.0	0.8–3.5	2–8
Intermediate rocks Diorites, syenites	30–70	60–160	7–15	30–65	6–18	1.3–2.8	7–18
Acid rocks Granites, gneisses	45–60	80–100	7–12	33–47	8–9	1.1–2.0	7.4–10.0
Acid rocks (volcanic) Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	30–150	45–250	6–30	18–80	6–11	1.0–1.9	4.3–8.7
		Sedir	mentary Ro	ocks			
Argillaceous sediments Shales Sandstones Limestones, dolomites	30–90 34–50 17–40 4–10	55–80 30–90 25–80 7–20	5.5–9.5 5–10 4–9 1.0–2.5	24–35 18–41 16–38 4.7–9.0	6.0–6.5 5–7 4–10 1.3–2.1	1.0–1.8 1.0–1.4 0.7–2.0 0.2–0.4	6.4–7.4 5.0–6.5 3–10 1.3–2.7

 Table 90
 Lanthanum, Cerium, Praseodymium, Neodymium, Samarium, Europium, and Gadolinium in Major Rock Types (ppm) (values commonly found, based on various

 Table 91
 Terbium, Dysprosium, Holmium, Erbium, Thulium, Ytterbium, and Lutetium in Major Rock

 Types (ppm) (values commonly found, based on various sources)

Rock Type	Tb	Dy	Но	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu		
	Magmatic Rocks								
Ultramafic rocks Dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites	0.X	0.05–0.95	0.X	0.X	0.X	0.X	0.X		
Mafic rocks Basalts, gabbros	0.5–1.2	0.9–6.9	1.0–1.5	0.9–3.9	0.2–0.6	0.8–3.4	0.2–0.6		
Intermediate rocks Diorites, syenites	1.1–2.8	6–13	1.5–3.5	3.9–7.0	0.6	3.8–7.0	0.6–2.0		
Acid rocks Granites, gneisses	1.0–2.5	5–7	1.3–2.0	3.5–4.2	0.3–0.7	3.5–4.3	0.5–1.2		
Acid rocks (volcanic) Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	1.0–1.2	5–8	1.3–1.7	3.1–4.6	0.5–0.7	2.9–4.6	0.7		
Sedimentary Rocks									
Argillaceous sediments	0.9–1.1	4.6–5.4	1.0–1.6	2.5–3.8	0.2–0.6	2.6–3.6	0.7		
Shales	1	4.0-5.8	1.0–1.8	2.5-4.0	0.6	2.2–3.9	0.2–0.8		
Sandstones	1.6–2.0	2.6-7.2	2	1.6–4.9	0.3	1.2–4.4	0.8–1.2		
Limestones, dolomites	0.2–0.4	0.8–2.1	0.3	0.4–1.7	0.04–0.16	0.3–1.6	0.2		

Table 52 Oblicentiations of Lanthamacs in Cons, as diven by various Authors (ppin Di	Table 92	Concentrations of Lanthanides in Soils, as	Given by Various Authors (ppm DW
--	----------	--	----------------------------------

Element	(818)	(94)	(462)	Mean ^a	(1313) ^ь	(1577)°	(1566) ^d
La	33.5	40	29.5	26.1	35.1	35.2	8.53–31.4
Ce	48.5	50	29.5	48.7	71.1	97.4	15.8–64.4
Pr	7.7	7.5	6.7	7.6	5.4	8.4	1.84–5.01
Nd	33.0	35	27.9	19.5	30.6	29.3	7.69–28.6
Sm	6.1	4.5	5.1	4.8	6.7	5.5	1.75–3.98
Eu	1.9	1	1	1.23	1.2	0.8	0.44–1.43
Gd	3.0	4	4.7	6.03	3.2	4.8	1.77–5.54
Tb	0.63	0.7	0.7	0.71	0.85	0.58	0.27–0.82
Dy	3.8	5	—	3.65	4.3	2.9	1.68–4.67
Ho	0.38	0.6	1.1	1.08	0.96	0.51	0.36-0.95
Er	2.0	2	2.8	1.58	2.6	1.4	1.08–2.72
Tm	0.16	0.6	0.4	0.46	0.34	0.19	0.16–0.40
Yb	2.3	3	3.1	2.06	2.4	1.1	1.11–2.64
Lu	0.34	0.4	0.3	0.34	0.39	0.16	0.16–0.40

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a Mean values calculated from data presented in Tables 93 to 102.

^b Mean values for reference soils.

 $^{\circ}$ Mean values for 27 soils of China.

^d Mean values for various soils of Japan. The lowest values are for sand dunes and peat soils, the highest for red soils and gray lowland soils.

The REE contents of different soils in various countries are fairly similar, with the exception of dune sands and some peat bogs, shown in Tables 93 to 102. The grand means for REE calculated by various authors (Table 92) clearly indicate the general decrease in abundance with increasing atomic number in both even-numbered and odd-numbered sequences. The values of mean concentrations of REE in soils (Table 92) fairly correspond to their contents in sedimentary rocks, with



Figure 67 The relative occurrence of rare earth elements in surface soil and plants as a function of their ionic radii and expressed as a ratio (RCM) of the abundance in samples to the content of chondrite meteorites. S, soil; C, cheatgrass; Sq, squash.⁴⁶²

the exception of calcareous rocks (Tables 90 and 91). Thus, presented data show that the enrichment in REE in soils occurs mainly with respect to the calcareous parent rocks.

Zhu et al.¹⁵⁷⁷ reported significant differences in REE concentrations among different soil types in China. The sum of total REEs ranges from 88.9 to 469 ppm; the sum of LREEs range from 76.2 to 447.7 ppm; and the sum of HREEs ranges from 9.2 to 55.2 ppm. The REEs are slightly mobile in soils. Their solubility in acid extractants varies from about 5% of total contents to about 10% when extracted with 0.1 M HOAc-NaOAc (pH 4.2) and with NH₄Ac and EDTA (pH 4.7), respectively.^{1556,1577} The relative highest extraction was observed for Sm, Eu, Tb, and Nd. However, during a longer period of time, the mobility of REEs can affect their distribution. Öhlander et al.¹⁴⁴⁶ reported that since the last glaciation period (about 8700 years ago), up to 84% of LREEs and 79% of HREEs were leached down from soil derived from glacial till. Although the solubility of soil REEs in water is very small (usually hundreds of times smaller than their total contents), on a longer time scale, it should not be ignored as an available pool of REEs to crop plants.

There are scanty data on individual REEs in soils. All REEs are, however, reported to be concentrated more in alkaline soils than in acid soils, probably due to the easy removal of their hydroxide complexes. La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, and Y in fluvisols in Poland (central Vistula valley) reveal a strong positive correlation with amounts of fine granulometric fractions (<0.002 and <0.02 mm).¹²⁵⁶

Lieth and Markert¹⁰⁶³ compared the chemical composition of peats and nearby mineral soils and estimated the range for lanthanides from 0.X to X0 ppm (DW) in both kinds of soils. Most of these elements are ten times more abundant in peats than in mineral soils. Markert¹⁰⁸⁵ found that the distribution pattern of the REE in both soils follows that in geological samples and concluded that the Earth's crust is the original source of these elements in soils. The observed higher total REE contents of Swedish mineral soil compared to that of German mineral soil (Tables 93 to 102)

Soil	Country	Range	Mean ^a	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Bulgaria	34.4–49.2	40.2	558
-	Czech Republic	_	20.5	938
	Sweden	_	34	1085
	Germany ^b	_	5.4	1085
Andosols	Japan	22.4-23.4	_	1192
Loess	Poland ^c	_	21	1027
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	_	20.1	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	19.5–35.8	30.2	558
	Czech Republic	_	34	937
Peat bog	Sweden	_	1.4	1085
-	U.S. (Hawaii)	4.6-16.2	9.2	932
	Germany	_	1.9	1085
Forest soils	Bulgaria	17.9–72.0	39.1	558
Various soils	Brazil	92; 231 ^d	_	1065
	Bulgaria	13.9–56.3	34.5	558
	Canada	46–48	_	972
	Czech Republic	_	37	937
	Japan	14.1–30.9	_	1192
	Sweden	_	32	1084
	U.S.	16.2–54.6	36	409
	U.S. (Hawaii)	31.6-89.7	55.3	932
	U.S. (Alaska)	<2–120	19	1012

Table 93 Lanthanum (La) Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^b Dune sands.

° Standard soils.

^d Two single analyses of soils near REE ore body.

are apparently related to the various geological origins and mineral composition of the sand dune (in Germany) and of the sand podzol (in Sweden).

Elevated concentrations of some lanthanides (La, Ce, Sm, Eu, and Tb) found in the air of industrial and urban areas (Table 3) indicate that these elements are likely to be released into the environment mainly from coal burning and nuclear energy materials processing. The radionuclide ¹⁴⁴Ce, which has a high probability of release during a reactor accident, is potentially hazardous because of its soil-crop pathways, although the root uptake of Ce is rather limited.¹⁰¹⁶ Municipal sewage sludges and farm manure contain, in most cases, REE at levels found in soils (Table 103), and therefore are not considered to be a significant source of these elements in soils.

B. Plants

Neither the distribution of lanthanides in plant tissue nor their physiological functions have received much attention until the past decade. Recently, more environmental studies on lanthanides have been performed.^{1446,1554–1556,1576} Robinson et al.¹¹²⁹ reported one of the first high concentrations (up to 2300 ppm DW) of the total REE in hickory trees and pointed out the similarity in the proportion of REE in tree leaves and in the content of exchangeable REE in the soil. Laul et al.⁴⁶² calculated the relative abundance of lanthanides in both soil and plants and showed that the concentrations of these elements in plants followed their occurrence in soil (Figure 67). Orders of the contents of lanthanides in plants decrease with increase in the atomic number (Tables 104 and 105). Woody plants seem to have the highest ability to absorb lanthanides, and hickory trees are most often reported as lanthanide-accumulating plants (Table 104). Concentrations of REE in plants vary within a broad range, from below 1 ppb to above 15,000 ppb (DW) (Table 105). The distribution

Soil	Country	Range	Mean ^a	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Australia	_	21	196
	Bulgaria	49.4-85.3	63	558
	Czech Republic	_	44	938
	Sweden	_	56	1085
	Germany ^₅	_	8.4	1085
Andosols	Japan	42.1-46.8	_	1192
Loess	Poland ^c	_	44.2	1027
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	_	31.9	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	41.3-68.8	57.3	558
	Czech Republic	_	75	937
Peat bog	Sweden	_	2.1	1085
	U.S. (Hawaii)	31.1–58.6	42.3	932
	Germany	—	2.7	1085
Forest soils	Bulgaria	29.9–94.1	61.5	558
Various soils	Brazil	517; 944 ^d	—	1065
	Bulgaria	21.2-75.7	48.1	558
	Canadaº	111–112	_	972
	Czech Republic	—	81	937
	Japan	43.3-69.1	—	1192
	Sweden	_	62	1084
	U.S. (Hawaii)	54.4-225	146.1	932
	U.S. (Alaska)	<5–180	28	1012

 Table 94
 Cerium (Ce) Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^b Dune sands.

° Standard soils.

^d Two single analyses of soils near REE ore body.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean ^a	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Australia	_	7	196
-	Bulgaria	—	21.4	558
	Czech Republic	—	18.7	938
	Sweden	—	26	1085
	Germany⁵	—	3.6	1085
Andosols	Japan	19.9–23.6		1192
Loess	Poland ^c	—	18.2	1027
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	—	14.9	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	17.9–47	24.3	558
	Czech Republic	—	30.5	937
Peat bog	Sweden	—	1	1085
	Germany	—	1.2	1085
Forest soils	Bulgaria	7.9–38.7	20.2	558
Various soils	Bulgaria	8.6–35	19.5	558
	Canadaº	—	57	972
	Czech Republic	—	36	937
	Japan	10.4-27.2	—	1192
	Sweden	—	27.7	1084
	U.S.	16.5–56	36.1	409
	U.S. (Alaska)	<4–120	23	1012

Table 95	Neodymium (Nd	Content of Surface	Soils of Different	Countries (ppr	n DW)
	Neouyinnunn (Nu		Sons of Different	oountries (ppi	

^a Mean or single value when range is not given.

^b Dune sands.

° Standard soils.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean ^a	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Bulgaria	5.05-8.39	6.32	558
	Czech Republic	_	3.5	938
	Sweden	_	5.9	1085
	Germany ^₅	_	0.86	1085
Andosols	Japan	4.11-5.12	_	1192
Loess	Poland ^c	_	3.61	1027
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	_	3.37	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	4.17-6.75	5.18	558
	Czech Republic	_	5.9	937
Peat bog	Sweden	_	0.2	1085
	U.S. (Hawaii)	3.71-7.46	5.68	932
	Germany	_	0.25	1085
Forest soils	Bulgaria	2.27—10.19	6.22	558
Various soils	Brazil	13; 26 ^d	_	1065
	Bulgaria	2.32-7.68	5.54	558
	Canadaº	—	12	972
	Czech Republic	_	6.11	937
	Japan	1.89–5.47	—	1192
	Sweden	—	6.2	1084
	U.S.	3.30-11.9	7.05	409
	U.S. (Hawaii)	8.37–22.6	14.38	932

Table 96	Samarium (Sm) Content o	f Surface Soils	of Different	Countries	(ppm	DW)
	ouniunum (on			or Billerein	oountrico	(PPIII	,

^b Dune sands.

° Standard soils.

^d Two single analyses of soils near REE ore body.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean ^a	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Bulgaria	1.21-1.50	1.34	558
	Czech Republic	_	0.55	938
	Sweden	_	1.5	1085
	Germany ^₅	_	0.17	1085
Andosols	Japan	1.30-1.54	_	1192
Loess	Poland ^c	_	0.62	1027
	Russia ^d	_	1.7	1181
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	_	0.72	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	1.12-1.22	1.17	558
	Czech Republic	_	0.97	937
Peat bog	Sweden	_	0.032	1085
-	U.S. (Hawaii)	0.99–2.97	1.89	932
	Germany	_	0.06	1085
Forest Soils	Bulgaria	0.37-2.05	1.1	558
Various soils	Bulgaria	0.43-2.39	1.36	558
	Canada	2.2-3.7	_	927
	Czech Republic	_	1.01	937
	Japan	0.48-1.46	_	1192
	Sweden	_	1.72	1084
	U.S.	0.69-3.21	1.65	409
	U.S. (Hawaii)	2.24-7.66	4.40	932

Table 97 Europium (Eu) Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Mean or single value when range is not given.

^b Dune sands.

° Standard soil.

^d In whole profile.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean ^a	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Bulgaria	0.89–1.7	1.01	558
	Czech Republic	_	0.47	938
	Sweden	—	1.2	1085
	Germany ^b	_	0.2	1085
Andosols	Japan	0.80-1.13	_	1192
Loess	Poland ^c	—	0.58	1027
	Russiad	_	1.4	1181
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	_	0.66	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	—	0.74	558
	Czech Republic	—	0.88	937
Peat bog	Sweden	—	0.044	1085
	Germany	—	0.051	1085
Forest soils	Bulgaria	0.60-1.61	0.92	558
Various soils	Bulgaria	0.11-1.34	0.79	558
	Canadaº	1.3–1.8	—	972
	Czech Republic	—	0.81	937
	Japan	0.80-1.13	—	1192
	Sweden	—	1.1	1084
	U.S.	0.49-1.66	0.9	409

Table 98 Terbium (Tb) Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^b Dune sands.

° Standard soils.

^d In whole profile.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean⁵	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Bulgaria	2.06-2.42	2.28	558
-	Czech Republic	_	1.45	938
	Sweden	_	2.8	1085
	Germany ^₅	_	0.38	1085
Andosols	Japan	2.03-2.27	_	1192
Loess	Poland ^c	_	2.48	1027
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	_	1.15	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	_	2.35	558
	Czech Republic	_	3.3	937
Peat bog	Sweden	_	0.072	1085
-	U.S. (Hawaii)	0.88-3.68	2.01	932
	Germany	_	0.077	1085
Forest soils	Bulgaria	0.81-4.45	2.52	558
Various soils	Bulgaria	1.79–3.43	2.57	558
	Canadaº	3.4-4.0	_	972
	Czech Republic	_	2.63	937
	Japan	0.86-1.90	—	1192
	Sweden	_	3.14	1084
	U.S.	1.57-3.66	2.46	409
	U.S. (Hawaii)	3.98-5.05	4.51	932
	U.S. (Alaska)	<1–6	1.4	1012

Table 99 Ytterbium (Yb) Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Mean or single value when range is not given.

^b Dune sands.

° Standard soils.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean ^a	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Bulgaria	0.43–0.51	0.48	558
	Czech Republic	_	0.40	938
	Sweden	—	0.38	1085
	Germany⁵	—	0.054	1085
Andosols	Japan	0.44-0.46	—	1192
Loess	Poland ^c	—	0.33	1027
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	—	0.24	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	0.19-0.41	0.31	558
	Czech Republic	—	0.45	937
Peat bog	Sweden	—	0.014	1085
	U.S. (Hawaii)	0.13-0.39	0.29	932
	Germany	—	0.012	1085
Forest soils	Bulgaria	0.10-0.72	0.40	558
Various soils	Bulgaria	0.10-0.67	0.43	558
	Canadaº	0.47-0.50	—	972
	Czech Republic	_	0.45	937
	Japan	0.27-0.44	_	1192
	Sweden	—	0.49	1084
	U.S.	0.24-0.52	0.37	409
	U.S.(Hawaii)	—	0.66	932

Table 100	Lutetium (Lu	u) Content of	Surface Soils	of Different	Countries	(ppm	DW)
			ounace oons	of Different	Countries	(ppiii	,

^b Dune sands.

° Standard soils.

Element	Soils	Country	Content	Ref.
Pr	Sandy loam	Sweden	14	1085
	Dune sand	Germany	1.4	1085
	Mineral soil	Sweden	9.15	1084
	Andosols	Japan	5.46-6.20	1192
	Grey lowland soil	Japan	7.69	1192
	Various soils	Canadaª	13.4–15.0	972
Gd	Sandy loam	Sweden	5	1085
	Dune sand	Germany	0.7	1085
	Mineral soil	Sweden	5.97	1084
	Andosols	Japan	4.09-4.74	1192
	Grey lowland soil	Japan	4.69	1192
	Various soils	Czech Republic	15	937
	Various soils	Canadaª	10.3–11.0	972
Dy	Sandy soil	Sweden	5	1085
	Dune sand	Germany	0.8	1085
	Mineral soil	Sweden	5	1084
	Andosols	Japan	3.48-4.18	1192
	Grey lowland soil	Japan	3.6	1192
	Various soils	U.S. (Alaska)	4–12	1012
	Various soils	Canadaª	8.6–11	972

Table 101	Praseodymium (Pr), Gadolinium (Gd), and Dysprosium (Dy) Contents
	of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Standard soils.

Element	Soils	Country	Content	Ref.
Ho	Sandy loam	Sweden	1.1	1085
	Dune sand	Germany	0.19	1085
	Mineral soil	Sweden	1.1	1084
	Andosols	Japan	0.82-0.92	1192
	Grey lowland soil	Japan	0.8	1192
	Various soils	Czech Republic	1	973
	Various soils	Canadaª	1.7-2.0	972
Er	Sandy loam	Sweden	1.7	1085
	Dune sand	Germany	0.26	1085
	Mineral soil	Sweden	1.7	1084
	Andosols	Japan	2.05-2.33	1192
	Grey lowland soil	Japan	2.05	1192
	Various soils	Canadaª	1.7-4.8	972
Tm	Sandy loam	Sweden	0.48	1085
	Dune sand	Germany	0.38	1085
	Mineral soil	Sweden	0.48	1084
	Andosols	Japan	0.42-0.46	1192
	Grey lowland soil	Japan	0.39	1192
	Various soils	Czech Republic	0.6	937
	Various soils	Canadaª	0.54-0.80	972

Table 102 Holmium (Ho), Erbium (Er), and Thulium (Tm) Contents of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Standard soils.

	DW)		e elei (ppiii
Element	Range	Mean	Cow Manure
La	5.1–33.9 (380)ª	12.7	23.7
Ce	12.4–94 (272)	41.9	55.0
Pr	1.1–15.8 (119)	4.3	10.7
Nd	0.6-8.6	2.5	2.5
Sm	1.0–14.2	3.5	5.2
Eu	0.7–12.2	3.7	0.7
Gd	1.1–22.7	6.8	1.5
Tb	0.3–4.8	1.4	0.3
Dy	0.6–19.8	4.7	1.0
Ho	0.07-0.67	0.32	0.36
Er	0.20-4.50	1.16	0.70
Tm	0.06-3.31	0.39	0.14
Yb	0.17-1.60	0.60	1.76
Lu	0.04-0.34	0.12	0.60

Table 103 Lanthanide Contents of Municipal Sewage Sludges and Cow Manure of the U.S.¹⁰⁰⁴(ppm DW)

^a The highest values not included in means of 16 sewage sludges are in parentheses.

pattern somehow follows the Oddon-Harkins rule, and therefore Markert¹⁰⁸⁵ concluded that it might be possible to calculate the concentration of an unknown lanthanide element once the relationships of elements to each other are known. For each group of plants, of which lichens and bryophytes accumulate relatively more elements (Table 105), the highest contents are reported for La (maximum values vary from 88 to 15,000 ppb DW) and the lowest contents for Lu (maximum values from 2 to 60 ppb DW). Rühling et al.¹¹³⁵ reported in mosses of Scandinavian countries the range for La from 0.35 to 1.84 ppm (AW), and for Sm from 0.05 to 0.22 ppm (AW). Liu¹⁰⁶⁷ found the sum of

Element	Woody Plant U.S. ⁷¹⁰	Lichens and Mosses, Finland ²¹⁷	Horsetail, Finland ²¹⁵	<i>Acer pseudoplanatus,</i> Leaves (ppb DW) ¹⁵⁵⁶
La	30–300	13–150	1–30	1878
Ce	—	9–280	1–90	3426
Pr	700	1.2–31	0.5–6	—
Nd	—	8–150	3–50	1797
Sm	200–700	2–40	2–4	459
Eu	—	1–8.7	1–2	92.5
Gd	<100–300	2–28	3–8	—
Tb	—	0.3–3.3	1–2	70.3
Dy	50–300	1.3–26	2–9	—
Ho	150	0.2-4.5	1–2	—
Er	<100–300	0.6–13	2–7	—
Tm	—	0.07-2.2	1	—
Yb	300	0.5–26	1–2	152
Lu	—	0.05–2.2	—	15.8

REE contents of food plants (edible parts) to be in (ppm DW): tomato 0.05, corn 0.2, rice 0.6, wheat 1.0, and sesame 3.6.

Wyttenbach et al.¹⁵⁵⁶ investigated the distribution of REEs in the leaves of six plant species (forest trees and plants), and in pertinent soils and soil extracts. Concentrations of the individual REEs were not a function either of their total contents or their soluble forms in soils. In most cases, however, concentration ratios between species were a smooth function of the atomic number of the REE. The plant:soil ratio for almost all REEs in needles of Norway spruce (grown in Swiss Midlands) was about 5×10^{-3} but revealed large variations among individual trees. As Wyttenbach et al.¹⁵⁵⁵ concluded, these effects may be due to a change in the oxidation state (in cases of Ce) or due to differences among individual plants or plant species. Variation in REE concentrations in needles and leaves of trees is significant: pseudoplatanus leaves contain more REEs (by about a factor of 10) than spruce needles. Also, organic complexes of the REEs in soils are believed to control plant uptake. The concentrations of each REE and of Sc increase significantly and linearly with the needle age class. No retranslocation of the accumulated elements in spruce needles was observed.

Lanthanides are known to be toxic to cell metabolism; however, there are not many available data on their inhibitory effects on plants. Weinberg⁸⁵⁶ reported that lanthanides (La³⁺, Pr³⁺, Nd³⁺, Eu³⁺, and Tb³⁺) inhibit, specifically and competitively, Ca accumulation by mitochondria of microorganism cells.

Liu¹⁰⁶⁷ reviewed reports on the effects of the REE on plant growth and yield. Several experiments with cereals, sugar beets, and other plants resulted in the increase of yield at the rate of 7 to 13%. Xijie et al.¹¹⁸⁸ observed good effects of the REE treatments on the yield of flower bulbs of the narcissus. These authors, however, did not specify the elemental composition of the REE used for the experiment and did not discuss a possible mechanism of the observed phenomena. The function of the REE in plant metabolism is not clear. Most of the authors, however, referred to the stimulating impact of these elements on seed germination, root growth, uptake of nutrients, nodulation and N-fixation, and on production of chlorophyll and photosynthesis. It should be emphasized that the REE have not been proved yet to be essential and that their biochemical functions in plants still need more study. Zhu et al.¹⁵⁷⁵ investigated the impact of La on physiological activities in the seedling stage of wheat. The inhibition of seed germination was observed at the La³⁺ concentration higher than 200 mg L⁻¹ in Hoagland solution.

Element	Approximate Detection (%)	Various Land Plants ⁹⁴	Lichens and Bryophytes ⁹⁴	Cheatgrass ⁴⁶²	Vegetables ^{94,462,547}	Rice Straw ¹¹⁹²	Blueberry Tops ¹⁰⁸⁵	Pine Needles ¹⁰⁸⁵
La	100	3–15,000	400–3000	170	0.4–2000	88	130–340	260–300
Ce	100	250-16,000	600–5600	330	2–50	174	210–740	370
Pr	90	60–300	80–620	40	1–2	26	70–140	62-130
Nd	90	300	240-3000	150	10	84	73–130	150–160
Sm	90	100-800	60–800	35	0.2-100	20	24–49	30–32
Eu	80	30–130	20–170	8	0.04-70	10	0.82-5.6	4.9-5.3
Gd	80	2-500	60–560	37	<2	20	11–27	23–25
Tb	70	1–120	6–70	9	0.1–1	7	4.7–14	12–22
Dy	70	50-600	40–360	_	_	16	8.6–21	20–22
Ho	70	30–110	4–70	<20	0.06-0.1	5	2.5-4.2	3.9–5.1
Er	70	80–380	10–190	<500	0.5–2	10	1.5-7.7	6-6.8
Tm	50	4–70	1–26	50	0.2–4	4	1	1.1–1.7
Yb	50	20-600	10–900	20	0.08-20	10	2.5–10	8.2-8.5
Lu	40	30	1–20	3	0.01–60	5	1.2–2.3	1.9–2

Table 105 Lanthanides in Various Terrestrial Plant Species (ppb DW)

© 2001 by CRC Press LLC

X. ACTINIDES

A. Soils

Among the actinide series, only two elements exist as long-lived nuclides and occur naturally and in relatively large quantity on Earth-two isotopes of U (²³⁸U and ²³⁵U) and one of Th (²³²Th). Other actinide elements have also been found to occur as a result of natural nuclear reactions of U, but in very negligible traces. These are actinium (Ac), proactinium (Pa), neptunium (Np), and plutonium (Pu). The actinide elements are also called transuranium (elements of atomic number below 94) and transplutonium (elements of atomic number above 94). It is not clear yet whether other radionuclides of the actinide series (especially transplutonium) occur in nature. Their existence in measurable amount is not expected in nature. However, their formation during natural nuclear reactions cannot be excluded. Acid rocks usually contain more Th and U than do mafic rocks, and in sediments these elements are likely to be more concentrated in argillaceous deposits than in sandstones and limestones (Table 106). However, in some kinds of alkaline magmatic rocks, Th and U are also known to be concentrated to as much as X00 ppm. The carrier of Th is mainly monazite mineral, $Ce(PO_4)$, which is very resistant to weathering; thus, Th is concentrated in some weathered deposits. When mobilized, Th⁴⁺ ions are quickly adsorbed or precipitated as hydrolysates. In reference soils, Th concentration is reported to be relatively high, from 8 to 27 ppm in soils of China, and from 3.8 to 12.4 ppm in soils of the U.S.¹³¹³

Geochemically, U and Th are coherent. They exist in the +4 and +6 oxidation states in most geologic environments. They may substitute the REE in some minerals and are known to be associated with apatite and sphene. Th⁴⁺ is readily soluble and also quickly adsorbed or precipitated as hydrolysates. During the weathering, U forms complexes, mainly organic, easily soluble and mobile. Under arid conditions, however, U forms various relatively stable compounds (e.g., oxides, carbonates, phosphates, vanates, and arsenates). The distribution of U and Th in the lithosphere is highly controlled by the oxidation state and by the Eh-pH system. Actinides generally form strong complexes with oxygen ligands, and thus their speciation with inorganic ligands such as OH^- , $CO_3^{2^-}$, and $HPO_4^{2^-}$ is likely to occur in both geological and environmental conditions.⁹¹⁷ Actinides also appear to be sorbed preferably by naturally occurring organic substances. The importance of

Rock type	Th	U
Magmatic Ro	cks	
Ultramafic rocks	0.004–0.005	0.003–0.010
Dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites Mafic rocks Basalts, gabbros	1–4	0.3–1.0
Intermediate rocks	7–14	1.4–3.0
Acid rocks Granites, gneisses	10–23	2.5–6.0
Acid rocks (volcanic) Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	15	5
Sedimentary R	ocks	
Argillaceous sediments Shales Sandstones Limestones, dolomites	9.6–12.0 12 1.7–3.8 1.7–2.9	3–4 3.0–4.1 0.45–0.59 2.2–2.5

Table 106	Thorium and Uranium in Major Rock Types (ppm) (values commonly found, based
	on various sources)

microorganisms in the geochemical cycle of U has been emphasized recently by Wildung and Garland⁸⁷³ and Trudinger and Swaine.⁸⁰⁹ Letunova and Kovalskiy¹⁰⁶¹ reviewed a role of microorganisms in U migration in the soil environment. They reported that microorganisms have a great capability to accumulate U in their tissues, and the bioconcentration factor for U was up to 300 times compared with its content in soils.

Harmsen and de Haan³⁰⁶ reviewed the behavior of Th and U in the soil environment and pointed out that the formation of hydrated cations of $UO_2^{2^+}$ and of TH^{4+} are responsible for the solubility of these metals over a broad range of soil pH. Also, several organic acids may increase the solubility of Th and U in soils. The mobility of Th and U in soils may be limited due to both the formation of slightly soluble precipitates (e.g., phosphates, oxides) and adsorption on clays and organic matter.

Sorption is a key process in the U cycle, and thus significant accumulations of U in organic deposits (coal or peat) are often reported.^{299,688} The affinity of the clay soil fraction to adsorb Th and U is shown in Figure 40. The addition of hydroapatite, $Ca_3(PO_4)_3OH$, to the U-contaminated sediments or soils immobilizes U due to the fixation in secondary Al/Fe phosphate phases.¹²⁰⁴ Megumi and Mamuro⁵²⁹ explained that the observed conspicuous enrichment of ²³⁰Th in soil particles is the result of a higher solubility and thus a higher leaching of uranyl ions (UO_2^{2+}) than of Th⁴⁺ ions during soil-forming processes.

Comparatively little information seems to be available with respect to Th and U in soils. Only surface soils of the U.S. have been extensively studied, and the results show relatively small variation in the contents of these elements with soil types. However, Meriwether et al.^{1090a} reported that in soils of Louisiana, both radionuclides ²³⁸U daughters and ²³²Th daughters are correlated with soil suborders, suggesting that the process of fractionation leads to their increase in the soils of water environments. The worldwide mean of the Th content of soils ranged from 3.4 to 10.5 ppm, and for U, it ranged from 0.79 to 11 ppm (Table 107). Apparently, large amounts of Th and U are introduced into the biosphere from fossil-fuel power plants and also from P fertilizer works. The enrichment of these two elements in surface soils of industrial areas has not yet been very extensive.³⁵⁵ Spezzano and Silvestri¹¹⁵² have applied a procedure for the radiochemical determination of alpha-emitting nuclides of Th and U in soils. The average abundance of these isotopes in two surface soils (close to the U mills) in Italy was as follows (in Bq kg⁻¹): ²³⁰Th 52.9, ²³²Th 40.1, ²³⁴U 53.9, ²³⁵U 2.5, and ²³⁸U 53.7.

Mean contents of U and Th in surface layers of the land (25 to 40 cm) of Poland are 1.36 and 3.29 ppm, and range for most territory from <1 to 4 ppm and <2 to 4 ppm, respectively. Only in the Sudeten Mountains and Piedmont areas do U levels increase locally up to 13.3 ppm, and Th up to >10 ppm.¹⁵⁰⁹ Surficial sediments (upper part of the C horizon) of Finland contain up to 8.5 ppm

C	Countries (ppm DW)							
	Th		U					
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.			
Bulgaria	3.6–17.8	9.3	_	_	558			
Brazil	76; 96ª	—	—	_	1065			
Canada	4.2-14.1	8.0	0.72-2.05	1.22	409			
Great Britain	—	10.5	—	2.60	818			
Germany	0.4–15.0	8.0	0.42-11.02	_	235, 688			
India	—	—	—	11.00	276			
Italy	—	—	1.5–8	3.17	946			
Poland	1.4-7.2	3.4	0.10-2.33	0.79	355			
U.S.	2.2-21.0	7.6	0.30-10.70	3.70	462, 706			
U.S. (Alaska)	<1.6–76	6.1	<0.22–45	2.3	1012			
Russia	—	13.4	—	3.8	1181			

Table 107	Thorium and Uranium Contents of Surface Soils of Different
	Countries (ppm DW)

^a Two single analyses of soils near REE ore body.

U, and up to 27 ppm Th. The most common concentrations (90% of samples) were from about 1 to 5.5 ppm for U, and from 5 to 20 ppm for Th.¹³⁶⁸ Surface horizons of forest soils in Japan contain Th within the range from 2.56 to 12.4 pm and U from 0.024 to 1.15 ppm. Other soils of various types in Japan have a larger abundance of these elements. The lowest concentrations are in sand dunes and peat soils (Th 2.56 and U 0.59 ppm) and the highest in red soils and gray lowland soils (Th 12.4 and U 2.94 ppm).¹⁵⁶⁴

Both U and Th are slightly available to all kinds of plants, including mushrooms. Yoshida and Muramatsu¹⁵⁶⁴ gave the following ranges for these elements in forest plants: for Th, 0.003 to 0.036 ppm, and U, 0.001 to 0.012 ppm.

There is considerable contemporary interest in studies on the behavior of other elements of the actinides, which are isotopes released from the nuclear fuel power industry. This group includes various isotopes of plutonium (Pu), americium (Am), curium (Cm), and neptunium (Np), of which the long-lived and highly radiotoxic ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴¹Am are of greatest concern. Most of the aerosols produced and discharged from nuclear facilities, as well as in nuclear bomb fallout, are composed of PuO₂, but some of the Pu may come from the evaporation of Pu(NO₃)₄.

The behavior of these radionuclides, and of ²³⁹Pu in particular, was extensively reviewed by Wildung and Garland,⁸⁷³ Vyas and Mistry,⁸³² and Silver.¹¹⁴⁹ Regardless of the forms of Pu and Am entering the soil, their solubilities are controlled by various soil factors, and thus they occur mainly as complexes of fulvic and humic acids and in forms adsorbed by clay mineral particles. Unlike the tightly bound PuO_2^{2+} , $Pu(NO_3)_4$ belongs to the soluble compounds and easily hydrates and hydrolysates in the soil solution, forming soluble hydroxides. Thus, the soluble, and therefore plantavailable, fraction of Pu, and apparently of other transuranic elements, appears to be largely present as particulates of hydrated oxides and as organometallic complexes. Bartlett⁹³³ reported that Pu compounds, when converted to the mobile anion form analogous to chromate, became more available and toxic from oxidation by soil Mn oxides. Jakubick³⁵⁰ studied in detail the behavior of ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu in meadow soil around Heidelberg, Germany, where the isotopes have been concentrated from 290 to 450 μ Ci km⁻² in the 10-cm-deep upper layer, whereas the activity of these isotopes ranges from 1.6 to 5.8 μ Ci km⁻² at a depth below 25 cm. Little et al.¹⁰⁶⁶ investigated a buffer zone near Denver, Colorado, which was contaminated with Pu between 1959 and 1964. They found that the top 21 cm of soil contained above 99% of the total Pu inventory, which averaged about 53 μ Ci m⁻² in the study area. Concentrations of Pu in vegetation were relatively low, but were several orders of magnitude larger than for experimentally grown plants.

Allard et al.⁹¹⁷ studied the adsorption of ²⁴¹Am in the presence of humic materials and found that this radionuclide is associated to solids of organic origin or to inorganics which are coated with an organic layer. ²⁴¹Am also makes humate complexes easily. At high pH and high humic content, the adsorption of this radionuclide is reduced, increasing its mobility in the environment.

To protect soils against contamination with radionuclides (U, Th, Np, Pu), they are stored in the form of glass fusion. Aqueous leaching of nuclear glass under geological disposal conditions mobilizes the elements that were precipitated (up to 98% of realized amounts) at the surface of glass. The presence of amorphous silica and phosphates stimulated these processes.¹⁴¹²

B. Plants

The assessment of the transfer of Th, U, and transuranic radionuclides from contaminated soils to plants is important in environmental research. However, little information is available on this subject.

The soluble fractions of these elements in soils seem to be readily absorbed by plants, and this is clearly supported by the studies conducted in the U geochemical province where plants accumulated up to 100 times more U than did plants from other areas.⁴²³ Tiffin⁷⁸⁹ reported that a U protein complex was found in leaves of *Coprosma australis* (Rubiaceae family), while mineral precipitates (autunite, Ca[UO₂]PO₄]₂ · 10 H₂O) were found in the tips of plant roots.^{1042a} Wildung

and Garland⁸⁷³ stated that plants possess the ability to effectively accumulate soluble Pu and to transport the Pu from roots to shoots. However, in the area polluted with Pu, much of the Pu associated with vegetation was attached to the plant surface.⁹⁸⁰ Little et al.¹⁰⁶⁶ concluded that soil erosion is the most significant factor in Pu transport from the soil to the plants and other environmental compartments. Dienstbach et al.⁹⁸⁰ found that the proportion of the Pu isotopes (239/240) in soils and in linden leaves showed a relative decrease of ²⁴⁰Pu in the vegetation.

Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ found the highest U concentration in trees on mineralized ground to be 2.2 ppm (AW), and Goswani et al.²⁷⁶ reported the U range from 0.5 to 4.4 ppm (AW) (average, 1.8) in xerophytic and mesophytic vegetation. Sagebrush grown near a P fertilizer works accumulated up to 8 ppm U (AW).²⁷⁸ Bowen⁹⁴ gave the range in U concentrations in terrestrial plants as 5 to 60 ppb (DW), whereas Laul et al.⁴⁶² reported U concentrations in corn and potatoes to be 0.8 ppb (DW). Rühling et al.¹¹³⁵ found in mosses (mainly *Hylocomium splendens*) from the Scandinavian countries that U concentrations ranged from 30 to 120 ppb (DW), the lowest for Denmark and the highest for Finland. A somewhat higher and wider range in the Th content of land plants was reported by Bowen⁹⁴ to be <8 to 1300 ppb (DW), while Laul et al.⁴⁶² found Th to range from <5 to 20 ppb (DW) in vegetables. Moss samples from the Scandinavian countries contain Th from 90 to 180 ppb (DW) within a similar regional pattern as reported for U.¹¹³⁵ Recent data for moss collected in Norway (Table 4) give the range for Th from 4 to 5100 ppb (mean 70) and for U, from 2.8 to 1300 ppb (mean 50).¹²²³

Elements of Group IV

I. INTRODUCTION

The geochemical properties and terrestrial abundance of the elements in Group IV diverge widely. Their common characteristics are the weak solubility of their hydroxides and oxides in water, an affinity to bond with oxygen, and they often have the coordination number four.

Group IVa (new group 14) contains Si, Ge, Sn, and Pb. Si, in combination with oxygen, is the basic nonmetallic component of all rocks (Table 108) and is considered as a trace element only in respect to its biochemical role. The next elements in this group, Ge, Sn, and Pb, are trace metals, and show chalcophilic properties in the terrestrial environment.

Of the elements of Group IVb (new group 4) Ti is definitely an oxyphile, is associated with silicate minerals, and is considered a trace element only because of its low concentration in plant tissues. The next two metals, Zr and Hf, are widely distributed in both the litho- and biosphere, and are often included in the group of rare earth elements.

II. SILICON

A. Soils

Si is the most abundant and, relatively, the most stable electropositive element in the Earth's crust; however, under specific conditions it can be dissolved and transported, but it moves mainly in its colloidal phase. All silicate minerals are built of a fundamental structural unit, SiO_4 , the so-called tetrahedron.

Quartz, SiO_2 , is the most resistant mineral in soils and is also known to occur in a noncrystalline form, opal, which is believed to have had a biological origin. In soils, amorphous silicates apparently contribute to anion adsorption processes, and it has been suggested that silicate and phosphate ions compete for sites on mineral soil particles.⁵³⁰ Tiller⁷⁹³ has shown that the presence of monosilicic acid in solution increases the sorption of heavy metal cations, such as Co, Ni, and Zn, by clays.

In general, Si is released rapidly from minerals into the soil solution where it occurs at nearequilibrium concentrations. Carlisle et al.¹²¹ reported that soluble Si (mainly as H_4SiO_4) in the soil solution ranges from 1 to about 200 mg L⁻¹. The concentration of Si in soil solutions and drainage waters is highly dependent on several soil and climatic factors. The pH level has an especially marked effect on Si concentrations in solutions, although the mobility of Si in soils cannot be predicted accurately from the pH alone. Usually, Si is more mobile in alkaline soils, but as Carlisle et al.¹²¹ have described, increasing the pH to about 9 decreases the Si concentration in solutions. A pH beyond approximately 9.5 results in a sharply increased Si content of the solution.

Rock Type	Si (%)	Ge (ppm)	Sn (ppm)	Pb (ppm)	Ti (%)	Zr (ppm)	Hf (ppm)
	Magmatic Rocks						
Ultramafic rocks Dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites	19.0–20.5	0.7–1.5	0.35–0.50	0.1–1.0	0.03–0.30	20–40	0.1–0.6
Mafic rocks Basalts, gabbros	23–24	0.8–1.6	0.9–1.5	3–8	0.90–1.38	80–200	1.0–4.8
Intermediate rocks Diorites, syenites	26.0–29.1	1.0–1.5	1.3–1.5	12–15	0.35–0.80	250–500	2–10
Acid rocks Granites, gneisses	31.4–34.2	1.0–1.4	1.5–3.6	15–24	0.12–0.34	140–240	2–5
Acid rocks (volcanic) Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	30.8–33.6	1	2–3	10–20	0.27	150–300	4.5
		Sedim	nentary Rocks				
Argillaceous sediments	24.5–27.5	1.0–2.4	6–10	20–40	0.38-0.46	160–200	2.8-6.0
Shales	24.0-27.5	1.3-2.0	6	18–25	0.44-0.46	150-200	2.8-4.0
Sandstones	31.6-36.8	0.8-1.2	0.5	5–10	0.15-0.35	180-220	3.0-3.9
Limestones, dolomites	2.4-4.0	0.3	0.5	3–10	0.03-0.04	20	0.3

Table 108 Silicon, Germanium, Tin, Lead, Titanium, Zirconium, and Hafnium in Major Rock Types (values commonly found, based on various sources)

© 2001 by CRC Press LLC

Several interferences between Si and other ions such as P, Al, Ca, and Fe may occur in soil and modify the behavior of Si. For example, in acid soils, silicate and phosphate ions form insoluble precipitates which may fix several other cations (e.g., Fe and Al oxides that have a marked capacity to sorb dissolved Si as H_4SiO_4). Appreciable amounts of organic matter in flooded soil induce a higher Si mobility, apparently due to the reduction of Fe hydrous oxides, which release adsorbed monosilicic acid.

B. Plants

Si is a common constituent of plants, and its amounts may vary by two orders of magnitude. Metson et al.⁵³⁶ reported the mean Si content to range from 0.3 to 1.2% (DW) in grass, whereas this range is 0.04 to 0.13% in clover and 0.1 to 0.2% in alfalfa. Some species of plants may accumulate a much higher amount of Si (e.g., diatoms, sedges, nettles, and horsetails). Rice plants are known as accumulators of Si and can contain up to 10% (DW) in hulls and about 15% (AW) in leaves.^{121, 395}Alyoshin et al.⁹²⁰ found that the Si content of chloroplasts of rice grains is extremely elevated, up to 4% (DW).

Si is absorbed from the soil solution as monosilicic acid or silica, and its absorption is usually proportional to its concentration in the solution and to water flow. However, Tinker⁷⁹⁸ reported that while Si uptake by most grasses appears to be passive, in rice it is rather an active process. There is also evidence that plants can restrict the uptake of Si, as do some covers which either exclude H_4SiO_4 at the external surface or bind it within the root tissue, and thus can reduce the concentration of Si in the xylem sap to about 6% of that in the external solution.¹²¹ Although Si-organic complexes have not yet been isolated, plant Si has been shown to exist in at least two forms, one of which is believed to be a hydrogen-bonded Si-organic complex.¹²¹ Körös¹⁰⁵⁵ reported that a special silicon complex (thujaplicine complex) was isolated from *Thuja plicata* and that most of the Si taken up either as monomeric silicic acid or as organic complex was deposited in plant tissues as opaline silica.

Si (possibly as amorphous silica) impregnates the walls of epidermal and vascular tissues.³⁸⁷ Thus, Si strengthens plant tissues, reduces water loss, and retards fungal infection. Where large amounts of Si are accumulated, intercellular deposits can be formed as plant opal. Residues of such plants contribute to the formation of amorphous silica in soils.

Wallace⁸⁴⁰ reported that soluble Si stimulated plant growth. This stimulation seems to be related to the observed effects of Si on increased P and Mo uptake by plants, as well as on Mn transport within plant tissues.³²⁶

Antagonistic effects of Si on the uptake of B, Mn, and Fe have also been observed (Figure 30). Si seems to inhibit deleterious effects of As and Ge on mitochondria activities in rice grains,⁹¹⁹ as well as reduce the internal phytotoxicity of Al.²⁴¹ The ameliorative effect of an increase in readily available H_4SiO_4 on reducing the toxicity of Al and also Mn is apparently related to cation/anion balance at the root surface, which leads to the immobilization of these elements. Alyoshin et al.^{919,920} observed a beneficial impact of Si on metabolic processes in chloroplasts and on phosphorylation processes in rice grains. It has been suggested that Si enhances phosphorylation of sugars, which improves the energy supply for metabolism and enhances sugar synthesis that is reflected in more growth.³ Miyake and Takahashi⁵⁴⁶ reported that Si deficiency affected the reproductive growth of tomato plants grown in culture solution. The biochemical role of Si has not yet been clarified.

III. GERMANIUM

A. Soils

Common amounts of Ge in the major rock types range from 0.3 to 2.4 ppm (Table 108). The distribution of Ge resembles that of Si, and thus the lowest Ge contents are in calcareous sediments and mafic magmatic rocks. Ge mainly exhibits siderophile properties, but is also associated with

	G	e	Sn		
Soil	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	
Sandy soil and lithosols on sandstones	0.6–2.1	1.1	<0.1–7.7	1.1	
Light loamy soils	0.6-1.6	1.2	<0.1–2.2	0.9	
Loess and soils on silt deposits	0.9–1.6	1.3	0.3–1.8	1.1	
Clay and clay loamy soils	0.7-2.0	1.5	0.3–3.1	1.2	
Alluvial soils	0.6–2.1	1.3	0.3-4.2	1.7	
Soils over granites and gneisses	1.0-1.4	1.3	0.9–1.5	1.2	
Soils over volcanic rocks	1.1–1.8	1.4	0.8–1.7	1.2	
Soils over limestones and calcareous rocks	0.6–1.3	1.0	<0.1–1.8	1.1	
Soils on glacial till and drift	0.9–1.7	1.2	0.1-1.1	0.6	
Light desert soils	0.8–1.6	1.2	0.7-1.9	1.2	
Silty prairie soils	0.7-1.4	1.1	0.4-1.9	0.9	
Chernozems and dark prairie soils	0.8–1.6	1.3	0.2-5.0	1.4	
Organic light soils	<0.1–1.1	0.8	0.1–7.9	1.2	
Forest soils	0.7-1.8	1.4	0.2-2.8	1.1	
Various soils	1.5–1.8	1.6	_	—	

Table 109	Germanium and Tin Content of Surface Soils of the U.S. (ppm DW	V) 706

sulfide ores of some metals, where it is likely to occur as germanite, $GeS_2 \cdot 7CuS \cdot FeS$, or similar mineral.

During weathering, Ge is partly mobilized, mainly in an easily mobile form, $Ge(OH)_2$, and is transported to aquatic basins, but then is readily fixed, apparently in the form of $Ge(OH)_4$, to clay minerals, Fe oxides, and organic matter. Its high concentrations in coals have often been reported. Coals often contain considerable Ge. Fly-ash, containing up to 250 ppm Ge, is known to be a commercial source of this metal. Also a main source of Ge in the environment is the emission from coal burning, which is calculated globally at about 700 t per year.

Ge may occur as the divalent cation, but its complex anions are also known, such as $HGeO_2^-$, $HGeO_3^-$, and GeO_3^{2-} . The abundance of Ge in surface soils of the U.S. is fairly uniform and averages 1.1 ppm (Table 109). There is very little information about Ge in soils of other countries. Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported Ge contents in reference soils from China to range from 1.2 to 3.2 ppm (mean, 1.6 ppm).

B. Plants

Although Ge is reported to occur in plants, it is not known to have any physiological functions. Schroeder and Balassa⁶⁹⁵ gave the range of Ge concentrations in grains as 0.09 to 0.7 ppm (FW) and in vegetables as 0.02 to 1.07 ppm (FW). Duke¹⁹⁷ found Ge in food plants of a Central American region to range from <0.01 to <0.1 ppm (DW). Connor and Shacklette¹⁴⁵ reported the Ge content of plant ash, if detected, to average 20 ppm, but the element was rarely detected. Ge was found in only 1 of 123 samples of Spanish moss (*Tillandsia usneoides*, Bromeliaceae family) at a concentration of 15 ppm (AW) and this sample was from an area subject to air pollution from industrial operations.⁷⁰⁸ Ge contents of willow leaves grown in the Sudeten Mountains and of Turkish hazel grown in Wroclaw (Poland) varied from 12 to 108 ppm, and from 14 to 100 ppm, respectively. The increased concentrations of Ge indicate polluted sites and periods of higher industrial emissions.^{1335,1477}

Plants seem to absorb Ge at a relatively high rate, possibly in the form of GeO_2 .³⁹⁵ Rice plants may accumulate Ge readily and concentrate this element to a level as high as 1% (AW) in the tops, although Ge is highly toxic to plants and to rice plants in particular.⁵¹⁶

It is assumed, although little is actually known, that interaction between Ge and Si exists and that those plants which need Si for growth are most sensitive to Ge. Even at low concentrations, Ge has been shown to inhibit germination and plant growth.^{679,919}

A. Soils

The abundance of Sn in common rocks shows an increased concentration in argillaceous sediments (6 to 10 ppm) and lower amounts in ultramafic and calcareous rocks (0.35 to 0.5 ppm) (Table 108). Sn tends to form only a few independent minerals, of which cassiterite is the most important Sn ore, and is strongly resistant to weathering. Sn is known to occur as Sn^{2+} and Sn^{4+} , in nature is markedly siderophilic, but also forms several complex anions of oxide and hydroxides. Because Sn does not readily associate with other elements, there are not many Sn minerals (cassiterite, SnO_2 ; stannite, Cu_2SnFeS_4 ; montesite, $PbSn_4S_5$).

The mobility of Sn during weathering is highly pH dependent. Especially Sn^{2+} , a strong reducing agent, can be present only in acid and reducing environments. Soluble Sn follows the behavior of Fe and Al and remains in the weathered residue along with hydroxides of these metals. The ability of Sn to form complexes with organic substances, both soluble and insoluble, has been reported; therefore, Sn is generally enriched in bioliths.

The occurrence of Sn in soil has not received much study; only Shacklette and Boerngen⁷⁰⁶ have presented comprehensive data on Sn in soils (Table 109). Although Sn in soils is largely derived from Sn in the bedrock, all soil surface horizons contain fairly similar amounts of this element, averaging 1.1 ppm. Presant⁶²⁹ gave the range in Sn concentrations in soils as 1.1 to 4.6 ppm, and Kick et al.³⁹⁰ gave the range as 1 to 4 ppm. Ure and Bacon ⁸¹⁸ found 4.5 ppm Sn in the standard soil samples. Chapman¹³¹ cited the common range of Sn in soils as 1 to 11 ppm, and Gordon (see Griffitts and Milne²⁸⁶) reported Sn concentrations in peats to range from 50 to 300 ppm (AW). Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported Sn contents in reference soils from China to range from 2.5 to 17.7 ppm (mean, 1.6 ppm), and in soils of the U.S. to range from 1.7 to 4.0 ppm (mean, 2.4 ppm). In some polluted sites, however, up to 800 ppm of Sn has been reported.¹³⁵⁷

There is evidence that inorganic Sn is methylated in the aquatic environment to various methyl forms.⁹⁷⁰ Organometallic forms of Sn and their ease in bioaccumulation is currently receiving much attention because of the growing Sn distribution in the environment and its relation to health risk. The allowable concentration of Sn in soils is established at 50 ppm.

B. Plants

There is no evidence that Sn is either essential or beneficial to plants, although plants may easily take up Sn, if present in the nutrient solution, but most of the absorbed Sn remains in roots.⁶⁶² Under natural soil conditions, however, Sn apparently is less available; therefore, measurable amounts of Sn are not found in all plant species.

Gough et al.²⁷⁹ reported the common range of Sn to be 20 to 30 ppm (AW). Zook et al.⁹⁰⁶ found 5.6 to 7.9 ppm (DW) Sn in wheat grains, and Duke¹⁹⁷ gave the range in Sn concentrations in food plants of a Central American forest region as <0.04 to <0.1 ppm (DW), and Connor and Shacklette¹⁴⁵ reported Sn to average 15 ppm in plant ash, but it was not detectable in all samples. Chapman¹³¹ reported that Sn ranges in grass from 0.2 to 1.9 ppm (DW) and that in corn grains it averages 2.9 ppm.

Plants grown in mineralized areas accumulated Sn to levels as high as 80 ppm (AW),⁶⁸⁶ and even to 300 ppm (AW).⁶¹³ Sedges and mosses were found to be the best Sn accumulators.²⁸⁶

The Sn in plants grown in contaminated soils may be highly enriched. Pešek and Kolsky⁶⁰⁸ found Sn concentration to be about 1000 ppm (DW) in sugar beets that were grown in the vicinity of a chemical factory, and Peterson et al.⁶¹⁰ reported Sn concentrations of about 2000 ppm (DW) in vegetation in the proximity of a Sn smelter. Sn is very toxic to both higher plants and fungi.

A. Soils

The terrestrial abundance of Pb indicates a tendency for Pb to concentrate in the acid series of magmatic rocks and argillaceous sediments in which the common Pb concentrations range from 10 to 40 ppm, while in ultramafic rocks and calcareous sediments its range is from 0.1 to 10 ppm (Table 108). The average abundance of Pb in the Earth's crust is estimated at about 15 ppm. In the terrestrial environment, two kinds of Pb are known: primary and secondary. Primary Pb is of geogenic origin and was incorporated into minerals at the time of their formation, and secondary Pb is of a radiogenic origin from the decay of U and Th. The ratio of Pb of various origins is used for dating the host materials.

Pb has highly chalcophilic properties and thus its primary form in the natural state is galena (PbS). Pb occurs mainly as Pb^{2+} , although its oxidation state, +4, is also known, and it forms several other minerals which are quite insoluble in natural waters.

During weathering, Pb sulfides slowly oxidize and have the ability to form carbonates and also to be incorporated in clay minerals, in Fe and Mn oxides, and in organic matter. The geochemical characteristics of Pb^{2+} somewhat resemble the divalent alkaline-earth group of metals; thus, Pb has the ability to replace K, Ba, Sr, and even Ca, both in minerals and in sorption sites.

The natural Pb content of soil is inherited from parent rocks. However, due to widespread Pb pollution, most soils are likely to be enriched in this metal, especially in the top horizon. There is much data available in the literature on soil Pb, but sometimes it is difficult to separate the data for background Pb levels in soils from those of anthropogenically influenced amounts in surface soils.

Values presented in Table 110 for the natural Pb occurrence in top horizons of different soils from various countries show that amounts range from 3 to 189 ppm, while mean values for soil types range from 10 to 67 ppm and average 32 ppm. High Pb levels (above 100 ppm) have been reported mainly for Denmark, Japan, Great Britain, and Ireland and most probably reflect the impact of pollution. Davies¹⁶⁵ stated that an upper limit for the Pb content of a normal soil could be established as 70 ppm.

Gough et al.¹⁰¹² found a relatively low Pb content of soils in Alaska. Although Pb in these soils ranges from 4 to 349 ppm (DW), about 90% of the samples contained only up to 20 ppm, with a geometric mean of 12. Low concentrations of Pb in soils from this remote region suggest that baseline values of this metal in most world soils should not be much higher than 20 ppm. This corresponds closely to the lithogenic concentrations of Pb which do not exceed the above value, with the exception of argillaceous sediments and shales (Table 108). Also, grand mean values for Pb in different soil units vary within the range of 22 to 28 ppm (DW). Only histosols are enriched in Pb, which averages 44 ppm (Table 9). Therefore, the mean Pb concentration for surface soils on the world scale could be estimated as 25 ppm.

Recently estimated Pb geometric means for soils of Poland indicate a growing Pb level with increasing content of clay granulometric fraction; for example, in sandy soils, 12.6 ppm; medium loamy soils, 16.4 ppm; and heavy loamy soils, 20.9 ppm (Table 20). The geometric mean Pb content of surface soils from major agricultural production areas of the U.S. is 10.6 ppm, within the range from <1 to 135 ppm.¹³²⁹

1. Reactions with Soil Components

The natural Pb content of soils is strongly related to the composition of the bedrock, and Pb is reported to be the least mobile among the other heavy metals. The relatively low Pb concentrations in natural soil solutions (Table 16) support this statement. Although the Pb species can vary considerably from one soil type to another, it may be concluded from the results given by Norrish,⁵⁷⁰ Riffaldi et al.,⁶⁵³ Tidball,⁷⁸⁷ and Schnitzer and Kerndorff⁶⁹⁰ that Pb is associated mainly with clay

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Australia	_	57	196
-	Romania	5–41	19	1127
	Canada	2.3-47.5	10.4	243
	Madagascar	_	37	557a
	Poland	8.5-23.5	16	378
	U.S.	<10–70	17	706
	Former S.U.	17.5-22.2	20	1031
Loess and silty soils	Poland	14–32	26	378, 665
	U.S.	10-30	19	706
Loamy and clay soils	Canada	1.5-50.1	16.6	243, 629
	Chad	20-45	_	39
	Madagascar		48	557a
	Poland	12 5-52	25	378
	Romania	14-33	21	1127
	US	10-70	22	706
	Former S U	_	40	631
Soils on glacial till	Denmark	11 3-17 3	14 7	801 802
Collo ori glaciar ill	Poland	7–18	10	974
		10-30	10	218 219
Fluvisole	Δustria	16-22	10	6
1 10/13013	Great Britain	24_96	63	166
	Madagascar	19_47		5572
	Poland	12 5-48 5	30	378
Paddy soils	lanan	6_180	20	305
Gleveole	Chad	20-50	25	30
Cleysols	Poland	105 495	20	279
	Folariu Formor S I I	19.5-40.5	50 67	621
	Groat Britain	17.62	40	974
Bondzinoo		17-03	40	074
neliuzilias	China	20-40	 57	230
	Modogooor	17-200	57	1124 5570
	Nauayascai	17.46	20	007a
	Poland	17-40	28.5	378,000
Kaatanazama and brown asila	0.3. Austria	10-50	22	700
Rasianozems and brown solis	Austria	13-31	21	074
	Great Britain	20-50	35	8/4
Farmalanta	Romania	8-20	15	1127
Ferraisois		10-30		39
01	Sierra Leone	3-91	47	168
Chernozems	Poland	19-29	25	378
	0.5.	10-70	19	706
Desiring and mandatus sails	Former S.U.	20.2–29	24	1031
Prairien and meadow soils	Former S.U.		61	631
	Germany	11.5-79.5		46
Histosols, other organic solls	Canada	1.5-50.0	12.6	243
	Denmark	43-176	50.5	1,801
	Great Britain	26-142	84	69, 874
	Ireland	120	_	236
	Poland	18-85	_	681
	0.S.	10–50	24	706
Forest soils	China		26	225
	0.5.	10-50	20	218, 219
	Former S.U.	10–56	37	9, 631
Various soils	Austria	21–33	29	6
	Canada	_	20ª	521
	Great Britain	15.5–41	29	69, 100, 818
	Italy	4–81	26	946

Table 110 Lead Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

(Continued)

			. , .	
Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
	Japan	5–189	35	395, 403
	Poland	5–286	18	1045
	Germany	15–68	_	46
	U.S.	<10–70	26	706
	Former S.U.	2.4-24.5	7.9	1131

Table 110 Lead Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW) (Continued)

^a Mean for whole profiles of arable soils.

^b Kazachstan.



Figure 68 Phosphate (KH₂PO₄) effects on Pb fractionation in the Pb-contaminated soil (Pb-2800 ppm, pH ca. 7.0 after CaCO₃ addition). Fractions of Cd (after Tessier et al.¹⁵¹⁶): I, exchangeable; II, carbonate; III, oxide; IV, organic; V, residual. (With permission from the authors¹³²⁸.)

minerals, Mn oxides, Fe and Al hydroxides, and organic matter. However, in some soil, Pb may be highly concentrated in Ca carbonate particles or in phosphate concentrations. Phosphate added to a soil contaminated with Pb slightly decreases its easily soluble fraction, and to a greater extent oxide, and carbonate fractions, while increasing organic, and especially residual fractions (Figure 68). In soils heavily polluted with Pb, the formation of pyromorphite, $Pb_5Cl(PO_4)_3$, is observed.¹²⁶³ The concentration of this mineral is mainly close to the roots of grass (*Agrostis capillaris*) and indicates an influence of the rhizosphere on the process of its formation.

The solubility of Pb can be greatly decreased by liming. A high soil pH may precipitate Pb as hydroxide, phosphate, or carbonate, as well as promote the formation of Pb-organic complexes which are rather stable. Increasing acidity may increase the Pb solubility, but this mobilization is usually slower than the accumulation in the organic-rich layer of soils.

Hildebrand and Blume ³¹⁹ reported that illites show much greater affinity to sorb Pb than other clay minerals, whereas this reaction was not observed by Kabata-Pendias ³⁷⁷ in studies of Pb sorption by clays over a wide range of pH. Farrah and Pickering²²⁸ emphasized that adsorption of Pb is highly dependent on the kinds of ligands involved in the formation of hydroxy complexes of Pb (e.g., PbOH⁺ and Pb₄ (OH₄)⁴⁺). These authors discussed several possible mechanisms of adsorption of hydroxy species and suggested that Pb sorption on montmorillonite can be interpreted as simply cation exchange processes, while on kaolinite and illite Pb is rather competitively adsorbed. Abd-Elfattah and Wada² found a higher selective adsorption of Pb by Fe oxides, halloysite, and imogolite than by humus, kaolinite, and montmorillonite. In other studies, the greatest affinity to sorb Pb was reported for Mn oxides.^{377,525}

The characteristic localization of Pb near the soil surface in most soil profiles is primarily related to the surficial accumulation of organic matter. The greatest Pb concentrations are also often found in the organically rich top horizons of uncultivated soils, as was reported by Fleming et al.²³⁶ Therefore, organic matter should be considered as an important sink of Pb in polluted soils.
2. Contamination of Soils

The fate of anthropogenic Pb in soils has recently received much attention because this metal is hazardous to man and animals from two sources—the food chain and soil dust inhalation (or, with children, pica for soil).

The steadily increasing amounts of Pb in surface soils, both arable and uncultivated, have been reported for various terrestrial ecosystems.³³¹ The impact of land use on the Pb level in topsoils is illustrated by Blum et al. (after Kuttler).¹²³¹ Variable filtration of the atmospheric deposition by the plant canopy affects the Pb input to topsoils, which is three times higher in spruce forest (90 μ g Pb L⁻¹) than in pasture soil (30 μ g Pb L⁻¹). The accumulation of Pb in surface soil exposed to various pollution sources at some sites has already reached a value as high as about 2% of dry soil material (Table 111). The levels of Pb in soils that are toxic to plants are not easy to evaluate; however, several authors have given quite similar concentrations, ranging from 100 to 500 ppm (Table 6).

Site and Pollution Source	Mean or Range	Country	Dof
Site and Pollution Source	of Content	Country	Ret.
Old mining area	51–21,546	Great Britain	165,166, 808, 659
Nonferric metal mining	170–4563	Great Britain	165,166
	>300	Germany	390
	15–13,000	U.S.	330
	21–3044	Former S.U.	567
Metal processing industry	291–12,123	Canada	363
	137–14,000	Belgium	1142
	1250-18,500	Greece	559
	628–1334	Holland	305
	53-2100	Japan	403, 926
	104	Norway	732
	2480-8000 ^d	Poland	871, 507, 1015, 1357
	3170	Romania	1126
	500-6500	U.S.	538
	3074	Germany	1075
	92-2580	Zambia	573
	1612–3640	Russia	854, 1502a
Battery manufactory and reclamation site	881-1058	Chile	1139
	93–3800	Poland	945
	135,000	U.S.	1166
Urban garden and urban vicinity	6-888	Canada	585
	270–15,240	Great Britain	48, 785a, 959
	10-897	Jamaica	1347
	165-12,750	Poland	159, 1015a, 1173
	127–388	Germany	1046, 1051
	218-10,900	U.S.	127, 208, 628
Sludged farmland	175–3916	Great Britain	59, 987
-	60–253ª	Japan	395
	80–254 ^b	Holland	314
	>800°	Germany	1023
Roadside soil	132–397	Japan	395
	167–2115	Poland	1173
	114–885	Germany	397
	960-7000	U.S	582
Application of waste lime	2400	Poland	1173

Table 111	Lead Contamination	of Surface	Soils (ppm	DW)
				,

^a Paddy soil.

^b 6 and 16 t dry matter sludge ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, for 5 years.

^c Soils with dredged sediments.

^d Maximum value for the industrial Silesia region.

Peat bogs are good records of atmospheric Pb deposition due to their great capacity to accumulate and strongly fixate this metal. The anthropogenic Pb deposition extending back at least to Greek and Roman times has been traced in peat cores of several European countries. The Pb concentrations in recent peats are about 100 times higher than the pre-anthropogenic pollution calculated at 0.2 ppm, and dated using ²¹⁰Pb.¹⁴⁸⁷

Studies on Pb compounds in contaminated soils have been reviewed by Hildebrand,³¹⁸ Olson and Skogerboe,⁵⁸² Harmsen,³⁰⁵ and Zimdahl and Hassett.⁹⁰³ The main Pb pollutants emitted from smelters occur in mineral forms (e.g., PbS, PbO, PbSO₄, and PbO · PbSO₄), while Pb in automobile exhausts is mainly in the form of halide salts (e.g., PbBr, PbBrCl, Pb(OH)Br, and (PbO)₂PbBr₂). Exhaust Pb particles are unstable and readily convert into oxides, carbonates, and sulfates. Processes of methylation of some species of Pb are either biological or purely chemical reactions. As Beijer and Jernelöv⁹³⁵ stated, the conversion of tetraalkyllead compound in soils is most probably not a biological process.

Other important sources of Pb in soils are Pb-containing paints within the home and garden. Thornton¹¹⁶⁴ reported that the geometric mean concentration of Pb in 4650 garden soils of Great Britain is 298 ppm (DW). The concentrations of Pb were higher in older homes, which clearly indicates a gradual increase of this metal in garden soils. This phenomenon is closely related to hazardous Pb intake by children as a result of "hand-to-mouth" activity. Pb in soils has received much attention in recent years and some results are summarized as guidelines by Wixson and Davies.¹⁵⁴⁷ Residential areas, allotment gardens, and industrially impacted soils are especially broadly investigated. The most serious soil Pb issue is associated with a direct transfer of Pb from contaminated soil to children, showing a significant relationship among Pb levels in soils and in children's blood. Lowney et al.^{1392a} presented (after Bowers et al.) the equation to calculate adult blood Pb concentration as an effect of the exposure to various sources of this metal, including soil and dust. The former hot Pb issue related to soils pollution along highways is now of smaller concern due to the regulations eliminating Pb from petrol.

Cunningham and Berti¹²⁶⁶ recently reviewed technical and economic issues related to remediation of Pb-contaminated soil. Because Pb is very strongly bound in almost all types of soil, its phytoextraction is rather limited. When Pb is taken up by plants, its translocation to above-ground parts is very poor. The major proportion of Pb is concentrated in root tissues. However, it is promising that dense vegetative cover will stabilize Pb, for a given period, within the rooting zone.

Although Pb is a slightly phytoavailable metal and thus difficult to phytoextract, there are several plants (e.g., *Thlaspi* sp., corn, sunflower) that accumulate larger amounts of Pb, especially in roots. Increasing mobility of Pb using chelates or other technologies (e.g., electroosmosis) can stimulate its phytoavailability.¹³³⁸

Because Pb enters the soil in various and complex compounds, its reactions may differ widely among areas. Indeed, opinion appears to differ as to whether Pb as a pollutant is a mobile or a stable soil component. Tyler⁸¹⁶ reported that Pb is the most stable metal in forest soil, and the time necessary for a 10% decrease of its total concentration by leaching was calculated to be 200 years for polluted soil and 90 years for "control" soil. Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ calculated the period in which the amount of Pb in soil will decrease by one half to range from 740 to 5900 years, depending on the kind of soil, the water management, and the organic matter present. Stevenson and Welch⁷⁵⁹ observed that Pb moved from the topsoil treated with Pb acetate into the subsoil, even though the soil (silty clay loam) was shown to have a high capacity for binding Pb in nonexchangeable forms. This mobility was attributed to the metal leaching as soluble chelated complexes with organic matter. In general, however, several observations of the Pb balance in various ecosystems show that the input of this metal greatly exceeds its output (Table 17). For example, Hansen and Tjell³⁰⁴ estimated that an annual increase of Pb in Danish agricultural soils is 3.7% of its total amount in the soil. It must be emphasized that contamination of soils with Pb is mainly irreversible and, therefore a cumulative process in surface soils will continue, even if inputs are low.



Figure 69 Concentration of Pb in soil microflora as a function of its content in soil.*Values given in powers of ten.⁵⁶⁷

Previously, there was little concern about Pb contamination of soils because of the relative insolubility of adsorbed and precipitated ions of Pb in soils. The strong Pb adsorption in soils may mean that Pb additions to soil are permanent and irreversible. However, the Pb content of the roots is correlated to the Pb content of the soil, which indicates its uptake by plants. Certain soil and plant factors (e.g., low pH, low P content of soil, organic ligands) are known to promote both Pb uptake by roots and Pb translocation into plant tops.

The accumulation of Pb in surface soils is of great ecological significance because this metal is known to greatly affect the biological activity of soils. This topic has been reviewed by Tyler^{812,813} Andersson,¹⁹ Doelman and Haanstra,¹⁸⁵ and Hughes et al.,³³¹ who showed that increased levels of Pb in soil are likely to limit enzymatic activity of microbiota and, as a consequence, markedly increase the accumulation of incompletely decomposed soil organic matter, particularly those materials that do not decompose readily, such as cellulose. A significant accumulation of nitrates in soils enriched in Pb was observed by Woytowicz.⁸⁸⁹

Niyazova and Letunova⁵⁶⁷ reported a strong tendency of soil microflora to accumulate Pb at a very high rate that was proportional to the metal content of soils (Figure 69). Consumers such as earthworms also at times concentrate Pb from the soil substrata (Table 28), which greatly contributes to a secondary deposition of Pb in surface soils. Ma et al.¹⁰⁸⁰ demonstrated a great influence of pH and organic matter content on the worm uptake of Pb, which is significantly stronger in soils with a low pH and low organic matter content than in soils with higher values of these parameters.

Recently, Pb concentrations have gradually increased in soils and may seriously inhibit microbial processes (Table 24). These effects should be expected principally in soils with a low CEC value. However, in the long run, they may also occur in other soils with a higher CEC.

B. Plants

Although Pb occurs naturally in all plants, it has not been shown to play any essential roles in their metabolism. Broyer et al.¹⁰⁸ reviewed this topic and concluded that if Pb is necessary for plants its concentration at the level of 2 to 6 ppb should be sufficient. Pb has recently received much attention as a major chemical pollutant of the environment and as an element toxic to plants.

1. Absorption and Transport

Zimdahl⁹⁰² and Hughes et al.³³¹ extensively reviewed the findings on Pb absorption by roots and concluded that the mode of its uptake is passive and that the rate of uptake is reduced by liming and by low temperature. Pb, although not readily soluble in soil, is absorbed mainly by root hairs and is stored to a considerable degree in cell walls.

Warren⁸⁴⁹ and Kovalevskiy⁴¹⁷ described Pb as a very useful element for geochemical prospecting. The Pb content of plants grown in mineralized areas is, in general, highly correlated with the Pb concentration in soil, although this relationship differs among organs of the plant (Figure 70).



Figure 70 Concentration of Pb in various organs of larch (*Larix dahurica*) as a function of its content in soil overlying an ore deposit. (a) Roots; (b) bark; (c) needles; (d) twigs; (e) wood.⁴¹⁷

Figure 71 Pb content of a grass (*Bromus uniotoides*) as a function of its concentration in nutrient solution *Values given in powers of ten.¹⁰⁴⁵

Figure 72 Pb uptake by oats from sand culture as a function of time and of Pb concentration in nutrient solution. (a) 25 mg L^{-1} (b) 200; mg L^{-1} . Solid lines, tops; broken lines, roots.⁷³⁵

When Pb is present in soluble forms in nutrient solutions, plant roots are able to take up great amounts of this metal, the rate increasing with increasing concentration in the solutions and with time (Figures 71 and 72). The translocation of Pb from roots to tops is greatly limited, and as Zimdahl⁹⁰² described, only 3% of the Pb in the root is translocated to the shoot. The significant accumulation of Pb in roots and low rate of its transport to the other parts of plants are illustrated in Figure 73.



Figure 73 Distribution of Pb in corn plants grown in the soil with 300 ppm Pb.¹⁰⁴⁵

The degree to which soil Pb is available to plants is of great environmental concern. Cannon¹¹⁸ and Zimdahl and Koeppe⁹⁰⁴ reviewed this topic and showed that despite several statements in the literature on the slight effect of soil Pb on concentrations of Pb in plant tissues, plants are able to take up Pb from soils to a limited extent. Apparently, most of the Pb in soil is unavailable to plant roots. Wilson and Cline⁸⁸² studied the absorption of ²¹⁰ Pb by barley grown using a modified Neubauer technique and showed that only 0.003 to 0.005% of the total Pb in soils may be taken up by plants. This uptake, however, varies significantly over the concentration ranges currently present in soils and with the various forms of Pb that occur in soils.

Zimdahl and Koeppe⁹⁰⁴ cited an alternate hypothesis to explain the Pb uptake from soil, in which Pb in not taken up directly from soil by plant roots, but rather is sorbed from dead plant materials accumulated near the soil surface. Nevertheless, there is much evidence that Pb is taken up from soils by roots, at both low and high Pb concentration, and that this process is strongly governed by soil and plant factors.

Airborne Pb, a major source of Pb pollution, is also readily taken up by plants through foliage. Much controversy exists in the literature on the question of how much airborne Pb is fixed to hairy or waxy cuticles of leaves and how much Pb is actually taken into foliar cells. A number of studies have shown that Pb deposited on the leaf surface is absorbed by these cells. Although it has been suggested that most of the Pb pollution can be removed from the leaf surfaces by washing with detergents, there is likely to be a significant translocation of Pb into plant tissues.³⁴⁰ It has been calculated that up to 95% of the total Pb content of plants may be from aerial deposition on leafy plants.¹²⁷⁰

The phytoavailability of organolead compounds (mainly alkylated Pb) and their toxic effects on plants have recently received much attention. Röderer¹¹³² discussed numerous questions concerning effects of continuous exposure to low levels of organic Pb on plants. Diehl et al.⁹⁷⁹ found that Pb-tetraalkyls in soils are quickly converted to water-soluble Pb compounds which are easily available to plants. Consequently, the vegetation grown in soils polluted with Pbtetraalkyls show a relatively large Pb enrichment in both vegetative and generative organs. Zimdahl and Koeppe⁹⁰⁴ summarized recent results of translocation and uptake studies and showed that under certain conditions Pb is mobile within the plant. It is generally agreed, however, that Pb from a soil source is not readily translocated to edible portions of plants. These authors stated that the main process responsible for Pb accumulation in root tissue is the deposition of Pb, especially as Pb pyrophosphate, along the cell walls. Malone et al.⁵⁰⁶ identified the deposits in cell walls outside the plasma lemma as Pb precipitates and Pb crystals. Similar Pb deposits observed in roots, stems, and leaves suggest that Pb is transported and deposited in a similar manner in all tissues of the plant.

2. Biochemical Roles

Although there is no evidence that Pb is essential for the growth of any plant species, there are many reports on the stimulating effects on plant growth of some Pb salts (mainly $Pb(NO_3)_2$ at low concentrations. Moreover, other reports have described inhibitory effects of low Pb levels on plant metabolism. Due to interactions of Pb with other elements and with many environmental factors, it has not been simple to establish Pb concentrations that are toxic to vital plant processes (Table 36). Several reports describe the toxic effects of Pb on processes such as photosynthesis, mitosis, and water absorption; however, the toxic symptoms in plants are not very specific (Table 40).

Subcellular effects of Pb on plant tissues are related to the inhibition of respiration and photosynthesis due to the disturbance of electron transfer reaction. These reactions have been found to be inhibited by Pb concentrations as low as 1 ppm in corn mitochondria.⁹⁰² Photosynthesis processes in sunflower leaves were also reduced by half at Pb concentration of about 1 μ M g^{-1.1661} Pb is likely to be accumulated in various parts of cells, thus affecting their structures. The most deteriorating is the destruction of the plasma lemma, which in effect disturbs the permeability for water and leads to impaired plant growth.¹⁵⁵¹

Several plant species, ecotypes, and bacterial strains are able to develop Pb-tolerance mechanisms. This tolerance seems to be associated with the properties of membranes. Lane et al.⁴⁶⁰ stated that Pb becomes strongly bound to cell walls and that pectic acid is most active in Pb sorption; thus, Pb has a marked influence on the elasticity and plasticity of cell wall, resulting in an increase in tissue wall rigidity.

Sensitive plant species or bacterial strains attract more Pb to their cell walls than do Pb-tolerant plants. A large deposition of Pb on membranes apparently impairs their functions. On the other hand, Pb-tolerant ecotypes may accumulate Pb in inactive forms such as Pb pyro-orthophosphates which have been identified in roots of plants growing under Pb stress.⁹⁰² The behavioral differences between Pb-sensitive and Pb-tolerant bacteria strains are manifested mainly by their growth rates, as is demonstrated with bacterial cultures (Figure 74).

Although even a very low Pb concentration may inhibit some vital plant processes, Pb poisoning has seldom been observed in plants growing under field conditions. Zimdahl⁹⁰² discussed that



Figure 74 The influence of PbCl₂ in culture solution on the maximal specific growth rate (MGR) of (a) Pb-tolerant bacteria strain; (b, c) Pb-sensitive bacteria strains.¹⁸⁴

problem and suggested two possible explanations: (1) the relatively low Pb concentration in soil and (2) a low Pb availability even under contaminated soil conditions.

Findings have indicated a high toxicity of organolead compounds to a variety of plants. Toxic effects are related mainly to disturbance of fundamental biological processes such as photosynthesis, growth, mitosis, etc. As Röderer¹¹³² reported, the toxicity of organic Pb not only exceeds by far the toxicity of the inorganic forms, but the effects caused by both types of agents also differ in quality. This difference of action is presumably due to differing physical and chemical properties of the organic and inorganic Pb compounds.

3. Interactions with Other Elements

The interference of Pb with trace elements has been reported only for Zn and Cd (Figure 30). The stimulating effect of Pb on Cd uptake by plant roots may be a secondary effect of the disturbance of the transmembrane transport of ions. The Zn-Pb antagonism adversely affects the translocation of each element from roots to tops.

The interference of Pb with Ca is of metabolic importance since Pb can mimic the physiological behavior of Ca and thus can inhibit some enzymes. The beneficial effect of liming on reducing Pb absorption by roots is governed by soil type. In soils where Pb-organic complexes are formed, Ca^{2+} cations do not significantly limit the availability of Pb.

A favorable P regime is known to reduce the effects of Pb toxicity. This interference is due to the ability of Pb to form insoluble phosphates in plant tissues, as well as in soils. S is known to inhibit the transport of Pb from roots to shoots. Jones et al.³⁷⁰ concluded that S-deficiency increases markedly the Pb movement into the tops.

4. Concentrations in Plants

Pb is a major chemical pollutant of the environment; therefore, its concentration in vegetation in several countries has increased in recent decades owing to man's activities. This fact has been clearly demonstrated by Rühling and Tyler,⁶⁶⁸ who have reported a severalfold increase of Pb concentration in the moss *Hypnum cupressiforme* during the last century (1860 to 1970). For this reason, it is important, as Cannon¹¹⁸ stated, that information on the Pb content of plants be documented as to both date of collection and location of the samples. There is an urgent need to collect and preserve samples and data from unpolluted areas throughout the world.

The great variation of Pb contents of plants is influenced by several environmental factors, such as the presence of geochemical anomalies, pollution, seasonal variation, and genotype ability to accumulate Pb. Nevertheless, natural Pb in plants grown in uncontaminated and unmineralized areas appears to be quite constant, ranging from 0.1 to 10 ppm (DW) and averaging 2 ppm (DW).^{13,118}

Sillanpää and Jansson¹⁴⁹¹ summarized results of the worldwide experiment carried out in 30 countries with young wheat (N =1723) and young corn plants (N = 1892) and showed that plant-Pb is a function of soil-Pb extracted with AAAc-EDTA solution. Among six soil factors, only soil pH reveals a slight effect on Pb availability. The frequency distribution of Pb contents of both plants indicated the most common (ca. 15%) concentrations ranging from 0.2 to <1 ppm. The highest, >1 ppm, content of Pb was observed in plants from Belgium, Hungary, Italy, Malta, and Mexico. European studies carried out under FAO programs have also indicated a regional variation in Pb contents of young wheat plants (Figure 25). The atmospheric deposition of Pb is apparently a significant source of this metal in above-earth plant parts, as indicated by Dalenberg and van Driel,¹²⁷⁰ by means of ²¹⁰Pb.

Increased levels of Pb in both grain and straw of barley grown in soil heavily polluted with Pb, despite a high soil pH (7.2–7.8) and increased content of carbonates, were noted. This indicated that these soil parameters do not always inhibit the Pb uptake by plants (Figure 75). Apparently, this phenomenon is related to disturbed function of biological barriers affected by chemical stress to plants.

Plant	Tissue Sample	FW Basis (547, 1187)	DW Basis (131, 188, 705, 852)	AW Basis (705, 852)
Sweet corn	Grains	0.022	0.88, 3, <0.3	34, 94
Bean	Pods	0.08	2, <1.5	37
Beet (red)	Roots	_	2, 0.7	28
Carrot	Roots	0.009, 0.012	3, <1.5, 0.5	38
Lettuce	Leaves	0.001	0.7, 2, 3.3, 3.6	5, 13
Cabbage	Leaves	0.016	1.7, 2.3	17
Potato	Tubers	_	3, 0.5	90
Onion	Bulbs	0.005	2, 1.3, 1.1	35
Cucumber	Fruits, unpeeled	0.024	—	_
Tomato	Fruits	0.002	3, 1, 1.2	44
Apple	Fruits	0.001	0.05, 0.2	2.7
Orange	Fruits	0.002	—	_

Table 112	Mean Lead	Content of	Plant	Foodstuffs	(ppm)
-----------	-----------	------------	-------	------------	-------

Note: References are given in parentheses.





The Pb contents of edible portions of plants grown in uncontaminated areas, as reported by various authors for the decade 1970 to 1980, range from 0.001 to 0.08 ppm (FW), from 0.05 to 3.0 ppm (DW), and from 2.7 to 94 ppm (AW) (Table 112). Although mean Pb contents calculated for cereal grains of various countries seem to vary considerably (from 0.01 to 2.28 ppm DW), the grand mean, when calculated with the exclusion of two extreme values, is 0.47 and is quite close to the commonly reported concentrations. (Table 113).

There is still great attention oriented toward the Pb levels in plant foodstuffs, especially bread and potatoes as a significant source of this metal in human diets,¹²⁴⁷ though recent data reveal a trend toward a global decrease in Pb emission, mainly because of Pb-free petrol, but probably also due to more precise analytical methods.

The provisional proposed levels for Pb in foods given by the Codex Committee of Food Additives and Contamination¹²⁵⁰ are: for cereals, 0.2 ppm, and for potatoes, 0.1 ppm (FW), while the average concentrations are given as 0.05 and 0.01 ppm (FW), respectively. The average contents of wheat grain and potatoes in Germany (period 1989 to 1993) were 0.02 and 0.06, respectively.¹²⁴⁷ In Poland, average contents of Pb in these food plants sampled during the period 1992 to 1996 were 0.23 and 0.33 ppm (DW).¹⁵¹⁴ Crop plants sampled in Poland during the period 1995 to 1998 contained an average amount of 0.06 and 0.07 ppm (FW) in both cereals and in peeled potato tubers.¹⁴¹⁶ Similar concentrations of Pb, 0.07 ppm (FW), were reported in wheat flour in Poland.¹⁴⁰² The Pb mean level in potato tubers (peeled) in the U.S. is 0.009 ppm, and in wheat grain is 0.037 ppm (FW).¹⁵⁴⁹

A higher concentration of Pb is commonly observed in cereal grains as compared with potato tubers, which resulted from an easy uptake of Pb from aerial sources.¹³⁵⁷

The background levels of Pb in forage plants average 2.1 ppm (DW) for grasses and 2.5 ppm (DW) for clovers, when two extreme values (0.36 ppm Pb in grass in Finland, and 8.0 ppm Pb in clover in Sweden) are excluded from the calculation (Table 114). The commonly observed increase in Pb amounts in forage plants during the fall and winter seasons is not yet completely understood.

	The second				
Country	Cereal	Range	Mean	Ref.	
Austria	Rye	_	0.64	323	
	Wheat	_	0.59	323	
Great Britain	Barley	<1.25–1.50	_	783	
Canada	Barley	0.1-0.2	_	195	
Egypt	Wheat	0.10-0.92	0.51	213	
Finland	Barley	0.29-0.56	0.40ª	829	
	Oats	0.33-1.08	—	829	
	Wheat	0.13-0.28	0.18ª	829	
Japan	Brown rice	—	0.19	395	
	Buckwheat (flour)	—	0.36 ^b	395	
Poland	Wheat	0.2-0.8	0.32	267	
	Oats	0.05-2.0	0.34	1045	
	Rye	0.06-1.3	0.34	1045	
	Mixed cereals	0.01–36	0.23	1514	
Sweden	Wheat	0.4-0.7	0.57	21	
U.S.	Wheat	0.42-1.0	0.64	906	
	Rice	<0.002-0.07	0.007 ^b	1187	
Russia	Oats	—	0.01°	735	
	Wheat	0.4–0.6	0.5	338	

T-1-1- 440	1		Different f	
Table 113	Lead Content of G	Sereal Grains fro	om Different (Jountries (ppm)

^a After PbNo₃ addition to soil.

^b FW basis.

^c After addition of 25 to 100 mg Pb per liter in sand culture.

	Grass	Grasses		Clovers	
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Canada	<1.2–3.6	1.8		_	237
Great Britain	1–9	2.1	1–3	1.3	369a, 874
Germany	2.4-7.8	3.3	3.3–4.7	4.2	576, 577
Finland	0.19-0.88	0.36	_	_	590
Poland	0.01–35	0.89	1.2-18.8	3.6	1045, 1514
Sweden	5–6	_	_	8.0	668
U.S	<0.8–5.6	1.6	<2–15	2.0a	118, 710
Former S.U.	0.3–10.8	4.6	_	—	1131

 Table 114
 Mean Levels and Ranges of Lead in Grasses and Legumes at Immature Growth from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Alfalfa, calculated from AW basis.

Of great environmental significance is the ability of plants of absorb Pb from two sources, soil and air, even although Pb is believed to be the metal of least bioavailability and the most highly accumulated metal in root tissues. Several plant species and genotypes are adapted to grow in high Pb concentrations in the growth media; this is reflected by anomalous amounts of this metal in the plants (Table 115). The highest bioaccumulation of Pb generally is reported for leafy vegetables (mainly lettuce) grown in surroundings of nonferrous metal smelters where plants are exposed to Pb sources of both soil and air. In these locations, highly contaminated lettuce may contain as much as 0.15% Pb (DW).⁶⁵⁸

A relatively minor effect on the Pb concentrations in plants has been reported for contamination of soil due to agricultural activities. However, the utilization of Pb-enriched sludges has not been practiced for a long period of time; therefore, it is too early to assess the general environmental impact of built-up Pb levels in soils due to repeated sludge application. Elevated Pb contents of vegetables grown in urban and industrial areas present a health risk to humans.

Site and Pollution		Mean or Range		
Source	Plant and Part	in Content	Country	Ref.
Mining, or mineralized area	Grass, tops Maple, stems	63–232 135ª	Great Britain U.S.	165, 658, 659 330
	Birch, twigs	277–570	Russia	417
Metal-processing industry	Blueberry, tops Blueberry, tops	150 32–294	Canada Poland	715 1050
	Lettuce, leaves	596–1506 ^b	Canada	658, 659
	Potato, tubers	350–425ª	Canada	848
	Grass, shoots	229–2714	Canada	410
	Chinese cabbage	45	Japan	403
	Lettuce, leaves	45-69	Poland	224
	Carrot, roots	27–57	Poland	224
	Sugar beet, leaves	33–165	Poland	1161
	Blueberry, leaves	141–874	U.S.	357
	Spinach, leaves	322	Zambia	573
	Mushrooms	<0.5–300	Finland	1069
Battery manufacturer	Tree foliage	34–459	Canada	480
	Grass, shoots	907–955	Chile	1139
Urban garden and urban vicinity	Spinach, leaves Potato, tubers	66 100–200ª	Zambia Canada	573 848
Roadside	Corn, leaves	56	Poland	157
	Corn, leaves	16–24 ^b	U.S.	594
	Grass, shoots	111–186	Germany	397
	Grass, young shoots	67–950	Sweden	669

Table 115 Exce	ssive Levels o	of Lead in	Plants Grown	n in Contaminate	d Sites	(ppm [)W)
----------------	----------------	------------	--------------	------------------	---------	--------	-----

^a AW basis.

^b Washed and unwashed, respectively.

VI. TITANIUM

A. Soils

Ti is a common constituent of rocks and commonly ranges in concentration from 0.03 to 1.4% (Table 108). In minerals, Ti occurs predominantly in the tetravalent oxidation state, mainly as a major component of oxides, titanates, or silicates. The dioxide of Ti occurs in nature in different modifications—rutile, anatase, and brookite, TiO_2 of various crystallographic coordinations. Relatively common are silicate minerals of composition similar to titanite CaTiO(SiO₄), and also other minerals like ilmenite, FeTiO₂, and perovskite, CaTiO₃.

Minerals of Ti are very resistant to weathering; therefore, they occur practically undecomposed in soils. When Ti-bearing silicates are dissolved, the element is soon transformed into Ti oxideaquate, which is transformed to anatase or rutile. Bain^{41a} reported that Ti in podzol developed on glacial drift is almost entirely in the form of cryptocrystalline anatase. Hutton,³³⁴ however, stated that Ti can enter into the structure of some layered silicates. Hiller et al.¹⁰²⁵ described Fe-Mn concretions of surface marsh soils containing up to 39% of Ti. Apparently, under reducing conditions, Fe²⁺ ions are adsorbed on surfaces of Ti minerals and, followed by the oxidation to Fe³⁺, may lead to the formation of pseudorutile.

Although Ti minerals are known to be the most stable minerals in the soil environment, no mineral is completely insoluble, and the Ti level reported in soil solutions is 0.03 mg $L^{-1,334}$ The solubility of Ti in soils is, however, very limited and this effects an increase in the absolute amounts

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Ireland	0.10-0.17	_	236
	Madagascar	_	0.60	557a
	New Zealand ^a	0.37-1.70	—	861
	Poland	0.02-0.24	0.17	382
	U.S.	0.02-1.00	0.28	706
	Russia	0.09-0.11	0.10	493
Loess and silty soils	New Zealand ^a	0.57-1.00	—	861
	Poland	0.11-0.67	0.32	382
	U.S.	0.05-1.00	0.41	706
	Russia	—	0.20	493
Loamy and clay soils	Madagascar	—	0.50	557a
	New Zealand ^a	0.54-2.40	—	861
	Poland	0.09-0.51	0.35	382
	U.S.	0.10-1.00	0.36	706
	Russia	—	0.14	493
Fluvisols	India	—	0.21	455
	Madagascar	—	0.60	557a
	Russia	—	0.11	493
Rendzinas	Australia	—	0.49	334
	India ^b	0.17-0.22	_	455
	Ireland	0.2-0.3	_	236
	Madagascar	—	0.94	557a
	Poland	0.04-0.8	0.47	382
	U.S.	0.07-1.00	0.26	706
Ferralsols	Madagascar	0.05-2.10	—	557a
	India	0.30-0.32	—	455
Solonchaks	Madagascar	0.50-1.00	—	557a
Chernozems	Russia	0.40-0.48	0.45	4
	U.S.	0.07-0.70	0.26	706
Histosols	India	0.60-0.67	0.64	455
	Poland	0.008-0.39	0.15	382
	U.S.	0.03-0.50	0.14	706
	Russia	—	0.011	493
Forest soils	Russia	—	0.57	4
	U.S.	0.15-0.50	0.36	706
Various soils	Great Britain	0.05-0.60	0.56	34, 818
	Madagascar	0.065-1.00	—	557a
	New Caledonia	0.20-0.50	—	39
	U.S.	0.05-1.00	0.30	706

Table 116	Titanium Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (%DW)

^a Soils derived from basalts and andesites.

^b Desert calcareous soils.

of Ti in the top horizon of soils after the loss of some clay-size layered silicates due to weathering. The total Ti (also Zr) contents of soil have been used in several studies of soil genesis and of the continuity of soil profiles.

The Ti content of surface soils generally ranges from 0.1 to 0.9% (mean, 0.35%) except for organic soils in the former Soviet Union (Table 116). Greater Ti contents are normally associated with highly weathered soils, tropical soils, and those derived from Ti-rich parent rocks. Light organic soils contain the smallest amounts of Ti. The Ti mean content calculated for worldwide soils is 0.33% and, although it is lower for podzols and histosols, does not differ much with the soil units (Table 9). Soil exposed to effluents or emissions from certain industries (Ti alloys, Ti paint production) may become contaminated by Ti; however, this element does not create any environmental problems.

		FW Basis	DW Basis	AW Basis
Plant	Tissue Sample	(574)	(197, 267, 705)	(145, 705)
Wheat	Grain	_	0.9	_
Corn	Grain	—	2.0	<5–20
Asparagus	Stem	—	_	180
Snap bean	Pods	_	3.2	45
Bean	Pods	<0.2	—	—
Lettuce	Leaves	<0.3	—	—
Cabbage	Leaves	<0.7	_	_
Carrot	Roots	<0.5	—	<5
Potato	Tubers	—	—	18
Onion	Bulbs	—	1.6	37
Cucumber	Fruit	<0.5	—	19
Apple	Fruit	< 0.004	0.18	10
Orange	Fruit	<0.1	0.15	4
Food plants	Edible parts	_	0.2–80	_

Table 117 Mean Titanium Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

B. Plants

No clear evidence of a biochemical role of Ti has appeared, although Chapman¹³¹ and Shkolnik⁷¹⁸ described its possible catalytic function in N fixation by symbiotic microorganisms and in photooxidation of N compounds by higher plants, as well as in some processes of photosynthesis. Pais et al.⁵⁹⁷ observed an increase of chlorophyll in tomato plants grown in culture solution after spraying with a Ti-chelate solution. Pais and Jones¹⁴⁴⁸ also reviewed the biological importance of Ti and reported that Ti-chelates (mainly ascorbates) have a beneficial impact on carbohydrate biosynthesis and possibly on photosynthesis and other metabolic processes resulting in the increased production of biomass. As Simon et al.¹⁴⁹⁵ reported, Ti addition, as titanium ascorbate, to the water culture stimulates the activity of fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase and nitrate reductase enzymes, and inhibits the action of phosphorofructokinase in tomato and soybean plants. Ti addition is also reported to stimulate the growth of rhizobium bacteria strains.¹⁴⁹⁴ Although little attention has been given to Ti absorption by plants, this element is considered to be relatively unavailable to plants and not readily mobile in them. Ti is still far from being accepted as an essential element to plants,¹¹⁸⁴ but there are some reports of its beneficial effects on yield of several crops.^{1113a}

Levels of Ti in plants vary rather considerably within the range of 0.15 to 80 ppm (DW) (Table 117). Some weeds, especially horsetail and nettle, are known to accumulate much more Ti, and diatoms are reported to contain Ti concentrations ranging from 15 to 1500 ppm (DW).⁸⁵⁵ Only one report, Wallace et al.,⁸⁴¹ described Ti toxicity symptoms, and this report cites the chlorotic and necrotic spots that were observed on leaves of bush bean that contained Ti at a concentration of about 200 ppm (DW).

VII. ZIRCONIUM

A. Soils

The crustal abundance of Zr generally varies from 20 to 500 ppm, being lowest in ultramafic rocks and calcareous sediments (Table 108). The predominant stable valency of Zr is +4, and the prevailing reaction is bonding with oxygen. Zr reveals oxyphilic and lithophilic tendencies; its widely distributed minerals (e.g., zircon, $ZrSiO_4$, baddeleyite, ZrO_2) are highly resistant to weathering; therefore, Zr is considered to be only slightly mobile in soils. However, organic acids seem

to be the transporting agents for the migration of Zr in soils. Smith and Carson⁷⁴¹ reported that small dissolutions of Zr have been noted in both acidic podzolic soils and in alkaline laterites.

Like, Ti, Zr has been used as an index element in soil studies. Hutton³³⁴ extensively reviewed this topic and concluded that reliable results for soil genesis are obtained when Ti to Zr ratios are considered.

The Zr content of soils generally is inherited from parent rocks; therefore, no significant variation in the Zr content is observed among the soil types. However, lower amounts of Zr are in soils on glacial drift (70 to 200; mean, 140 ppm) and higher amounts are in residual soils derived from Zr-rich rocks (70 to 200; mean, 305 ppm). The average Zr content calculated for various soils of the U.S. is 224 ppm, while Hutton³³⁴ gave the average of about 350 ppm Zr for Australian soils. Wells⁸⁶¹ found Zr in soils derived from basalts and andesites to range from 330 to 850 ppm, whereas Lukashev and Pietukhova⁴⁹³ gave the range in Zr for sandy soils as 90 to 160 ppm and for peat soil as little as 32 ppm. Waganov and Nizharadze¹¹⁸¹ reported the mean Zr content of deep loess deposit in European Russia to be 470 ppm, and Protasova and Kopayeva¹¹²³ found Zr in Russian chernozems to range from 219 to 500 ppm (mean, 281 ppm), and in soils from the U.S. from 184 to 760 ppm (mean, 279 ppm). Chattopadhyay and Jervis¹³² reported the range of Zr in garden soils to be 200 to 278 ppm. Smith and Carson⁷⁴¹ reviewed the current and potential uses of Zr in industry and concluded that the only potentially harmful effect on the soil environment would be from production-waste streams containing soluble Zr salts.

B. Plants

Although most soils contain significant amounts of Zr, its availability to plants, presumably in anionic form, $Zr(OH)_n^{4-n}$, is greatly limited. The Zr content seems to be higher in roots, especially in nodules and roots of legumes, than in tops, which apparently indicates a low mobility of this metal in plants.²⁸³

Smith and Carson⁷⁴¹ reviewed the history of studies of stable Zr and ⁹⁵Zr in plants and showed that concentration factors for Zr derived from soil are low in plants, while those derived from rainwater are much higher for both soil-rooted plants and epiphytes. This explains the relatively high content of Zr in Norwegian moss (*Hylocomium splendens*), ranging from 0.06 to 12 ppm (mean, 0.35 ppm).¹²²³ Aquatic plants are also likely to rapidly take up soluble species of Zr.

There are not many data available on the Zr status of plants. The Zr levels in food plants vary from 0.005 to 2.6 ppm (DW) and do not show any trend in their distribution within plant tissues (Table 118). Some herbage, especially legumes, as well as shrubs and mosses are likely to concentrate

	(Ph.)							
Plant	Tissue Sample	FW Basis (574, 741)	DW Basis (197, 705, 741)	AW Basis (705, 741)				
Cereal	Grains	0.08–10	0.02–1	8–1033				
Corn	Grains		—	<20				
Bean	Pods	<0.13	2.6	_				
Lettuce	Leaves	0.41-0.62	0.56	4				
Cabbage	Leaves	<0.4	_	<20				
Carrot	Roots	<0.32	_	<20				
Potato	Tubers		0.5	12				
Onion	Bulbs	0.45-0.84	—	<20				
Tomato	Fruits	0–1.79	_	<20				
Apple	Fruits	0.31	—	<20				
Orange	Fruits	0.05	—	<20				
Grape	Raisins		1.5	_				
Peanuts	Seed		2.3	_				
Food plants	Edible parts	_	0.005–0.2	—				

Table 118 Zirconium Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

more Zr than are other plants, and thus the highest Zr level reported for leaves and stems of deciduous trees is as much as 500 ppm (AW). However, as Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ reported, Zr was detected only in about 30% of the plant species analyzed. Bowen⁹⁴ gave the range in Zr concentrations in lichens and bryophytes as 10 to 20 ppm (DW). It should be noted that due to the low reliability of analytical results, the data presented may not be adequate for a realistic estimate of the typical Zr concentration in plants.

Although there is not much agreement among the reported values for Zr in plants, there is, apparently, no bioaccumulation of this metal in food plants. However, the ready uptake of atmospheric ⁹⁵Zr by terrestrial plants due to deposition of fallout particulates is reported to contribute a great proportion of this radionuclide in the Japanese diet (Yamagata and Iwashima, cited in Smith and Carson⁷⁴¹).

Although toxic effects of Zr on plants, especially on root growth, are commonly reported, its stimulating effect on the growth of yeasts and on metabolism of other microorganisms has also been observed.⁷⁴¹ Davis et al.¹⁷¹ reported Zr to be the least toxic element, among the heavy metals, to barley seedlings. Pais and Jones¹⁴⁴⁸ described that Zr treatment enhances protein synthesis and changes the amino acid composition of the proteins of some microfungi, but also reduces the phyto-availability of phosphates to phytoplanktons.

VIII. HAFNIUM

Crystalochemical properties of Hf are similar to those of Zr; however, its terrestrial abundance is much lower. The common concentration of Hf in rocks ranges from 0.1 to 10 ppm (Table 108).

The Hf content of soils is reported to range from 1.8 to 18.7 ppm in Bulgarian soils,⁵⁵⁸ from 1.8 to 10 ppm in Canadian soils,⁴⁰⁹ and to average 5 pm in standard soils of Great Britain.⁸¹⁸ The Hf content of deep loess in European Russia averages 20.8 ppm.¹¹⁸¹ Market and Lieth¹⁰⁸⁶ found Hf in peat bogs in Germany and Sweden to range within the orders of magnitude from 0.0X to 0.X ppm. Reference soils from China contain Hf from 7 to 14 ppm (mean, 7.9 ppm), and in soils of the U.S., from 2.5 to 17 ppm (mean, 7.3 ppm).¹³¹³

As reported by Furr et al.,²⁵⁰ Hf is taken up by plants grown on soil amended with sewage sludges which contain, on the average, about 3 ppm Hf. The common range of Hf in plants was given as 0.01 to 0.4 ppm (DW).³⁸¹ Lieth and Markert¹⁰⁶³ reported Hf content of blueberry tops to be below 1 ppm (DW), and Oakes et al.⁵⁷⁴ reported Hf in food plants to range from 0.6 to 1.1 ppb (FW), but it was not always detectable.

CHAPTER 10

Elements of Group V

I. INTRODUCTION

Geochemical characteristics of the trace elements of Group V are widely diverging. The variation in the electrical charge and in the valence states are especially common features of these elements. The metalloids As and Sb and the metal Bi of Group Va (new group 15) are highly chalcophilic. While As follows P in biogeochemical behavior, Sb rather resembles Bi.

Of the Group Vb (new group 5) elements, V is the least basic and may form both cationic and anionic compounds. Two other elements, Nb and Ta, are rare metals and resemble each other in biogeochemical properties. All metals of this group reveal an affinity for oxygen bonds.

II. ARSENIC

A. Soils

Arsenic is distributed rather uniformly in major types of rocks and its common concentrations in most rocks range from 0.5 to 2.5 ppm (Table 119). Only in argillaceous sediments is As, on the average, concentrated as high as 13 ppm. As is a ubiquitous element in the upper lithosphere.

As has a great affinity to form or to occur in many minerals, and of over 200 As-containing minerals, approximately 60% are arsenates. Arsenite compounds are not common in hypergenic environments. This element is highly associated with deposits of many metals and therefore is known as a good indicator in geochemical prospecting surveys. It is also frequently found combined with S, Se, and Te. Numerous oxide minerals of As are a result of the oxidation of sulfide deposits. These are arsenates and arsenites in which As is combined with some metal (e.g., Fe, Pb, and Cu). The most common As mineral, however, is a sulfide—arsenopyrite (FeAsS).

Although As minerals and compounds are readily soluble, As migration is greatly limited due to the strong sorption by clays, hydroxides, and organic matter. The As enrichment in argillaceous sediments as well as in surface soils, as compared to concentrations in igneous rocks, apparently reflects also some external As sources, such as volcanic exhalations and pollution. Soil As is present mainly in the heavy mineral fraction of soil (up to X00 ppm), but the contribution to total As is very small, commonly about 1%. A much higher proportion of total As (27 to 90%) in soil is associated with the clay granulometric fraction $<0.001 \text{ mm.}^{1427}$

The oxidation states of As are -3, 0, +3, and +5, of which As⁰ and As³⁺ are characteristic of reducing environments. The complex anions AsO₂⁻, AsO₄³⁻, HAsO₄²⁻, and H₂AsO₃⁻ are the most common mobile forms of As, being sorbed at the pH range from 7 to 9. The behavior of arsenate (AsO₄³⁻) resembles that of phosphates and vanadates. Crecelius et al.⁹⁷¹ studied the

(PP) (12.000 001	,	,		,		
Rock Type	As	Sb	Bi	V	Nb	Та
	Ma	gmatic Roc	ks			
Ultramafic rocks Dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites	0.5–1.0	0.1	0.001-0.02	40–100	1–15	0.02–1.0
Mafic rocks Basalts, gabbros	0.6–2.0	0.2–1.0	0.01–0.15	200–250	10–20	0.5–1.0
Intermediate rocks Diorites, syenites	1.0–2.5	0.X	0.01–0.10	30–100	20–35	0.7–2.1
Acid rocks Granites, gneisses	1.0–2.6	0.2	0.01–0.12	40–90	15–25	2.0–4.0
Acid rocks (volcanic) Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	1.5–2.5	0.2	0.01–0.12	70	20–60	3
	Sedi	mentary Ro	ocks			
Argillaceous sediments	13	1.2–2.0	0.05-0.40	80–130	15–20	0.8–1.5
Shales	5–13	0.8–1.5	0.05-0.50	100–130	15–20	1–2
Sandstones	1.0–1.2	0.05	0.10-0.20	10–60	0.05	0.05
Limestones, dolomites	1.0–2.4	0.3	0.10-0.20	10–45	0.05	0.05

Table 119 Arsenic, Antimony, Bismuth, Vanadium, Niobium, and Tantalum in Major Rock Types (ppm) (values commonly found, based on various sources)

behavior of As and found that under most environmental conditions As^{5+} is present as the $H_2AsO_4^-$ species, while As^{3+} as the $H_3AsO_3^0$ species is only dominant in low pH and low Eh environments.

The reactions of As in soils are highly governed by its oxidized state. Under the ranges of Eh and pH in soils, the As +5 and +3 oxidation states predominate. However, arsenate ions are known to be readily fixed by such soil components as clays, phosphatic gels, humus, and calcium, and the most active in As retention are hydrated Fe and Al oxides. Hydroxy-Al on the external surfaces of micaceous minerals is reported to be especially significant in the retention of As.³²⁹ The strong association of As with Fe (mainly goethite) in soils for both natural and added As has been reported by Norrish.⁵⁷⁰

El-Bassam et al.²⁰⁴ reported that strongly adsorbed As in soil is unlikely to be desorbed again and that generally the retention of As by soil progressed with the years. However, As combined with Fe and Al oxides may be liberated upon hydrolysis with the reduction of soil potential. Little is known about the As compounds in soil, but their formation is good evidence that arsenate in soils behaves like phosphate, and in acid soils Fe or Al arsenates are likely to be most common.^{570,887} Elkhabit et al.⁹⁸⁶ stated that the oxides and Eh are the main soil parameters controlling the arsenite sorption rate and that the pH of the system influences the concentration of the As species being absorbed at the surface of the oxides. Also, Dudas⁹⁸⁴ observed that As is associated with both crystalline and amorphous forms of Fe oxides and that vein and spot soil samples enriched in Fe contained as much as 450 ppm As.

Several strains of bacteria accelerate the oxidation of arsenites to arsenates and are also involved in methylation and alkylation of As. Under anaerobic conditions, As compounds can be reduced, mainly under microbiological processes, to volatile but also easily oxidized trivalent methylated arsenicals. Easily mobile As is leached down to ground waters, and creates a great health risk when used as a source of drinking water. A disastrous calamity of people suffering from As intoxication due to arsenic contaminated water is well known in West Bengal, India.¹⁴⁷⁵ Thus, microbiota may highly govern the processes of As migration, precipitation, and volatilization as cited by Boyle and Jonasson,⁹⁸ Jernelöv,³⁵⁹ and Weinberg.⁸⁵⁶ Dudas⁹⁸⁴ reported that microbiologically mediated losses of As had depleted topsoils in this element. Methylation of As conducted by certain yeasts under oxic conditions, and by methanogenic bacteria under anoxic conditions, plays significant roles in the release of volatile As from the soil to the atmosphere. Thus, the biological cycles of As govern its fluxes among the environmental compartments. The biotransformation of organic arsenical pesticides recently has received much attention because their inorganic derivatives may be quite toxic.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Canada	1.1–28.9	5.8	243
-	Great Britain	5.1–6.8	_	819
	Japan	1.2-6.8	4.0	395
	Korea	2.4-6.8	4.6	395
	Thailand	_	2.4	395
	U.S.	<0.1–30	5.1	707
Loamy and clay soils	Canada	1.3–16.7	4.8	243, 629
	Thailand	7.2–18.4	12.8	395
	U.S.	1.7–27	7.7	707
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	3.4	_	612
	Great Britain	20–30	25	786
Soils on mafic rocks	Great Britain	5.0-8.2	_	819
Chernozems	Bulgaria	8.2-11.2	8.2	612
	U.S.	1.9–23	8.8	707
Histosols	Canada	1.8–66.5	13.6	98, 243
	U.S.	<0.1–48	5	707
Forest soils	Norway	0.6-5.0	2.2	442
	U.S.	<0.1–93	7	707
Various soils	Bulgaria	2–10.4	5.6	612
	Canada	<1–30	5.8	98, 409, 480, 629
	Great Britain	4–95	16.3	786, 818
	Italy	4–197ª	41	946
	Japan	0.4–70	11	395
	Norway	0.7-8.8	2.5	754
	Taiwan	_	7.9	472
	U.S.	<1–93	7	707
	Former S.U. ^b	5–30	_	656
	Former S.U.°	0.07-0.35	0.2	1131

Table 120 Arsenic Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Maximum values include contaminated soils.

^b Biogeochemical province in Uzbekistan.

° Kazakhstan.

The background As levels in topsoils are generally low, although they exceed those in rocks several times. The range in As in soils of the U.S. is broad, from <0.1 to 93 ppm; and similarly in uncontaminated soils of the other countries, the As content ranges from <1 to 95 ppm (Table 120). The grand mean of soil As is calculated to be the lowest 4.4 ppm for podzols and the highest 9.3 ppm for histosols (Table 9). The geometric mean for As in surficial materials of the U.S. is reported to be 5.8 ppm.⁷⁰⁷ The range for As in soils of Poland is 0.9–3.4 ppm, with the highest in soils derived from shales.¹³⁵⁷ Over 650 ppm As is in polluted soils of some industrial area as reported also for Poland.¹³⁹⁰ Chernozems of western Siberia have a content of As from 18 to 32 ppm, of which up to 90% is strongly fixed.¹³⁴¹

The lowest As levels are found in sandy soils and, in particular, in those derived from granites, whereas higher As concentrations are related most often to alluvial soils and soils rich in organic matter. Acid sulfate soils are also reported to accumulate a high proportion of native As—up to 30 to 50 ppm in lower horizons.⁹⁸⁴ Due to common As pollution, the levels of this element are likely to be increased in topsoils; therefore, the As ranges reported as background values are also given for contaminated soils.

The As content of certain contaminated soils has already been built up to as high as 0.2% (Table 121). Significant anthropogenic sources of As are related to industrial activities (metal processing, chemical works based on S and P minerals, coal combustion, and geothermal power plants) and to the use of arsenical sprays, particularly in orchards. Heavily As-polluted garden soils (up to 200 ppm As) are reported to be located in the vicinity of a former As smelter.¹⁵²⁸ Thornton and Farago¹⁵¹⁶

Maximum or Range							
Site and Pollution Source	of Content	Country	Ref.				
Mineralized bedrock	727	Great Britain	915				
Nonferric metal mining	90–900	Great Britain	144				
Metal-processing industry	130ª	Czech Republic	1083				
	33–2000	Canada	480, 777				
	2500	Great Britain	959				
	38–2470	Japan	336, 767				
	69 ^b	Hungary	328				
	72–340°	Norway	447				
	150-2000	Poland	1173				
	10–380	U.S.	153, 538				
Chemical works	10-2000	Hungary	328				
Gardens and orchards	892	Great Britain	1190				
	38–118	Poland	1173, 1526				
Application of arsenical pesticides	10–290	Canada	98, 585				
	21–82	Great Britain	959				
	38–400	Japan	303				
	31–625	U.S.	208, 279, 887				
Application of waste lime	570	Poland	1173				
Coal combustion	800-1500	Slovak Republic	1221				
Leather industry	105–220 ^d	Germany	1307				

Table 121 Arsenic Contamination of Surface Soils (ppm DW)

^a Data calculated from the Figure.¹⁰⁸³

^b EDTA soluble.

° HNO₃ soluble.

^d In deeper soil layers.

reviewed soil As distribution in garden soils, and reported As contents up to about 15,000 ppm. However, only a limited fraction of the total amount of As is easily mobile, and over 80% is strongly associated with Fe and Al and is not available for plants.

Chilvers and Peterson⁹⁶⁶ calculated that at least 60% of the atmospheric global inputs of As are derived from natural sources, even when a low rate of volatilization of the soil As (0.126% per year) is present. As pollution is reported most frequently for Japanese soils. Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ have reviewed several studies on As behavior in contaminated soils and have shown that paddy soils accumulated most often considerable amounts of As and that this fact is due to the high sorption capacity of these soils and also to the As transportation by irrigation water. As compounds from previous use of As pesticides stored in bottom sediments have now become activated by P anions added with fertilizers to rivers. Since As behavior is dependent on the soil oxidation state, this element varies in its vertical distribution. In heavy gley soil, As is accumulated only in the top horizon and is readily leached from the subsurface layer with a high reduction potential. The As pattern in light well-drained soils is almost the opposite (Figure 76). Also in uncontaminated soils, the patterns of As profile distribution may diverge significantly.

The mobility of As in soil was shown to be proportional to the As added and inversely proportional to time and to Fe and Al contents. The toxicity of As depends on the concentration of soluble As; therefore, sodium arsenate and arsenic trioxide, formerly used as herbicides, are the most toxic. However, plant growth response may also be related to total soil As (Figure 77). Phytotoxicity of As is highly dependent on soil properties; and while in heavy soil about 90% growth reduction appears at 1000 ppm As addition, in light soil 100 ppm As is equally toxic.⁸⁸⁷ The maximum allowable limit of As in paddy soils is proposed as 15 ppm.³⁹⁵

The toxicity of As in soils may be overcome in several ways, depending on As pollution sources and on soil properties. Increasing the oxidation state of flooded (paddy) soils limits As



Figure 77 Corn growth response to As added to two soils. (a) Light soil with low organic matter content and with kaolinitic clay predominating; (b) heavy soil with high organic matter content and with vermiculitic clay predominating.⁸⁸⁷

bioavailability.³⁹⁵ Xie and Huang¹⁵⁵⁷ reported that without adding any material to As-polluted paddy soils, wetting and drying greatly increased the soil redox potential and therefore lowered solubility, and the phytoavailability of As to rice plants. Application of materials that produce precipitates with As in soil (e.g., ferrous sulfate, calcium carbonate) is reported to be effective when added to soils having less than 10 ppm of soluble As (in 0.05 *N* HCl).³⁰³ Also, fertilizing, mainly the application of phosphate, decreases As bioavailability. However, the literature on phosphate retention of As in soils is confusing, for phosphate could also displace adsorbed or fixed As from sorbing complexes and thereby initially increase the amount of soluble As in soils. As Peryea¹⁴⁵⁴ found, a popular fertilizer (monoammonium phosphate, MAP) used on soils contaminated with Pb-As pesticide residues can enhance As solubility, and thus increase As phytoavailability. The alleviating effect of S application on As toxicity has also been reported. Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ have reviewed this topic and presented several results that require further study.



Figure 78 Concentration of As in plants as a function of total soil As. (a) Lettuce; (b) pasture herbage; (c) barley grains.⁷⁸³

B. Plants

As is a constituent of most plants, but little is known about its biochemical role. Several reports on the linear relationship between As content of vegetation and concentrations in soil of both total and soluble As suggest that plants take up As passively with the water flow. Some plants, particularly Douglas fir, show a remarkable ability to take up As far more than many of the associated plants. Such plants are useful guides to the recognition of subsurface mineralization with certain metals.^{624,851}

Thoresby and Thornton⁷⁸³ described the ready uptake of As by various plant species (Figure 78). Apparently, As is translocated in plants since its concentration in grain has also been reported. With increasing soil As, however, the highest As concentrations were always recorded in old leaves and in roots, but at a low content of As a higher accumulation in leaves than in roots has also been reported by Tlustos et al.¹⁵¹⁹ According to these authors, the uptake of As by radish depends on the As form and follows the order: $As_{org} >> As^{5+} > As^{3+}$.

Concentrations of As in plants grown on uncontaminated soils vary from 0.009 to 1.5 ppm DW, with leafy vegetables being in the upper range, and fruits in the lower range (Table 122). Grasses from a semiarid climatic zone (Kazakhstan, Russia) contain an elevated amount of As, from 1.1 to 5.4 ppm (DW).¹¹³¹ Mushrooms are found to be relatively high As accumulators. Siekovec and Irgolic¹⁴⁹⁰ determined As in 83 species of wild-growing mushrooms. The lowest concentration was in *Ramaria botrytis* (10 ppm) and the highest in *Thelephora terrestris* (38 ppm).

Several plant species are known to tolerate a high level of As in tissues. As toxicity has commonly been noted in plants growing on mine waste, on soils treated with arsenical pesticides, and on soils with As added by sewage sludge treatment. The symptoms of As toxicity are variously described as leaf wilting, violet coloration (increased anthocyanin), root discoloration, and cell plasmolysis. The most common symptom, however, is growth reduction. Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ reported that rice grown on apple orchard soil containing 77 ppm As produced almost no yield the first year. The toxic effect of As was partly reduced after 3 years of cultivation without any special treatment, but an application of S greatly limited the phytotoxicity of As.

Wallace et al.⁸⁴⁵ have shown that bush bean plants grown in solution culture with 10⁻⁴ M arsenate accumulated approximately 4, 19, and 42 ppm As, respectively, in leaves, stems, and roots,

Plant	Tissue Sample	FW Basis	DW Basis
Barley	Grains		3–18
Oats	Grains	_	10
Wheat	Grains	_	50, 3–10
Brown rice	Grains	_	110–200
Sweet corn	Grains	25	30-400,30
Snap beans	Pods	0.74–<6.7	7–100
Cabbage	Leaves	1.2-<16.0	20–50
Spinach	Leaves	_	200-1500
Lettuce	Leaves	<5.3	20–250
Carrot	Roots	4.8–<13.0	40-80
Onion	Bulbs	4.5	50-200
Potato	Tubers	_	30–200
Tomato	Fruits	0.46	9–120
Apple	Fruits	<0.21	50-200
Orange	Fruits	1.4–<5.2	11–50
Edible mushroom	Whole	_	280
Clover	Tops	_	20–160
Grass	Tops	_	280–330

Table 122 Arsenic Content of Food and Forage Plants (ppb)^{381,395,414,446,462,624,705}

Table 123 Excessive Levels of Arsenic in Plants Grown in Contaminated Sites (ppm)

		Mean or Range		
Site and Pollution Source	Plant and Part	of Content	Country	Ref.
Mining or mineralized area	Douglas fir, stems	140-8200ª	Canada	851
	Grass, tops	460-6640	Great Britain	624
Metal-processing industry	Grass	0.5-62	Canada	777
	Tree foliage	27–2740 ^b	Canada	480
	Rice, leaves	7–18	Japan	395
	Hay	0.3–2.6	Norway	441
Battery manufacturer	Tree foliage	16–387⁵	Canada	480
Sludged or irrigated field	Brown rice	1.2 (max.)	Japan	336
Application of arsenical pesticides	Turnip, roots	1.08	Canada	138
	Potato, tuber peels	1.10	Canada	138
	Carrot, roots	0.26	Canada	138

^a AW basis.

^b Washed leaves.

causing considerable damage. Decreased Mn, P, and Ca concentrations in all plant parts, and K in roots, were reported.

Gough et al.²⁷⁹ reviewed recent findings on As phytotoxicity and reported that the As content of injured leaves of fruit trees ranged from 2.1 to 8.2 ppm DW. In general, the residue tolerance for As in plants is established as 2 ppm DW (Table 36). However, the critical value in rice plants is as high as 100 ppm DW in tops and 1000 ppm DW in roots.³⁹⁵ Davis et al.¹⁷¹ gave the critical value of 20 ppm DW for barley seedlings, whereas Macnicol and Beckett¹⁰⁸¹ estimated the commonly reported critical upper levels for 10% depression of the yield of various plants to range from 1 to 20 ppm (DW).

Although there are some reports of the stimulating effects of As on the activity of soil microorganisms, As is known as a metabolic inhibitor; therefore, yield reduction of vegetation under a high level of bioavailable As should be expected. Apparently, As is less toxic when the plant is well supplied with P. Se seems to inhibit the As toxicity to mitochondria of the rice cells.⁹¹⁹

Depending on the location and pollution source, plants may accumulate extremely large amounts of As—above 6000 ppm DW and above 8000 ppm AW (Table 123). Although As poisoning from

plants to animals is believed to be very uncommon, unfavorable health effects of such a high As concentration in vegetables and in forage plants cannot be precluded.

Recent findings reported by McSheehy and Szpunar^{1411a} indicate that some sea plants are likely to concentrate a great proportion of As from water. Predominant As species in sea plants, the so-called "arsenosugars," are easily converted in the human body to dimethylarsinic acid, which has carcinogenic potential.

III. ANTIMONY

A. Soils

The crustal abundance of Sb is low and, with the exception of argillaceous sediments which contain up to 2 ppm Sb, does not exceed 1 ppm (Table 119). The geochemical characteristics of Sb are closely related to those of As and, in part, to those of Bi. Sb usually occurs with the valence of +3 and occasionally of +5 and shows amphoteric behavior. It has chalcophilic properties and is often associated with ore deposits of sulfide of other metals. Sb may substitute for arsenic in several minerals to form such minerals as pyrargyrite (Ag₂SbS₃) and bourmonite (PbCuSbS₃).

The reactions of Sb during weathering are not yet well-known. However, the common occurrence of Sb in waters, its concentrations in coals, and carbonaceous shales, and its association with Fe and Mn hydroxides indicate a relatively high mobility in the environment. Sb is known to occur in soluble forms, some of which are complexed with humates. Sb is easily adsorbed and thus becomes enriched in clay sediments and in hydrous oxides. Isotopic study has shown that ¹²⁵Sb applied to soil moves readily down the profile.^{1042a} In surface soil, Sb ranges from 0.05 to 4.0 ppm (Table 124) and, if the above results are representative, Sb is concentrated in soils as compared to rocks. Jones et al.^{1042a} reviewed recent data on Sb in soils of various countries and gave the overall range from 0.3 to 9.5 ppm. The average for soil Sb is given by Wedepohl⁸⁵⁵ as 1 ppm, and the grand mean calculated from the data presented in Table 124 is 0.9 ppm. The 90 th percentile for total Sb in Finnish soils is 0.6 ppm, at ranges within <0.2 to 0.9 ppm.¹³⁶⁸ Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported recent results for Sb in reference soils from China, to range from 0.5 to 60.0 ppm (mean, 13.6 ppm), and in soils from the U.S. to range from 0.11 to 14.0 ppm (mean, 2.4).

Like As, Sb may be associated with nonferrous ore deposits and is likely to be a pollutant in industrial environments. Thus, the increased level of Sb to about 200 ppm was found in soils near a Cu smelter,¹⁵³ and elevated air concentrations of Sb have been reported for different smelter operations and urban areas.²¹⁰ Soils from battery reclamation sites are reported to contain up to

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Canada	0.05-1.33	0.19	244
	Great Britain	0.34-0.44	_	819
Loamy and clay soils	Canada	0.05-2.0	0.76	244, 409, 629
Soils on mafic rocks	Great Britain	0.29-0.62	_	819
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	_	0.82	558
Chernozems	Bulgaria	_	0.99	558
Histosols	Canada	0.08-0.61	0.28	244
Forest soils	Bulgaria	1.25-2.32	1.77	558
Various soils	Nigeria	1–2ª	_	855
	Canada	0.29–4	1.67	132, 409, 629
	Great Britain	0.56-1.3	0.81	818, 819
	Norway	0.17–2.2	0.61	754
	U.S.	0.25-0.6	—	462

Table 124 Antimony Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Possible influence of Pb-Zn mineralization.

857 ppm Sb,¹¹⁶⁶ whereas forest soils surrounding a metal smelter in the Czech Republic accumulated in A_0 horizon up to 11 ppm Sb.¹⁰⁸³ The trend of variation in the Sb content of surface soil in Norway clearly indicates pollution by long-range transport.⁷⁵⁴

B. Plants

Sb is considered a nonessential metal and is known to be easily taken up by plants if present in soluble forms. Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ found the Sb content of trees and shrubs growing in mineralized areas to range from 7 to 50 ppm DW, whereas Bowen⁹⁴ reported an Sb mean of 0.06 ppm DW in land plants. Oakes et al.⁵⁷⁴ gave the range of Sb concentrations in edible plants as 0.02 to 4.3 ppb FW, with cabbage being in the upper range and apple fruits in the lower

range. Laus et al.⁴⁶² reported Sb to be <2 ppb DW in corn grains and potato tubers and 29 ppb in grass. Ozoliniya and Kiunke⁵⁸⁸ showed that the Sb content of barley and flax roots was, respectively, 122 and 167 ppb DW and exceeded that of leaves having 10 and 27 ppb DW. The same plants grown in peat soil contained several times less Sb in roots, while the concentration in leaves was about the same as that given above. Sb concentrations in mosses from the Scandinavian countries, ranging from 170 to 320 ppb (DW), do not reflect any significant effect of aerial pollution.¹¹³⁵ Norwegian mosses (Table 4) analyzed in 1995 contained Sb within the range 8.3 to 4300 ppb (average, 150 ppb).¹²²³ There are no reports of plant toxicity caused by Sb; however, Sb levels may be expected to increase in plants growing in soils contaminated by industrial emissions or sewage sludge applications.

IV. BISMUTH

Bi is considered a rare metal in the Earth's crust (around 0.2 ppm) and is usually found in veins associated with some metals (e.g., Ag, Co, Pb, Zn). Its higher concentrations in argillaceous sediments do not exceed 0.5 ppm (Table 119). However, Bi accumulation in coals and in graphite shales to about 5 ppm has been reported.

Bi reveals chalcophilic properties, but during weathering is readily oxidized, and when it becomes carbonated (e.g., $Bi_2O_2CO_3$) it is very stable. Therefore, the Bi content of most surface soils is directly inherited from parent rocks. There is a dearth of information on the Bi abundance in the upper lithosphere; the little data available give an average figure of 0.2 ppm.

There is a paucity of information on the Bi content of soils. Ure et al.⁸¹⁹ reported the mean Bi content of arable Scottish soils derived from different rocks to be 0.25 ppm (range, 0.13 to 0.42). Chattopadhyay and Jervis¹³² reported the range of Bi in garden soils of Canada to be from 1.33 to 1.52 ppm. Bowen⁹⁴ gave the approximate mean of soil Bi as 0.2 ppm. Aubert and Pinta³⁹ reported Bi in ferralitic calcareous soils of Madagascar to be 10 ppm. An increase of Bi in soil horizons rich in Fe oxides and organic matter should be expected. Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported the Bi contents in reference soils of China to range from 0.04 to 1.2 ppm and in reference soils of the U.S. within the range 0.03 to 0.69.

The Bi content of plants has not been studied extensively. Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ reported that Bi was found only in about 15% of a number of samples of Rocky Mountain trees and that the Bi range was from 1 to 15 ppm AW. Bowen⁹⁴ gave the mean Bi as <0.02 ppm DW in land plants and 0.06 ppm DW in the edible parts of vegetables. Erämetsä et al.²¹⁶ found a range of Bi in *Lycopodium* sp. from <1 to 11 ppm DW, with about 60% detectability. Bi is likely to be concentrated at polluted sites due to its high concentration in some coals and sewage sludges. Berg and Steinnes¹²²³ found Bi in Norwegian mosses collected in 1995 to range from 1 to 800 ppb (average, 33 ppb).

V. VANADIUM

A. Soils

The general abundance pattern of V in common rocks compiled in Table 119 shows that although there is a large variation in the content of rocks, this metal is concentrated mainly in mafic rocks and in shales (within the common range of 100 to 250 ppm). The geochemical characteristics of V are strongly dependent on its oxidation state (+2, +3, +4, and +5) and on the acidity of the media. V is known to form various complexes of cationic and anionic oxides and hydroxy oxides,²⁵⁶ therefore, V displays various behaviors. It usually does not form its own minerals, but rather replaces other metals (Fe, Ti, and Al) in crystal structures. When cations of Pb, Zn, and Cu are present, the precipitation of vanadates can occur: for example, vanadinite (Pb₂Cl(VO₄)₃) and mottramite (Pb (Cu, Zn) (OH) (VO₄)).

During weathering, the mobility of V is dependent on the host minerals, and finally V remains in the residual rock-forming minerals or is adsorbed or incorporated in mineral structures of clays or Fe oxides. A high degree of association of V with Mn and with the K content of soil has also been reported by Norrish.⁵⁷⁰ V tends to be associated with organic matter, and therefore its elevated concentration in organic shales and bioliths is common. An especially great range of V in some coals and crude oil has often been observed. V concentration in crude oils is reported to vary from 150 to 900 ppm, being the highest in Venezuelan oil. Wedepohl⁸⁵⁵ explained these phenomena, following the assumption of Bertrand, by postulating that in former geologic periods there might have existed some plants with much higher V content than presently found in normal plants. Yen⁸⁹⁴ described high concentrations of V in organic sediments as the results of V³⁺ sorption by lipids and cholines, the basic compounds in further formation of porphyrins. A possible diadochous substitution of Mg²⁺ by vanadyl ions VO²⁺ is another explanation for the high amounts of V in some porphyrins.¹¹²⁰

The behavior of V in soil has received little attention. Norrish⁵⁷⁰ reported that Fe oxides hold a reasonable fraction of the soil V that is more mobile and could supply V to plants. Berrow et al.⁷¹ emphasized that in certain horizons of podzols, the role of the clay minerals as well as organic acids might be more significant than the V fraction adsorbed by Fe oxides. Apparently, the vanadyl cation (VO²⁺) may be an important form of V in many soils and may result from reduction of the metavanate anion (VO₃⁻). Goodman and Cheshire²⁷² and Bloomfield⁸¹ stated that much of the soil V, mainly the vanadyl cation, is mobilized as complexes with humic acids. Also anionic forms of V (VO₄³⁻, VO₃⁻) are known to be mobile in soils over a wide pH range, in contrast to V³⁺, which forms insoluble hydroxide when pH is low. Adhesion of [VO₄]³⁻ to clay minerals, especially with Fe cations is responsible for considerable concentrations of V in various concretions and nodules formed in soils.

Surface horizons of some podzols are reported to contain less V as a result of extensive leaching into lower horizons.⁷¹ In general, V is distributed in soil profiles rather uniformly and the variation in V content of soil is inherited from the parent materials. Thus, the highest concentrations of V (150 to 460 ppm) are reported for soils derived from mafic rocks, while the lowest (5 to 22 ppm) were found in peat soils (Table 125). Loamy and silty soils, as well as some ferralitic soils, also contain large amounts of V which exceed that of the parent material.

The average V contents of soils worldwide have been calculated to vary from 18 ppm for histosols to 115 ppm for rendzinas (Table 9). Shacklette and Boerngen⁷⁰⁶ gave a geometric mean of 58 ppm for soil samples of the U.S., while Cannon et al.¹¹⁹ reported the average soil V to be approximately 100 ppm. Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported the V content in reference soils of China to range from 37 to 247 ppm, and in reference soils of the U.S. within the range 36 to 150 ppm. Soils of the lowland of Poland contain HCl-soluble V below 10 ppm, whereas mountain soils contain V within the range 10 to 20 ppm. Areas of metal smelters have increased V levels up to 80 ppm, and in the vicinity of an oil refinery, also above 80 ppm.¹³⁹⁰ The difference in V content, depending on extraction methods, suggests strongly bound forms of V in soils. This is supported by Koljonen¹³⁶⁸ who reported the 90th percentile for V acid soluble in Finnish soils to be 60 ppm, while for the total V in soils, 120

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Great Britain	58–91	_	819
·	Madagascar	_	75	557a
	New Zealanda	160–220	_	861
	Poland	10–27	_	382
	Russia	10–260	103	180, 493, 1031
Loess and silty soils	New Zealand ^a	_	185	861
-	Poland	27–110	_	382
	Russia	_	57	493
Loamy and clay soils	Chad	15–50	_	39
	Madagascar	_	95	557a
	New Zealand ^a	150–330	_	861
	U.S.	20–150	87	706
	Russia	34–210	_	180, 493, 631, 714
Soil on mafic rocks	Great Britain	340-460	_	819
Fluvisols	Madagascar	48-180	103	557a
	Russia		18	493
Glevsols	Chad	20–100	_	39
	Russia	_	118	631
Rendzinas	Ireland	38–85	_	236
	China	21-500	220	1124
	U.S.	10-150	72	706
Kastanozems and brown soils	Ireland	25-55	_	236
	Madagascar		125	557a
	Former S.U.	_	56	714
Ferralsols	Chad	20-250	_	39
	Madagascar	28-530	137	557a
	Russia	42-360	_	180
Solonchaks and solonetz	Chad	55-260	_	39
	Bussia	78-99	88	351
Chernozems	Former S.U.	37-125	85	4, 180, 351, 714, 1031, 1123
	US	30-150	92	706
Meadow soils	Former S U	85-380	190	631 714
Histosols and other organic soils	Denmark	6.3-10	8.1	1
	Sweden	19-22	_	814
	US	<7–150	38	706
	Bussia	_	5	493
Forest soils	China	_	92	225
	US	15-200	85	706
	Former S U	97-145		4 631 714 1476
Various soils	Great Britain	15-200	_	34 818
	Italy	25-172	76	946
	Madagascar	19-320	75	557a
	US	0.7_98	_	600
	Bussia	50-87	69	283
	Czech Republic	117-119		1136
	02000. i iopublio			

Table 125 Vanadium Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (PPM DW)

^a Soils derived from basalts and andesites.

ppm. The median V content (by DC-arc emission spectrometry) in Lithuanian soils is given as 26 ppm, with the highest value of 50 ppm for loamy clay soils.¹³⁵⁹

Although there are not many reports of V pollution of soils, it is likely that industrial processing of certain mineral ores (ore smelters, cement, and phosphate rock plants) and burning of coals and oils will increase the deposition of V residues in soils. Combustion of fuel oils is an especially serious source of V in soil. Tyler⁸¹⁴ reported that forest mor around a densely inhabited area accumulated up to 100 ppm V, and Pawlak⁶⁰⁵ found increased V content of soil up to 110 ppm in the vicinity of a crude oil refinery. Belsare⁹³⁶ determined V contents in soils

surrounding a thermal power station at a graphite industry in India within the range of 350 to 840 ppm. Jacks³⁴⁷ calculated that the V aerial input to soil in the vicinity of Stockholm is approximately 20 mg m⁻² year⁻¹.

B. Plants

The evidence that V is essential for the growth of higher plants is not yet conclusive, while the essentiality of this element for alga species is unquestionable and V is known to stimulate photosynthesis in these organisms. Piispanen and Ländesmäki¹¹²⁰ found that the amount of blue-green algae increases in relation to the amount of green algae with increasing V concentration, and algal mixture (blue-green) tolerates a higher content of V_2O_5 in the solution (up to L^{-1}) than green algae alone. The enzyme found in some algae (V-Br-peroxidase) is involved in the process of the reduction of hydrogen peroxide due to the alteration from V^{3+} to V^{5+} . In general, the coefficient of V enrichment in algae was relatively high, and therefore these authors concluded that some water plants may be useful in geobotanical exploration for V.

There are evidences that V is a specific catalyst of N_2 fixation and may partially substitute for Mo in this function as carried on by rhizobium bacteria in particular. Dobritskaya¹⁸⁰ reported a high accumulation of V in nodules of several legumes (3 to 12 ppm DW), which suggests the V association with N_2 biofixation. However, no evidence of V deficiency in higher plants was observed, and Welch and Cary claimed that if V is essential for plants, adequate levels in their tissues are less than 2 ppb DW.⁸⁶⁰

Soluble soil V appears to be easily taken up by roots, and some plant species show a great ability to accumulate this metal. Petrunina reviewed this topic and showed that some bryophytes and fungi,⁶¹³ especially *Amanita muscaria*, may contain as much as about 180 ppm DW when grown in mineralized areas. Other accumulator plant species are also known.¹¹⁹

Welch⁸⁵⁹ studied the uptake of V by roots from labeled V solution, and concluded that V is passively absorbed by barley roots. The uptake was a linear function of V concentration and was highly dependent on pH (Figure 79). These results indicate that VO²⁺ species occurring under acid



Figure 79 The influence of (a) pH on the rate of V absorption by barley roots from a solution of μM NH₄VO₃; (b) V concentration in the solution on its content in barley roots.⁸⁵⁸

Plant	Tissue Sample	FW Basis (119, 235, 574, 600)	DW Basis (385, 750, 860)	AW Basis (283, 750, 855)
Wheat	Grains	_	7–10	_
Oats	Grains	_	60	_
Beans	Pods	3.4	_	_
Cabbage	Leaves	8	_	_
Lettuce	Leaves	5.3	280	2800
Carrot	Roots	8.8	_	_
Potato	Tubers	_	6.4	_
Cucumber	Fruits	5.8	56	380
Tomato	Fruits	_	0.5	41
Apple	Fruits	0.01-0.1	8.6	334
Strawberries	Fruits	—	—	660
Kidney bean	Aerial parts	140	760	5600
Clover	Aerial parts	_	2700; 380	27,000
Grass	Shoots	160-230	180–420	—
	Shoots	100-2600	_	_
	Shoots	<1–9800	—	

Table 126 Vanadium Content of Food and Forage Plants (ppb)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

conditions are more rapidly absorbed by roots than are VO_3^- and HVO_4^{2-} species that predominate in neutral and alkaline solutions. However, both cationic and anionic species are capable of being chelated and thus could contribute substantially to V uptake by plants from soils, but no significant relationship between vegetation and soil V has been reported.⁶⁰⁰ Morrell et al.¹⁰⁹⁵ reviewed some recent developments in the biochemistry of V in higher plants, indicating the biotransformation processes of V from vanadate (VO_3^-) to vanadyl (VO^{2+}) during uptake by plants. The reduction of V in plants is of great significance since V⁵⁺ is recognized as a potent inhibitor of several enzymes which are largely unaffected by the reduced form, V⁴⁺. Thus, V in plant tissues exists, at least partly, in reduced tetravalent form.

The mean concentration of V in higher plants has been calculated by Dobritskaya to be 1.0 ppm DW,¹⁸⁰ and the range of V in ash of most vegetables is given by Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ to be from <5 to 50 ppm. Several other authors have reported a pronounced difference in V content among most plants (Table 126).

The reported variation in V content of plants may be an effect of both analytical difficulties and pollution. The values given by Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ from the Report of the Committee on Biological Effects of Atmospheric Pollutants for V in some food plants follow (ppb FW): lettuce, 1080; apple, 330; potato, 1490; carrot, 990; beet, 880; and pea, 460. These values are much higher than those presented in Table 126 and apparently reflect a pollution source of V. The sporophore of *Amanita muscaria* in unpolluted woodland is reported to contain V up to 345 ppm (DW) although the total V in the soil was relatively low (6.7 ppm DW).¹⁰⁶⁰

Bryophytes appear to be most sensitive to aerial sources of V. Bowen⁹⁴ gave the mean V content of mosses as 11 ppm DW. Shacklette and Connor (see Cannon et al.¹¹⁹) found the range of V in Spanish moss (a flowering plant) to be 50 to 180 ppm AW, being higher in areas affected by emissions from crude oil refining. Gough and Severson²⁷⁸ found V to be as high as 700 ppm AW in sagebrush near a P fertilizer plant. Pawlak⁶⁰⁵ reported the mean V content of clover and grass grown in the vicinity of a crude oil refinery to be 13 and 8 ppm DW, respectively. Folkeson²³⁹ found the range in V concentration in mosses near a peat-fired plant to be 6 to 8 ppm DW and in those near the ash heap to be 14 to 25 ppm DW. Belsare⁹³⁶ reported that vegetables grown in the vicinity of a thermal power station contained V within the ranges 1.9 to 4.9 ppm (cabbage) and from 0.8 to 1.7 ppm (tomato).

Gough et al.²⁷⁹ reviewed the topic of V phytotoxicity and stated that there are no reports indicating V toxicity under field conditions. However, under man-induced conditions, V concentrations as high

as 0.5 ppm in the nutrient solution, and 140 ppm in the soil solution, may be toxic to plants. Gettier et al.¹⁰¹⁰ reported that in greenhouse conditions, V (as vanadyl sulfate) at the concentration 3 ppm in the solution reduced the length of collard roots by 50%. The V toxicity to plants varies with soil types due to the variable phytoavailability. In sandy soil, 80 ppm V can reduce plant growth; whereas in loamy soil, >100 ppm V does not affect plants. Phytotoxicity of V (chlorosis and dwarfing) may appear at about 2 ppm DW V in some plants, as cited by Davis et al.¹⁷¹ Wallace et al.⁸⁴¹ reported that bush beans absorbed from culture solution as much as 13, 8, and 880 ppm V (DW) in leaf, stem, and root, respectively, and that this resulted in smaller growth but not chlorosis.

VI. NIOBIUM

Data on the abundance of Nb in various rocks are listed in Table 119. The amounts of Nb increase on the average in intermediate and acid magmatic rocks (15 to 60 ppm) and in argillaceous sediments (15 to 20 ppm). Its abundance in the Earth's crust is estimated at 24 ppm.

Nb has strong geochemical relations to Ta, and its association with Fe, Ti, and Zr has been recognized (e.g., mineral niobite, (Fe, Mn) (Ta, Nb)₂O₆). Concentrations of Nb in bauxites, bottom clays of oceans, and Mn nodules have also been reported.⁸⁵⁵ Ionic substitution of Nb for Zr in the mineral zircon is of special geochemical importance since zircon is widely distributed in igneous rocks and is an important carrier of Nb.

The +5 valence state of Nb is the most stable in the Earth's crust. Most of the Nb compounds are slightly soluble in both acid and alkaline media. However, the presence of organic complexing agents mobilizes Nb. The behavior of Nb during weathering is highly dependent on host minerals; therefore, Nb may be released (e.g., from biotite, amphibolite) or may remain within resistant minerals (e.g., sphene, zircon). The accumulation of Nb in certain residual sediments has often been reported.

There are not many reports on the Nb status of soils. The geometric means of 12 ppm Nb has been calculated for surface soil samples of the U.S., and the distribution of Nb does not show any significant variation between soil types.⁷⁰⁷ Gough et al.¹⁰¹² found Nb in various soils of Alaska to range from <4 to 44 ppm, with a geometric mean of 8 ppm. Ure and Bacon⁸¹⁸ reported a mean Nb content of 24 ppm for standard soil samples, while Ure et al.⁸¹⁹ gave the range in Nb concentrations in arable soils derived from different rocks as 31 to 300 ppm, with the highest value for soil on trachyte enriched in this metal. Wedepohl⁸⁵⁵ stated that Nb averaged 24 ppm in lateritic soils from West Africa. Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported the Nb content in reference soils of China to range from 9.3 to 37.6 ppm, and in reference soils of the U.S. within the range 6.4 to 22.0 ppm. Kadunas et al.¹³⁵⁹ gave the median value of 12.5 ppm for Nb in Lithuanian soils.

Nb is reported to be relatively mobile under humid conditions and therefore may be available to plants. However, Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ found that of more than 1000 samples of a variety of plant species from throughout the U.S. only one contained Nb (30 ppm AW). Some mosses and lichens were reported to contain Nb ranging from 0.002 to 0.45 ppm DW and 15 to 20 ppm AW.^{94,710,1223} Tiutina et al.⁸⁰⁰ found that the common value of Nb in plants is about 1 ppm DW; however, several native plants have a great capacity for extracting Nb from soil that is enriched in this metal. Concentrations of Nb up to about 10 ppm DW, found in selected plant species (mainly *Rubus arcticus* L.) from an Nb mineralized area of the Komi, former Soviet Union, served as an exploration indicator for an Nb deposit.

VII. TANTALUM

Ta closely resembles Nb in geochemical behavior, and exhibits the properties of both a lithophilic and a chalcophilic element. The Ta distribution in rocks follows that of Nb and reaches the highest concentrations in acid magmatic rocks (2 to 4 ppm) and in argillaceous sediments

(1 to 2 ppm) (Table 119). Ta occurs in complex oxides combined with Nb, Ti, Y, lanthanides, and other metals; for example, tantalite, $(Fe,Mn)(Ta,Nb)_2O_6$). Ta is believed to be less mobile than Nb during weathering because of its lower solubility and the slight stability of organic complexes. Thus, the Nb to Ta ratio varies, depending on environmental conditions.

There is a paucity of information on Ta occurrence in soils. Naidenov and Travesi⁵⁵⁸ found Ta in various Bulgarian soils to range from 0.42 to 3.87 ppm (mean, 0.65 ppm), and Laul et al.⁴⁶² gave the range in Ta contents of U.S. soils as 1.1 to 2.7 ppm. The range of Ta in garden soils of Canada was reported to be from 0.17 to 0.22 ppm.¹³² Ta content in reference soils of China is reported to range from 0.8 to 5.3 ppm, and in reference soils of the U.S. to range from 0.7 to 1.2 ppm.¹³¹³ The 90th percentile for Ta in Finnish soils is about 1.5 ppm.¹³⁶⁸ The Ta content of deep loess deposit in the European part of Russia averaged from 1 to 2 ppm.¹¹⁸¹ Oakes et al.⁵⁷⁴ found the range of Ta in food plants to be from 0.013 to 0.48 ppb FW, whereas Bowen⁹⁴ gave the mean Ta in edible parts of vegetables as <1 ppb DW. Laul et al.⁴⁶² reported Ta in vegetation from <1 to <6 ppb DW.

CHAPTER 11

Elements of Group VI

I. INTRODUCTION

The geochemical and biochemical behavior of trace elements of this group is complex and diverse. Se and Te resemble S in geochemical reaction. Po, also belonging to the subgroup VIa (new group 16) is a natural isotope of the U-Ra transformation chain.

Trace elements of the Group VIb (new group 6) Cr, Mo, and W, have strong lithophile tendencies and although they have variable oxidation states, they are preferably hexavalent in their oxygen compounds. The geochemical behavior of Mo and W is very similar, and in biochemistry some substitution by W for Mo has been observed.

II. SELENIUM

A. Soils

Se occurs in nearly all materials of the Earth's crust and is present in magmatic rocks in concentrations rarely exceeding 0.05 ppm. In sedimentary rocks, Se is associated with the clay fraction and thus the smallest quantities of Se are in sandstones and limestones (Table 127). The main geochemical characteristics of selenium are: (1) chalcophilic tendencies, especially in meteorites, where it can be concentrated up to 300 ppm, (2) readily entering the lattice of sulfides, (3) concentration in oxidic sulfuric layer of the Earth, and (4) common occurrence in native sulfur of volcanic origin, but not of sedimentary origin.

Selenium easily forms compounds with metals and occurs in about 50 minerals, the most common being: clockmannite, CuSe; ferroselite, FeSe; clausthalite, PbSe (often associated with galena), naumannite, Ag_2Se (common in Cu ores); and tiemannite, HgSe. Se also forms a large number of organic compounds that are analogous to those of sulfur organic compounds.

Much of the Se occurs in S and sulfide minerals where it may be concentrated up to 200 ppm; however, in S deposits of sedimentary origin, the Se level is usually below 1 ppm. During chemical weathering of rocks, Se is easily oxidized and the state of its oxidation, as well as its solubility, are controlled by the oxidation-reduction regime and by pH of the environment. Also, the biological methylation of Se, yielding the volatile Se compounds, is common and plays a significant role in the geochemical cycle of Se. Selenite ions resulting from oxidation processes are stable and able to migrate until they are adsorbed on mineral or organic particles. In consequence, the Se level is increased in several coals, as well as in clay sediments. Apparently, selenites are the preferable species of Se being adsorbed by clay minerals, particularly by montmorillonite and Fe oxides.²⁴⁶ Adsorption of $SeO_3^{2^-}$ by goethite is highly pH dependent.⁹³

Rock Type	Se	Те	Cr	Мо	w	
	Magmati	c Rocks				
Ultramafic rocks Dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites	0.02-0.05	0.001	1600–3400	0.2–0.3	0.10–0.77	
Mafic rocks Basalts, gabbros	0.01–0.05	0.001	170–200	1.0–1.5	0.36–1.10	
Intermediate rocks Diorites, syenites	0.02-0.05	0.001	15–50	0.6–1.0	1.0–1.9	
Acid rocks Granites, gneisses	0.01–0.05	0.005	4–25	1–2	1.3–2.4	
Acid rocks (volcanic) Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	0.02–0.05	—	4–16	2	2	
	Sedimenta	ary Rocks				
Argillaceous sediments Shales	0.4–0.6 0.6	 <0.01	80–120 60–100	2.0–2.6 0.7–2.6	1.8–2.0 1.8–2.0	
Sandstones Limestones, dolomites	0.05–0.08 0.03–0.10	_	20–40 5–16	0.2–0.8 0.16–0.4	1–2 0.4–0.6	

Table 127 Selenium, Tellurium, Chromium, Molybdenum, and Tungsten in Major Rock Types (ppm) (values commonly found, based on various sources)

 Table 128
 Impact of Soil Conditions on the Formation of Soluble Se Species¹³⁵³

Redox Value (Eh, mV)	рН	Oxidation State of Se	Major Se Species i	in Soil Solution
High	7	+6	SeO ₄ ²⁻ ,	Selenates D_3^-
>400	<2	+6	SeO ₄ , H ₂ SeO	
Moderate 200–400	>7 <7.3	+4 +4	SeO ₃ ²⁻ HSeO ₃	Selenites
Low	>3.8	+2	HSe [−]	Selenides
<200	<3.8	+2	H₂Se ⁰	

On the basis of the geochemistry of Se, it seems to be possible to predict trends of Se behavior in a particular soil environment. Elrashidi et al.⁹⁸⁹ studied equilibrium reactions and constants for 83 Se minerals and solution species that relate to soils and observed that the redox potential (Eh) of soils controls Se speciation in solution (Table 128). They described Se species and Se-metal complexes that are likely to occur in soils under various conditions. In soils of high Ca and Mg concentrations, both CaSeO₄ and MgSeO₄ contribute to the total Se in solution; whereas in acid and very acid soils, the most important compounds seem to be KHSe, NH₄HSe, and MnSe. A generalized summary of Se species that may occur in soils is presented in Figure 80.

Lakin and Dawidson,⁴⁵⁴ Allaway,¹³ Paasikallio,⁵⁹¹ Combs and Combs,⁹⁶⁹ and Frankenberger and Engberg¹³⁰³ have extensively reviewed Se behavior in soil and have emphasized its complex character. It can be generalized that the main factors controlling Se forms and behavior in soils are Eh and pH factors (Table 128). Thus, specific forms of selenium are associated with defined soil parameters:

- Selenates (Se⁶⁺) are mobile in inorganic forms in ordinary alkaline soils, and are not absorbed on hydrous sesquioxides (especially $Fe_2O_3 \cdot nH_2O$)
- Selenites (Se⁴⁺) are slightly mobile in ordinary neutral or acid soils of humid temperate regions, and are easily absorbed on hydrous sesquioxides and organic matter
- Selenides (Se²⁻) are rather immobile in acid soils due to the formation of stable mineral and organic compounds

Se can be included in various organic compounds, especially those containing S, and also sorbed on organic particles. The transformation of Se forms in all soil conditions is based mainly on the



Figure 80 Ionic species and transformation of Se compounds in soils. (1) reduction-oxidation; (2) methylation.

following processes: (1) selenates to selenites—slow process, and (2) selenites to selenides, to elemental Se, and to organic compounds—very slow process.

According to the geochemical classification of the elements after Goldschmidt (*vide* Kabata-Pendias and Pendias¹³⁵⁷), Se reveals chalcophilic and siderophilic properties. These variable properties define its complex behavior in various geochemical processes.

Selenium present in soils originates from different sources:

- · Lithogenic-parent materials are highly variable in Se content
- Pedogenic—increased content in A and B horizons of soils due to the fixation by organic matter, hydroxides (mainly Fe and Mn), and clay minerals (mainly montmorillonite)
- Atmospheric—deposition with rainfall, especially close to the ocean and sea, Se is present in the atmosphere due to volcanic exhalation, industrial emission, methylation, and volatilization from sea and soil surfaces
- Phytogenic—volatilization from plants and soil microorganisms, and burning of seleniferous vegetation.
- Anthropogenic:
 - Agricultural: Se applied to soils, foliar sprays, seed treatments, and phosphatic fertilizers
 - Industrial: fly-ash, smelting of some ores, wastes of some ores, some sewage sludge

However, several complex anions of Se as well as organic compounds and chelates greatly modify the behavior of Se in each particular soil (Table 129). This has been nicely illustrated by various trends in Se distribution along soil profiles (Figure 81).

It has been estimated that absorbed forms of Se in soil ranges from 15 to 40% of its total contents and that Se-organic ranges from 4 to 22% of the total contents.¹³⁵³ Vuori et al.¹⁵³⁴ carried out a long-term experiment with similar doses of Se salt applied to different soils and found that its availability to plants varies significantly, depending on soil parameters. Application of farmyard manure is reported to be a good means of increasing the levels of Se content in Se-deficient soil.¹²²⁹ Addition of Se (as sodium selenate) to fertilizers in Finland from 1983 to 1992 resulted in increasing Se in river waters (up to 180 μ g L⁻¹), and especially in bottom sediments (up to 3.94 ppm).^{1537,1538}

Elevated concentrations of Se, resulting from irrigation practices, in soils in California (San Joaquin Valley, an area underlain with seleniferous parent material) is now of real environmental concern.¹³⁰³ A number of projects are presently under way for remediation and prevention strategies. Phytorestoration seems to be a promising method.^{1367,1450}

The Se content of soils has received much attention in certain countries, mainly those where the role of Se in man and animal health has been widely recognized. Surface soil on a worldwide

Soil Factor	Se Form	Mobility	
pH:			
High (alkaline)	Selenates	High	
Medium (neutral)	Selenites	Moderate	
Low (acid)	Selenides	Low	
Eh:			
High (high oxidation)	Selenites	High	
Low (low oxidation)	Selenides	Low	
Hydroxides (Fe, Mn):			
High content	Absorbed all forms of Se	Low	
Low content		High	
Organic matter ^a :			
Undecayed	Absorbed	Low	
Decayed (e.g., peat)	Complexed	High	
Enhanced biomethylation	Volatilized	High	
Clays ^b :			
High content	Absorbed	Low	
Low content	Not fixed	High	
Interactions:		-	
Sulfur	Antagonistic effects	Rather low	
Phosphate	-		
Nitrogen			

Table 129 Soil Factors Affecting the Mobility of Selenium¹³⁵³

^a Variable impact of organic matter depends on its kind.

^b Absorption by clay minerals decreases with increasing pH values and, at pH 8, is almost negligible.



Figure 81 Distribution of Se in some profiles of soils in New Zealand. (Letters indicate genetic soil horizons)862

scale contains an average of 0.33 ppm Se. But the Se mean differs for the soil units from 0.25 ppm in podzols to 0.37 ppm in histosols and rendzinas (Tables 9 and 130). Forest soils contain especially elevated amounts of Se; for example in those soils of Poland, the average Se is at the 0.7-ppm level.¹²³⁸ Elevated concentrations of Se are observed in some ferralsols, organic soils, and other soils derived from Se-rich parent materials (Table 131). Also, in salt-affected soil, the total as well as the water-soluble Se is likely to be elevated.⁷²⁹ There is great concern about enriched water-soluble Se levels in soils because increased bioavailability of Se is a direct health risk to livestock. Certain diseases of people ("Keshan"—cardiomyopathy, and "Kashin-Beck"—tubular bone changes) are recognized to be associated with Se-deficient soils. The water-soluble Se content of soils is reported to range from 0.2 to 2 ppb (DW) in the endemic areas in China; whereas in neighboring nonendemic areas, easily soluble Se varies from 1 to 11 ppb.¹¹⁸⁹

The solubility of Se in most soils is rather low; therefore, many agricultural areas produce crop plants and forage with a low Se content. However, in naturally Se-enriched soils, in poorly drained

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Great Britain	0.15–0.24		819
	Canada	0.10–1.32	0.27	244,294
	Poland	0.06–0.38	0.14	618a
	U.S.	0.005–3.5	0.5	454
	Former S.U.	0.05–0.32	0.18	358
Loess and silty soils	Poland	0.17–0.34	0.23	618a
	U.S.	0.02–0.7	0.26	454
Loamy and clay soils	Canada	0.13–1.67	0.43	244,294
	U.S.	0.1–1.9	0.5	707
	Poland	0.18–0.60	0.30	618a
Cambisols	Germany	0.18–0.53	0.30	1179
Soils on mafic rocks	Great Britain	0.02-0.36	0.20	819
Soils over granitoids	Yugoslavia	0.16-0.35	0.23	1348
Soils over volcanic rocks	Yugoslavia	0.12-0.13	0.19	1348
Fluvisols	Egypt	0.15–0.85	0.45ª	212
	Poland	0.12–0.34	0.22	618a
Rendzinas	Germany	0.33–0.54	0.40	1179
	Poland	0.24–0.64	0.44	618a
	India	0.32–0.66		1144
	U.S.	0.1–1.4	0.19	454
Ferralsols	India		0.55	729
	U.S.	0.2–2.5	1.05	364
Chernozems	Poland	0.14–0.24	0.17	618a
	Former S.U.	0.32–0.37	0.34	358
	U.S.	<0.1–1.2	0.4	707
Histosols and other organic soils	Canada	0.10–0.75	0.34	244
	Finland	0.08–0.18	0.13ª	408
	U.S.	<0.1–1.5	0.3	707
	Former S.U.	—	0.34	358
Various soils	Canada Canada Germany Great Britain Finland Iceland Ireland India New Zealand Norway Sweden U.S.	$\begin{array}{c} 0.41-2.09\\ 0.03-2.00\\ 0.09-0.45\\ \hline \\ 0.005-1.24\\ 0.02-0.07\\ \hline \\ 0.14-0.68\\ \hline \\ 0.15-2.32\\ 0.17-0.98\\ < 0.1-4.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.94\\ 0.30^{a}\\ 0.27\\ 0.21\\\\ 0.05\\ 1.27\\ 0.39^{a}\\ 0.6\\ 0.78\\ 0.39\\ 0.31\\ \end{array}$	468 521 1179 818 734 408 454 729 862 442,754 473 707

Table 130 Selenium Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Data for whole soil profile.

Table 131	Selenium Content of Surface or Subsurface Soils from
	Areas Having Se-Toxicity Symptoms in Livestock (ppm DW)

Soil	Range	Mean	Ref.
Peat	92–230	138	880
Peat	3–360	54	234
Mineral	6–28	17	454
Mineral	0.8–2.2	1.3	358
	Soil Peat Peat Mineral Mineral	Soil Range Peat 92–230 Peat 3–360 Mineral 6–28 Mineral 0.8–2.2	Soil Range Mean Peat 92–230 138 Peat 3–360 54 Mineral 6–28 17 Mineral 0.8–2.2 1.3

or calcareous soils, in soils of aridic zones, and also in soils heavily amended with sewage sludges or fly-ashes, Se may be accumulated by plants in concentrations high enough to be toxic to grazing livestock. Phosphates and sulfates reduce Se adsorption, especially on Fe oxides, and are effective in releasing and mobilizing up to 90% of the soil-adsorbed selenites and selenates.⁹⁴⁸

The water-soluble fraction of soil Se is considered to be the fraction that is available to plants. Van Dorst and Peterson¹⁹⁰ reported the close positive correlation between the Se content of plants and the selenate ion concentration in the soil solution. However, other Se fractions may also be soluble in soils, and thus Elsokkary²¹² concluded that, on average, about 45% of the total soil Se could be available to plants and that plant-available fractions could be extracted with K_2SO_4 or NH₄OH solutions. Soltanpour and Workman⁷⁴⁵ found a good correlation between Se uptake by alfalfa and the Se, that was soluble in DTPA with ammonium acid carbonate. The amount of watersoluble Se in soils varies considerably and does not correlate with the total soil Se. The total Se level is, therefore, a poor predictor of the phytoavailability of the element.

The behavior of Se in highly calcareous soil is of special concern because when soils are low in sesquioxides, the Se becomes easily water soluble. Bar-Yosef and Meek⁹³⁴ observed that the adsorption of Se by clay minerals decreased with elevating pH values and became negligible above pH 8. Singh⁷²⁹ stated that the best effects in correcting Se toxicity to plants in such soils are obtained by the application of S, P, and even N onto the soils.

The biological methylation of Se occurs in plants and microorganisms as well as in the soil and aquatic environments. Inorganic Se undergoes methylation to gaseous compounds (dimethyl-selenides). Thus, methylated derivatives of Se are often volatile from soils. On the other hand, a considerable input of Se to the soils takes place through precipitation. Kubota et al.^{436a} calculated that the amounts of Se in rainwater that fall on land surfaces reflect also other sources of Se such as volcanic exhalations and industrial emissions, in particular, the combustion of coals.

B. Plants

Although Se in plants has been investigated in many studies, its physiological role is not fully known; and as Combs and Combs⁹⁶⁹ reviewed, various investigations have failed to confirm the premise that Se may have essentiality in plant nutrition. There are some opinions stating that Se may be involved in certain metabolic processes, especially in plants that are Se accumulators.⁵⁵⁵ Several compounds of Se, mainly with cysteine and methionine, were found in such plants (e.g., *Astragalus* species), but their metabolic functions have not been conclusively established. The Se-accumulator plants synthesize Se-methyl-cysteine, whereas nonaccumulator species produce Se-methyl-methionine. The physiological significance of this difference is not yet understood.¹³⁰³ A great proportion of the Se species in mushrooms is reported to be associated with low-molecular-weight components.¹¹¹⁹ The great interest in plant Se is due to the importance of this element in nutrition, particularly for domestic animals, since Se can act both as a micronutrient and a toxin. Furthermore, the margin of safety of Se concentrations is rather narrow.

When present in soluble forms, Se is readily absorbed by plants, although differences between plant species are commonly observed (Figure 82). The availability of soil Se is also controlled by several soil factors, among which pH is believed to be the most pronounced (Figure 83).

The uptake of Se by plants is also a temperature-dependent process; on a soil low in Se, plants absorb a much higher amount when the temperature is $>20^{\circ}$ C than during cooler seasons with a temperature of $<15^{\circ}$ C.⁴⁷⁴ Rainfall may also highly influence the Se concentration of herbage. As Reuter⁶⁴⁹ reported, low Se in plants frequently occurred in high-rainfall areas. However, Haygarth¹³²⁴ calculated that the absorption of Se by plants from atmospheric deposits contributes from 33 to 82% of its total amount in a plant.

There is a positive linear correlation between Se in plant tissues and Se content of soils, and Sippola⁷³⁴ stated that total soil Se gives a better measure of plant response than does its soluble fractions—which contrasts with other opinions.⁹⁶⁹ The complex impact of variable factors on Se uptake by plants can significantly alter the relation of Se in plants and soils. As presented in Figure 84, grass can take up more Se from mineral soil with 0.07 ppm Se than from peat soil with 0.71 ppm Se. A significant variation in Se content of wild plants is observed regardless of its concentrations in



Figure 82 Influence of Se added as Na₂SeO₃ into the soil on Se content of plants. *Se level in soil in the experiment with sorghum is given in ten-times lower concentration (e.g., at the range of 0.05 to 0.25 ppm).^{551,729}



Figure 83 Se content of ryegrass as a function of the soil pH.⁵⁵¹

soils. Miladović et al.¹⁴¹⁹ found considerably higher contents of Se in hairy milk-vetch (155–177 ppb) than in comfrey (62–118 ppb), which varied within the stages of plant growth. Se taken up by plants (ryegrass and lettuce) from Se-amended soil is incorporated mainly (up to 75%) in insoluble proteins. The activity of glutathione peroxide increases in plants with increasing Se doses, while superoxide dismutase activity and the concentration of vitamin E decrease.¹³²³


Figure 84 Se content in grass (average of 10 grass species) grown in two soils: (a) mineral, pH 6.5, OM 1.2%, Se 0.07 ppm, and (b) peat, pH 5.5, OM 75%, Se 0.71 ppm. (With permission from the authors¹²³⁷.)

The volatilization rate of Se by plants differs for species within the highest for tragacanth (70 μ g Se per m² leaf area per day) and the lowest for fescue (2 μ g Se per m² leaf area per day).¹²⁸² The role of rhizobium bacteria is also significant in Se uptake by plants; for example, the inoculated plants have a fourfold higher rate of Se volatilization than noninoculated plants, which is probably due to the microbially induced increase in Se uptake.¹⁵¹⁵ In some specific root-soil surface interactions, root exudates reveal a greater ability to oxidize SeO₃ to SeO₄, which stimulates the availability of Se for plant uptake.¹²³⁰

Zayed and Terry¹⁵⁷¹ have studied Se compound transformation in plants, along with its possible pathways for Se volatization. This differs for Se accumulator plants and for Se nonaccumulator plants. The final product of the Se compound transformation is dimethyl selenide, easily volatilized from both roots and above-earth plant parts. This process, however, depends on several plant factors, as well as on the kind of Se compounds supplied to plants.¹⁵⁶⁹ As Lin et al.¹³⁸⁹ stated, biomethylation of Se converts inorganic soluble Se into organic volatile Se, which is released into the atmosphere. This process completely removes Se from the water-soil-plant system, and has a significant implication in removing excess Se from the Se-contaminated water-soil system. The first environmental problem of Se phytoextraction was in California (San Joaquin Valley), where drainage Se-enriched water threatens health, plant food, and fodder. Several plants were investigated for Se accumulation, mainly Brassica, Astragalus, and Graminaceae sp.¹⁴⁰⁷ Plant-based phytoextraction of Se is based on the removal of its mobile fraction from soil, which is selenate. Four plant species are identified as highly tolerant of salinity and boron in growing media. Among these plants, Astragalus sp. seems uniquely capable of accumulating Se to high concentrations in the presence of high soil sulfide levels. Parker and Page¹⁴⁵⁰ reviewed management strategies for the phytoremediation of Secontaminated soils and concluded that any large-scale project to utilize these plants for Se dissipation will require further research. In general, soil Se uptake by plants depends on climatic condition, water regime of soil, oxidation-reduction, pH, and sesquioxide content of the soil. Combs and Combs⁹⁶⁹ reviewed studies demonstrating the importance of the available Se content of local soils as the primary determinant of the Se content of food and feedstuffs of plant origin (Table 132).

The distribution of Se within plants differs, depending on several nutritional factors, but its concentration in growing points, in seeds, and also in roots was most often observed. Arvy et al.,³⁷ however, studied Se distribution between various organs of corn and did not find any significant differences.

In plants, Se partly resembles S in its biochemical properties and is able to replace S in amino acids as well as in several biological processes. About eight Se-organic compounds have been identified in plants.²⁵⁴ Amino acids, especially cysteine, are likely to bind Se, which may have several metabolic implications. Volatile Se compounds (i.e., dimethyl diselenide) isolated from plants, and from Se-accumulating species in particular, are known to be responsible for Se release from plants and also for the unpleasant odor of plants (such as *Astragalus*).²²² As Zayed et al.¹⁵⁶⁹

	Se Contents of Soils in China			Se Co	Se Contents of Soils in the U.S.		
Food Plant	Low	Moderate	High	Low	Moderate	High	
Corn, grains	160	50	6900	_	4	12	
Oat meals	_	—	_	440	110	280	
Sweet corn	0.5–3	75–85	2800	7	4	12	
Wheat, whole grains	6	40	1100	630	320	2400-21,300	
Cabbage	_	2–38	2900	_	23	11	
Potatoes, fresh	2	6	400		6	16	

Table 132 Selenium Contents of Food Plants from Areas of Different Se Levels in Soils⁹⁶⁹ (ppb FW)

 Table 133
 Mean Selenium Content of Mushrooms from Some European Countries^{951,119} (ppm DW)

Mushroom	Finland	Norway	Poland ^a	Switzerland ^a
Boletus edulis	17		16, 16	14, 13
Macrolepiota procera	4.8	_	4.9	2.4, 0.7
Agaricus campestris	2.7	2.9	5.9	5.7, 3.6
Leccinum testaceoscabrum	0.6	1.3	1.1, 6.9	1.2
Lactorius deliciosus	0.9	—	2.0	1.2, 0.6
Cantharellus cibarius	0.07	0.17	0.23, 6.29	0.05, 0.17
Sarcodon imbricatus	—	0.18	3.06, 0.63	1.87, 1.66

^a Two values given for mushrooms of different forest ecosystems.

reported, the uptake of Se by plants and its volatilization are highly governed by Se chemical species applied to plants and by variable plant ability to accumulate and immobilize Se in their tissues.

The ability of a plant to accumulate and tolerate Se is apparently related to different Se metabolisms. Opinions vary, however, as to whether Se is incorporated in proteins or in non-protein amino acids.^{531,1569}

Toxicity of Se to plants growing under natural conditions has not been reported, but symptoms of Se toxicity to crop plants have been described (Table 40). In culture solutions, toxic effects of Se on onion roots were observed by Fiskesjö²³² at as low as 1 mg L⁻¹ concentration. Also, a decrease in yield of several crops was effected by the application of about 2 ppm Se onto the soil.⁷²⁹

Increased Se levels in plants suppresses concentrations of N, P, and S as well as of several amino acids. Also, the absorption of heavy metals, mainly Mn, Zn, Cu, Fe, and Cd, is inhibited by increasing Se. This relationship is dependent on the ratio between the elements, and thus some stimulating effects of high Se concentrations on uptake of heavy metals may also be expected.

The application of P, S, and N is known to help in detoxifying Se, which may be a result either of depressing the Se uptake by roots or of establishing a beneficial ratio of Se to these elements, even when the Se content of plants is elevated. There is also a report that the addition of lime, S, B, and Mo to the soil under field conditions did not affect the Se concentration is plant tissues.²⁹⁴ In practice, the application of S is an important remedial treatment on Se-toxic soils.⁷²⁹ However, as Johnson³⁶⁴ reported, S fertilizers are effective in preventing Se toxicity only to plants growing on low Se soils.

Ehlig et al.²⁰² reported that differences among plant species in Se accumulation from soils low in Se were small, with the exception of seleniferous indicator plants such as *Astragalus* sp. or other legumes which concentrate Se to extremely high levels (up to about 1000 ppm DW). Se seems to be easily absorbed from the atmospheric deposition since its content in mosses from the Scandinavian countries varies from 500 to 2900 ppb (DW).^{1135,1223} Generally, high accumulations of Se in rootless mushrooms have also indicated its ready absorption from atmospheric sources (Table 133). The available systematic data concerning Se content of forage plants show that, for most countries, Se ranges in grasses from 2 to 174 ppb (DW, mean 33), for clover and alfalfa ranges from 5 to 880 ppb (DW, mean 99), and for other forage plants ranges from 4 to 870 ppb (DW, mean 67).

	Grass	es	Clovers or	r Alfalfa	Hay or F Plan	odder ts	
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Canada	5–23	13	5–31	15	_	_	294
Denmark	—	32		35	—	44	265
Germany	30–210	110	50–130	90	_	_	578
Finland	1–54	11	_	_	2–48	14	221,371,734
France	19–134	47	36–39	38	29–35	31	37,551
	_	_	_	_	40–150	130	487
India	200-450	352	440–870	672	200-870	_	729,1141
Japan	5–174	43	6–287	33	_	_	395,765
Norway	_	_	_	_	4–28	13	371
Sweden	11–64	30	18–40	_	4–46	10ª	473
	_	_	_	_	13–34	23	371
U.S.	10–40	32	30–880	320	28–360	98	14,265

Table 134 Selenium in Forage Plants from Different Countries (ppb DW)

^a Cereal plants.

Table 135 Selenium Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppb DW)

Country	Cereal	Range	Mean	Ref.
Australia	Wheat	1–117	23	867
Canada	Barley	9–38	21	294
	Oats	4–43	28	294
Czech Republic	Wheat	11–49	25	1168
Denmark	Barley	2–110	18	265
	Oats	3–54	16	265
	Rye	6–72	16	265
	Wheat	4–87	21	265
Egypt	Wheat	140–430	340	213
Finland	Barley	<10–50	—	411
	Wheat	100–170	—	411
	All grains ^a	2–85	7	371
France	Barley	27–42	33	37
	Oats	20–44	35	37
	Wheat	30–53	36	37
Germany	Oats	70–140	110	578
-	Rye	160-250	210	578
	Wheat	190–200	200	578
Japan	Oats ^a	8–17	_	765
	Ryeª	—	22	765
Norway	Barley	_	8	247
-	Oats	—	10	247
	Wheat	1–169	33	446
	All grains ^a	2–29	—	247
Sweden	All grains ^a	4–46	13	371
U.S.	Barley	200–1800	450	200
	Oats	150–1000	480	200
	Wheat	280–690	490	492
	Corn ^a	10–2030	87	371
Yugoslavia	Wheat	5.6–23.1 ^b	_	1081a

^a Animal fodder.

^b Range of mean values.

The greatest amounts of Se were reported for India, Japan and Germany (Table 134). The Se levels in cereal grains vary greatly within the range of mean values from 7 to 490 ppb (DW) (Table 135), and presumably the controlling factor is its content in soils, which is clearly illustrated by the data presented in Table 132.

				a construction of the second sec	,
Country	Plant	Tissue Sample	FW Basis	DW Basis	Ref.
U.S.	Sweet corn	Grains		11	705
	Cabbage	Leaves	8	150	574,705
	Lettuce	Leaves	1.6	57	574,705
	Carrot	Roots	5.3	64	705
	Onion	Bulbs	—	42	705
	Potato	Tubers	—	11	705
	Tomato	Fruits	—	36	705
	Apple	Fruits	1.1	2.6	574,705
	Orange	Fruits	3	7.7	574,705
China	Теа	Leaves		52–160 110ª	1032
Czech Republic	Potato	Tubers	_	83	1168
	Apple	Fruits	—	8	1168
India	Теа	Leaves	—	21–420 180ª	1032
Japan	Теа	Leaves	—	22–980 380ª	1032
Yugoslavia	Garlic	Bulbs	3.2–62 ^b	—	1081a

Table 136 Selenium Content of Food Plants from Different Countries (ppb)

^a Average content as given by the authors.

^b Range of mean values.

The mean Se contents of all food plants do not exceed 100 ppb (DW) (Table 136).

The levels of Se in crops that are adequate and safe for animals are believed to be about 100 ppb (DW), although the requirements for Se vary widely with the form of the Se ingested and with other dietary factors. Disorders in livestock may be expected when Se concentrations in forage plants are within, or below, the order of 10 ppb (DW). Other threshold values, such as a lower toxic level of 3000 ppb Se and a minimum requirement of 100 ppb Se, are also proposed for grasslands.³⁹⁵ Low Se contents of plants are known to be associated with "Keshan" disease in China. Xu and Jiang¹¹⁸⁹ gave an Se range of 21 to 32 ppb (DW) in grass and soybean seeds for the endemic areas, and a range of 31 to 83 ppb for nonendemic areas. Se concentrations in crop and grassland plants vary significantly for both plant species and/or genotypes, as well as for soil and climatic conditions. A good illustration of the variability among plant species has been presented by Burau et al. (vide Wu¹⁵⁵²), who calculated in 1988 the ranked geometric mean values of Se in edible tissues of crops sampled from the west side of the San Joaquin Valley, California. The range of Se was from <25 ppb in corn grain to 475 ppb in broccoli. The content of Se in plants, however, is highly controlled by soil; for example, garlic grown in alkaline Se-rich soil contains up to 600 ppb Se (FW), whereas garlic grown on acid soils poor in Se contain only 14 ppb Se (FW).¹³⁹⁵ The Se content of most food plants varies within the range from <1 ppb (FW) (cabbage) to 110 ppb (wheat flour).⁹⁶⁹ Elevated levels of Se have always been observed in mushrooms (Table 133).

In general, the trend in variation of Se concentrations in plants indicates higher Se levels in plants from aridic zones than in those from humidic zones. It may be summed up that Se in plants is positively correlated with pH, salinity, and $CaCO_3$ in soils. High levels of Se in plants may also occur near seacoasts where the return of Se to land surfaces may be higher than in other regions because of sea spray.

The dietary Se intake by inhabitants of different countries has been broadly investigated in recent years. An increase of Se intake has been observed in some countries, especially in Finland, where Se applied to agricultural soils since 1983 has resulted in an increase of daily uptake by adult persons from 25 to 124 μ g Se day⁻¹.¹²⁹⁶ Calculated daily intake of Se by inhabitants of other European countries in the period 1990 to 1995 was as follows (in μ g day⁻¹): Czech Republic, 14 to 26; Turkey, 30; Germany, 33; Sweden, 38; Poland, 40; The Netherlands, 67; and Switzerland, 70.^{1247,1296,1382} Some plants are known as especially good sources of dietary Se, for example, Brazil nuts, which naturally contain very high concentrations of Se. The average content of Se in nuts is

36 ppm (FW) when grown on Se-rich soils derived from Cretaceous limestones in the Amazon area, while nuts from other areas have average Se concentrations of 3.1 ppm (FW).¹²⁵³

Pollution with Se is observed in industrial areas where Se is released into the atmosphere due to some metal-processing operations and by the combustion of coal. Some legumes (e.g., sweet-clover) grown on coal ashes are known to contain as much as 200 ppm (DW) Se.²⁹⁶ Grass grown in the vicinity of a P fertilizer plant contained up to 1.2 ppm (DW) Se.²⁷⁸ the edible mushroom *Agaricus bitorquis* from an urban area accumulated 11.2 ppm (DW) Se.⁶³⁶ and tree leaves from a nearby Cu refinery contained from 141 to 550 ppm (DW) Se.¹¹³ Fly-ash derived from refuse incineration is reported to be a significant source of Se, which is relatively easily available to plants grown on soils amended with this ash.¹¹⁸⁰ On the other hand, there was little or no measurable uptake of Se by barley grown on soil with increased Se content up to 1.2 ppm, after sludge application.^{1073a}

The phytoremediation of Se-enriched soils, especially in the western U.S. where drainage water contains Se as a natural contaminant or from urban and industrial (e.g., oil refineries) sources, has been the subject of recent studies.^{1322,1389,1515} Transgenic plants (e.g., Indian mustard) may develop a mechanism of immobilization of Se in their tissues and serve in the phytoremediation of Se-contaminated soils. Also plant species (e.g., *Astragalus* and *Brassica*), which have the ability to absorb, accumulate, and finely volatilize Se, have been studied as a remedy to remove Se from contaminated sites.¹²¹⁵ The action of the remediation of Se-enriched soils is of special importance because Se is one of the most biomagnified elements and therefore its increased level in soils creates a health risk to humans and wildlife. In areas with low soil Se, applications of sodium selenite to the soil or as a foliage spray are proposed for correcting Se nutritional deficiencies. In view of the toxic properties of Se salts, however, these practices should be carefully controlled.

III. TELLURIUM

The crustal abundance of Te has not been widely studied and the scarce data show that its content in rocks ranges from 1 to 5 ppb, apparently being elevated in organic shales (Table 127). The geochemical behavior of Te resembles Se in some respects, therefore, its association with S and sulfide minerals (e.g., hessite, Ag_2Te ; sylvanite, $AuAgTe_4$; and tetradymite, Bi_2Te_2S), mainly in areas of Au, As, Sb, Ba, Hg, and Bi mineralization, has been observed.

Te shows variable valencies of +2 to +6 and, like Se, forms di- and trivalent oxides. During weathering, Te is oxidized to slightly mobile tellurites, and most commonly is sorbed by Fe hydroxides. The accumulation of Te in coals (0.02 to 2 ppm) indicates Te sorption also by organic matter. Asami et al.¹²⁰⁷ found elevated concentration of Te in soils in the vicinity of nonferrous metal smelters. Geometric mean values for Te in soils of different smelters range from 0.1 to 0.5 ppm, but the maximum value was reported to be 6.55 ppm.

Little data on Te occurrence in soils is available. Govindaraju¹³¹³ reported that Te content in reference soils of China ranges from 0.03 to 4.0 ppm, and in reference soils of the U.S. is within the range 0.02 to 0.69 ppm. The given range of Te content in soils may suggest some biomagnification in upper soil horizons when compared with its range found in various rocks.

The biological cycling of Te is known to resemble that of Se, and the microbial metabolism of Te also seems to be similar to that of Se. Weinberg⁸⁵⁶ stated that bacteria capable of methylating As and Se can also methylate Te, whereas the reduction of tellurite to Te can readily occur under the influence of a variety of microorganisms.

Apparently, Te occurs in plant tissues at concentrations lower than those of Se. Bowen⁹⁴ cited high Te accumulations, from 2 to 25 ppm (DW), in a few plants from Te-rich soils. Schroeder et al.⁶⁹⁷ also reported a relatively high concentration of Te in soils (0.5 to 37 ppm) and in plants (0.7 to 6 ppm DW). Oakes et al.⁵⁷⁴ gave the range in Te content of vegetables as <0.013 to 0.35 ppm (FW), being the lowest in apple fruits. Some high values given for the Te content of soils and plants may

be errors and these values require further study. Mean Te content of Norwegian mosses is very low—0.0052 ppm.¹²²³ The garlicky odor of some plants is caused by vapors of dimethyl telluride. Concentrations of Te in onion and garlic are reported to be as high as about 300 ppm (DW).⁶⁹⁷

IV. POLONIUM

Po occurs in the geosphere and biosphere as natural radioisotopes, including ²¹⁰Po and several other short-lived radionuclides, all associated with the radioactive decay within uranium chains U-Ra-Pb.

The natural abundance of ²¹⁰Po in soil is reported to range from 8 to 220 Bq kg⁻¹ and in land plants to range from 8 to 12 Bq kg⁻¹ (DW).⁹⁴ Elevated contents of this radionuclide in some vegetables and tobacco plants can be derived from either the soil or the air and apparently reflect pollution with radionuclides.^{549,710}

V. CHROMIUM

A. Soils

The terrestrial abundance of Cr indicates that it is associated mainly with ultramafic and mafic rocks in which Cr can be as concentrated as 0.X% (Table 127). The Cr content of acid igneous and sedimentary rocks is much lower and commonly ranges from 5 to 120 ppm, being the highest in argillaceous sediments.

Cr shows highly variable oxidation states (from +2 to +6) and it is also known to form complex anionic and cationic ions (e.g., Cr(OH)²⁺, CrO₄²⁻, CrO₃³⁻). Naturally occurring Cr compounds have principal valences of +3 (chromic) and +6 (chromate). Highly oxidized forms of Cr are much less stable than Cr³⁺.

Most of the Cr^{3+} is present in the mineral chromite $(FeCr_2O_4)$ or in other spinel structures, substituting for Fe or Al. In general, Cr^{3+} closely resembles Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} in ionic size and in geochemical properties. Chromite, the common Cr mineral, is resistant to weathering and therefore accounts for most of the Cr in residual material. However, under progressive oxidation, Cr forms the chromate ion $(CrO_4^{2^-})$, which is readily mobile and also is easily sorbed by clays and hydrous oxides.

The behavior of soil Cr has been extensively studied by Bartlett and Kimble,⁵¹ Bartlett and James,⁵⁰ Cary et al., ¹²² Bloomfield and Pruden,⁸² and Grove and Ellis,²⁸⁹ and recently by James et al.¹³⁴³ and Bartlett.¹²¹⁸ A broad review of Cr balance in the environment is presented by Mukherjee.¹⁴³² It has been shown that most of the soil Cr occurs as Cr^{3+} and is within the mineral structures or forms of mixed Cr^{3+} and Fe^{3+} oxides. Since Cr^{3+} is slightly mobile only in very acid media, and at pH 5.5 is almost completely precipitated, its compounds are considered to be very stable in soils. On the other hand, Cr^{6+} (CrO_4^{2-} and $HCrO_4^{2-}$) is very unstable in soils and is easily mobilized in both acid and alkaline soils (Figure 85).

El-Bassam et al.²⁰⁵ emphasized that Cr behavior is governed by both soil pH and redox potential. Under the same redox potential of 500 mV, Cr^{3+} predominated at pH 5, $Cr(OH)_3$ was formed between pH 5 and 7, and CrO_4^{2-} occurred at pH >7. Griffin et al.²⁸⁴ found that adsorption of Cr by clays is also highly pH dependent, and while Cr^{6+} adsorption decreased as pH increased, the adsorption of Cr^{3+} increased as pH increased. The behavior of Cr in soils may be modified by organic complexes of Cr; however, the dominant effect of organic matter is the stimulation of the reduction of Cr^{6+} to Cr^{3+} (Figure 86). Wittbrodt and Palmer¹⁵⁴⁵ investigated the reduction of Cr^{6+} by soil humic acids, and described the difference between the rate coefficients for the humic acid and fulvic acid even from the same soil. The rate of the reduction depends on several soil parameters,



Figure 85 Solubility of trivalent and hexavalent Cr as a function of pH.⁵¹



Figure 86 Reduction of Cr^{6+} by soil (pH 6.7) during the incubation. (a) Aerobic; (b) anaerobic; (c) anaerobic with added dry alfalfa material.⁸²

and increases especially with soil acidity. The process can be adequately described by an empirical rate equation presented by these authors. Organic substances added as sewage sludge to the soil (loamy sand, pH 6.1) caused a significant increase of two Cr species—associated with hydrous oxides and bound to organic matter (Figure 87).

The ready conversion of soluble Cr^{6+} to insoluble Cr^{3+} under normal soil conditions is of great importance because it is responsible for the low Cr availability to plants. Although the reduction of Cr^{6+} and Cr^{4+} is commonly reported, the oxidation of Cr^{3+} in soils has also been observed, apparently as an effect of the oxidizing ability of Mn compounds.⁵⁰ Bartlett¹²¹⁹ broadly described the mechanisms of Cr^{3+}/Cr^{6+} redox transformations in soil. As he has summarized, if thermodynamics ruled the behavior of Cr it would be oxidized, in most environmental conditions, to



Figure 87 Variation in Cr species in soils under sludge application. (A) unsludged soil, (B) sludged soil. Cr species: (F_1) easily soluble; (F_2) exchangeable; (F_3) associated with hydrous oxides; (F_4) bound to organic matter; and (F_5) residual.⁹⁸⁵

the hexavalent state and thus would be easily available to all organisms. Fortunately, reduction and adsorption processes in field soils rule the behavior of Cr and its transfer in the soil-plant system. James et al.¹³⁴³ described the Cr^{3+}/Cr^{6+} balance as a seesaw with Mn and organic matter, where the soil pH acts as a controllable master variable that helps to set the oxidizing reactivity of Mn oxides *vs*. the reducing properties of organic matter or other compounds.

The soil Cr is inherited from parent rocks and therefore its higher concentration is in soil derived from mafic and volcanic rocks (Table 137). Soils on serpentines in particular are known to contain as much as 0.2 to 0.4% Cr. Sandy soils and histosols are usually poorest in Cr, and contain an average of 47 and 12 ppm, respectively (Table 9). The soil Cr reveals a significant positive relationship with contents of fine granulometric fraction.¹³⁵⁷ The grand mean Cr content is calculated to be 54 ppm for worldwide surface soils. In Finnish soils, the 90th percentile for Cr soluble (leached) in acids (HNO₃, HCl) is given as 50 ppm, while the 90th percentile of Cr-total content (fusion H₂F₂) is 150 ppm.¹³⁶⁸

The immobility of soil Cr may be responsible for an inadequate Cr supply to plants. Cr is of nutritional importance because it is a required element in human and animal nutrition. However, as Cary et al.¹²² stated, the Cr added to soil appeared to be very inefficient in terms of its recovery by food crops, although it caused an increase of this element in various plants.

Readily soluble Cr^{6+} in soils is toxic to plants and animals. Therefore, the variability in the oxidation states of Cr in soils is of great environmental concern. Pacha et al.¹¹¹¹ reported harmful effects also of Cr^{3+} compounds on the biochemical activity of soils. The sensitivity of soil enzymes differs, the highest being for dehydrogenase (Figure 88).

The Cr content of surface soil is known to increase due to pollution from various sources, of which the main ones are several industrial wastes (e.g., electroplating sludges, Cr pigment and tannery wastes, leather manufacturing wastes) and municipal sewage sludges. The Cr added to soils is usually accumulated at the thin top layer. El-Bassam et al.²⁰⁵ found that after 80 years of irrigation with sewage sludge containing 112 ppm Cr, the metal concentration in soil increased from 43 to 113 ppm. Other authors also reported a high Cr accumulation for surface horizons of sludged farmland, where the highest Cr levels ranged from 214 to 727 ppm ^{59,176,314,1173} Especially contaminated surface soils were found in a proximity of Cr smelter heaps where the metal concentration exceeds 10,000 ppm.¹¹⁷³ Surface soil from the reclaimed land (1-m-deep layer above the Cr slag deposit) in Japan contains up to 4560 ppm Cr⁶⁺ (DW) due to the movement of this cation with capillary water and despite earlier reduction of Cr⁶⁺ to Cr³⁺ with FeSO₄ in the surface layer of the slag.⁹²⁶ Izasáki et al.¹⁰³⁵ reported the movement of Cr pollutants to deeper layers of the sandy soil, where it was detected in groundwater at a depth of 2 to 3 m.

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Austria	1.4–3.5	_	6
	Canada	2.6–34		243
	Great Britain	5–360	42	944
	New Zealand			557a 861
	Poland	30-91	51	665
	Romania	12-86	42	1127
	U.S.	3–200	40	706
	Belarus	18–25	21	493
Loess and silty soils	Bulgaria	77–128	_	255
	Chad New Zealand	180–300 31–160ª	_	39 861
	Poland	21–38	29	268
	U.S.	10-100	55	706
	Belarus	—	84	493
Loamy and clay soils	Austria	23–24		6
	Bulgaria	107-122	115	558
	Canada	4-46	19	243
	New Zealand	70–1100ª	_	861
	Poland	35–81	58	665
	Romania	19–73	40	1127
	U.S.	20–100	55	706
Caila an glaaial till	Former 5.0.		10	493, 631, 714
Sons on glaciar till	Poland	10-46	25	801 974
	U.S.	30–150	80	706
Fluvisols	Austria	13–30	16	6
	Bulgaria	—	91	558
	Madagascar	—	190	557a
	Former S.U.	_	55	4, 493
Gleysols	Austria		19 57	6 665
	Former S.U.		85	631
Rendzinas	Austria	_	38	6
	China	22–500	150	1124
	Ireland	35–50		236
	Madagascar	 5150	95 50	557a 706
Kastanozems and brown soils	Δustria	11_31	10	6
	Romania	15–67	40	1127
	Former S.U.	—	72	714
Ferralsols	Burma	69–331	—	575
	Chad	100-280	_	39
Calamahalus and calamate	Madagascar	130-540		557a
Solonchaks and solonelz	Chad	25-80	82	39
	Madagascar		215	557a
	Former S.U.	78–99	88	351
Chernozems	Bulgaria	116–173	153	558
	U.S.	15-150	55	706
	Former S.U.	71-195	121	4, 351, 714
Meadow solls	Former S.U.	30-110		631, 714
Histosols and other organic solls		4–39 1–100	20	243 706
	Denmark	1.8–10	7	1, 801
	Belarus	—	8	493
Forest soils	Bulgaria	152–1384	—	558
	U.S.	15–150	55	706
	Former S.U.	_	54	oji, 714

Table 137	Chromium	Content of	of Surface	Soils (of Different	Countries	(ppm	DW)	
-----------	----------	------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----------	------	-----	--

(Continued)

Table 137 Chromium Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW) (Continued)

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Various soils	Bulgaria	71–1085	221	558
	Great Britain	_	69	818
	Canada	11.6–189	50	409, 540
	Canada	10–100	43 ^b	521
	Chad	4–80	_	39
	Denmark	_	15	801
	Italy	20-307	95	946
	Japan	3.5-810	50	395
	Poland	4–68	20	183, 1045
	Germany	9–57	28	325, 390
	U.S.	7–1500	50	706

^a Soils derived from basalts and andesites.

^b Data for whole soil profiles.



Figure 88 Enzymatic activity of beech forest soil as affected by Cr³⁺(2000 ppm Cr as CrCl₃). Activity: (a) amylolitic; (b) alkaline phosphatase; (c) acid phosphatase; and (d) dehydrogenase.¹¹¹¹ (Modified from Pacha et al.¹¹¹¹)

Chaney et al.¹³⁰ extensively discussed the Cr hazard in biological waste management and stated that the food chain is well-protected from an excess of Cr by the "soil-plant barrier." This statement, however, is not fully supported by the findings of Diez and Rosopulo,¹⁷⁶ who reported the ready availability of Cr from soils amended with sewage sludge. Solubility and toxicity characteristics of Cr^{3+} and Cr^{6+} in soil present a challenge for the establishment of MAC value by regulatory agencies. The rule proposed ("Hazardous Waste Identification Rule") establishes the cumulative land application limit at 3000 kg Cr ha⁻¹, based on data showing no effects on plants (LOAEC) at this maximum level of Cr used in a field study.¹³⁴³ However, the Cr valence state and soil conditions for oxidizing Cr³⁺ should be considered.

Liming, P application, and organic matter are known to be effective in reducing chromate toxicity in Cr-polluted soils. If contamination of soil is by Cr^{6+} , acidification and then reducing agents (e.g., S and leaf litter) could be used to speed the Cr^{6+} reduction. After the reduction, liming to further precipitate Cr^{3+} compounds might be advisable.²⁸⁹

B. Plants

There is no evidence yet of an essential role of Cr in plant metabolism, although Mertz⁵³⁴ has reviewed the positive effects on plant growth of Cr applications to soils having a low soluble Cr content. The Cr content in plants is controlled mainly by the soluble Cr content of the soils.



Figure 89 Concentration of Cr in plant tissues as a function of Cr content of soil. (a) Potato stalks; (b) corn leaves; (c) wheat straw; (d) wheat grains; (e) barley grains; (f) tomato tops; (g) tomato roots.^{122,176}

Most soils contain significant amounts of Cr, but its availability to plants is highly limited. However, the addition of Cr to soil affects the Cr content of plants, and the rate of Cr uptake by plants is dependent on several soil and plant factors. Usually, a higher Cr content is observed in roots than in leaves or shoots, whereas the lowest concentration is in grains (Figure 89). Several native plants, mainly those from areas of serpentine or chromite deposits, can accumulate as much as 0.3% (DW) or 3.4% (AW) Cr.^{535,613} The elevated Cr content of soils in such areas is known to be responsible for the poor growth of forest trees.⁸⁵⁵

A low rate of Cr uptake by plants from the soluble fraction of this metal is related to the mechanism of uptake by roots. Apparently, root tissues are not capable of stimulating the reduction of Cr^{3+} to readily soluble Cr^{2+} , which is the key process in Fe absorption by plants.^{122,788} The form most available to plants is Cr^{6+} , which is the most unstable form under normal soil conditions. There are also reports on the easy absorption of CrO_4^{2-} anions by plant cells.¹¹¹⁰ Nevertheless, the mechanisms of absorption and translocation of Cr in plants seem to be similar to those of Fe, which is reflected in a fairly stable Cr/Fe ratio in plant tissues.¹²² Tiffin⁷⁸⁹ concluded that Cr is transported in plants as anionic complexes which have been identified in the extract of plant tissues and in xylem fluid. The presence of trioxalatochromate in plant cells into Cr^{3+} cations, which readily interact with DNA and protein compounds. Pacha and Galimska-Stypa¹¹¹⁰ reported that Cr^{6+} compounds exhibit a strong mutagenic impact on *Bacillus subtilis* cells, while treatment with the Cr^{3+} compounds are apparently not translocated through cell membranes.¹¹⁶⁵

The recent results of the experiments conducted by Zayed et al.¹⁵⁷⁰ have shown that patterns of accumulation and translocation of the two Cr ions $(Cr^{3+} \text{ and } CrO_4^{2-})$ are rather identical. There is a great difference, however, in the accumulation of Cr by shoots and roots of various vegetable crops. The highest concentration of Cr, supplied in two forms $(Cr^{3+} \text{ and } Cr^{6+})$, was found in roots of plants of the *Brassicaceae* family, and the lowest in roots of *Allium* sp. The Cr contents of shoots were much more uniform in all vegetable crops. The root to shoot translocation of Cr was quite limited due to the propensity of Cr³⁺ to bind to cell walls of roots. A highly increased accumulation

Country	Plant	Tissue Sample	FW Basis	DW Basis	AW Basis	Ref.
Finland	Grass	Tops		0.11–0.35		388
Poland	Oats	Grains	_	0.55	_	1045
	Wheat	Grains	_	0.2	_	267
	Rye	Grains	_	0.16	_	1045
	Clover	Tops		0.2-4.2	_	1045
	Grass	Tops	_	0.6–3.4	_	27
U.S.	Buckwheat	Seeds		0.03	_	122
	Wheat	Grains	_	0.014	_	122,860
	Potato	Tubers	0.018	0.021	0.49	574,705
	Beans	Pods	0.009	0.15	2.3	574,705
	Lettuce	Leaves	0.008	_	<1.5	574,705
	Onion	Bulbs	0.002	0.021	0.5	705
	Carrot	Roots	0.018	_	<1.5	574,705
	Tomato	Fruits	0.004	0.074	0.62	705
	Apple	Fruits	0.008	0.013	0.70	574,705
	Orange	Fruits	0.004	0.029	0.80	705
	Alfalfa	Tops	—	0.10-0.91	—	122,200

Table 138 Chromium Content of Food and Forage Plants (ppm)

of Cr in roots (up to 160 ppm), especially of Indian mustard and fodder radish, was observed in plants growing in Cr-polluted soil (247 ppm Cr) in the vicinity of a former galvanization plant.¹⁴⁹³ The Cr concentration in shoots of those plants did not exceed 10 ppm.

The Cr content of plants has received much attention since the relatively recent discovery that Cr participates in glucose and cholesterol metabolism, and therefore is essential to man and animals.⁶⁹⁶ There is not much literature on Cr in plants. Common levels of Cr found in plant material are usually on the order of 0.02 to 0.2 ppm (DW); however, a relatively great variation is observed in the Cr content of food plants (Table 138). Concentrations of Cr in plants vary widely for kinds of tissues and stages of growth, and the trend in Cr variation appears to be irregular, as can be concluded from the data given by Mertz et al.⁵³⁵ for various trees.

Although stimulating effects of Cr on plants have been observed by several authors, the phytotoxicity of Cr has been often reported, especially in plants on soils developed from ultrabasic rocks. Anderson et al.²³ reported toxicity in oats having a Cr content of 49 ppm (DW) where grown on soil containing 634 ppm Cr. Turner and Rust⁸¹⁰ observed initial symptoms of Cr toxicity with the addition of as little as 0.5 ppm Cr to the nutrient culture, and 60 ppm to the soil culture. These Cr additions resulted in decreased concentrations of almost al major nutrients in tops and of K, P, Fe, and Mg in roots. The antagonistic interaction between Cr and Mn, Cu, and B has also been reported by Turner and Rust,⁸¹⁰ and this can be related to interferences both within the soil medium and in the plant tissues. In some cases, however, synergistic interactions between Cr and Fe are also observed.

The toxicity of Cr depends on its oxidation state, but is also related to readily available forms of chromate. While a Cr_2O_7 addition at the 10^{-5} N concentration level decreased plant growth by about 25%, the same level of $Cr_2(SO_4)_3$ was without any effect on growth, but the Cr additions resulted in 2.2 and 1.3 ppm Cr, respectively, in bush bean leaves.⁸⁴¹ The phytotoxic concentrations of Cr in tops of plants were reported as follows (DW basis): 18 to 24 ppm in tobacco, 4 to 8 ppm in corn, 10 ppm in barley seedlings, and 10 to 100 ppm in rice.^{171,279,395} Kloke et al.¹⁰⁵² estimated that a very low Cr content, 1 to 2 ppm (DW), inhibits the growth of sensitive plant species. The toxic levels of Cr reported by Macnicol and Beckett¹⁰⁸¹ are much broader and range from 1 to 10 ppm (DW). At the same dose (750 μ g Cr per g soil) of Cr⁶⁺ and Cr³⁺, an increased uptake of Cr and reduced oat yield was observed; however, the harmful effect and mobility were significantly greater in the case of Cr⁶⁺ addition.¹⁴⁰⁵

Symptoms of Cr toxicity appear as wilting of tops and root injury; also, chlorosis in young leaves, chlorotic bands on cereals, and brownish-red leaves are typical features. Increased levels of Cr in the nutrient solution (up to $10^4 \mu M$) are reported to disorganize the fine structure of chloroplasts and the chloroplast membranes of *Lemna minor* (duckweed).⁵³

Anthropogenic sources of Cr are responsible for the elevated content of this metal in plants. Elevated contents of Cr (up to 600 ppm) in some phosphate fertilizers (Table 23) may be a significant source of this metal in soils. The most hazardous addition of Cr to a soil is related to tannery sludges, which can contain up to 2.8% of this metal.¹²⁶⁹ A special environmental concern, however, is the oxidation stage of Cr in tannery sludges, of which Cr⁶⁺ can reach 1459 µmol kg⁻¹.¹²¹⁸ Czarnowska¹⁵⁸ reported mean Cr concentrations in grass near city streets to be as high as 17 ppm (DW). Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ gave a range of Cr in lichens collected within urban areas to be 5 to 10 ppm (DW). Folkeson²³⁹ found 5 ppm Cr (DW) in mosses near peat-fired plants and 9 ppm Cr in mosses from the edge of a waste heap of the ash, as contrasted with background concentrations of 1 to 1.5 ppm. Average content of Cr in Norwegian moss is 2.6 ppm.¹²²³ Gough and Severson²⁷⁸ found 500 ppm Cr in ash of sagebrush from the vicinity of a P fertilizer factory. Vegetables grown in allotment gardens near the oil refinery in Plock (Poland) have elevated concentrations of Cr; the maximum contents are (in ppm): carrot roots 13, and leaves 148; red beet roots 6, and leaves 154.¹⁴¹⁸

Wastes containing increased Cr concentration are reported by Chaney et al.¹³⁰ not to be hazardous to human health; there is evidence of Cr toxicity to livestock grazing grass with an elevated Cr content due to rich Cr sewage sludge amendment.³⁸¹

VI. MOLYBDENUM

A. Soils

The terrestrial abundance of Mo, estimated at about 3 ppm, shows its association with granitic and other acid magmatic rocks. The common range of Mo in these rocks is 1 to 2 ppm, while in organic-rich argillaceous sediments, the Mo content may be above 2 ppm (Table 127). Mo behaves both like a chalcophile and a lithophile element, and its geochemistry in the surface environments is related mainly to anionic species. The primary mineral of Mo^{4+} , molybdenide (MoS_2), is known to contain most of the terrestrial Mo and is associated with Fe and Ti minerals.

During weathering, Mo sulfides are slowly oxidized and yield mainly the $MoO_4^{2^-}$ anion which dominates in neutral and moderate alkaline pH ranges, and $HMoO_4^-$ which occurs at lower pH values. However, easily mobile anions are readily coprecipitated by organic matter, $CaCO_3$, and by several cations such as Pb^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} . Also, differential adsorption of Mo by Fe, Al, and Mn hydrous oxides contributes to the retention of Mo in surfacial deposits. All these reactions are highly dependent on pH and Eh conditions and therefore the net results of Mo migration during weathering may be poorly predictable.

The Mo content of soils usually resembles that of their parent rocks, and ranges from 0.013 to 17.0 ppm in world soils (Table 139). Kubota⁴³⁵ gave the range of Mo in U.S. soils as from 0.08 to over 30 ppm and the median concentration as slightly more than 1 ppm. The Mo means for different soil units vary from 1.3 to 2.8 ppm (DW) for podzols and cambisols, respectively (Table 7). Thus, the world mean of 1.8 ppm calculated from these data appears to be reasonable and is supported by the value given by Wedepohl.⁸⁵⁵ In general, soils derived from granitic rocks and from some organic-rich shales are likely to contain large amounts of Mo. Koljonen¹³⁶⁸ reported that the total content of Mo (fusion H_2F_2) in Finnish soils, at the 90th percentile, is 1.5 ppm. Govindaraju¹³¹³ presented the results for Mo in reference soils from China to range from 0.3 to 18 ppm, and in soils from the U.S. in the range 1 to 2 ppm. A very high content of Mo, up to 24 ppm, is reported to be in soils of British Columbia (Canada) where commercial production of vegetables takes place.¹⁴⁵³ The median content of Mo in Lithuanian soils is 0.62 ppm and does not show any variability due to different soil texture.¹³⁵⁹

The behavior of Mo in soils has been extensively studied because it has a rather unique position among other micronutrients in that it is least soluble in acid soils and readily mobilized in alkaline

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Australia Canada New Zealand Poland Yugoslavia Russia	2.6-3.7 0.40-2.46 1-2 ^a 0.2-3.0 0.17-0.51 ^b 0.3-2.9	 1.5 1.5	792 244 861 382 687 274, 914
Loess and silty soils	New Zealand China Poland U.S Russia	2.2–3.1ª 0.4–1.1 0.6–3.0 0.75–6.40 1.8–3.3	 2.5 2.2	861 1068 381 434, 435 274
Loamy and clay soils	Great Britain Canada Mali Republic New Zealand Poland U.S. U.S.° Russia	0.7-4.5 0.93-4.74 0.5-0.75 2.1-4.2ª 0.1-6.0 1.2-7.2 1.5-17.8 0.6-4.0	2.5 1.7 — 4.1 5.8 2	819 736, 244 39 861 382 434, 435 434, 435 274, 914
Fluvisols	India Czech Republic Mali Republic Yugoslavia Russia	0.4–3.1 ^b 2.8–3.5 0.44–0.65 0.35–0.53 ^b 1.8–3.0	1.6 3.1 — 2.4	645, 730, 455 973 39 687 914
Gleysols	Australia Dahomey India Ivory Coast Yugoslavia Russia	2.5–3.5 — 1.1–1.8 ^b 0.18–0.60 0.52–0.74 ^b 0.6–2.0	 3.0 1.3	792 617 544, 645 650 687 914
Rendzinas	India China Ireland Poland Yugoslavia U.S. Russia	1.4–1.8 0.32–7.35 – 1–3 0.76–1.03 ^b 0.3–2.0 0.6–1.9	— 1.9 1 — 1.3 1.4	455 1124 236 382 687 434, 435 274, 914
Kastanozems and brown soils	Australia China Ireland Russia	3.5–6.9 1–4 <1–1 0.4–2.8	 2.3 1.3	792 1068 236 343, 914
Ferralsols	India China Ivory Coast Madagascar	1.3–11.6 0.5–5.1 0.4–10.0 2.5–17.0	3 	455, 772 1068 650 557a
Solonchaks	Former S.U. Czech Republic	0.9–5.7 2.6–3.2	2.4 3	12, 914 973
Chernozems	Former S.U. Kirgiz Republic	1.6–4.6 0.6–0.8	2.6 0.7	274, 914 1097
Meadow soils	Former S.U. Czech Republic	1.0–3.9 1.4–1.7	2.0 1.5	12, 274, 914 973
Histosols and other organic soils	Canada Russia	0.69–3.2 0.3–1.9	1.9 1.2	244 914
Forest soils	Bulgaria China Former S.U.	0.3–4.6 0.2–8.3	 2.2 3.1	39, 188 225 420

Table 139 Molybdenum Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

(Continued)

Table 139 Molybdenum Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW) (Continued)

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Various soils	Great Britain	1–5	1.2	785, 818
	India	0.013-2.5	_	772
	Italy	0.4-2.2	0.9	946
	Japan	0.2-11.3	2.6	395
	U.S.	0.8–3.3	2	434, 435
	Russia	0.8–3.6	2.2	914

^a Soils derived from basalts and andesites

^b Data for whole soil profiles.

° Soils from areas of the western states of Mo toxicity to grazing animals.



Figure 90 Solubility* of Mo (a) and activity of Mo (b) as a function of soil pH. *Soil containing 1.6 ppm Mo leached with HCl and KOH in the presence of 1 μM CaCl₂.^{384,475}

soils (Figure 90). Vlek and Lindsay⁸²⁷ and Lindsay⁴⁷⁷ studied the behavior of different Mo minerals and concluded that soil solubility of Mo is very close to that of PbMoO₄-soils-Pb systems. This mineral (wulfenite), however, cannot be expected to be the most common Mo compound in soil. It seems most likely that a great proportion of soil Mo is associated with matter and Fe hydrous oxides. This is supported by findings of Čumakov,⁹⁷³ who studied the Mo speciation in various soils. He found the highest proportion of Mo to be associated with polymeric organic compounds resistant to oxidation processes. Other kinds of organic substances also reveal a higher affinity to Mo than clay minerals (Table 140). Due to the great affinity of Mo to be fixed by organic matter, its concentration in forest litter can reach 50 ppm.

Kraushkopf,⁴²⁷ Norrish,⁵⁷⁰ and Lindsay⁴⁷⁷ have reviewed findings on Mo behavior in soils and have concluded that, in the inorganic forms, Mo is associated mainly with Fe oxides, probably as an adsorbed phase. The molybdate adsorbed on freshly precipitated $Fe(OH)_3$ is readily exchangeable; but as the precipitate ages the Mo becomes less soluble and ferrimolybdite ($Fe_2(MoO_4)_3 \cdot 8H_2O$) or other slightly soluble Fe-Mo semicrystalline forms may occur.

Table 140	Molybdenum Species in Soils (mean values for chernozems and
	fluvigleysols) ⁹⁷³

	Content o	of Mo Species
Species	ppm (DW)	% of Total Mo
H ₂ O soluble	0.097	3.5
Fixed on clay surface	0.269	9.8
Fixed by organic substances occluding sesquioxides	0.455	16.8
Fixed by organic substances (only)	0.439	16.2
Fixed by polymeric organic compounds resistant to oxidation	0.846	31.3
Fixed by inorganic C-particles	0.150	5.6
Residual	0.458	16.8

The solubility, and thus availability of Mo to plants, is highly governed by soil pH and drainage conditions. Mo from wet alkaline soils is most easily taken up, but the geochemical processes involved in this phenomenon are not completely understood. Apparently, this uptake is related to the high activity of MOQ_4^{-2} in an alkaline medium (Figure 90), as well as to an ability to form soluble thiomolybdates under reducing conditions (e.g., MoS_4^{-2} , $MoO_2S_2^{-7}$). Lindsay⁴⁷⁷ assumed that plants growing in a reducing environment are capable of transporting O₂ through the stem to the roots, and therefore Mo compounds close to the root surface become more oxidized and thus more soluble. The impact of sewage sludges on the mobility and phytoavailability of Mo has been observed.¹³⁵⁷

On acid soils (pH < 5.5) low in Mo, and especially on those with a high Fe oxide level, Mo is hardly available to plants. The low availability of Mo that occasionally appears in peat soils seems to be affected by strong fixation of Mo^{5+} by humic acid following the earlier reduction of the MoO_4^{-2} as stated by Mengel and Kirby.⁵³¹ On the other hand, organic-rich soils can supply adequate amounts of Mo to plants due to a slow release of this element from organic bond forms.

Liming of acid soils is a common practice to increase Mo availability to plants. However, at higher rates of liming, the solubility of Mo may decrease due to its adsorption by $CaCO_3$.⁷⁷² The application of Mo salts also increases the available Mo pool in soils, and it is preferable to liming when an increase in soil pH is not desired. Great caution must be taken in Mo fertilization, as this can result in high Mo levels in fodder. This method for assessing the need for Mo application has been extensively described in several textbooks. Ammonium oxalate solution is currently used in many laboratories to extract the available Mo from soil, as well as hot water leaching.¹⁵¹

Soils in arid and semiarid regions, especially ferralsols, generally have higher Mo contents. However, in humid and temperate regions, soils over Mo-rich material may also contain hazardous amounts of Mo. These soils, and soils polluted with Mo, need amelioration to depress the Mo availability to plants. The application of S is most effective, whereas increasing the soils P is known to stimulate Mo uptake by plants.

Industrial pollution (mining, smelting, processing of metals, and oil refining) may be responsible for elevated Mo concentrations in soils. Hornick et al.³²⁴ found 35 ppm Mo in the soil surrounding a Mo processing plant in the U.S., and Schalscha et al.¹¹³⁹ reported Mo accumulation up to 38 ppm (range of 22.2 to 38.2) in surface soils at a similar site in Chile. Some sewage sludges contain elevated amounts of Mo (up to 50 ppm) and may have an impact by both enriching the total Mo content and increasing the solubility of soil Mo.^{314,452} Also, fallout or the application of fly-ash from some coal-fired power plants should be considered as potential sources of Mo, since even if only small amounts of Mo are added, its availability is increased by the alkaline reaction of the fly-ashes. Mo is also frequently found in sludges (at average concentration of 40 PM DW),¹¹¹⁶ in forms easily available to plants. Where Mo-enriched sludges are destined for application to land, crops should be monitored to ensure that Mo concentrations are within safe limits, especially for grazing animals.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Biochemical Functions

Mo is an essential micronutrient, but the physiological requirement for this element is relatively low. Plants take up Mo mainly as molybdate ions, and its absorption is proportional to its concentration in the soil solution. Although there is no direct evidence, there is a suggestion of the active uptake of Mo.⁵⁴⁸ Mo is moderately mobile in plants, but the form of translocated Mo is unknown. Tiffin⁷⁸⁸ discussed the possibility of organic complexing, mainly of the Mo-S amino acid complex that was found in the xylem fluid.

Mo, the essential component of nitrogenase and nitrate reductase, is also present in other enzymes (oxidases) that catalyze diverse and unrelated reactions. The basic enzymatic role of Mo is related to its function as redox carrier and is apparently reflected in the valency change between Mo⁶⁺ and Mo^{5+,564} Ivchenko¹⁰³⁴ reviewed findings on Mo functions in the metabolism of plants and emphasized a high concentration of Mo in nucleic acids and its inhibitory and regulatory functions in enzymatic activities of DNA and RNA.

Normally, there is 1 ppm (DW) or less of Mo in leaf tissues, whereas nodulated roots contain several times these concentrations. Most of this Mo apparently is in the nitrate reductase of root and shoot and in the nitrogenase of the nodule bacterioids. Two Mo-containing enzymes in N metabolism are involved in either N_2 fixation or NO₃ reduction. Thus, the requirement of plants for Mo appears to be related to the N supply; plants supplied with NH₄-N have less need for Mo than those utilizing NH₃-N.

Mo is known to be essential to microorganisms, and some bacteria species are able to oxidize molybdenite in soils. Rhizobium bacteria and other N-fixing microorganisms have an especially large requirement for Mo. Some fungi and nitrogen-fixing bacteria tend to concentrate Mo up to 100 ppm.

Since the most important function of Mo in plants is NO_3 reduction, a deficiency of this micronutrient causes symptoms similar to those of N deficiency. Some plants, however, also show more specific Mo deficiency symptoms (e.g., "whiptail" in cauliflower) (Table 38).

A deficient Mo content of plants depends on various factors, in particular, on interactions with other elements. Requirements for Mo are generally met at concentrations within the range of 0.03 to 0.15 ppm (DW) in tissues of most plants; only some leguminious crops require more Mo (Table 36).

Although easily soluble soil Mo is also readily taken up by plants and some plant species are known to accumulate much Mo, its toxicity symptoms in plants under field conditions are very rare, whereas toxicities to animals feeding on forages high in this element are well-known. The only relatively high concentration of Mo that was toxic, 135 ppm (DW), was reported by Davis et al.¹⁷¹ for young spring barley.

Crop response, particularly of leguminous crops, to Mo application has been widely reported for soil conditions throughout the world, especially for acid and ferralitic soils. While correction of Mo deficiencies may be accomplished by Mo application as soil, foliar, or seed treatments, care must be taken in its use since Mo may be very toxic, even at quite low concentrations, in fodder plants. Therefore, the preferable control of Mo deficiency is liming the soil to a pH of around 6.5.

2. Interactions with Other Elements

Several complex interactions between Mo and other elements are observed within plant tissues and also in the external root media (Figure 30). The most important interactions are those between Cu, Mo, and S, which are differentially governed by diverse factors. The Mo-Cu antagonism in plants is strongly related to N metabolism (Chapter 6, Section V.B.3). Mo-S relations may have antagonistic or stimulative effects on Mo uptake by plants, depending on the rate of application of S-containing fertilizers.⁵⁸¹ However, a wide range of combinations between Cu, Mo, and S in herbage and, further, in animal nutrition, may occur. Gartrell²⁵⁷ has reviewed this topic and made a few

generalizations showing that soil factors that increase the availability of Mo to plants usually have inhibitory effects on the Cu uptake by plants and that the physiological barrier to Mo uptake by plants is much less effective than that to Cu uptake. Nutritional effects of Cu/Mo ratios in pastures on animal health is controlled by the sulfate concentration in plants, and an increased level of SO_4^{2-} may reduce Cu absorption with even small amounts of Mo. It has been established that soils with 5 ppm Mo can be associated with growth retardation and lower reproduction in cattle due to the Mo-Cu nutritional relationship.

Mo-Mn antagonism resulting from soil acidity influences the availability of these elements; therefore, liming can correct both Mo deficiency and Mn toxicity. Mo-Fe interactions are demonstrated as low Mo availability in Fe-rich soils, whereas increased Mo levels may induce Fe deficiency, or accentuated Mn-induced Fe chlorosis. Although mechanisms of the various interactions are not well-understood, the formation of Fe-Mo precipitates within root tissues may be responsible for low Mo translocation.⁵⁸¹

Mo-W and Mo-V metabolic interactions are not precluded, since a substitution is possible between these elements in biochemical processes. A Mo-P interaction is often demonstrated as an enhancing effect of P on Mo availability in acid soils, apparently due to both a higher solubility of the phosphomolybdate complex, as well as to a higher Mo mobility within plant tissues. However, reported effects of P fertilizers on Mo availability are contradictory, and while the ordinary SO₄containing superphosphate reduced Mo uptake, concentrated superphosphate increased Mo uptake.³ Thus, Mo-P interactions are variable and highly governed by diverse soil factors and are also related to plant metabolic processes. Mo-Ca interactions are complex and highly cross-linked with the range of the soil pH.

3. Concentrations in Plants

No simple relationship is apparent between the total Mo content of soils and plants, although some authors have reported a linear relationship for Mo content of herbage and its total concentration in soil. The Mo concentrations in plants closely reflect the soluble Mo pool, for Mo seems to be very readily absorbed by plants when present in soluble forms. The Mo uptake by plants is a function of soil pH (Figure 91). Usually a positive correlation is observed between the relative Mo uptake and the soil pH.⁷⁸⁴ This has been clearly demonstrated by Doyle et al.,¹⁹³ who found



Figure 91 The effect of soil pH on the Mo content of (a) pasture on peat bog soil; (b) pasture on mineral soil; (c) rape, shoots; and (d) rape, roots.^{275,384}

	Grasse	es	Legun	nes	
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Bulgaria	_		0.04–0.32	0.18	220
Canada	0.4-8.0	1.3	_	_	192
Czech Republic	_	0.3	_	_	154
Finland	0.14-0.80	0.38ª	_	_	221
	0.23-0.91	0.45	0.20-1.3	0.70	591,727
Germany	0.08-1.04	0.33	0.21-5.0	0.80	31,65
Germany	0.42-0.88	0.70	_	_	596
Great Britain	0.5-4.0 ^b	_	_	_	784
	0.25-1.47	0.56	_	_	543
Ireland	0.18-0.77	_	0.28-0.52	_	235
Japan	0.04-3.05	0.72	0.01–3.64	0.92	770
Poland	<0.02-1.68	0.33	0.02-3.56	0.50	1045
Sweden	0.2-4.8	1.4	0.3-20.5	2.5	384
U.S.º	0.5-2.0	_	<0.7–15.0	1.8	710
U.S.	_	_	0.01–3.46	0.73	435
Russia	—	—	1.31–3.61	2.3	337

Table 141 Molybdenum Content of Grasses and Legumes from Areas Where Mo Toxicity in Grazing Animals Was Not Observed (ppm DW)

^a Grass heavily fertilized with N.

^b Pasture herbage.

° Calculated from AW basis.

	Gras	ses	Legur	nes	
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Canada	0.6–17.0	4.0	1.0-20.0	5.2	192
	2.4-12.0	5.0ª	4.8-6.0	5.4ª	237
Great Britain	0.1-7.2	1.5 [⊳]	_	_	784
Sweden	1–234 ^b	_	_	_	384
U.S.	0.7-6.8	3.7	18.9–39.6	26.6	434
Russia	10–50 ^b	—	—	—	420

 Table 142
 Molybdenum Content of Forage Plants from Areas Where

 Mo Toxicity in Grazing Animals Was Observed (ppm DW)

^a Samples from the periphery of a Mo ore body.

^b Pasture herbage.

abnormally high Mo values (up to 52 ppm, mean 11 ppm) in native plants grown on neutral or alkaline soils, whereas on Mo-rich acid soils, and Mo-low soils, Mo concentrations in the same varieties of plants averaged respectively, 0.9 and <0.2 ppm.

Some native plants, particularly leguminous species, are known to accumulate as much as about 500 ppm (AW) Mo, or about 350 ppm (DW), without showing toxicity symptoms.^{556,618} The Mo content of forages is of special concern; therefore, most available data are on the Mo status in grasses and legumes. Mean Mo levels range from 0.33 to 1.5 ppm (DW) in grasses and from 0.73 to 2.3 ppm in legumes from different countries. In areas where Mo toxicity was observed in grazing animals, the Mo content of grasses ranged from 1.5 to 5.0 ppm (DW) and in legumes from 5.2 to 26.6 ppm (DW) (Tables 141 and 142). In general, greater than 10 ppm (DW) Mo levels in forage present a serious problem to most classes of livestock. In pasture, Mo is known to vary with different stages of plant development and with periods of the growing season. The reported Mo content was high in the spring and autumn seasons.³⁸⁴ Phillips and Meyer ^{1117a} observed a decrease in Mo concentrations of alfalfa fields known for high Mo levels, during the period from 1950 to 1985. The decline in Mo average contents from 10.78 to 3.57 ppm is, according to these authors, caused by leaching of soluble salts with irrigation, acidification of soils by N fertilizers, and crop removal.

Plant	Tissue Sample	FW Basis (574, 705, 1187)	DW Basis (337, 589, 705, 910)	AW Basis (705)
Sweet corn	Grains	0.045; 0.22	0.18	6.9
Kidney bean	Seeds	_	0.9–1.6	_
Pea	Seeds	_	1.2-1.75	_
Snap bean	Pods	0.23	2.1	30.0
Carrot	Roots	<0.08; 0.015	0.04	<7.0
Sugar beet	Roots	_	0.45-0.75	_
	Leaves	_	0.39	_
Lettuce	Leaves	0.005	0.074	0.53
Cabbage	Leaves	< 0.099	0.85	9.1
Potato	Tubers	0.047	0.25, 0.15	5.9
Onion	Bulbs	0.024; 0.016	0.24, 0.16	5.6
Cucumber	Fruits	<0.087	0.82	8.3
Tomato	Fruits	0.042; 0.024	0.82	6.8
Apple	Fruits	0.0018	0.07	3.9
Orange	Fruits	0.014	0.11	3.1
Теа	Leaves	—	0.2-0.3	_

Table 143 Molybdenum Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

Countries (ppm Dw)					
Country	Plant	Range	Mean	Ref.	
Canada	Barley	_	0.29	293	
	Oats	_	0.41	293	
	Wheat	—	0.18	293	
Czech Republic	Barley ^a	0.28-0.41	0.32	563	
	Oats	0.59–0.84	0.69	563	
	Wheat ^b	0.18-0.42	0.32	563	
Finland	Barley	0.19-0.49	0.33	727	
	Oats	0.21-0.59	0.40	727	
	Rye	0.23-0.57	0.40	727	
	Wheat ^b	0.14-0.38	0.26	727	
Norway	Barley	0.02-0.59	0.16	446	
	Wheat	0.07-1.09	0.29	446	
Poland	Wheat ^b	0.20-0.60	0.35	267, 335	
Sweden	Barley	0.54-1.00	0.79	384	
U.S.	Barley	0.58-2.4	0.92	200	
	Oats	0.28-1.9	0.88	200	
	Wheat ^a	0.08-1.1	0.49	200	
	Wheat ^b	0.40-1.1	0.64	200	
	Rice	0.18–3.05	0.66°	1187	
Russia	Barley	0.69–0.75	0.72	337	
	Oats	0.42-0.62	0.50	337	
	Rye	0.12-1.31	0.50	337, 501	
	Wheat	0.26-1.31	0.64	337	

Table 144 Molybdenum Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Spring wheat.

^b Winter wheat.

° FW basis.

Plant foodstuffs contain variable amounts of Mo within the range 0.0018 to 1.23 ppm (FW), 0.07 to 1.75 ppm (DW), and 0.53 to 30 ppm (AW), with legume vegetables being in the upper range and fruits being in the lower range (Table 143). The Mo content of cereal grains averages 0.49 ppm (DW) and does not show a great variation under widely ranging field conditions (Table 144). Recent data presented by Brüggemann et al.¹²⁴⁷ for Mo in plant foodstuffs, collected

in Germany during the period 1989 to 1994, are as follows (in ppm): rye grain, 0.539; wheat grain, 0.394; potato (unpeeled tubers), 0.210; and dehydrated potato, 0.007. The concentration of Mo in grass is of great concern, since grazing cattle can suffer from Mo toxicity, especially when there is a low content of Cu (ratio Cu:Mo below 4 to 5) and low S supply in forage.

The ready availability of Mo causes a great increase in uptake when plants are grown in contaminated sites. Karlsson³⁸⁴ found up to 200 ppm DW of Mo in pasture plants from the vicinity of a metallurgical factory. Hornick et al.³²⁴ reported that plants grown on the Mo-polluted soil near a Mo processing plant accumulated this element in concentrations ranging from 124 to 1061 ppm (DW) in lettuce and cabbage, respectively. Furr et al.²⁵⁰ found that beans and cabbage grown on soil amended with municipal sludge ashes had an increased Mo content up to 18 and 19 ppm (DW) contrasted to the control values of 0.8 and 0.4 ppm, respectively. Thus, elevated Mo concentration in soils resulting from industrial pollution or agricultural practices may be locally responsible for the pronounced abnormal Mo content of plants. For example, grasses grown in the vicinity of a Mo ore-processing plant in Chile contain as much as 6.5 to 36.0 ppm (DW).¹¹³⁹

VII. TUNGSTEN

The distribution of W in the Earth's crust shows that its concentration seems to increase with increasing acidity of magmatic rocks and with increasing clay content of sedimentary rocks (Table 127). The common W contents of acid granitoids and of argillaceous sediments range from 1 to 2 ppm, whereas in mafic rocks and sandstones or limestones the W range is 0.5 to 1.1 ppm.

All W minerals are only slightly soluble and hence have low mobility in hypergenic environments. Shcherbina⁷¹⁶ reported that $WO_4^{2^-}$ as well as W-complexed compounds may be readily transported in a particular geochemical environment. Presumably, the geochemical behavior of W resembles that of Mo.

There is a scarcity of data concerning the occurrence of W in soils. Ure et al.⁸¹⁹ found a range of W from 0.68 to 2.7 ppm in Scottish soils derived from different parent material, with the highest value for soil over quartz-mica schist and the lowest in the soil over serpentine. Furr et al.²⁵⁰ reported 1.2 and 2.5 ppm W in two soils of the U.S. These authors gave the W content of two sludge ashes as 43 and 186 ppm. Recent data for W in the reference soils from China gave a broad range from 0.95 to 85.5 ppm, and in soils from the U.S. a range from 0.5 to 5 ppm.¹³¹³ The soils of Finland contain 2.23 ppm W at the 90th percentile range.¹³⁶⁸ Panova¹¹¹⁵ described W species in polluted soils surrounding a W ore-processing plant in the former Soviet Union. The total W contents of soils varied from 100 to 2000 ppm (DW), of which 50% was present in the forms of primary minerals, 30% was H₂O soluble, 15% was bound to Fe oxides, and 5% was associated with organic matter (HA and FA).

Apparently, like Mo, W is easily available to plants under certain conditions; therefore, its concentrations are likely to be elevated in plants growing on soil overlying W ore bodies. Wilson and Cline⁸⁸² found that barley grown in soils with added ¹⁸⁵W removed a large proportion of that radionuclide and that the lower uptake from acid soil suggested that plants probably take up an anionic form, $WO_4^{2^-}$. Also Bell and Sneed⁶² reported a high accumulation of ¹⁸⁵W, release from nuclear reactions, in plant roots.

Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ reported that Curtain and King found the range in W concentrations in trees and shrubs of the Rocky Mountains in Colorado to be 5 to 100 ppm (DW), with the highest value in juniper stems. Connor and Shacklette¹⁴⁵ found a W range from 30 to 70 ppm in ash of single tree samples. Duke¹⁹⁷ reported the range of W in various food plants to be <0.001 to 0.35 (DW). Bowen⁹⁴ gave the overall range of W as 0.0 to 0.15 ppm (DW) in land plants, 0.01 to 0.15 in edible parts of vegetables, and 0.02 to 0.13 ppm in lichens and bryophytes. Mosses of Norway have a broad range of W, from <0.002 to 1.5 ppm.¹²²³ Furr et al.²⁴⁹ found W to range from 0.7 to 3.5 ppm (DW) in vegetables growing on soil amended with fly-ash. Panova¹¹¹⁵ studied W distribution in

semiarid herb (mother wort) grown in the vicinity of a W industry and observed a linear decrease of W content, from 600 to 50 ppm (AW), with increasing distance from the pollution source.

Gough et al.²⁷⁹ reviewed the possible toxicity of W to plants, which appears to be moderate. Plants growing in a mineralized zone contained up to 18 times the background W value of 2.7 ppm without showing toxicity symptoms.

There are some suggestions of a possible biological significance of W in plants. Nicholas⁵⁶⁴ mentioned that when W is substituted for Mo in the nitrate reductase enzyme, it has no catalytic activity. Apparently, W⁶⁺ is more stable than Mo⁶⁺ and does not readily change its valence. Zajic⁸⁹⁸ described the antagonistic influence of W on Mo activity in N-fixation, but this effect is differentially governed by the pH of the media. There are some observations that W can be readily taken up by plants under specific soil conditions.¹⁴⁴⁸

CHAPTER 12

Elements of Group VII

I. INTRODUCTION

Geochemical and biochemical characteristics of the elements in Group VII are widely diverging. Trace elements of the Subgroup VIIa (new group 17) the halogens (F, Cl, Br, and I), are much less common in the biosphere than Cl which some authors include also as a trace element. The last element of this subgroup, astatine (At), occurs as radioactive isotopes of very short lives. In nature, halogens form simple anions or, except F, combine with oxygen anions and play a significant role in biochemical processes. These anions are highly mobile in the Earth's crust although they are considered to reveal lithophile properties.

Of the Subgroup VIIb (new group 7) Mn is the only element essential to living organisms and is common in natural environments. Technetium (Tc) does not occur in nature because all of its radioactive isotopes are short-lived. However, Tc is potentially hazardous via soil-plant pathways in view of the high probability of its release in significant amounts during a reactor accident. ⁹⁹Tc is reported to have an extremely high transfer factor from soils to wheat, reflecting the high level of its phytoavailability.¹⁰¹⁶ Metallic Tc is a product of fission and reveals chemical features similar to Re. Re is a dispersed element in nature and, like Mn, has variable valency and shows lithophile or chalcophile character.

II. FLUORINE

A. Soils

F is a typical lithophile element under terrestrial conditions, and its larger concentration (850 to 1200 ppm) is found in intermediate and acid siliceous igneous rocks (Table 145). In sediments, F is known to be associated with clay fractions and therefore is likely to occur in larger amounts in argillaceous deposits. A high concentration of F is known to be associated with some phosphate deposits over large areas (e.g., in Africa and the U.S.). Appreciable quantities of fluorite (CaF₂) also occur in some limestones and dolomites as fissure veins and in other forms.

There are not many stable F minerals; the most common are topaz $(Al_2(F,OH)_2SiO_4)$, cryolite (Na_3AlF_6) , and fluorite (CaF_2) . Free F may sometimes occur in rocks in gaseous nebulae. Compounds of F are also important constituents of magmatic gases and volcanic exhalations.

F reveals an affinity to replace hydroxyl groups in minerals, and these reactions have resulted in fluoroapatite $(Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_2)$, the most common F mineral, and have also been responsible for increased amounts of F in amphiboles, micaceous minerals, etc. A strong association of F with phosphates is also observed in both primary and secondary minerals.

Table 145 Fluorine, Chlorine, Bromine, Iodine, and Manganese in Major Rock Types (ppm) (values commonly found, based on various sources)

Rock Type	F	CI	Br	I	Mn
	Magmati	c Rocks			
Ultramafic rocks Dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites	50–100	40–80	0.2–1.0	0.01–0.50	850–1500
Mafic rocks, Basalts, gabbros	300–500	60–300	0.5–3.0	0.08–0.50	1200–2000
Intermediate rocks Diorites, syenites	500–1200	100–300	1–4	0.3–0.5	500–1200
Acid rocks Granites, gneisses	520-850	130–200	0.3–4.5	0.2–0.5	350–600
Acid rocks (volcanic) Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	300–700	200–300	0.2–1.0	0.1–0.5	600–1200
	Sediment	ary Rocks			
Argillaceous sediments Shales Sandstones Limestones, dolomites	500–800 500–800 50–270 50–350	160–180 160–200 10–20 150–300	5–10 6–10 1–5 6	1.0–2.2 2–6 0.5–1.5 0.5–3.0	400–800 500–850 100–500 200–1000



Figure 92 Adsorption of F by soil and clay as a function of pH. (a₂ and a₈) Soil equilibrated against 2 and 8 mg F⁻ per liter solution, respectively; (b) bentonite; (c) bauxite; (d) kaolinite. All clays equilibrated against 2 mg F⁻ per liter solution.⁵⁸³

During weathering, F combined with siliceous minerals remains in residual materials. Fluoroapatite and fluorite dissolve slowly, while cryolite (Na_3AlF_6) and similar minerals are readily soluble. Mobile F is easily sorbed by clays and phosphorites.



Figure 93 Distribution of F in the profiles of different soils developed under humid climate. (Letters indicate genetic soil horizons.)

The behavior of F in soils has been studied by Larsen and Widdowson,⁴⁶¹ Perrott et al.,⁶⁰⁷ Chhabra et al.,¹³⁷ and Omueti and Jones.⁵⁸⁴ The results obtained show that the mobility of F in soils is complex and that the predominant factors controlling the level of this ion in the soil solution are the amount of clay minerals, the soil pH, and the concentrations of Ca and P in soils. In general, the greatest adsorption of F by soil mineral components is either at the distinct acid range of pH, or at about pH 6 to 7 (Figure 92). Soil can accumulate relatively high amounts of F, particularly in B horizons, which usually have high levels of amorphous Al-oxides/hydroxides. The maximum sorption of F occurred at pH 4.8 to 5.5.¹²⁰⁵

Fluoroapatite is considered to be the most common form of F in soils. However, several fluorides (e.g., CaF_2 , AlF_3) and aluminosilicates (e.g., $Al_2(SiF_6)_2$) are also reported to occur in soils. F is also known to form complex ions most easily with Al (AlF^{2+} , AlF_2^+ , and AlF_4^- ; therefore, the mobile F^- in the soil solution might control the activity of Al^{3+} in soils.⁴⁷⁷

As F is known to readily replace hydroxyls of clays, the clay minerals, illites in particular, are believed to be the seat of most of this element in soils. The range of F content of illite and chlorite mineral groups is reported as 0.1 to 2.3% by Thomas et al.⁷⁸¹

Under natural soil conditions, F is slightly mobile, but it is not accumulated in the surface horizon, especially of acid soils. The high solubility of F in acid soils is attributed to the occurrence of readily soluble fluorides such as NaF, KF, and NH₄F, whereas AlF₃ is known to be of low solubility. Thus, the increasing F content with depth reflects the response to the soil pH; however, the enriched clay content usually contributes more to the F distribution in soil profiles. This relationship with clay content has been reported by Piotrowska and Wiacek⁶¹⁹ and Omueti and Jones.⁵⁸⁴

In calcareous soils, the formation of slightly soluble CaF_2 and F complexes with Fe, Al, and Si are responsible for the low migration of this element. In sodic soils, on the other hand, a high exchangeable Na affects increased solubility of F.

The F concentration in soils is inherited from parent material, whereas its distribution in soil profiles is a function of soil-forming processes, of which the degree of weathering and clay content are the most pronounced. Apparently, F has been lost from the surface horizons of most soils (Figure 93) and this reflects partly a low F affinity for organic matter. Omueti and Jones⁵⁸⁴ gave the range in F concentrations in organic matter of surface horizons to be as low as 0.03 to 0.12 ppm.

The average F content of worldwide soils has been calculated to be 329 ppm and to be 360 ppm for U.S. soils (Tables 9 and 146). In general, the lowest F amounts are in sandy soils in a humid climate, whereas higher F concentrations occur in heavy clay soils and in soils derived from mafic rocks. The range for most normal soils seems to be from 150 to 400 ppm, but the overall variation is much broader, and in some heavy soils F levels above 1000 ppm have been found. Much higher

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Poland	20–150	83	619
	Sweden	41–198	90	568
	U.S.	<10–1100	205	200, 706
Loess and silty soils	Poland	122-228	175	619
	U.S.	30-600	395	200, 706
Loamy and clay soils	Poland	250-750	418	619
	Sweden	248-657	450	568
	Great Britain	110–700	462	819
	U.S.	<10–800	410	262
Fluvisols	Russia	1175–1360	_	73
Soils on mafic rocks	Great Britain	470-680	566	819
Rendzinas	U.S.	<10–840	360	200, 706
Solonchaks	Russia	444-1024	_	73
Chernozems	Russia	454–1194	_	73
	U.S.	<10–940	350	200, 706
Histosols and other organic soils	Sweden	42-123	73	568
	U.S.	10–300	250	200, 706
	Russia	333–335	—	73
Forest soils	Russia	463-652	560	73
Various soils	Great Britain	113–580	360	1000, 1001
	Germany	80–1100	460	855
	Japan	260-520	370	855
	Russia	30–320	200	855
	U.S.	<10–1050	300	262

Table 146 Fluorine Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm)	ent of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)
--	--

Table 147 Fluorine Contamination of Surface Soils (ppm DW)

	Maximum or		
Site and Pollution Source	Range of Content	Country	Ref.
Old mining area	2000	Great Britain	166
Al-processing industry	1350	Czech Republic	500
	1500–3200	Poland	85
Other metal-processing industry	305–345	Poland	512
China clay industry	1200–3560	Great Britain	1001
P fertilizer manufacturer or application	308–2080 385ª	Canada Poland	478, 782 620

^a After 10 years of P fertilizing, against the background value of 296 ppm F.

levels of F (exceeding 1000 ppm DW) in uncontaminated soils are reported for areas of the F high bedrocks,¹⁰⁰¹ most often associated with the provinces of endemic fluorosis.⁷³

Naturally occurring F in soils is slightly available to plants. Hall³⁰⁰ found that in particular tropical soils, organically bound F (monofluorinated compounds) may occur. These compounds are shown to be highly available to plants, and highly toxic to animals. Their origin, however, is not yet clear, but presumably they are synthesized by certain microorganisms.

The F-emitting industrial sources are mostly Al smelters and phosphate fertilizer factories, but also coal combustion, steel works, brick yards, and glass and china works may significantly contribute to the total F pollution. Moreover, artificially high soil F levels can occur through contamination by the application of phosphate fertilizers or sewage sludges, or from pesticides (Table 147). Assuming a high F content of phosphate fertilizers (Table 5), an input of F to arable soils may be of ecological importance.

Fluoride emissions from industrial sources are known to introduce soluble fluorides into the surface layer of soils. Fluorides moving down the soil profile extract those elements which form

stable, soluble fluoro-complexes. The F compounds added to soils by pollution are usually readily soluble and thus available to plants. Most of the added F to soil is either effectively fixed by soil components (clays, Ca, and P) or readily removed from light soils by water. More F is usually absorbed by acid soils than by alkaline soils. The sorption of F by acid soils has been attributed to interaction with Al oxyhydroxy species present.¹¹¹⁸ Easily soluble F-bearing fertilizers (e.g., potassium fluoroborate) or sewage sludges may effect a remarkable increase in the bioaccumulation of F from soils.^{166,857}

The most important hazard of F contamination in soils concerns changes in soil properties due to the great chemical activity of hydrofluoric acid which is temporarily formed from both solid and gaseous F pollutants. Bolewski et al.⁸⁵ reported the decomposition of clays and other silica minerals in soil having heavy F pollution. They also reported the destruction of humic mineral complexes resulting in a significant loss of organic matter in soils. The reduction of enzymatic activity of some soil microorganisms with the addition of NaF has been reported by Russel and Świecicki.⁶⁷⁰ Thus, the availability of F in polluted soils is a function of a number of soil characteristics and is not the only cause of limited plant growth on those soils. Continued F deposition will affect its accumulation in the surface soils and may increase the availability to plants and soil biota.

Presently, great attention is given to the possible formation of highly toxic organic F compounds that can be synthesized by both higher plants and microorganisms under various soil conditions.²⁸⁸ Although a high level of F in soils is not in itself harmful to plants, F-polluted soils should be ameliorated for a proper growth of vegetation. The application of materials which increase the sorption capacity or fixation ability for F ions is known to improve soil properties and to limit F uptake by plants. Recent findings of Grishko¹³¹⁸ have indicated that soil microorganisms using organic nitrogen forms are more resistant to the harmful effects of F pollution than bacteria using inorganic nitrogen compounds.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Transport

The availability of F to plants is usually not closely related to the total or soluble F content of a soil. However, under certain soil and plant conditions, the F content of plants seems to reflect its occurrence in soils.⁷²³ Bieliyakova⁷³ gave the ratio of F in plant ash to F in topsoils as 0.2 and 0.6 for cultivated and natural vegetation, respectively. These values indicate a relatively low F bioavailability. F concentration in orange leaves, however, showed a fairly close relationship with hot-water-soluble F in soil.¹²¹⁰ The stimulating effect of increased levels of Cr⁶⁺ in soils on F uptake by plants has been observed, but the mechanism is not yet understood.¹⁵⁷⁴

The soluble F fraction in soil is taken up passively by roots and apparently is easily transported in plants. This statement is supported by the observation of a ready F uptake from fields irrigated with F-containing waters. Most often, the availability of F increases with decreasing soil pH.

Vandeputte¹¹⁷⁶ found that F absorption from the solution media by alfalfa and wheat is positively correlated with F concentration. He obtained results that indicated a very high accumulation in the grains (up to 3250 ppm DW at 50 ppm F^- in solution). This phenomenon reflected a possible influence of the formation of an F complex that may be more easily taken up by plants than are F ions.

Although it has been shown that plants can take up F quite easily from polluted soils, the bioavailability of soil F is of much less significance than that from airborne compounds. The effects of atmospheric F depositions, both in soils and on plant surfaces, have been extensively studied. The results, as summarized by Groth²⁸⁸ and Weinstein,⁸⁵⁷ have indicated that the deposition of airborne F on soil has little or no effect on the F content of plants, but that this conclusion is not a unanimous one. However, when F is present as both an air pollutant and a soil pollutant, the F uptake by plants from air is much more significant than their uptake from soil. Several factors affect plant accumulation of airborne F, but the most pronounced are atmospheric F concentration and the duration of exposure (Figure 94).

Foliar uptake of gaseous F apparently follows the path of the penetration of other gases into the leaf. Chamel and Garbec¹²⁵ reported that F penetration through the cuticle is slight; however, under



Figure 94 F uptake by plants as a function of the F contamination in air and of exposure time. (a₁ and a₂) Beans (tops) at the F concentration of 11 and 5 μg m⁻³, respectively; (b) tomato plants at F concentration of 5 μg m^{-3,381}

natural conditions, most leaf surfaces have open stomata and also show breaks and punctures that facilitate the F uptake by foliage. Soluble F compounds are also known to be absorbed through the cuticle when deposited on the leaf surface. When F is accumulated in vegetative tissues during exposures to gaseous HF, it is not translocated to the developing grains.⁴⁹⁹ Biological factors such as plant species, stage of development, and others are very important determinants of F accumulation.

2. Biochemical Roles

Centuries ago, symptoms of F toxicity to animals were observed after volcanic eruptions. During the last century, the role of plants as a sink for emitted F and in its transfer to animals was recognized. Contemporary investigation on grasses collected immediately after the eruption of Hekla volcano in Iceland in 1970 showed that their F content was 4300 ppm (DW), and that it rapidly decreased during 40 days to a concentration of less than 30 ppm.⁵⁹⁸

At present, F is considered to be the most hazardous and the most phytotoxic trace pollutant among the common air pollutants such as O_2 , SO_2 , and NO_x . The phytotoxicity of airborne F is influenced by ecological and biological factors and also by the physical and chemical characteristics of the pollutant.

The literature on the biological aspects of plant pollution by F has recently grown at an astonishing rate. Among other excellent monographs, the effects of atmospheric F on plants have been extensively reviewed by Groth,²⁸⁸ Kluczyński,⁴⁰¹ and Weinstein.⁸⁵⁷

There is no evidence of F phytotoxicity when this element is absorbed by roots, whereas airborne F, especially when it occurs as hydrofluoric acid, is highly toxic. The most emphasized effects of F on plant metabolism are related to:

- 1. Oxygen uptake decrease
- 2. Respiratory disorder
- 3. Assimilation decrease
- 4. Reduction in chlorophyll content
- 5. Inhibition of starch synthesis
- 6. Inhibition of pyrophosphatase function
- 7. Altered metabolism of cell organelles
- 8. Injured cell membranes
- 9. Disturbance of DNA and RNA
- 10. Synthesis of fluoroacetate, a most hazardous F compound

Although Shkolnik⁷¹⁸ and Weinstein⁸⁵⁷ have reviewed studies on plant growth stimulated by F, neither a plant requirement for this element nor its essential role in plant metabolism has been established. As Weinstein⁸⁵⁷ described, the apparent stimulation of some isoenzymes (e.g., acid phosphatase and dehydrogenase) by HF fumigation may, in fact, be the result of the inhibition of other enzymes.

Interactions between F and other elements are not unanimously agreed upon. There is some evidence that an increased F content is likely to inhibit the absorption of several nutrients, whereas a synergistic effect, mainly to P uptake, has also been observed (Table 43). In general, a sufficient supply of major nutrients increased plant tolerance to F.⁴⁷

Mutual pollution by SO₂ and F is reported to cause more significant damage to pine trees than changes induced separately by these pollutants.⁶⁶³ The reactions of plants exposed to F pollution, even before any visible symptoms of F toxicity occur, are retarded growth, inhibited reproduction, and yield reduction. However, the most significant effect of increased F concentrations in plants is toxicity to animals. Thus, the F content of forage crops seems to be of greater ecological concern than that of other plants. However, recent findings of increased F levels in food plants, especially of fluoro-organic residues in several vegetables, indicate a possible toxicity to man.⁵³⁹

The best-documented plant responses to F are foliar symptoms such as chlorotic and necrotic lesions and deformation of fruits. These symptoms are not specific, and mimicking symptoms induced by other agents as well as the increased susceptibility of F-polluted plants to microbial diseases have been observed by Treshow⁸⁰⁵ and Weinstein.⁸⁵⁷

Plants exhibit a broad range of tolerances to foliar injury by F pollution. Several lists of the tolerant and susceptible plant species are given in the literature. Commonly listed as tolerant are asparagus, bean, cabbage, carrot, and willow; while barley, corn, gladiolus, apricot, pine, and larch are classified as susceptible. However, a great variability in plant response to F accumulation in tissues is observed even between cultivars or genotypes of the same species. The response of plants is also highly dependent on several environmental and biologic factors. Based on Weinstein's report,⁸⁵⁷ it can be generalized that susceptible plants would be injured by foliar F concentrations that ranged between 20 and 150 ppm (DW), intermediate plants can probably tolerate an F content in excess of 200 ppm, and highly tolerant plants do not exhibit injury below 500 ppm.

The effects of plant F on humans and, particularly, on animals is of the greatest concern. Opinions vary as to the toxic threshold values of F in forage, but 30 to 40 ppm (DW) is commonly reported.^{166,288,766} More tolerant animals (e.g., lambs and turkeys) may tolerate a higher F concentration in their rations. Direct ingestion of sludge and soil with elevated levels of F by grazing cattle may be as important a source of dietary F as that ingested in herbage.

3. Concentrations in Plants

The F content of plants has been investigated for a number of reasons such as assessing its hazard to grazing animals, the diagnosis of plant injury, and for monitoring airborne F pollutants. Most of the information on F accumulation in plants has been determined for forage crops and native vegetation. Data on F concentrations in food plants are rather limited (Table 148). Higher concentrations of F have usually been reported for the aerial parts of plants. The F content of plants grown in uncontaminated areas is very unlikely to exceed 30 ppm (DW).

According to general opinion, plant F seems to be positively correlated with the concentration of F in rainwater. Davison et al.¹⁷³ discussed a method of the prediction of F concentrations in pasture using multiple regression techniques and including data on aerial deposition of F and rainfall. Several plants, especially forage vegetation, when growing in polluted areas are reported to contain large amounts of F (Table 149). Most of the F pollution is likely to be deposited on the leaf surface, which has been shown by findings of Fuge and Andrews.¹⁰⁰¹ They reported F in unwashed grasses from an area of china clay industry to range from 756 to 3240 ppm (DW), whereas F ranged from 330 to 1410 ppm in grasses from the same area washed in distilled water. Results of the pot experiment,

	F Range or Mean Content as Given for Different Countries					
Diant	Tissue	West	Currendo in 568	LL C 288	Other	
Plant	Sample	Germany	Sweden	0.5.200	Countries	
Barley	Grains	1.7	0.5–5.5	<1–2	—	
Oats	Grains	0.5	0.2-0.9	<1–2	—	
Wheat	Grains	1	0.4-1.4	<1–2	_	
Corn	Grains	0.2-0.4	—	—	—	
Cabbage	Leaves	1.5	—	—	311ª	
Lettuce	Leaves	4.4–11.3	—	—	—	
Spinach	Leaves	1.3–28.3	—	—	—	
Carrot	Roots	2	—	—	—	
Beet, red	Roots	4–7	—	—	<300ª	
Onion	Bulbs	3	—	—	<300ª	
Potato	Tubers	1.5–3	0.1–1.1	—	69ª	
Apple	Fruits	1.3–5.7	—	—	7	
Pear	Fruits	2.1-4.4	—	—	—	
Peach	Fruits	0.21	—	—	—	
Alfalfa	Aerial parts	—	1.5–3.2	1–9	—	
Clover	Aerial parts	6.7	2.8-7.8	—	—	
Grass	Aerial parts	6.8	—	3–6	5–18, 310ª	
Forages	Aerial parts	_	0.3–1.3	4–17	36–98	

Table 148 Fluorine Content of Food and Forage Plants (ppm DW)

^a AW basis.

Table 149 Excessive Levels of Fluorine in Plants Grown in Contaminated Sites (ppm DW)

		Maximum or Range		
Site and Pollution Source	Plant and Part	of Content	Country	Ref.
Mine waste	Grass, tops	130–5450	Great Britain	146
Al-processing industry	Vegetation, foliage	396	Canada	478
	Shrub, ^a leaves	150–500	Australia	557
	Cereals, grains	14–36	Poland	63
	Clover, tops	14–173	Poland	768
	Grass, tops	1330	Czech Republic	500
	Grass, tops	75–340	Poland	381
	Birch, leaves	230	Norway	261
	Pine, needles	48	Norway	261
	Lichens	27–241	Great Britain	606
Brick kilns	Pasture plants	160	Great Britain	166
China clay industry	Grass, ^d tops	576-3240	Great Britain	1001
Fiberglass plant	Vegetation, foliage	945	Canada	478
Phosphate rock processing	Tree, ^b foliage	71–900	Canada	782
	Vegetation, foliage	70	Canada	478
	Sagebrush, tops	100–360°	U.S.	278
	Pine, needles	60	Former S.U.	923
Fumigation with F	Alfalfa	1327	_	857
	Grass	496	—	857

^a Melaleuca nodosa.

^b Balsam fir.

° AW basis.

^d Unwashed samples.

however, clearly indicate that soil F is not an important source of this element to red maple and orchard grass.¹²⁴³ Pine needles are common and good indicators for F pollution (Table 149). Pine needles from the vicinity of an aluminum smelter contain above 1000 ppm F, while the background value for F in pine needles in Poland is below 20 ppm.¹²⁶⁰ The toxicity limits for F in orange leaves range from 75 to 125 ppm, and some trees of orchards in Spain have F greater than this limit.¹²¹⁰

III. CHLORINE

A. Soils

Cl is a common halogen element under terrestrial conditions. Its concentrations are variable, especially in sedimentary rocks, the lowest being in sandstones (Table 145). There are not many stable Cl minerals. Most often it is associated, together with F, with micaceous minerals, apatite, hornblende, and some feldspars. Cl is very mobile during both hydrothermal and hypergenic processes. Under specific conditions, mobile Cl^- anions are easily associated with some metals forming such minerals as AgCl, PbCl₂, Cu₂Cl(OH)₃, etc.

Most commonly, however, Cl⁻ and complex Cl anions are easily soluble, leached from soil profile, and transported to water basins. Thus, Cl geochemistry, similar to Br geochemistry, is closely related to water chemistry and to evaporite deposits. Bodek et al.⁹⁴⁸ described that almost no soil retention occurs, and therefore Cl in solution moves through soil at virtually the same speed as the displacing solution.

In soils of humid climate zones, Cl^- is leached down the profile and transported with drainage waters, whereas in soils of arid and semiarid climates, Cl^- is known to be concentrated in surface horizons. Cl is typically the predominant anion in saline soils. Solonetz and solonchaks are highly enriched in NaCl as well as in other salts which are inherited from parent material. Concentrations of Cl in solonchaks usually vary from 0.0X to 0.X%. In soil solutions, the Cl content may reach the level of X.0%.

Like other halogens, the distribution of Cl in soils exhibits a clear trend of decreasing concentration with increasing distance from the sea. Låg and Steinnes⁴⁴⁵ found in Norwegian forest soils close to the sea a Cl range from 475 to 1806 ppm with an average of 920 ppm, while soils farther from the sea contained Cl within the range 174 to 375 ppm with an average of 265 ppm. Yuita et al.¹¹⁹⁴ also found Cl in Japanese forest soils (in surface horizons) from the coastal plain to range from 91 to 486 ppm with an average of 228 ppm. In topsoils from upland fields, Cl ranged from 56 to 305 ppm with an average of 114 ppm.¹¹⁹⁴

Excessive amounts of salt (NaCl) applied to soils has resulted in increasing concern for environmental quality.¹¹⁵⁹ Salt-affected soils due to anthropogenic activities result, in most instances, from the application of de-icing salt to roadways, field irrigation with highly mineralized waters, salt water spills associated with the extraction of oil, natural gas deposits, and some coals. Wind erosion of salt evaporites is also known to affect the Cl enrichment in soils.

Extremely high loadings of Cl in the soils have highly deleterious effects on both soils and vegetations. Increased levels of Cl⁻ in soil solutions influence behavior of several cations. As Hahne and Kroontje¹⁰²¹ calculated, the solubility of $Zn(OH)_2$ and $Hg(OH)_2$ is significantly greater in 1.0 M chloride solution than their conditional solubility. Cl affinity for forming easily soluble complexes with Cd in soil is of environmental concern.

B. Plants

The availability of Cl to plants is related to the associated cations. The Cl⁻ ions are more readily absorbed in the presence of monovalent cations than di- and trivalent cations. The soluble Cl fraction in soils is taken up passively by roots, and is easily transported in plants. Cl can also be absorbed directly by plant leaves from aerial sources, and some plant species are capable of extracting their total Cl requirements from aerial sources via their leaves. Plants may accumulate relatively large amounts of Cl, especially halophytes occurring in coastal regions and in arid or semiarid climate zones.

Cl contents are reported to be in cereal plants from about 10 to 20 ppm (DW). In sugar beet leaves, the Cl range is 100 to 200 ppm, and in potato tubers from 1300 to 5500 ppm.⁹⁴³ Several other plants can also contain high levels of Cl depending on growth conditions. For example, leaves of coconut palm growing at distances up to 25 km from the sea coast contain up to 7000 ppm Cl.⁹⁴³

Cl is considered a micronutrient for higher plants. Plants require a relatively low level of Cl for metabolic processes. Cl plays a role in photosynthesis and is significantly concentrated in chloroplasts. However, the requirement for Cl in photosynthesis remains in doubt.¹¹⁸⁴ These anions also participate in phosphorolysis processes and in the activity of cytochromoxidase.⁹⁴³

Cl deficiencies are not observed often, but may occur when plants are growing in soils with Cl contents below 2 ppm. Generally, Cl is present in sufficient quantities in most soils to meet its requirement for most crops. But for some crops (e.g., tobacco), the Cl level of 10 to 15 ppm in soils is beneficial. Common symptoms of Cl deficiency are chlorotic leaves and brown edges.

Toxic Cl levels in plants vary depending on plant tolerance. Bergman⁹⁴³ reported that a general threshold concentration of Cl is 2700 ppm (DW). Plants may suffer from too high Cl contents in soil solutions or water cultures. The less tolerant plants (e.g., beans, apple trees) are inhibited by Cl concentrations from 460 to 673 mg L⁻¹, whereas resistant plants (e.g., tobacco, cereals, tomato, cotton, beets, and spinach) can grow at Cl contents from 887 to 3546 mg L⁻¹.⁹⁴³ The most toxic to plants are HCl and Cl₂ gases emitted from industrial sources. Strong necrosis of leaf edges is the most common symptom of these injuries. High levels of Cl associated with KCl fertilization can also adversely affect crops, especially potato tuber quality, in particular due to forming mobile complexes with Cd.

IV. BROMINE

A. Soils

The common abundance of Br in the Earth's crust varies within the range of 0.2 to 10 ppm, being the highest in argillaceous sediments (Table 145). Most Br compounds are similar to their corresponding Cl compounds, and therefore the close correlations of Cl and Br in various rock types have often been reported. The Br:Cl ratio is an important factor for defining various geological units.⁸⁵⁵

There are very few naturally occurring Br minerals (e.g., bromyrite, AgBr, iodobromite Ag(Br,Cl,I)), and several polyhalides which are known to be unstable in hypergenic environments. Br is a very volatile element and its salts are readily soluble. Therefore, its geochemistry is closely related to water chemistry and to evaporite deposits.

Coal and organic matter are known to accumulate Br, and a strong correlation of Br with organic carbon has been reported for both superficial sediments and soils.^{855,875} Låg and Steinnes⁴⁴⁵ assumed, however, that Br enrichment in top soil horizons is principally an effect of its precipitation with rain. This conclusion is supported by the findings of Yuita et al.⁸⁹⁷ that a considerable part of the Br accumulated in soils of the temperate monsoon climate is directly due to the long retention period of Br from rainwater by soils. Despite the observed sorption capacity of hydroxides of Al and Fe, organic matter, and clays for Br, it is known as the element most easily leached from soil profiles, and its transportation to ocean basins in large amounts is often reported.

The few studies of the Br contents of soils show that its common range varies from 5 to 40 ppm (Table 150). According to recent data, the range for Br in the reference soils from China is from 2.6 to 7.2 ppm, and in the soils from the U.S. is from 1.4 to 7.8 ppm.¹³¹³ Soils of Finland contain 10 ppm Br at the 90th percentile range.¹³⁶⁸ Gerzabek et al.¹³⁰⁸ calculated an arithmetic mean content at 5.7 ppm Br in about 40 topsoils of Austria (range 2.4–11.9 ppm). According to these data, Br reveals a significant positive correlation with exchangeable calcium and organic carbon, but only in calcareous soils. The highest Br amounts are reported for Japanese soil derived from volcanic ash or andesite and also different Norwegian soils from the north.^{445,890} In both cases, the source of the large amounts of Br in topsoils is apparently related to atmospheric inputs either from volcanic exhalation and sea evaporation or from human activities. Yuita¹¹⁹⁴ reported that soils at the Sea of Japan coast may accumulate significant Br, up to 495 ppm, mainly in the top horizon.

The main anthropogenic source of Br is its release in automobile exhaust, but some Br used agriculturally as a soil fumigant (methyl bromide) and as a component of K fertilizers may also add to

Mean	Def
	Ref.
_	181
	897
34	875
52	875
_	897
74	818, 875, 1000
45	445
7	445
1.6	938
—	706
-	

Table 150 Bromine Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

Table 151 Bromine Content of Plant Food and Forage Plants (ppm DW)

	Mean or Range				
Plant	Tissue Sample	of Content	Ref.		
Barley	Grain	5.5	710		
-	Grain	2.1-6.4ª	441		
Oats	Grain	3.1	710		
Triticale	Grain	33.0	492		
Corn	Grain	0.9–1.7	462, 710		
Beans	Seed	15	197		
Peas	Seed	3.3	462		
Lettuce	Leaves	20–22	751, 753		
Cabbage	Leaves	0.37 ^b	574		
Radish	Roots	24–26	751, 753		
Celery	Stalk	17	753		
Carrot	Roots	0.85 ^b	574		
Potato	Tubers	4.2-14.3	462, 710		
Cucumber	Fruits	10–20	751, 753		
Tomato	Fruits	10	751, 753		
Apple	Fruits	0.002 ^b	574		
Orange	Fruits	0.04 ^b	574		
Fruits of Central America	Fruits	0.2-1.0	197		
Legumes	Hay	2.1-6.4	710		
Clover	Tops	19–52	875		
Cheatgrass	Tops	2.8	462		
Grass	Tops	17–119	875		
Mushroom	Edible parts	2–36	94		

^a For inland and coastal districts, respectively.

^b FW basis.

° Kind not specified.

the total soil Br. Although the amount of methyl bromide that is transformed to Br^- in soil is reported to be relatively small, it may significantly increase the Br concentration in the solution of treated soil.¹⁰⁶

B. Plants

Marine plants, in general, contain more Br than do land plants, and may concentrate this element up to the range of X000 ppm (DW). Although Br is reported to occur in all plant tissues, it is not yet known whether it is essential for plant growth. Wyttenbach et al.¹⁵⁵³ found a high concentration of Br in Norway spruce needles at polluted and maritime sites, and very low at continental prealpine sites. The mean Br value in spruce needles from remote continental sites was 0.1 ppm.

The natural Br content of plants seems not to exceed about 40 ppm, and some higher values should apparently be related to pollution (Table 151). Stärk et al.⁷⁵³ found that Br was usually

higher in leaves than in roots and that it was easily soluble from tissues. Wilkins⁸⁷⁵ concluded that the Br concentrations in herbage do not correlate with the concentrations in the soil or with soil properties such as type, drainage status, or pH. However, plants are known to take up Br readily when grown in soils enriched in Br. The method of Br⁻ transportation from soil to plants has not yet been described.

Yamada⁸⁹⁰ found as much as 2000 ppm (DW) Br in plants grown in volcanic ash soil, and Staerk and Suess⁷⁵¹ reported Br concentrations from 267 ppm (DW) in celery roots to 9515 ppm (DW) in lettuce leaves that were cultivated in a greenhouse after fumigation with Ch_3Br at the rate of 75 g m⁻³. Methyl bromide and other Br organic compounds used as fumigants for soils, grain, and fruits may be serious sources of Br in human diets.

Br can substitute for part of the Cl⁻ requirement of plants; therefore, its excess is toxic to plants. Plant species differ in their tolerance to soil Br, but several vegetables and flowers are known as sensitive plants (potato, spinach, sugar beet, onion, carnation, and chrysanthemum). Symptoms of Br toxicity resemble excess salt effects, so chlorosis followed by leaf tip necrosis is the common feature. As Gough et al.²⁷⁹ reviewed, citrus seedlings have been satisfactorily used as an indicator of Br toxicity since the reduction in their growth correlated positively with water-soluble soil Br. Plants resistant to Br toxicity (carrot, tobacco, tomato, celery, and melon) can accumulate more than 2000 ppm (DW) Br without showing any effects.

V. IODINE

A. Soils

Geochemical characteristics of I resemble those of Br; however, its abundance in the Earth's crust is less than that of Br. The I content of most rocks varies from 0.01 to 6 ppm, being the highest in shales rich in organic matter (Table 145). Few new data are presented by Muramatsu and Wedepohl.¹⁴³⁴ They give the following concentrations of I in rocks (in ppm): sandstones, 0.05–0.33; limestones, 0.26–3.87; and shales rich in organic carbon, 0.41–6.15. These values are relatively close to those reported earlier (Table 145). According to the calculation, nearly 70% of I exists in ocean sediments. There is a significant difference in I content in andosols in Japan (range, 24.2–44.9 ppm) as compared to the I concentration in other soil orders, acrisols, fluvisols, and gleysols (range, 0.63–11.8 ppm).¹⁴³⁶ In each soil type, however, there is observed a greater abundance of I content in soils than in their parent material. Microorganisms and their products were found to play an important role in the fixation of both stable and long-lived radioactive I in soils.¹⁴³⁵

I occurs as a minor constituent of various minerals, but does not form many separate minerals. The known I minerals include iodides of some metals such as AgI, CuI, Cu(OH)(IO_3), and polyhalides, iodates, and periodates.

The high I content of some nitrate deposits, especially of Chile saltpeter (up to about 400 ppm, mean 200 ppm) has been the subject of much discussion. Apparently, the suggestion of an atmospheric origin seems to be most reasonable.⁸⁵⁵

All I compounds are readily soluble; therefore, weathering of rocks results in the release of much of their I content. Although I is known to be easily transported by waters to ocean basins, its great sorption by carbon, organic matter, and clays greatly influences I cycling.

The geochemistry of I, a biophile element, is strongly connected to its involvement in biological processes. The high I content of sediments and soils is mostly due to uptake of I by plankton or is due to fixation of I by organic matter. Like Br, the I content is reported to be closely correlated with organic carbon content of sediments. As Prince and Calvert⁶³² stated, sediments of reducing environments contain greater amounts of I than do oxidized sediments.

It is most unlikely that I occurs in soils in the form of I minerals. The association between I and organic matter, hydrous oxides of Fe and Al, and clay of the chlorite-illite group has been

noted by several workers. However, as Selezniev and Tiuriukanov⁷⁰⁰ and Whitehead⁸⁶⁸ reported, organic matter is most responsible for I sorption in soil and therefore I is accumulated mainly in topsoil horizons. Soil microorganisms are believed to play a significant role in the I cycle owing to their great capability to accumulate this element. Letunova et al.¹⁰⁶² calculated that the microorganism biomass contains from 0.012 to 3.24% of the I present in surface soil layers. Some fungi occurring in soils (e.g., *Penicillum chrysogenum*) are known to accumulate even much higher amounts of I.

The influence of soil reaction on the I status in soil is diverse. Soil acidity favors I sorption by soil components such as organic matter, hydrous oxides of Fe and Al, and illitic clays.^{402,868} On the other hand, in alkali soils of arid and semiarid regions, I is known to be greatly accumulated (e.g., solanchak soils of Baraba Steppe, the former Soviet Union, is reported by Anikina³⁰ to contain as much as 340 ppm I). This is, however, due both to salinity processes and to the low degree of I mobilization occurring under alkaline pH conditions.

The oxidation of iodide to iodate and further alteration to elemental I may occur in soils and also the exchange of volatile I compounds between soil and atmosphere is reported to be possible.¹⁶⁶ Several ionic forms (I⁻, IO₃⁻, I₃⁻, IO⁻, IO₆³⁻, H₄IO₆⁻) may occur in the aquatic phase of soil, of which the first two are most common.²⁵⁶

The behavior of I in soils has been studied in relation to its availability to plants. Hartmans³⁰⁸ and Whitehead⁸⁶⁹ reported relatively few effects of chalk, N, and P on the uptake of I by plants. However, liming is known to reduce the solubility of iodides, iodates, and iodine in soils and thus to also reduce I bioavailability. When I was applied to peat soils, only about 4% of the added amount was taken up by plants. Fuge and Johnson¹⁰⁰² reported that only a small fraction of the total I is easily mobile in soils. The relative proportion of mobile I (cold or hot water extractable) ranges from <1 to 25% of its total content in soils. Thus, most I occurs in fixed forms being sorbed by humic and fresh organic matter as well as being fixed in or on clays and crystal lattice of minerals. Studies conducted by Yuita¹¹⁹⁴ indicated that absorption rate of radioiodine by soils is influenced by several soil factors (e.g., moisture, pH) and by the chemical forms of I, being higher for I⁻ than for IO₃⁻. Although I is scarcely solubilized under most soil conditions, in some extreme situations, (e.g., submerged soils), I can be mobilized by a factor of 10,000 as compared with regular soil conditions.

The fact that soils contain several times as much I as do the parent rocks has been confirmed by numerous analyses. Also, an accumulation of I in surface and/or subsurface soil horizons is usually reported. In gleyed water-rich soils, however, a higher I concentration in the lower soil horizons can be expected.

Studies of I distribution in soils have been closely related to the occurrence of endemic goiter. Data collected in Tables 9 and 152 show that, on the whole, the most common I concentrations in soils range from <0.1 to around 10 ppm and that the grand mean is 2.8 ppm. However, in certain soils of islands (e.g., Ireland, Japan, and New Zealand), a higher I accumulation, up to around 80 ppm, is reported.¹⁶⁶ Data published by Yuita¹¹⁹⁴ show a higher I accumulation, up to 135 ppm (average 46 ppm) in the top horizon of mountain soils at the Sea of Japan coast, while Fuge¹⁰⁰⁰ reported even higher I content, up to 149 ppm, in soils close to the coast of Wales (Table 152). As reported by Govindaraju,¹³¹³ the range for I in the reference soils from China is from 1.3 to 19.7 ppm, and in the reference soils from the U.S., from 1 to 35 ppm. Gerzabek et al.¹³⁰⁸ gave an arithmetic mean content of 3.1 ppm I in about 40 topsoils of Austria (range, 1.1–5.6 ppm). According to these data, I is positively correlated only with clay content of noncalcareous soils, whereas in calcareous soils the positive relation was observed for clay, exchangeable calcium, and organic carbon. Usually, light soils of humid climatic regions are I poor, whereas high humus and clayed soils are I rich. This may vary greatly because the I level in soils is known to be dependent on atmospheric precipitation. Also, the distance from the sea and recent glaciations influence the soil I status. Since I released into the atmosphere from sea water seems to be a significant source of this element, soils from coastal districts are known to be enriched in this element.⁴⁴⁵ Soils derived from recent glacial

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Israel	0.3–0.4		644
-	Great Britain	2.3-8.5	4.1	1003
	Poland	0.8–10.0	_	139
	Russia	_	1.1	913
Loess and silty soils	Israel	4.4-5.8	_	644
	Russia	0.3–7.6	1.5	913
Loamy and clay soils	U.S.	<0.5–8.3	1.8	706
	Russia	0.7-4.9	1.7	503, 913
Soils on glacial till	Russia	<0.1–6.3	1.7	913
Fluvisols	Israel	10.6–11.6	_	644
	U.S.	<0.5–3.5	1.4	706
	Russia	0.6-6.7	2.0	386, 503, 913
Volcanic ash soils	Japan	32–41	_	897
Rendzinas	Israel	4.1-4.9	_	644
	Great Britain	2.5–9.5	6.5	1003
	U.S.	<0.5–4.5	1.7	706
	Russia	0.3–2.8	1.2	913
Kastanozems and brown soils	Israel	6.4–7.3	_	644
	Russia	0.3–5.3	2.8	503, 621, 760, 913
Ferralsols	Israel	_	7.8	644
Solonchaks	Russia	<0.1–6.0	2.1	503, 760
Chernozems	U.S.	<0.5–4.3	1.1	706
	Russia	0.4–10.8	3.8	419a, 503, 621,
				760, 913, 1123
Meadow soils	Russia	0.4–3.4	1.7	503, 621, 760, 913
Histosols	Israel	3.3–3.7	—	644
	Russia	1–10	4.6	386, 503, 760, 913
Forest soils	Japan	20–23	—	897
	Norway	9.1–23.5	13.1	444, 445
	Russia	0.06-25.4	3.4	419a, 503, 621, 913
Various soils	Bulgaria	1.9–4.1	3.0	177
	Great Britain ^a	1.5–149	14.7	1000
	Great Britain ^b	1.3–26	5.4	1000
	Norway, northern	5.4–16.6	9.0	444, 445
	Norway, eastern	2.8–7.6	4.4	444, 445
	U.S.	<0.5–5.4	1.2	706
	Russia	0.1–16.0	1.7	503, 760, 913
	Lithuania	1.5–2.4	—	983

Table 152	Iodine Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW))
-----------	---	---

 $^{\rm a}$ Wales, ${<}20$ km from the coast.

^b N. England, >80 km from the coast.

(Pleistocene) deposits are usually I poor because this element has not yet been highly accumulated from atmospheric precipitation.

The I levels in soils are likely to be elevated in areas of coal and/or kelp burning and around busy roads.⁸³⁰ Also, some sewage sludges applied on fields can add I to surface soil. Because I is readily leached from soils under humid temperate climates, its concentrations in surface horizons do not have a significant environmental impact.

Iodine radionuclides ¹²⁹I and ¹³¹I are present in the environment principally as a result of nuclear weapons testing and nuclear reactor accidents. The occurrence in soils of these two radionuclides has recently become a great environmental concern, especially after the reactor accident in Chernobyl in 1986. The impact of the ¹³¹I emission from the Chernobyl reactor can be illustrated by its levels in soils near Warsaw (Poland); a few days after the accident, the level of its radiation was 19.9 kBq m⁻², and 2 weeks later was 3.7 kBq m⁻².¹³¹⁵ Schimmack et al.¹¹⁴⁰ observed a relatively fast migration of ¹³¹I down the soil profile after its deposition by the fallout from the reactor accident in Chernobyl.
The emission of much more stable ¹²⁹I has slowly continued from different sources, which can be easily observed in the increasing ratio of ¹²⁹I to stable ¹²⁷I in soils and other compartments of the environment.^{1434,1468} Koch and Kay¹⁰⁵³ studied the transportability of the long-lived and potentially hazardous nuclide ¹²⁹I in organic soil. The proportion of I losses from the solution phase differ widely with the kind of organic matter used in the experiment. The authors concluded that the long-term processes that operate in the field to immobilize I are highly variable between soils and within a large body of soil. Muramatsu et al.¹⁴³⁷ observed that ¹²⁵I⁻ added to soils is readily sorbed in the soil solid phase. However, it is slowly desorbed into soil solution with passing time, and under the enhancing impact of organic substances.

For the assessment of the impact of nuclear techniques on the level of radioisotopes of I in surface soils, data are necessary on ¹²⁹I, the only naturally occurring radioisotope, and on the ratio of ¹²⁹I:¹²⁷I. As Muramatsu et al.¹⁰⁹⁶ reported, some ¹²⁹I is also added to the environment due to nuclear weapons tests, and therefore the ratio of ¹²⁹I to stable ¹²⁷I has increased in recent times in orders of magnitude from 10⁻¹² to about 10⁻⁸.

B. Plants

I has not been shown to be essential to plants, and reports on stimulating effects on plant growth at low concentrations have not been explained. Mengel and Kirkby⁵³¹ wrote that the stimulating effect of I was observed at 0.1 ppm I in nutrient solutions, whereas toxic effects occur at an I concentration of 0.5 to 1.0 ppm. The toxic concentration is higher than the normal soluble I content of soils; therefore, I toxicity is seldom present in plants under natural field conditions. Yuita¹¹⁹³ reported, however, a physiological disease of rice plants, "Akagare," induced by excessive absorption of I from soils enriched in easily soluble I when land was converted for submerged paddy fields.

Opinions seem to differ regarding the relation between the I content of plants and the I status of soils, but apparently the variation in the I content of plants appears to be generally unaffected by soil kind and type. On the other hand, some data cited by Fuge and Johnson¹⁰⁰² show a marked variation of I content of spruce and larch twigs with soil type. Groppel and Anke¹⁰¹⁷ stated that both parent material and the distance from the North Sea influence I content of plants. In general, soluble forms of I seem to be easily available to plants; therefore, terrestrial plants contain much less I than do marine plants, which are known to concentrate I from 53 to 8800 ppm (DW).⁷⁰⁹ The mechanism of I uptake by plants is not understood. It has been shown, however, by Selezniev and Tiuriukanov⁷⁰⁰ that organically bound I is scarcely available to cultivated plants, but that after the decomposition of organic matter by bacteria, soil I becomes available. Muramatsu et al.¹⁴³⁷ studied the volatilization of ¹²⁹I from the soil-plant system and observed a marked emission of this radio-nuclide, in a methylated form (CH₃I), from the rice plant shoot into the atmosphere. It was presumed that I in the flooded soil was methylated by the action of roots or microorganisms.

Shacklette and Cuthbert⁷⁰⁹ studied I distribution among a variety of plant groups from various soils and stated that although I contents of individual plant species may vary considerably, the range in amount seems to be a species characteristic. Generally, vegetables and fleshy mushrooms contain more I than other land plants (Table 153). Some authors have found a higher I content in tops than in roots and also found a seasonal variation in I levels.^{166,230,308} I levels are reported to be the lowest during the summer season. The highest I concentrations are in algae and seem to be associated with the pigmentation; for example, green algae contain, on average, 5, red 380, and brown 3490 ppm. About 65% of total I is bound to proteins.¹³³³

Plants are capable of absorbing I directly from the atmosphere, both through the cuticle and as adhesive particles on the surface of hairy leaves. The atmospheric I can contribute significantly to the I content of plants. Gurievich²⁹⁵ reported that in the Baltic regions, higher plants accumulated I to as much as 40 to 50 ppm (DW) and mosses contained 360 to 410 ppm (DW). However, Rühling et al.¹¹³⁵ gave a quite different range for I in mosses from the Scandinavian countries, from 1.5 to 3.5 ppm (DW).

Plant	Tissue Sample	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Barley	Grain	Norway	0.005-0.038ª	_	441
-	Grain	U.S.	3.4-7.1	_	709
Cereal	Grain	Germany	0.06-0.1		1017
Snap beans	Pods	U.S.	5.7–9.5	_	709
Cabbage	Leaves	U.S.	9–10	_	709
Lettuce	Leaves	U.S.	—	<0.01 ^b	709
Asparagus	Stems	U.S.	5.6-5.9	_	574
Carrot	Roots	U.S.	—	0.025 ^b	709
Onion	Bulbs	U.S.	7.8–10.4		709
Potato	Tubers	U.S.	2.8-4.9		709
Apple	Fruits	U.S.	—	<0.003 ^b	574
Orange	Fruits	U.S.	—	<0.01 ^b	574
Garden vegetables	Edible parts	U.S.	2.8-10.4	6.6	709
Grass	Tops	Great Britain	0.10-0.28	0.20	166
	Tops	Finland	< 1–4 °	—	221
	Tops	Germany	0.3–1.6	0.80	316
	Tops	U.S.	4.3–7.1	5.5	709
	Tops	Former S.U., Armenia	0.03-0.08	0.06	386
Legume	Tops, clover	Great Britain	0.14-0.44	0.31	166
	Tops, clover	Germany	0.11–0.5	—	316,1017
	Tops, vetch	Former S.U., Armenia	0.06-0.12	0.1	386
Mushrooms	Stalks and caps	U.S.	5.2–9.5	6.7	709

Table 153 Iodine Content of Food Forage Plants (ppm DW)

^a For inland and coastal districts, respectively.

^b FW basis.

° For low I and high I areas, respectively.

Adequate I levels in food and feed plants are required in animal nutrition and in human diets; therefore, some scientists have investigated I as a fertilizer for soil or foliar application.¹⁶⁶ These techniques, however, do not appear to be of any practical importance.

The I toxicity to plants due to pollution is not often reported. As Gough et al.²⁷⁹ reviewed, large applications of kelp to soil as fertilizers (practiced on some coastal fields) may cause symptoms of I toxicity which are similar to those caused by Br excess—margin chlorosis in the older leaves, while the younger leaves become dark green in color.

Radioiodine is, among other radionuclides, a subproduct of atomic reactors and is released in various proportions into the environment. Its cycling, and especially its ready bioavailability, are a recent growing concern.

VI. MANGANESE

A. Soils

Mn is one of the most abundant trace elements in the lithosphere, and its common range in rocks is 350 to 2000 ppm (Table 145). Its highest concentrations are usually associated with mafic rocks.

Mn forms a number of minerals in which it commonly occurs as the ions Mn^{2+} , Mn^{3+} , or Mn^{4+} , but its oxidation state +2 is most frequent in the rock-forming silicate minerals. The cation Mn^{2+} is known to replace the sites of some divalent cations (Fe²⁺, Mg²⁺) in silicates and oxides.

During weathering, Mn compounds are oxidized under atmospheric conditions and the released Mn oxides are reprecipitated and readily concentrated in the form of secondary Mn minerals. The behavior of Mn in surfacial deposits is very complex and is governed by different environmental factors, of which Eh-pH conditions are most important. Mn is easily removed, under cold climatic conditions, from the zone of weathering and from soils by acid solutions as bicarbonate or as a

complex with organic acids (derived from decaying plants). Under tropical and subtropical conditions, however, Mn may be concentrated in various forms, often as concretions and nodules.

The complex mineralogical and chemical behavior of Mn results in the formation of the large number of oxides and hydroxides which give a continuous series of composition of stable and metastable arrangements of atoms. The physical features of Mn oxides and hydroxides, such as small size of crystals and consequently a large surface area, have important geochemical implications. The negatively charged $Mn(OH)_4$ and MnO_2 are responsible for the high degree of association of Mn concretions with some heavy metals, in particular with Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, Ba, Tl, W, and Mo. In addition, the oxidation of As, Cr, V, Se, Hg, and Pu by Mn oxides is likely to control the redox behavior of these elements in soils.⁹³³

There is great progress in research on the chemical controls of the solution and deposition of Mn in both soils and sediments. The intensive studies on Mn behavior in soils have been carried out by a number of scientists, and their findings are reviewed in Chapter 4, Section III.B.

McKenzie^{524,526} and Bartlett⁹³³ have summarized the present knowledge relating to soil Mn. They stated that Mn is likely to occur in soils as oxides and hydroxides in the form of coatings on other soil particles and as nodules of different diameters. The nodules often exhibit a concentric layering that is suggestive of seasonal growth. The Mn concretions in soils are reported to accumulate Fe and several trace elements (Table 22).

Mn may form a number of simple and complex ions in the soil solution and also several oxides of variable composition (Figure 95). The Mn oxides in soils are mostly amorphous, but crystalline varieties have also been identified in several soils. As Norrish⁵⁷⁰ stated, lithiophorite, (Al, Li)MnO₂(OH)₂, is most likely to occur in acid and neutral soils, while birnessite (unconfirmed composition, Na_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}Mn₇O₁₄2.8H₂O) was reported to be in alkaline soils. However, a number of other crystalline forms of Mn oxides were observed in soil horizons. Of all the Mn oxides, the most stable under oxidizing conditions are pyrolusite (β MnO₂), manganite (γ MnOOH), and hausmannite (Mn₃O₄). McKenzie⁵²⁴ concluded that birnessite, lithiophorite, and hollandite are the most common crystalline forms, whereas todorokite and pyrolusite are less common.

Bartlett⁹³³ described the redox cycling of Mn in soils, and emphasized its role as scavenger for biodestructive free radicals at interfaces and as a redox transformation system. The following sequence for Mn-redox cycling is proposed by this author:

- 1. The reduction of Mn³⁺ to Mn²⁺ by Fe²⁺, Cr³⁺, reduced S, phenols, and other easily oxidized organic compounds.
- 2. The Mn²⁺ is either adsorbed by MnO₂ or oxidized to Mn³⁺ or Mn⁴⁺. The last oxidation is most likely to be spontaneous by atmospheric oxygen or hydroxyl free radicals.
- 3. The reverse dismutation at the presence of organic or phosphate ligands produces Mn^{3+} .
- 4. The Mn³⁺, as an extremely reactive redox species, quickly disappears, either by accepting or by donating an electron (see step 1).

As Sparks (*vide* Bartlett¹²¹⁹) stated, "Soil Mn, or its lack, appears to be the key to the entire spectrum of soil redox behavior and status." The behavior of various Mn cations as the most important metallic redox catalysts in soils has been broadly reviewed by these authors.

All Mn compounds are very important soil constituents because this element is essential in plant nutrition and controls the behavior of several other micronutrients. It also has a considerable effect on some soil properties, and in particular on the poising system of Eh and pH. The Mn compounds are known for their rapid oxidation and reduction under variable soil environments and thus oxidizing conditions may greatly reduce the availability of Mn and associated micronutrients, whereas reducing conditions may lead to the ready availability of these elements even up to the toxic range. The reduction of Mn oxides has dual effects on soil cation exchange. Not only does the oxide exchange surface disappear, but the newly formed Mn²⁺ ion enters into exchange competition with other cations. Activity and susceptibility to leaching of Ca, Mn, and several other metals increases as Mn reduces. Bartlett⁹³³

also suggested a possible role of Mn oxides in nonmicrobial conversion of nitrite to nitrate, although no one has shown that such a reaction can occur in soils.

The solubility of Mn in soils is highly dependent on the pH and redox potential; therefore, the most common reactions occurring in soils are oxidation-reduction and hydrolysis. Although the solution of Mn species as a function of Eh-pH conditions is demonstrated on the diagrams by Lindsay,⁴⁷⁷ the comparison of actual Mn levels in soil solutions with those predicted by the chemical equilibrium reactions has not met with success. The mixed and metastable composition of Mn oxides and hydroxides, organic complexes of Mn, and variable Eh-pH soil conditions are the main factors responsible for the lack of correspondence between actual and predicted Mn levels.

Because of the low solubility of Mn compounds in oxidizing systems at pH levels near neutrality, small shifts in the Eh-pH conditions can be very important in the Mn content of the soil solution. The abundance of soluble species of Mn in the soil solution is reported to range from 25 to 8000 μ g L⁻¹ (Table 16). For solutions of neutral and acid soils, the Mn range is reported to vary from 1 to 100 μ M L^{-1,320}

Hodgson et al.³²⁰ reported that the soluble Mn in soil solutions is mainly involved in organic complexing. In the surrounding soil of plant roots, the reduction of MnO₂ forms, and complexing by root exudates is apparently a significant factor controlling Mn mobility.²⁷⁰ Cheshire et al.¹³⁶ found that Mn in topsoil was largely associated with fulvic acid, but the Mn²⁺ bound to these compounds was highly ionized.

Microbiological soil activity is also known to be largely responsible for the oxidation and reduction of Mn compounds, as well as for the formation of Mn concretions as described by Letunova et al.,⁴⁶⁶ Bromfield,¹⁰³ Wada et al.,⁸³⁶ and Aristovskaya and Zykina.³⁶ Zajic⁸⁹⁸ and Weinberg⁸⁵⁶ reviewed several microbiological processes which directly or indirectly affect the transformation of Mn compounds in soils. The microbial dissolution of Mn compounds in soils, especially due to the enzymatic reduction of oxidized Mn (+3 and +4) and due to the production of CO₂ and organic acids, is of great importance. These processes are likely to occur at acid pH and appropriate Eh in soils. Where Fe accompanies Mn compounds in a solid phase, microbes may separate Mn from Fe by preferential solubilization. Some microorganisms, on the other hand, can precipitate Mn by oxidizing Mn²⁺ to Mn³⁺ and Mn⁴⁺ or stimulating the precipitation of carbonates, sulfides, etc.

The geochemistry of Mn hydroxides is closely related to the behavior of Fe hydroxides, and cross-interaction during redox reactions should be expected. As McKenzie⁵²⁶ described, the formation of ferromanganese nodules in acid soils reflects this relationship.

The solubility of soil Mn is of significance since the plant supply of Mn depends mainly on the soluble Mn pool in the soil. In well-drained soils, the solubility of Mn always increases with the increase of soil acidity. However, the ability of Mn to form anionic complexes (Figure 95) and to complex with organic ligands may contribute to increased Mn solubility in the alkaline pH range (Figure 96).

Several extractants have been widely investigated for soil testing analysis. The best correlation with Mn uptake by plants was usually obtained for the water-soluble, the exchangeable, and the reducible fractions of soil Mn. It appears that most work has been done with the easily reducible Mn (the fraction extractable with hydroquinone), but the effects vary widely.

The soil Mn has been the object of much research, and a great proportion of the studies has been related to the Mn available to plants. Many data are also reported for total Mn in soils. The availability of a good Mn supply to crop plants is of growing concern for some soils, and as Finck⁹⁹⁵ stated, an increase of the mobilization rate by soil acidification proves to be effective. In soils that have to be heavily limed for structural reasons, the availability of Mn is limited. On the other hand, in soils with an increased mobility of Mn (e.g., well-drained soils at pH levels below 5.5, poorly aerated soils at pH of about 6.0 or higher), Mn toxicity can occur.^{998a} Highly alkaline soils (at about pH 8) can also produce Mn toxicity.

Mn is not distributed uniformly in soil substrata and, in addition to various nodules, is known to be also concentrated at certain spots which are usually enriched in several other trace elements. Colloidal Mn oxides reveal a great affinity for adsorption of cationic and anionic forms of elements as well as inorganic and organic substances. Thus, Mn oxides have a great impact on the immobilization of trace



Figure 95 Ionic species and transformation of manganese compounds in soils. (1) Redox reactions; (2) redox and hydration reactions.



Figure 96 Solubility of soil Mn at different pH values. (a) In 0.01 M CaCl₂ from bulk soil; (b) in 0.01 M CaCl₂ from rhizosphere soil; (c) in 0.01 M citrate solution; (d) in root exudates, after 72 hr.²⁷⁰

metals in soils. On the other hand, however, due to both reducing and oxidizing properties, Mn oxide can increase the mobilization of some metals under specific soil conditions.

The variation of Mn content of surface soils rarely seems to be correlated with soil typology, but is positively associated with clay contents (Tables 9 and 154). However, higher Mn levels are

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Australia	900-1000	_	792
-	Austria	9–59	_	6
	Bulgaria	451–883	_	545
	Norway	—	165	443
	Germany	25–200	—	689
	New Zealand	1200–1900ª	—	861
	Poland	15–1535		91, 378, 382
	U.S.	7–2000	345	706
	Former S.U.	135-310	217	74, 432, 493
Loess and silty soils	Germany	//5-1550		689
	China New Zeelend	680-1700	844	1068
	New Zealand	1600–1800°	470	001
	Poland	110-1060	470	378, 382
	U.S. Boloruo	50-1500	525 270	706
Learny and alow soils	Austria	107 122	370	493
Loanty and day solis	Austria	107-133 500 1500		690
	Mali Dopublia	75 600		20
	Now Zoolond	75-000 670,0200a	_	09 961
	New Zealanu Bolond	070-9200° 45 1065	400	001 070 000
	FUIATIU	40-1000	420 590	91, 370, 302 706
	0.3. Formor SII	270 1200	475	700
Soils on glacial till	Denmark	270-1300	268	801
	Poland	284_680	200	974
Fluvisols	Διιετεία	152-1030	554	6
1 10/13013	India	350-780		641
	Mali Republic	165-250	_	39
	Poland	150-1965	1085	378
	Relarus		240	493
Glevsols	Australia	1200-1900		792
	Austria		765	6
	Great Britain	_	530	876
	Madagascar	900-2650	_	557a
	Norway	_	80	443
	Poland	85-890	495	91. 378
	Ukraine	_	190	432
Rendzinas	Austria	_	1315	6
	Poland	50-7750	440	378. 685
	U.S.	70-2000	470	706
	Germany	_	425	689
Kastanozems and brown soils	Australia	850-3150	_	792
	Austria	253–1675	511	6
	Bulgaria	2190-3907	_	545
	China	340-1000	270	1068
	India	924–2615	_	78
	Former S.U.	390–580	460	74, 343
Ferralsols	Australia	1350–4250	—	792
	China	200-3000	915	1068
	India	925–2065	—	78
	Madagascar	850-3400	—	557a
	Spain	10–315 [⊳]	—	253a
Solonchaks and solonetz	Madagascar	—	850	557a
	Former S.U.	265-1100	645	74, 351, 432
Chernozems	Poland	380–700	560	91, 378
	U.S.	100–2000	600	706
	Former S.U.	340–1100	745	4, 346, 351, 432 (<i>Continued</i>)

Table 154 Manganese Contents of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			a a de la	, (,	
Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.	
Meadow soils	Former S.U.	690–1250	950	74, 432	_
	China	480-1300	940	1068	
Histosols and other organic soils	Canada	240–540	338	243	
	Denmark	43–200	100	1, 801	
	Poland	20-2200	150	382	
	U.S.	7-1500	260	706	
	Former S.U.	510-1465	1005	74, 432	
Forest soils	China	_	840	225	
	Hungary	120-600 ^b	_	207	
	U.S.	150-1500	645	706	
	Former S.U.	_	710	4, 351	
Various soils	Austria	190–600	388	6	
	Canada	80-850	325	629	
	Canada	100-1200 ^b	520	521	
	Denmark		279	801	
	Great Britain	70-8423	1055	818, 876	
	Italy	12–3410	873	946	
	Germany	520-1800 ^b	—	61	
	Madagascar	680–3500	_	557ª	
	Poland	37–1415	297	1045	
	Romania	194–1870	755	43	
	U.S.	20-3000	490	706	

Table 154 Manganese Contents of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW) (Continued)

^a Soils derived from basalts and andesites.

^b Data for whole soil profiles.

often reported for soils over mafic rocks, for soils rich in Fe and/or organic matter, and for soils from arid or semiarid regions. Although Mn can be concentrated in various soil horizons, particularly in those enriched in Fe oxides or hydroxides, usually this element is also accumulated in topsoils as the result of its fixation by organic matter.

On a world scale, the range of Mn average content of soil units varies from 270 (podzols) to 525 ppm (cambisols) (Table 9). The grand mean calculated for world soils is 437 ppm, while for the U.S. soils the calculation is 495 ppm. The concentration of acid-soluble Mn in Finnish soils, at the 90th percentile, is 280 ppm, whereas total Mn (H_2F_2 fusion) is 600 ppm.¹³⁶⁸ Median contents of Mn in soils of Lithuania vary, depending on the kind of parent material, from 245 ppm in soils derived from eolian sediments to 605 ppm in soils on loamy clay glacial sediments.¹³⁵⁹

Mn has not been considered to be a polluting metal in soils, yet Hemkes et al.³¹⁴ reported the increase of Mn from 242 to 555 ppm (DW) in sludge-amended soil in 5 years. MAC value for Mn in agricultural soils is estimated at 1500 ppm. Grove and Ellis²⁸⁹ found more water-soluble Mn in soil after fertilization with sludge, whereas Diez and Rosopulo¹⁷⁶ observed a lower Mn uptake by plants from soil after sludge application. When Mn has accumulated in topsoil due to Mn application over a long period of time, toxic effects in some plants might be observed.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Transport

Numerous studies have been carried out on Mn uptake by plants and on Mn distribution among plant tissues. All findings give ample evidence that Mn uptake is metabolically controlled, apparently in a way similar to that of other divalent cation species such as Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} . However, passive absorption of Mn is also likely to occur, especially in the high and toxic range of this metal

in solution. Generally, Mn is known to be rapidly taken up and translocated within plants; therefore, it is likely that Mn is not binding to insoluble organic ligands, either in root tissue or in xylem fluid.

Mn is reported to occur in plant fluids and extracts mainly as free cationic forms.^{789,798} It appears, therefore, that Mn is likely to be transported as Mn²⁺, but its complexing compounds with organic molecules of 1000 to 5000 mol wt have also been found in phloem exudates.⁸²² Van Goor^{820a} reported a much lower Mn concentration in phloem exudate than in leaf tissue and concluded that a slight transport of Mn through the phloem vessels is responsible for the low concentration of Mn in fruits, seeds, and storage roots.

Mn is preferentially transported to meristematic tissues, thus its concentration is mostly observed in young expanding tissue. Heenan and Campbell³⁰⁹ reported that at a high Mn supply, the leaves accumulated higher concentrations with age, but small amounts of Mn were translocated from old leaves when young expanding leaves were Mn deficient. Thus, Mn appeared to have a low mobility when the supply of the plant was limited. The Mn concentration fluctuates greatly within the plant parts and within the vegetative period. Scheffer et al.^{688a} reported a relatively low Mn level in barley during intensive growth and further Mn accumulation in old leaves and sheaths.

It should be emphasized, however, that the Mn content of plants is not only an effect of plant characteristics, but also of the pool of available Mn, which is highly controlled by soil properties. Generally, the most readily available Mn is in acid and flooded soil. More than a tenfold increase in the Mn content of lucerne (alfalfa) was observed in plants grown on flooded soil, compared to background values.⁵³¹ Therefore, the reducing ability of root exudates and of bacteria in the rhizosphere apparently is of direct importance in Mn nutrition of plants.²⁷⁰

Because Mn seems to be easily taken up by plants when it occurs in soluble forms in soils, the Mn content of plants should be a direct function of the soluble Mn pool in soils. And indeed, Mn concentration in plants shows a negative relationship with increasing soil pH and a positive relationship with soil organic matter (Figure 97).

An excess of phytoavailable Mn is associated with several soil properties:

- 1. Strongly acid soils (pH levels of 5.5 or below)
- 2. Anaerobic condition and poor aeration (flooded, waterlogged, or compact soils)
- 3. Heavily limed soils (raised pH levels up to about 8)

Foy^{998a} discussed correcting or preventing Mn toxicity by conventional liming or soil drainage practices and emphasized that the most reasonable approach to the problem is to select or breed plant genotypes having greater tolerance to excess Mn in soils.

2. Biochemical Functions

All plants have a specific requirement for Mn and apparently the most important Mn function is related to the oxidation-reduction process. The functions of Mn in plants have been widely reviewed by Shkolnik,⁷¹⁸ Boardman,⁸³ and Mengel and Kirkby.⁵³¹ Mn²⁺ is known to be a specific component of two enzymes, arginase and phosphotransferase, but this metal can also substitute for Mg in other enzymes. The mechanism by which Mn²⁺ activates several oxidases is not yet known precisely, but it appears to be related to the valency change between Mn³⁺ and Mn²⁺.

Mn appears to participate in the O_2 -evolving system of photosynthesis and also plays a basic role in the photosynthetic electron transport system. Apparently, the Mn fraction that is loosely bound in chloroplasts is associated with O_2 evolution, whereas the firmly bound Mn fraction is involved in the electron pathway in photosynthesis. Bartlett⁹⁹³ described Mn as a key to life in the following words: "Its essentiality for H₂O oxidation and O_2 evolution in photosynthesis is wellestablished, although the mechanism of its function in this vital link in life's most basic processes is still an important area of research. Thermodynamically, Mn^{3+} ion (or the Mn^{4+} ion) appears to be a likely candidate for accomplishing this difficult oxidation."



Figure 97 Influence of soil factors on the Mn content of oats. (a) Organic matter added as slurry, oats at various stages of growth; (b) pH adjusted with H₂SO₄ or CaO, oats at various stages of growth; (c) pH resulting from fertilization with different N-fertilizers, oats at shoot stage.^{437,693}

The role of Mn in the NO_2^- reduction step is not yet clear, but it appears to be a kind of indirect relationship between the Mn activity and N assimilation by plants. Adequate levels of available Mn are necessary in plant nutrition. Chloroplasts are the most sensitive of all cell components to Mn deficiency and react by showing structural impairment.⁸³ The deficiency symptoms occur first in younger leaves as interveinal chlorosis. At further stages, necrotic spots on leaves and browning of roots appear. Plants deficient in Mn apparently are less frost hardy.^{383,511} The growth of Mn-deficient plants is retarded, the turgor is reduced, and the affected leaves break. Crops and plant species differ in their susceptibility to Mn deficiency—the most sensitive are oats (gray speck symptom), peas (marsh spot symptom), sugar beet, and some fruit trees and bushes.

Although Mn deficiency is relatively common in certain crops grown on neutral and calcareous soils, diagnosis and correction of the deficiency is not well-defined. Since soil analysis is not very reliable in diagnosing the Mn supply to plants, tissue tests should be considered together with soil and field observations. The correction of Mn deficiency in crops may be done by both soil and foliar application. The optimum rates and the method of Mn application is extensively reviewed in several textbooks.^{556,649,847} A high rate of Mn application or its inappropriate form (e.g., Mn-EDTA for certain fields), as well as variable soil conditions, can easily result in toxic effects on plants.

Toxicity of Mn to some field crops might be expected on acid soils of pH around 5.5 or lower and with a high Mn level. However, the critical Mn content and unfavorable soil pH range depend upon several other environmental factors. Mn toxicity is also known to occur at higher pH levels in poorly drained (poorly aerated) soils. However, if acid soils are very low in total Mn, plants are not subjected to Mn toxicity. As Beckwith et al.⁶⁰ reported, flooding did not always increase Mn uptake by rice shoots, since flooding may also increase soil pH and therefore decrease Mn uptake. As reviewed by Andersson,⁹²¹ there are great differences between species in the sensitivity to Mn. For example, most sensitive false oat grass showed a significant decrease in biomass at the Mn concentration of 5 mg L⁻¹, while marsh bent grass was unaffected even by 200 mg L⁻¹ in the solution. Most often, plants growing on acid soils tolerate high Mn concentrations. Foy⁹⁹⁸ described that Mn tolerance is related to several characteristics and metabolic processes, such as:

- 1. Oxidizing power of plant roots, possible oxidation of Mn to MnO₂, which is stored as inactive fraction
- 2. Mn absorption and translocation rates
- 3. Complexation of Mn by low-molecular-weight compounds produced by plant roots or soil microorganisms
- 4. Mn entrapment in nonmetabolic centers
- 5. Interactions with other elements, especially with Ca, Fe, Al, Si, and NH₄

Steam sterilization of greenhouse soils is known to increase the available Mn to levels toxic to certain plants. This phenomenon is closely linked with soil biological activity (Chapter 4, Section IV). Foy^{998a} reviewed Mn-microbial relationships and emphasized remedial effects of various microorganisms (especially *Rhizobia* and *Mycorrhiza* strains) on resistance of plants to Mn toxicity.

Foy et al.²⁴¹ described the physiology of Mn toxicity in plants and emphasized its complex character and interrelation with other elements. Foy⁹⁹⁸ reported impaired effects of high levels of Mn on the activity of some enzymes and hormones (e.g., auxin, giberellin) and also on the proportion of amino acids. The response of plants to excessive Mn levels is highly controlled by differences between genotypes. Brown and Devine¹⁰⁵ stated that the control of tolerance to excess Mn appeared to be multigenic and was apparently related to Fe metabolism in plants. Legumes appear to be more sensitive, because Mn excess affects rhizobia nodule numbers and thus the efficiency of N fixation. Common symptoms of Mn excess are brown spots on leaves where Mn is concentrated. The results of studies carried out by Watanabe et al.¹⁵³⁹ show that the Mn in leaf tissues occurs as a mixture of soluble salt Mn $(OH)_6^{++}$ and organic components containing Mn-porphyrins, but is translocated in xylem mainly as free divalent cation. Leaves with bronzing symptoms contain large amounts of Mn; for example, in injured bean leaves, the Mn level was >760 ppm.¹³⁰⁹

The most common symptoms of Mn toxicity are Fe chlorosis and brown spots on leaves. Leaf puckering, necrotic brown spots, and an uneven distribution of chlorophyll in older leaves are also symptoms of Mn toxicity. In severely injured plants, browning of roots occurs. Plants resistant to Mn excess have an ability to accumulate Mn in root tissues or to precipitate MnO₂, which is deposited mainly within the epidermis. Also, an increased Fe uptake by these plants has been observed.^{114,340} Symptoms of Mn toxicity are more pronounced in warm and hot weather.

3. Interactions with Other Elements

Mn is known to be involved in both biological and geochemical interactions (Figure 30). The most prominent geochemical interference is observed in the strong affinity of Mn oxides for Co. This reaction is so marked that most of the native Co in soils may be unavailable to plants in the presence of moderate amounts of Mn.^{524,526} The strong absorption capacity of Mn oxides for other heavy metals may also highly govern the availability of these metals to plants.

Mn-Fe antagonism is widely known and is observed mainly in acidic soils that contain large amounts of available Mn. In general, Fe and Mn are interrelated in their metabolic functions, and their appropriate level (the Fe:Mn ratio should range from 1.5 to 2.5) is necessary for the healthy plant. Below this range, symptoms of Mn toxicity and Fe deficiency may occur; and above 2.5, toxic effects of Fe, associated with the Mn deficiency, will be observed. Alvarez-Tinaut et al.¹⁶ reported that both deficient and normal Mn levels antagonize Fe absorption, but the reverse influence was true when Mn reached toxic concentration in plants. In certain field and crop conditions, both Mn or Fe toxicity can be remedied by Fe or Mn application.²⁴¹

Interactions between Mn and other heavy metals are not confirmed, although there are reports of either antagonistic or synergistic effects of Mn on the uptake of Cd and Pb,³⁸¹ and Zn depressing effects on the Mn uptake.¹⁰⁷⁴ The interactions of Mn and P may be cross-linked with Fe-P antagonism or related to both the variation in the Mn-phosphate solubility in soils and the Mn influence on P metabolic reactions.^{3,241} Depending on soil conditions, P fertilizers are known to either aggravate Mn deficiency in oats or to increase Mn uptake by other plants. These phenomena are closely related to the soil pH and soil sorption capacity.

Interactions of Mn and Si have been reported by several authors. An adequate Si supply to plants is reflected in the easy transport of Mn and a more homogeneous Mn distribution in the plant.³²⁶ Plants deficient in Si are known to accumulate more Mn than Si-sufficient plants. There are several indications that an available Si supply reduces the Mn toxicity to plants.²⁴¹ Antagonistic effects of Ca and Mg on Mn uptake seem to have a complex character. Foy⁹⁹⁸ found that the excess of Mn induces Ca deficiency because it inhibits the transport of Ca within plants, and Ca, under certain conditions, reduces Mn toxicity.¹⁰⁹⁸ Antagonistic interactions are also observed between Mn and K, Na, and N (Table 43). Although there are evidences of both antagonistic and synergistic interactions, apparently more data are required in this field.⁹²¹

4. Concentrations in Plants

Loneragan⁴⁸⁹ stated that Mn showed a particularly wide variation among plant species grown on the same soil, ranging from an average of 30 ppm (DW) in *Medicago trunculata* to around 500 ppm (DW) in *Lupinus albus*. Similarly, a wide range of Mn has been observed in forage plants as reported for different countries (Table 155). Worldwide background contents of Mn range from 17 to 334 ppm in grass and from 25 to 119 ppm in clover.

Plant foodstuffs are also reported to contain variable amounts of Mn, being the highest in beet roots (36 to 113 ppm DW) and the lowest in tree fruits (1.3 to 1.5 ppm DW) (Table 156). The Mn content shows a remarkable variation for plant species, stage of growth, and different organs as well as for different ecosystems. A relatively small variation has been observed in the Mn content of cereal grains, which average from 15 to 80 ppm throughout the world (Table 157).

The critical Mn deficiency level for most plants ranges from 15 to 25 ppm (DW), whereas the toxic concentration of Mn to plants is more variable, depending on both plant and soil factors. Generally, most plants are affected by a Mn content around 500 ppm (DW) (Table 36). However, the accumulation above 1000 ppm (DW) also has been often reported for several more resistant species or genotypes.^{279,345} Foy⁹⁹⁸ reviewed reports on differential Mn tolerance within plant species of cereals, legumes, apples, cotton, and flax.

VII. TECHNETIUM

Technetium is a by-product of nuclear fuel processing. ⁹⁹Tc is an unstable radionuclide and possibly also present, in minute quantities, in natural conditions (mainly in U ores). It is geochemically associated with Mo and Re. Due to its great dispersion, it cannot be easily determined. The disposal of Tc-enriched wastes is an environmental hazard since it is easily included in the biocycle. Echevarria et al.¹²⁸⁷ studied ⁹⁹Tc behavior in the soil-plant system. It is subjected to microbial oxidizing and reducing processes and is easily taken up by plants, possibly as the complex anion TcO_4^- , and is accumulated mainly in leaves. The average transfer factor (BAC value) of Tc from soil to edible parts of vegetables (on dry basis) ranges from 0.3 to 17, being the lowest for tomato and the highest for spinach.¹⁵⁶⁰ Organic and nitrogen fertilizers inhibit its phytoavailability. The mobile TcO_4^- in soils is readily changed to less soluble forms, such as TcO_2 , TcS_2 , and organically

	Gras	ses	Clov		
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Australia	67–187	120	33–43	38	266
Great Britain	79–160ª	—	31–65ª	—	67
Czech Republic	24–130	71	17–42	25	154, 562
Finland	41–144	77 ^b	34–140	87°	388, 727
	_	—	33–205	119 ^d	727
Germany	51–128	82	29–200	53	31, 65
Germany	35–106	70	24–420	71	576, 596
Hungary	67–309	161	55–126	82	803
Ireland	77–116	86	18–39	26°	235
Japan	20–330	127	15–436	89	770
New Zealand	49–139	114	29–165	77	536
Poland	20–665	98	16–260	58°	1045
U.S.	80–1840	3341	_	_	15
Russia	26-493	44	19–165	70	338
Yugoslavia	16–18	17	—	—	755

Table 155 Mean Levels and Ranges of Manganese in Grass and Clover at the Immature Growth Stage from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a From freely and poorly drained soils, respectively.

^b Timothy.

° Dry hay of red clover.

^d Fresh grown red clover.

e Alfalfa.

^f Sample from grass tetany pasture.

Table 156 Mean Manganese Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)

			FW Basis		DW Basis			AW Basis	
Plant	Tissue Sample	(574)	(705)	(395, 1187)	(705)	(381)	(727)	(705)	
Sweet corn	Grains		0.9	5.1	3.6		_	140	
Bean	Pods	0.28	2.3	5.0ª	21	_	21ª	300	
Cabbage	Leaves	1.2	1.1	2.6	14	28	_	150	
Lettuce	Leaves	0.1	1.2	4.0 ^b	29	_	_	210	
Beet	Roots	_	_	_	_	36°	92, 113 ^d	_	
Carrot	Roots	0.15	1.0	1.5	8.5	14	28	120	
Onion	Bulbs	0.6	1.6	1.2	16	17	24	390	
Potato	Tubers	0.3	0.7	2.9	3.6	15	8	86	
Tomato	Fruits	0.94	0.6	1.1	12	_	_	100	
Apple	Fruits	0.01	0.2	_	1.3	_	_	74	
Orange	Fruits	0.05	0.2	0.5 ^e	1.5	—	—	43	

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a Pea seeds or other pulses.

^b Spinach.

° Red beet.

^d Sugar and red beet, respectively.

^e Citrus unshiu (Satsuma orange).

bound fractions. The N cycle in the soil might have a strong influence in ^{99}Tc transfer to crops, since N has an impact on the formation of the mobile anion $\text{Tc}\,\text{O}_4^-$.¹²⁸⁵

The source of ⁹⁹Tc is mainly global fallout. As Tagami and Uchodo^{1512a} reported, concentrations of this radionuclide in paddy soils of Japan varies from 6.6 to 110 mBq kg⁻¹, and is likely to be accumulated in surface paddy fields.

Country	Cereal ^a	Range	Mean	Ref.
Australia	Wheat	17–84	43	867
Great Britain	Barley	_	49	112
	Oats	_	94	112
Canada	Oats	_	76	867
Czech Republic	Barley (w)	12–16	15	562
	Oats	40–60	48	562
	Wheat (w)	23–52	34	562
Egypt	Wheat	7.5–24.2	13.7	213
Finland	Barley	18–30	24	727
	Oats	47–93	70	727
	Rye	31–47	39	727
	Wheat (w)	29–103	80	508,727
	Wheat (s)	28-84	55	508
Germany	Oats	22–45	_	65
	Wheat	30–44	—	65
Japan	Rice, unpolished	—	26	395
	Wheat, flour	—	2.3	395
Poland	Rye	9–76	26	424,1045
	Oats	17–121	45	1045
	Triticale	—	26	424
	Wheat (w)	10–50	28	267, 335
Sweden	Wheat (w)	22–38	31	21
U.S.	Rye	11–75	—	484,492
	Triticale	—	55	492
	Wheat	32–38	35	492
	Rice	4–39	12 ^b	1187
Russia	Barley	13–22	17	337
	Oats	23–76	36	337
	Rye	25–87	30	337,501
	Wheat	16–46	36	337

Table 157	Manganese Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (p	om DW)
-----------	--	--------

^a Spring, s; winter, w.

^b FW basis.

VIII. RHENIUM

Re is a highly dispersed element in the Earth's crust, but it is known to be associated with some granitoids and pegmatites. Its average abundance is estimated at about 5 ppb in magmatic rock and 0.5 ppb in sedimentary rocks.⁵⁵² Two ionic forms, Re⁴⁺ and Re⁶⁺, reveal its similarity to Mo cations and they are likely to substitute for this element in geochemical processes. Therefore, Re may be expected to be concentrated in Mo minerals (e.g., molybdenite contains Re from <1 ppm to about 2%). Higher amounts of Re are also observed in some minerals of the rare earth elements.

During weathering, Re seems to be readily soluble as the anionic form (ReO_4^-) and is known to be concentrated in certain sediments such as bituminous copper shales, in black pyritic shales, and also to be enriched in some coals. Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ reviewed data on Re occurrence in plants and gave the range in Re concentrations in native vegetation of the U.S. as 70 to 300 ppm (AW).

CHAPTER 13

Elements of Group VIII

I. INTRODUCTION

Group VIII metals form chemical subgroups (triads) with somewhat similar behavior. Geochemical properties of the first triad, Fe, Co, and Ni, are very similar, and due to the small differences in atomic radii, they are likely to form a wide range of mixed crystals.

The terrestrial abundance of Fe is so great that it is not considered a trace element in rocks and soils. However, Fe plays a special role in the behavior of several trace elements. This metal is also in an intermediate position between macro- and micronutrients insofar as its content in plants is concerned.

Both Fe and Co play significant roles in the biochemistry of plants, but the function of Ni is still not clear. However, all these metals are essential to animals.

Further elements of Group VIII belong to the so-called "platinum metal" group. They are conventionally separated into the middle weight Pd subgroup (Ru, Rh, and Pd) and the heaviest Pt metals (Os, Ir, and Pt). All these metals are highly dispersed in the Earth's crust and are known to be mostly inactive in geochemical and biochemical processes.

According to the new Periodic Table of the Elements, the metals of this group belong to three separate groups: Group 8: Fe, Ru, Os, and Hs; Group: 9: Co, Rh, Ir, and Mt, and Group 10: Ni, Pd, and Pt. The old system, however, refers to chemical and geochemical properties of the elements, and therefore will be followed in this text.

II. IRON

A. Soils

Fe is one of the major constituents of the lithosphere and comprises approximately 5%, being concentrated mainly in the mafic series of magmatic rocks (Table 158). However, the global abundance of Fe is calculated to be around 45%.

The geochemistry of Fe is very complex in the terrestrial environment and is largely determined by the easy change of its valence states in response to the physicochemical conditions. The behavior of Fe is closely linked to the cycling of O, S, and C.

The reactions of Fe in processes of weathering are dependent largely on the Eh-pH system of the environment and on the stage of oxidation of the Fe compounds involved. The general rule governing the mobilization and fixation of Fe are that oxidizing and alkaline conditions promote the precipitation of Fe, whereas acid and reducing conditions promote the solution of Fe compounds. The released Fe readily precipitates as oxides and hydroxides, but it substitutes for Mg and Al in other minerals and often complexes with organic ligands.

Rock Type	Fe (%)	Co (ppm)	Ni (ppm)			
	Magmatic Roc	ks				
Ultramafic rocks Dunites, peridotites, pyroxenites	9.4–10.0	100–200	1400–2000			
Mafic rocks Basalts, gabbros	5.6–8.7	35–50	130–160			
Intermediate rocks Diorites, syenites	3.7–5.9	1–10	5–55			
Acid rocks Granites, gneisses	1.4–2.7	1–7	5–15			
Acid rocks (volcanic) Rhyolites, trachytes, dacites	2.6	15	20			
	Sedimentary Ro	ocks				
Argillaceous sediments	3.3–4.7	14–20	40–90			
Shales	4.3-4.8	11–20	50-70			
Sandstones	1.0–3.0	0.3–10	5–20			
Limestones, dolomites	0.4–1.0	0.1–3.0	7–20			

Table 158 Iron, Cobalt, and Nickel in Major Rock Types (values commonly found, based on various sources)

In soils, Fe is believed to occur mainly in the forms of oxides and hydroxides as small particles or associated with the surfaces of other minerals. However, in soil horizons rich in organic matter, Fe appears to be mainly in a chelated form. Certain fractions of Fe in soils reveal magnetic properties. The increased ratio of magnetic Fe to regular Fe in a soil, as compared to mother rock, indicates the impact of industrial pollution.

Free Fe minerals that occur in soil are used as a key characterization for soils and for soil horizons. Fe minerals that are known to also form pedogenically are:

- 1. Hematite, α -Fe₂O₃, occurs mainly in soils of arid, semiarid, and tropical regions and is most often inherited from parent materials.
- 2. Maghemite, γ -Fe₂O₃, is formed in highly weathered soils of tropical zones and most often occurs in concentrations accompanied by hematite, magnetite, or goethite.
- 3. Magnetite, Fe₃O₄, is mostly inherited from parent materials; in soils, it is strongly associated with maghemite.
- 4. Ferrihydrite, $Fe_2O_3 \cdot nH_2O$, is apparently a common, but unstable soil mineral and is easily transformed to hematite in warm regions and to goethite in humid temperate zones.
- 5. Goethite, α -FeOOH, is the most common Fe mineral in soils over broad climatic regions, from temperate to tropical. The crystallinity and composition of goethites vary and may reflect the environment in which they have formed.
- 6. Lepidocrocite, γ -FeOOH, is common in poorly drained soils (e.g., paddy soils) and in soils of humid temperate regions. The formation of this mineral in soils is favored by lower pH, lower temperature, and the absence of Fe³⁺.
- 7. Ilmenite, FeTiO₃, does not occur commonly in soils. As a mineral resistant to weathering, it is usually inherited from igneous parent rocks.
- 8. Pyrite (FeS₂), ferrous sulfide (FeS), and jarosite, KFe₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₆, are widely distributed in submerged soils containing S (e.g., acid sulfate soils).

Both mineral and organic compounds of Fe are easily transformed in soils, and organic matter appears to have a significant influence on the formation of Fe oxides. These oxides may be amorphous, semicrystalline, or crystalline, even under the same conditions.



Figure 98 Influence of soil pH on the activity of Fe³⁺ maintained by Fe oxides. (a) α-FeOOH, goethite; (b) Fe(OH)₃, amorphous; (c) Fe(OH)₃, soil constituent; (d) Fe concentration in soil solution.^{477,1045}

Transformations of Fe compounds are also affected by microorganisms. Some bacteria species (e.g., *Metallogenium* sp.) are involved in Fe cycling and are known to accumulate this metal on the surfaces of living cells.^{36,809,856}

General characteristics of soil Fe are given in Chapter 4, Section III.B. Detailed descriptions of the role and behavior of Fe in soils have been presented by Krauskopf,⁴²⁷ Norrish,⁵⁷⁰ Schwertmann and Taylor,⁶⁹⁹ Lindsay,⁴⁷⁷ and Bloomfield.⁸¹

Soils developed on coastal sediments or on acid sulfate soils have very poor agricultural productivity because of their acidity. This acidity is a result of the oxidation of pyrite. At high pH, pyrite is oxidized chemically, but at pH values below 4.5, it is mediated microbially. These processes may bring up a very low pH of soil and greatly influence the release of metals.¹¹³⁷

Many reactions are involved in the solubility of Fe in soil, but hydrolysis and complexed species appear to be most significant. Lindsay⁴⁷⁷ reported that the mobility of Fe in soils is largely controlled by the solubility of Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ amorphous hydrous oxides (Figure 98). However, the formation of other Fe compounds, such as phosphates, sulfides, and carbonates, may greatly modify Fe solubilities.

The content of soluble Fe in soils is extremely low in comparison with the total Fe content. Soluble inorganic forms include Fe³⁺, Fe(OH)₂⁺, FeOH²⁺, Fe²⁺, Fe(OH)₃⁻, and Fe(OH)₄²⁻. In well-aerated soils, however, Fe²⁺ contributes little to the total soluble inorganic Fe, except under high soil pH conditions. The concentration of Fe in soil solutions within common soil pH levels ranges from 30 to 550 μ g L⁻¹, whereas in very acid soil it can exceed 2000 μ g L⁻¹ (Tables 15 and 16). The soluble Fe level reaches a minimum in the alkaline pH range (Figure 98). Acid soils are therefore higher in soluble inorganic Fe than are neutral and calcareous soils. Thus, Fe²⁺ cations when in acid anaerobic soils may become toxic, but in alkaline well-aerated soils, the low concentration of soluble Fe species may not meet plant requirements for this metal.

When soils are waterlogged, the reduction of Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} takes place and is reflected in an increase in Fe solubility. This process of Fe reduction is strongly related to metabolism of bacteria and can result in a high Fe^{2+} concentration in some submerged soils (e.g., paddy soils). A high rate of Fe mobility in soils under anthropogenic stress (acid rain, acid fertilizers, high input of organic matter) may affect an increase of Fe mobility in various hypergenic zones. In consequence, the accumulation of Fe in certain horizons of different soils may significantly change the geochemical cycling.¹¹⁹⁶

Fe is known to be readily mobile in acid periodically submerged soils. The distribution of this metal in soil profiles is highly variable and reflects several soil processes. The Fe compounds that

are produced are largely responsible for the color of soils and have been used for the description of soil processes and for soil classification. Zonn¹¹⁹⁶ broadly described geochemical, geographical, and environmental aspects of Fe in soils. He emphasized a suitability of speciation and distribution of Fe compounds in soils for the typology and diagnosis of soil properties.

Like Mn compounds in soils, Fe compounds are greatly involved in the behavior of some macronutrients and of many trace elements. The degree to which soil Fe is responsible for trace metal solubility and availability is strongly governed by several soil factors. Conversely, heavy metals are also known to influence the bioavailability of Fe.

Soil Fe exhibits a great affinity to form mobile organic complexes and chelates. These compounds are largely responsible for the Fe migration between soil horizons and for Fe leaching from soil profiles and also are important in the supply of Fe to plant roots.

The Fe content of soils is both inherited from parent rocks and the result of soil processes. The most common range of Fe in soils in from 0.5 to 5%. Even in Fe-poor soil, there is no absolute deficiency of Fe for plants, but only a deficiency of readily soluble amounts.

Areas of Fe deficiency in soils for certain crops are relatively widespread (Table 39), but most occur under aridic climates and are related to calcareous, alkaline, or other specific soils (e.g., manganiferous soils). In the humic climatic zone with a predominance of acid soils, Fe deficiency in soils is most unlikely to occur unless anthropogenic factors disturb the natural chemical balance.

Soil testing and the correction of the Fe deficiency have been the subjects of several studies, and all authors have stated that caution should be used in formulating methods for determining plant-available levels of Fe.^{151,279,847} There is still a lack of suitable methods to assess the Fe availability to plants. The chelating agents DTPA and EDTA appear to be most often recommended for measuring the availability of Fe to plants. Kreij et al.¹³⁷⁹ reported that the correlation between Fe in all substrate extracts (H₂O, EDTA, DTPA, and CaCl₂) and Fe in the test plant (chrysanthemum) was poor. It is assumed that during winter the plants were able, at a low growing rate, to absorb enough Fe even at low contents in the substrate.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Transport

The mechanisms of Fe uptake and transport by plants have received much study because they are the key processes in the supply of Fe to plants. The present knowledge on this topic has been extensively reviewed by Moore,⁵⁴⁸ Chaney et al.,¹²⁸ Tiffin,⁷⁸⁹ Mengel and Kirkby,⁵³¹ and Tinker.⁷⁹⁸ Almost all instances of Fe deficiency in plants are considered to occur because of soil factors that govern Fe solubility. Soil conditions that render Fe unavailable are summarized in Table 39.

The Fe uptake by plants is metabolically controlled, although it may be absorbed as Fe^{3+} , Fe^{2+} , or as Fe chelates. The ability of roots to reduce Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} is believed to be fundamental in the absorption of this cation by most plants. At normal soil pH levels, Fe organic complexes apparently play an important role in plant nutrition. With Fe deficiencies, roots of cereal plants release mugineic acids that are effective in mobilizing Fe and other trace metals, even from calcareous soils.^{1004a} The separation of chelated Fe prior to the absorption step appears to require reduction of Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} at the surface of the root. Generally, roots absorb the Fe^{2+} cation.¹²⁸ In xylem exudates, Fe appears to occur unchelated; however, its transport is mediated largely by citrate chelates. In plant tissues, mobile Fe has been identified as citrates and soluble ferredoxins. Fe is not readily transported in plant tissues, and therefore its deficiency appears first in younger plant parts. As Scheffer et al.^{688a} reported, the amount of Fe is relatively low in plant parts of intensive growth.

Both Fe uptake and transport between plant organs are highly affected by several plant and environmental factors, of which soil pH, concentration of Ca and P, and ratios of several heavy metals are most pronounced. In general, a high degree of oxidation of Fe compounds, Fe precipitation on carbonates and/or phosphates, and competition of trace metal cations with Fe^{2+} for the same binding sites of chelating compounds are responsible for a low Fe uptake and for a disturbance in Fe transport within plants. Usually, the more Fe deficient, the greater the ability of plant roots to extract Fe from minerals and from chelating agents.

2. Biochemical Functions

The metabolic functions of Fe in green plants are relatively well-understood, and Fe is considered the key metal in energy transformations needed for syntheses and other life processes of the cells.^{83,564,630,1184} The essential role of Fe in plant biochemistry can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Fe occurs in heme and non-heme proteins and is concentrated mainly in chloroplasts.
- 2. Organic Fe complexes are involved in the mechanisms of photosynthetic electron transfer.
- 3. Non-heme Fe proteins are involved in the reduction of nitrites and sulfates.
- 4. Chlorophyll formation seems to be influenced by Fe.
- 5. Fe is likely to be directly implicated in nucleic acid metabolism.
- 6. Catalytic and structural role of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} are also known.

This summary is oversimplified and too generalized, but it gives some information showing that, in addition to the active Fe roles in redox reactions of chloroplasts, mitochondria, and peroxisomes, Fe also performs other functions in the plant.

Fe deficiency affects several physiological processes and therefore retards plant growth and plant yield. The deficiency of Fe is a major worldwide problem with many crops since a large number of cultivated soils are low in available content. The control of Fe deficiency often is not sufficiently effective and therefore much effort has been made in screening plants for iron efficiency. Brown^{104a} reviewed the current approach to the Fe deficiency problem and stated that genotypes and plant species should be selected for their efficient absorption of Fe.

The symptoms of Fe deficiency may occur at very different Fe levels in plants, and this deficiency is highly dependent on soil, plant, nutritional, and climatic factors. The most common initial symptom of Fe deficiency is interveinal chlorosis of young leaves (Table 38). Several fruit trees and, of all the cereals, oats and rice in particular, are very susceptible to Fe chlorosis.

On soil rich in the soluble Fe fraction, an excessive Fe uptake can produce toxic effects in plants. Plant injury due to Fe toxicity is most likely to occur on strongly acid soils (ultisols, oxisols), on acid sulfate soils, and on flooded soils. High concentrations of Fe in the soil solution is almost always related to Fe toxicity. This toxicity is also often associated with salinity and a low phosphorus or base status of soils. It has been reported in countries of both tropical and arid regions.⁶²² The concentration of about 500 ppm Fe²⁺ in the soil solution of paddy soil was reported to kill rice seedlings.²⁴¹ It should be emphasized that both an excessive Fe uptake and a low tolerance to a high concentration of Fe in plant tissues is significantly complicated by several nutritional factors.

Symptoms of Fe toxicity are not specific and usually differ among plant species and stages of growth (Table 40). Injured leaves or necrotic spots on leaves indicate an accumulation of Fe above 1000 ppm (3 to 6 times as high as the Fe content of healthy leaves). However, the most pronounced symptom is the ratio of Fe to other elements and to heavy metals in particular. The proper Fe:Mn ratio seems to be the most obligatory factor in the tolerance of plants to Fe toxicity.

Foy et al.^{241,998} reviewed the physiology of Fe toxicity and of plant resistance. Based on this review, it may be stated that:

- 1. Plants high in nutrients, especially in Ca and SiO₂, can tolerate higher internal levels of Fe.
- 2. Rice roots are able to oxidize Fe and deposit it on root surfaces.
- 3. Root damage by H_2S or any other factor destroys the oxidizing power of roots and thus aggravates Fe toxicity.

Fe tolerance of plants is most often associated with oxidation and immobilization and/or exclusion of soluble Fe by roots. Plants adapted to waterlogged conditions are commonly more tolerant to high Fe levels than plants grown in well-aerated soils.

Plant response to Fe toxicity, as well as to Fe deficiency, is highly variable among genotypes and plant species. Therefore, genetic manipulation through plant breeding seems to be one of the most promising lines of research on the iron problem in plant nutrition. Toxicity symptoms in bean leaves are reported to occur at Fe concentrations >3080 ppm.¹³⁰⁹

3. Interactions with Other Elements

Antagonistic interaction between Fe and heavy metals has been observed in several crops, and results of several studies suggest that chlorosis brought about by heavy metal excess is apparently the result of induced Fe deficiency.^{16,105,778} Excess amounts of heavy metals, and of Mn, Ni, and Co in particular, caused a reduction in absorption and translocation of Fe and resulted in a decrease of chlorophyll. On the other hand, high levels of Fe compounds in soil are known to greatly decrease trace metal uptake (Figure 30). Reactions between Fe and Mn (see Chapter 12, Section VI.B.3) are commonly observed, and the ratio of these two metals in both growth medium and plant tissue seems to be more important to plant metabolism than their concentrations.

Pulford⁶³³ suggested that the interaction of Fe-Zn is apparently associated with the precipitation of franklinite (ZnFe₂O₄) that depressed the availability of both metals. Fe-P interactions commonly occur in both plant metabolism and soil media. The affinity between Fe³⁺ and $H_2PO_4^-$ ions is known to be great, and therefore the precipitation of FePO₄ · 2H₂O can easily occur under favorable conditions. Furthermore, P anions compete with the plant for Fe, and thus P interferes with Fe uptake and with the internal Fe transport. High Fe concentrations are known to cause P deficiency. The appropriate P:Fe ratio in plants is fundamental to plant health. The details of this subject are reviewed by Olsen,⁵⁸¹ Adams,³ Dekock,¹⁷⁴ and Wild and Jones.¹¹⁸⁴ K deficiency is also often associated with Fe toxicity because plants are not capable of oxidizing Fe²⁺ to Fe^{3+,921} The antagonistic effect of Ca on Fe is very complex and is related to both the growth medium and intracellular metabolism.

Other interactions between Fe and macronutrients (Table 43) are not well-understood. Thus, Fe chlorosis in plants on calcareous soils may be thought to reflect a low Fe availability in such soils because of the present insufficient evidence of Fe-Ca metabolic interferences. Interaction between Fe and S seems to be erratic in that low soil S levels may depress Fe uptake, whereas a high S content may also result in low Fe availability, depending on soil environments.

4. Concentrations in Plants

The appropriate content of Fe in plants is essential both for the health of the plant and for the nutrient supply to man and animals. The variation among plants in their ability to absorb Fe is not always consistent and is affected by changing conditions of soil and climate and by the stages of plant growth. Generally, certain forbs, including legumes, are known to accumulate more Fe than are grasses. However, where Fe is easily soluble, plants may take up a very large amount of Fe. This is clearly shown by vegetation grown in soils derived from serpentine, where grass contained Fe within the range of 2127 to 3580 ppm (DW).³⁶⁶

The natural Fe content of fodder plants ranges from 18 to about 1000 ppm (DW) (Table 159). The nutritional requirement of grazing animals is usually met at the Fe concentration range from around 50 to 100 ppm (DW) in forage.

Edible parts of vegetables appear to contain fairly similar amounts of Fe, ranging from 29 to 130 ppm (DW), with lettuce being in the upper range and onion in the lower range. Fe in ash of a variety of plant species is reported to range from 220 to 1200 ppm (Table 160). The recent

	Grass	es	Clove		
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Australia	228–264	244	252–357	285	266
Great Britain	73–154	103	122–132ª	—	867a
Finland	39–49	43 ^b	_	_	388
Germany	79–206	126	116–253	175	31
Germany	110–430	187	—	—	596
Hungary	133–923	376	118–535	346°	803
Ireland	34–78	55	64–85	74ª	235
Japan	55–157	106	75–229	152	770
Poland	60–140	92	76–136	117	838
U.S.	18–320	73	_	_	200
New Zealand	69–1510	320	105–1700	400	536

Table 159 Mean Levels and Ranges of Iron in Grass and Clover at the Immature Growth Stage from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a Alfalfa.

^b Timothy.

° Various legumes.

	Tissue	FW I	FW Basis		DW Basis		
Plant	Sample	(574)	(705)	(705)	(727)	(381)	(705)
Sweet corn	Grains	4.3	4.4	17	_	_	670
Bean	Pods	0.7	9.2	84	86ª	_	1200
Cabbage	Leaves	2.4	3.3	42	_	52	450
Lettuce	Leaves	0.3	5.6	130	_	_	960
Red beet	Roots	_	_	_	71	82	_
Carrot	Roots	0.8	1.9	16	54	67	220
Onion	Bulbs	_	3.3	33	29	50	780
Potato	Tubers	2.8	3.9	21	41	58	490
Tomato	Fruits	_	3.0	58	_	_	480
Apple	Fruits	0.6	0.9	6	_	_	350
Orange	Fruits	2.2	2.0	15	_	_	430
Cucumber	Fruits	1.0	2.6	67	_	_	680
Теа	Leaves ^b	_	_	_	99	132	174

 Table 160
 Mean Iron Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppm)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a Pea seeds.

^b Tea from Japan, India, and China, respectively.¹⁰³²

reference for food compositions in the U.S. gives the following values for Fe in some categories of plant food (ppm FW): (1) vegetables: range 3–31, the lowest value for celery root, and the highest for spinach; (2) fruits: range 1–11, the lowest value for apples and honey melon, and the highest for black currant (European); (3) cereals: range 3–37, the lowest value for barley pearls (cooked), the highest for rye (whole grain); and (4) nuts: range 11–47, the lowest value for hazelnuts (shelled) and the highest for almonds (shelled).¹²⁹¹

Various cereal grains do not differ much in their Fe concentrations. The common average Fe content of different cereals ranges from 25 to around 80 ppm (DW). Values above 100 ppm are reported only for a few countries (Table 161). The grand mean of 48 ppm (DW) for Fe in grains was calculated by excluding the values of 100 ppm and above.

Table 161	iron Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppm Dw)						
Country	Cereal ^a	Range	Mean	Ref.			
Great Britain	Barley	_	218	112			
	Oats	_	96	112			
Canada	Oats	—	133	514			
Egypt	Wheat	26-69	40	213			
Finland	Barley	_	52	727			
	Oats	_	60	727			
	Rye	_	53	727			
	Wheat (s)	24–50	37	508			
	Wheat (w)	25–37	31	508			
Norway	Barley	16–54	33	446			
-	Wheat	17–38	30	446			
Poland	Rye	34–43	38	267, 667			
	Wheat	15–30	25	267, 667			
U.S.	Barley	53–160	88	200			
	Oats	54–140	81	200			
	Wheat (s)	28–100	48	200			
	Wheat	25–43	38	200, 492			
	Rye	_	100	492			
	Rice	1.4–10	3.6 ^b	1187			
Russia	Wheat (s)	29–37	33	586			

^a Spring, s; winter, w.

^b FW basis.

III. COBALT

A. Soils

In the Earth's crust, Co has a high concentration in ultramafic rocks (100 to 220 ppm) when compared to its content in acid rocks (1 to 15 ppm). The Co abundance in sedimentary rocks ranges from 0.1 to 20 ppm and seems to be associated with clay minerals or organic matter (Table 158). The crust of the Earth as a whole contains, on average, 25 ppm Co.

There are no common rock-forming Co minerals (formed mainly with As, S, and Se), for example, cobaltite (CoAsS) and limneite (Co₂S₄). In hypergenic zones and in soils, the formation of erythrite, $Co_2(AsO_4)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$, is likely to occur; Co is mostly hidden in various Fe minerals. In geochemical cycles, Co closely resembles Fe and Mn. However, its fate in weathering processes and its distribution in sediments and in soil profile seems to be strongly determined by Mn oxide phase formation.

In nature, Co occurs in two oxidation states, Co^{2+} and Co^{3+} , and formation of the complex anion $Co(OH)_3^-$ is also possible. During weathering, Co is relatively mobile in oxidizing acid environments, but due to a high sorption by Fe and Mn oxides, as well as by clay minerals, this metal does not migrate in a soluble phase. In soils, certain bacteria are known to mobilize Co already complexed as chelate compounds and, thus, Co^{3+} in solution can be transported away.

In soils, the weathering, transforming, and adsorption mechanisms of Co compounds and forms are extremely complicated due to variable oxidation stages of Co and due to microbial activity.¹⁵⁶⁸ The solubility and availability of soil Co is of great nutritional concern and therefore has received much study. McKenzie⁵²³ has reviewed his research and the findings of other scientists on Co behavior in soils. Adequate amounts of Co in soil are essential to its biological activity since Co, as a component of vitamin B₁₂, is involved in methylation processes in soil.



Figure 99 Distribution of Co in the profiles of different soils developed under humid climate conditions. (Letters indicate genetic soil horizons.)

Fe oxides are known to have a great affinity for selective adsorption of Co. This has been observed in most kinds of soil and is reflected in the Co distribution in soil profiles showing a general similarity between the levels of Fe and Co in soil horizons (Figure 99). However, in certain soils enriched in Mn minerals, the association of Co with Mn dominates other factors governing Co distribution.

The sorption mechanism of Co by crystalline Mn oxides apparently differs at different pH values and generally is based on the interchange of Co^{2+} with Mn^{2+} and on the formation of hydroxy species, $Co(OH)_2$, precipitated at the oxide surface. Different redox mechanisms have been proposed for the Co sorption by Mn oxides.⁹⁹⁷ These include: (1) oxidation of Co^{2+} to Co^{3+} at the oxide interface, (2) reduction of Mn^{4+} to Mn^{3+} in the oxide crystal lattice, and (3) replacement of Mn^{3+} or Mn^{4+} by Co^{3+} (see Chapter 12, Section VI.A). In addition, the specific exchange sorption with bound H can occur over a broad pH range.⁴⁸⁶ In soil, the sorption of Co by Mn oxides increases greatly with pH, and the reaction seems to be rapid (Figure 100). Thus, the mobility of Co in most soil conditions is greatly influenced by Mn oxides and by the Eh-pH soil intensities.

Soil organic matter and clay content are also important factors that govern Co distribution and behavior. The roles of montmorillonitic and illitic clays especially have been cited by numerous investigators as being of significance because of their great sorption capacity and their relatively easy release of Co. The mobility of Co is strongly related to the kind of organic matter in soils. Organic chelates of Co are known to be easily mobile and translocated in soils (Figure 22). Some organic ligands (e.g., citric acid) are shown to stimulate the sorption of Co on Fe-coated silica compounds, but increase Co mobility when Fe hydroxides are absent.^{1244a} Although soils rich in organic matter are known to have both a low Co content and low Co availability, Co organic chelates may also be readily available to plants.⁸¹ This is especially pronounced at higher pH and in freely drained soils. In solutions of most soils, the Co concentration is fairly low and ranges from 0.3 to $87 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ (Table 16). In general, the behavior of Co in most soil types is relatively well-understood: therefore, a preliminary evaluation of a probable Co status and the availability of Co to plants can be made based on soil and geological information.

Factors contributing to Co deficiency for grazing animals are mainly associated with alkaline or calcareous soils, light leached soils, and soils with high organic matter content (Table 39). The Co content and distribution in soil profiles are also dependent on soil-forming processes and therefore differ for soils of various climatic zones. Usually, higher Co contents of surface soils are observed for arid and semiarid regions, whereas exceedingly low soil Co has been



Figure 100 Effects of pH on the sorption of Co by (a) Mn nodules and (b) goethite and effects of time on the sorption of Co by (c) birnessite.⁵²³

reported for light soils of the Atlantic Coastal Plain and for soils of the glaciated region of the northeastern U.S.⁴³⁶

The Co concentration in soils is inherited from parent materials. Soils over mafic rocks and soils derived from clay deposits contain the highest amounts of this metal (Table 162). Other high Co concentrations reported for Australian ferralsols (122 ppm) and Japanese soils (116 ppm) are related either to pollution or to specific enrichment. The normal Co content of surface soils usually ranges from 0.1 to 70 ppm (DW), with the lowest mean values for histosols and podzols and the highest for cambisols and rendzinas (Table 9). The grand mean Co concentration for worldwide soils is 7.9 ppm, and for the soils of the U.S., it is 8.2 ppm.^{433,706}

The range of Co in the reference soil samples of the U.S. is from 5.5 to 29.9 ppm (mean, 10 ppm), and in the soil samples of China is from 5.5 to 97 ppm (mean, 11.6 ppm).¹³¹³ The total content of Co in soils of Finland, at the 95th percentile, is about 25 ppm, and the acid-soluble fraction is 13 ppm.¹³⁶⁸ The median value for the total Co content in soils of Lithuania is reported to be 3.4 ppm, and in forest podzolic soils of Russia, its concentration is about 5.5 ppm.¹⁴⁷⁵ In most sandy soils of Poland, the HCI-soluble Co is below 2.5 ppm, while in silty and loamy soils its concentration increases to 10 ppm.¹³⁹⁰

Acetic acid-soluble Co in soils usually corresponds to readily available Co (Table 39). Soil application of Co as sulfate or EDTA chelate is the most common practice in controlling Co deficiency in ruminants.

Naturally high Co contents are usually observed in soils over serpentine rocks and ore deposits. Significant sources of Co pollution are related to nonferrous metal smelters, whereas coal and other fuel combustions are of considerably less importance; however, roadside soils and street dusts are known to be enriched in Co (Table 163).

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Germany	0.8–6	_	689
	New Zealand	21–65	_	861
	Poland	0.1–12	2.0	382
	Romania	4–25	14	1127
	U.S.	0.4–20	3.5	433, 706
Loess and silty soils	Germany	4–13	—	689
	New Zealand ^a	17–24	_	861
	Poland	3–23	7.0	382
	Romania ^b	—	5.2	42
	U.S.	3–30	11	433, 706
Loamy and clay soils	Bulgaria	15–23	18.1	558
	Burma	16–19	18	575
	Germany	3–6	—	689
	New Zealand ^a	19–58	—	861
	Poland	4–29	6.0	382
	Romania	13–24	18	1127
	U.S.	3–30	8	433, 706
	Russia	—	5.9	346
Soils on glacial till	Denmark	—	2.1	801
	Ireland	1–17	_	236
	Poland	1.4–14	3.6	974
	U.S.	5–15	7.5	433, 706
Fluvisols	Bulgaria	_	6.4	558
	Egypt	16–21	18.3	213
	Poland	5.3–13	_	156
Rendzinas	Germany	_	6.1	689
	China	1–70	27	1124
	Ireland	10–12	_	236
	Poland	2.2–22	4.5	156, 685
	U.S.	3–20	9.5	433, 706
Kastanozems and brown soils	Burma	6–11	9	575
	Ireland	2–10	_	236
	Romania	5–17	12	1127
	Russia	2.3–3.8	2.9	343
Ferralsols	Australia	0.2-122	_	565
	Madagascar	3–20	_	557a
Solonchaks and solonetz	Burma	12–15	14	575
	Madagascar	15–30	_	557a
	Russia	9–14	10.4	12, 351
Chernozems	Bulgaria	9.5–18	_	558
	U.S.	3–15	7.5	433. 706
	Russia	0.5–50	12.0	346, 351, 419a, 1123
	Former S.U.°	0.8–2.3	1.4	1097
Paddy soils	Japan	2.4-24	9.0	395
Meadow soils	Russia	11 7-15	_	12
Histosols and other organic soils	Bulgaria	1740		559
Thistosols and other organic solis	Canada	3 1_13 1	6.8	243
	Denmark	J. 1–13. 1	1.6	801
	Poland	0 2-34	3	382
	US	3–10	6	433 706
Forest soils	Bussia	0.6-45	8 N	12 4109
1 01031 30113	110300	5_20	10	433 706
Variaua apila	Dulgaria	0-20 00 65	01 5	-50, 700 EEQ
Vanuus suiis	Duiyana Great Britain	3.0-05	∠1.5 177	000 818
		 5_00	10.4	400
	Janaud	0-20	12.4	+U3

Table 162	Cobalt Content	of Surface Soi	s of Different	Countries	(ppm DW)
-----------	----------------	----------------	----------------	-----------	---------	---

(Continued)

	Contract Contract Constant Contracts (ppin Dir) (Continued)						
Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.			
Various soils	Canada⁵	5–50	21	521			
	Denmark	_	2.3	801			
	Germany	—	14.5	390			
	Italy ^d	4–275	14	946			
	Japan	1.3–116	10	395			
	Romania	1–6.9	3.1	43			
	U.S.	3–50	10.5	433, 706			

Table 162 Cobalt Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW) (Continued)

^a Soils derived from basalts and andesites.

^b Data for whole soil profiles.

° Kirghizya.

^d Maximum values included contaminated soils excluded from the mean value.

		,	
Site and Pollution Source	Mean or Range of Content	Country	Ref.
Soil over serpentine rock	10–520	New Zealand	495
Mining or ore deposit	13–85	U.S.	744
Metal-processing industry	10–127	Canada	150, 333
	18	Germany	390
	67	Great Britain	1005
	20–70	Norway	441
	42–154	U.S.	744
Sludged farmland	3.3–12.4	Holland	314
Roadside or airport area	7.9	U.S.	744
	6–14ª	Great Britain	744

Table 163	Cobalt Enrichment and Contamination in Su	urface Soils (ppm DW)
-----------	---	-----------------------

^a Urban street dusts.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Transport

Co uptake by plants is a function of the mobile Co content of soil and of the Co concentration in solution (Figure 101). During absorption, Co behaves like other heavy metals (e.g., Fe, Mn) and is transported in forms bound to complexing organic compounds with a molecular weight in the range of 1000 to 5000, with a negative overall charge.⁸⁷² Apparently, the simultaneous transport of Co^{2+} (like Fe²⁺) with citrate cannot be precluded.

Numerous studies have been made of plant uptake of Co from soils, and it has been shown that enrichment of the soil with Co has led to increased levels of this metal in plants. Co is also easily taken up by leaves through the cuticle; therefore, foliar applications of Co in solution are known to be effective in the correction of Co deficiency.

Liming and different fertilizers influence the solubility of Co. As Klessa et al.¹³⁶⁵ suggest, liming is a major factor in reducing soil Co phytoavailability. This creates a risk of low Co content in herbage, which affects a dangerous Co deficiency in ruminants.

2. Biochemical Functions

The essentiality of Co for both blue-green algae and microorganisms in fixing N_2 is now wellestablished. It is not clear, however, whether Co is essential for higher plants, although there are some evidences of a favorable effect of Co on plant growth, as reported by Reisenauer et al.,⁶⁴⁸ Jagodin et al.,³⁴⁹ and Mengel and Kirkby.⁵³¹ Co has also been recognized as a component of a precursor of vitamin B₁₂ for ruminant animals.



Figure 101 Co uptake by plants from soil or nutrient solution. (a) Ryegrass grown on soil; (b) clover, tops, grown in culture solution; (c) clover, roots, grown in culture solution.^{147,1045}

Smith and Carson⁷⁴⁴ compiled information from several sources on the effects of Co on nonleguminous plants, and the final opinion is inconclusive. Although traces of Co coenzymes have been detected in nonlegumes, it is not known whether these compounds might have originated from microorganisms associated with the plant.⁵⁶⁴ Beneficial effects of low Co concentrations on plant metabolism are not yet fully understood. Presumably, several effects are cross-linked with interactions with other trace metals.

In legumes and alder (*Alnus* sp.), Co affects the ability of plants to fix N_2 from air. Co is chelated at the center of a porphyrin structure termed the cobamide coenzyme, which is effective in N_2 fixation. Nicholas⁵⁶⁴ reviewed all recent findings on the Co function in N_2 fixation and stated that cobamide coenzymes are involved in the migration of the H atom during the formation of the NH_3 compound by the rhizobia. Although Co is known to be essential in certain bacterial functions, it is also reported to inhibit Mg uptake by some microorganisms and to exhibit antimicrobial activity.⁸⁵⁶

In legumes, Co deficiency inhibits the formation of leghemoglobin and hence N_2 fixation. However, the Co requirement for this process is low. As Wilson and Reisenauer⁸⁸³ have found, 10 ppb Co in the nutrient solution was adequate for the growth of alfalfa. In natural conditions, Co deficiency is not known to retard the growth of either nonlegumes or legumes.

The Co deficiency in herbage has been considered mainly from the viewpoint of ruminant requirements. It has been observed that soils with a Co content of less than 5 ppm may produce herbage that is Co-deficient for the normal growth of animals. The critical Co level for ruminant diets is around 0.08 to 0.1 ppm (DW), and similar levels were found in clover from different countries (Table 163). The deficiency of Co can be controlled by the application of Co salts to the soil, and the effect of such a treatment is known to last for several years.^{544,649} There are differences of opinion, however, as to whether it is better to apply Co to the soil or give directly to livestock when curing a Co deficiency. If the soil contains large amounts of Mn or Fe oxides capable of immobilizing Co, a shorter effective period should be expected.

When Co is taken up in excess by roots, it principally follows the transpiration stream, resulting in an enrichment of Co at the leaf margins and tips. Therefore, the common symptoms of Co toxicity are white, dead margins and tips of leaves. However, the primary reaction of plants to an excess of Co is interveinal chlorosis of new leaves, which is closely linked with Fe chlorosis. Cytological effects of Co excesses were reported to be inhibited mitosis and chromosome damage, or damage to the endoplasmic reticulum of root tips, and as disorganized phloem of the minor veins.^{643,744}

In nature, although plant species range widely in their content of Co, toxicity symptoms are not often observed. When a high Co level is readily available, in polluted soil in particular, it can seriously affect plant growth and metabolic functions. Kitagishi and Yamane³⁹⁵ reported that the addition of 25 and 50 ppm of Co to the soil was toxic to rice plants. Anderson et al.²³ found a Co concentration of 140 μ g L⁻¹ in a soil solution from serpentine soils where oat plants were affected by Co toxicity.

Different concentrations of Co in plant tissues have been reported to produce toxicity symptoms, as follows: 43 to 142 ppm (DW) in bush beans,⁸⁴¹ 19 to 32 ppm (DW) in Sudan grass,²⁷⁹ and 6 ppm (DW) in barley seedlings.¹⁷¹ Kloke et al.¹⁰⁵² gave a similar range of Co as toxic to sensitive plant species. However, commonly reported critical Co levels in plants range from 30 to 40 ppm (DW).¹⁰⁸¹

Cereals are known as the most sensitive plants to Co excess, but Anderson et al.²³ reported that toxic effects at the Co concentrations of 10 to 20 ppm are most probably associated with an excess of Ni. Case et al.¹²³ proposed that the Co content of herbage should not exceed 60 ppm (DW) because of the animal health hazard.

Plants are known to accumulate large amounts of Co and to develop a mechanism of Co tolerance which is basically similar to that occurring in any metalliferous plant species. Several plant species, mainly of the families Cruciferae, Caryophyllaceae, Violaceae, Leguminosae, Boraginaceae, Myrtaceae, and Nyssaceae, are known for their high accumulation of Co and are also recommended as biogeochemical indicators. These plants growing in soils over serpentine or Cu-Co ores may contain Co as high as 2500 to 17,700 ppm (AW).⁶¹³ Brooks¹⁰⁴ described *Hausmaniastrum* sp. as hyperaccumulators of Co, containing up to about 4000 ppm (DW) Co without having toxicity symptoms when grown in soil overlying a Co-Cu ore body. On the Co-deficient coastal sands of North Carolina, leaves of *Nyssa sylvatica* (black gum) contained from 1 to 216 ppm (DW) Co, whereas broomsedge (*Andropogon* sp.) contained only 0.05 to 0.81 ppm.⁷⁴⁴

3. Interactions with Other Elements

Cobalt interacts with all metals that are associated geochemically with Fe (Tables 11 and 12). However, the most significant relationship has been observed between Co and Mn or Fe in the soil and between Co and Fe in the plant. Both geochemical and biochemical antagonisms between these metals have arisen from their affinity to occupy the same sites in crystalline structures and from the similarity of their metallo-organic compounds.

4. Concentrations in Plants

In addition to soil factors highly controlling the Co levels in plants, the ability of plant species to absorb Co varies considerably. Legumes are known to accumulate more Co than do grasses. The mean Co content of clover from different countries was reported to range from 0.10 to 0.57 ppm (DW), whereas this value for grass was 0.03 to 0.27 ppm (Table 164). In general, Co concentration in legumes exceeds Co content of grasses and grain crops. The Co content of plant foodstuffs varies from around 8 to 100 ppm (DW), with cabbage being in the upper range and corn and apple in the lower range (Table 165).

The relatively great variation observed in Co levels given by different authors for cereal grains may also reflect analytical error (Table 166). It seems rather unlikely that grains would contain higher amounts of Co than are found in green parts. Therefore, values within the range of 4 to 80 ppb (DW) seem to be most reasonable. However, a higher content of Co may occur in grains of certain cereal genotypes, as well as in grains of plants growing in specific soil and climatic conditions. Sillanpää and Jansson¹⁴⁹¹ evaluated results of analysis of wheat (before shooting stage, N = 1723)

		-			
	Grasse	es	Clove	rs	
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Australia	_		0.07–0.53	0.19	524a
Great Britain	<0.03–1.0ª	0.27	0.06–1.7ª	0.57	67, 544
France	0.02-0.15	0.07 ^b	_	_	147
Finland	0.04-0.08	0.06°	_	_	829
	0.17-0.39	0.22 ^d	_	_	221
Germany	0.03-0.22	0.09	0.06-0.21	0.12	31, 576a, 596
Japan	0.01-0.51	0.12°	0.02-0.75	0.20	770
Norway	0.02-0.04	0.03 ^d		_	360
New Zealand	0.03-0.15	0.08 ^f	_	_	863
Poland	0.01-0.24	0.08	0.05-0.26	0.10	156
Sweden	0.01-0.40	0.06	0.08-0.30	0.15	203
U.S.	<0.04–0.39	0.08	0.15–0.27	0.19	200, 436

Table 164 Mean Levels and Ranges of Cobalt in Grass and Clover at the Immature Growth Stage from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a For freely and poorly drained soils, respectively.

^b Ryegrass.

° Hay.

d Timothy.

^e Orchard grass.

^f Sweet vernal grass.

	Tissue	FW I	Basis	DW Basis	AW Basis
Plant	Sample	(547, 574)	(705, 710)	(705, 710)	(705, 710)
Sweet corn	Grains	6.4	2.0	8.1–31	310
Snap bean	Pods	1.0	5.9	20–51	770
Cabbage	Leaves	3.6	8.0	100–160	1100
Lettuce	Leaves	6.8	1.9	46–210	360
Carrot	Roots	3.0	4.4	37–120	520
Onion	Bulbs	_	2.8	28-80	660
Potato	Tubers	2.5	6.9	37–160	860
Tomato	Fruits	_	3.2	62-200	520
Cucumber	Fruits	0.9	3.4	87–170	880
Apple	Fruits	0.3	1.2	8.3–16	460
Orange	Fruits	0.4	2.4	19–45	520
Теа	Leaves ^a	—	—	0.18–0.24	—

 Table 165
 Cobalt Content of Plant Foodstuffs (ppb)

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a Range of mean contents in tea leaves from Japan, India, and China.¹⁰³²

and of corn (6-leave stage, N = 1892) from 30 countries. They stated that soil texture is the most significant parameter controlling the Co level in plants. The average concentration of Co in wheat plants was 0.110 ppm and in corn plants 0.148 ppm.

Plants grown on Co-enriched soils such as serpentine soils or soils over Co ore bodies contain higher amounts of this metal, even when they are not known as Co accumulators. Leaves of oats from serpentine soils contained up to about 15 ppm (DW) Co,²³ cultivated grass contained up to 96 ppm (DW),³⁶⁶ and native vegetation contained from 17 to 540 ppm (AW).⁴⁹⁴

Data are scarce concerning Co pollution in plants, although Co is known to be released into the atmosphere from coal and fuel oil burning. However, tomato plants grown in water extracts of soils collected near a Cu-Ni smelter contained from 10 to 18 ppm (DW) Co, as cited by Hutchinson and Whitby.³³³

Country	Cereal ^a	Range	Mean	Ref.		
Australia	Wheat	13–231	82	867		
Egypt	Wheat	160–380	270	213		
Finland	Barley	—	20	829		
	Oats	—	10	829		
	Wheat (s)	_	10	829		
Norway	Barley	1–15.6	4.4	446		
	Oats	<100–280 ^b	_	748		
	Wheat	1.5–13.7	4.7	446		
U.S.	Barley	<28–44	28	200		
	Oats	<24–78	41	200		
	Wheat (s)	<12–48	20	200		
	Wheat (w)	14–51	19	200		
Russia	Oats	170–300	237	790		

 Table 166
 Cobalt Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppb DW)

^a Spring, s; winter, w.

^b Pot experiment.

There are no reports of Co toxicity to animals attributed to consumption of natural feedstuffs. However, in certain geochemical areas, and under the influence of man-induced pollution, the excess of Co in plants may be a health risk.

IV. NICKEL

A. Soils

There is a general similarity between the distribution of Ni, Co, and Fe in the Earth's crust. Thus, Ni contents are highest in ultramafic rocks (1400 to 2000 ppm), and its concentrations decrease with increasing acidity of rocks down to 5 to 15 ppm in granites (Table 158). Sedimentary rocks contain Ni in the range of 5 to 90 ppm, with the highest range being for argillaceous rocks and the lowest for sandstones.

Geochemically, Ni is siderophilic and will join metallic Fe wherever such a phase occurs. Also the great affinity of Ni for S accounts for its frequent association with segregates of S bodies. In terrestrial rocks, Ni occurs primarily in sulfides (millerite, NiS), arsenides (niccolite, NiAs), and antimonides (breithauptile, NiSb), and most of it is in ferromagnesians, replacing Fe. Ni is also associated with carbonates, phosphates, and silicates.

Ni is easily mobilized during weathering and then is coprecipitated mainly with Fe and Mn oxides. However, unlike Mn^{2+} and Fe^{2+} , Ni^{2+} is relatively stable in aqueous solutions and is capable of migration over a long distance. During weathering of Ni-rich rocks (mainly in tropical climates), the formation of garnierite, (Ni, Mg) $SiO_3 \cdot nH_2O$, which is a poorly defined mixture of clay minerals, is observed. Organic matter reveals a strong ability to absorb Ni; therefore, this metal is likely to be concentrated in coal and oil. This concentration is apparently an effect of the precipitation of Ni as sulfides in sediments rich in organisms and under reducing conditions.

Although soil Ni is believed to be strongly associated with Mn and Fe oxides (Table 22), for most soils, less than 15 to 30% of the total Ni is extracted with the Mn oxides.⁵⁷⁰ A relatively high percentage of Ni extraction with EDTA from soils suggests that Ni is less strongly fixed by soil components than is Co, as described by Berrow and Mitchell.⁶⁹

In surface soil horizons, Ni appears to occur mainly in organically bound forms, a part of which may be easily soluble chelates.⁸¹ However, Norrish⁵⁷⁰ stated that the fraction of soil Ni carried in the oxides of Fe and Mn seems also to be the form most available for plants.

Ni distribution in soil profiles is related either to organic matter or to amorphous oxides and clay fractions, depending on soil types. Concentrations of Ni in natural solutions of surface horizons of different soils vary from 3 to 25 μ g L⁻¹ at the boundary and at the center of the affected area, respectively.²³

Information on the Ni ionic species in the soil solution is rather limited, but the Ni species described by Garrels and Christ²⁵⁶ such as Ni²⁺, NiOH⁺, HNiO₂⁻, and Ni(OH)₃⁻ are likely to occur when the Ni is not completely chelated. Generally, the solubility of soil Ni is inversely related to the soil pH. Ni sorption on Fe and Mn oxides is especially pH dependent, probably because NiOH⁺ is preferentially sorbed and also because the surface charge on sorbents is affected by pH.⁹⁴⁸

Bloomfield⁸¹ stated that although organic matter is able to mobilize Ni from carbonates and oxides as well as to decrease Ni sorption on clays, the bonding of this metal to the organic ligands could not be particularly strong. Complexing ligands such as SO_4^{2-} and organic acids reduce the sorption of Ni. The remobilization of Ni from solid phases appears to be possible in the presence of FA and HA. Thus, Ni may be quite mobile in soils with high complexation ability (e.g., organic-rich and polluted soils).

The Ni status in soils is highly dependent on the Ni content of parent rocks. However, the concentration of Ni in surface soils also reflects soil-forming processes and pollution.

Soils throughout the world contain Ni within the broad range of from 0.2 to 450 ppm, while the range for soils of the U.S. is from <5 to 150 ppm (Tables 9 and 167). The highest Ni contents are always in clay and loamy soils, e.g., rendzinas, cambisols, and kastanozems (Table 9). This is clearly demonstrated for soils of Poland: geometric mean in light sandy soils is 5 ppm, in medium loamy soils 11 ppm, and in clay loamy soils 22 ppm. (Table 20). Geometric mean Ni content in surface soil from major agricultural production areas of the U.S. is 16.5 ppm, ranging from 0.7 to 269 ppm.¹³²⁹ The median Ni content of sandy soils of Finland is 60 ppm at the 90th percentile, whereas acid-soluble Ni is 30 ppm.¹³⁶⁸ Ni is also elevated in soils over basic and volcanic rocks, and in some organic-rich soils. Especially peaty serpentine soils are known for high Ni levels existing in easily soluble organic complexes.⁵⁶⁶ Also, soils of arid and semiarid regions are likely to have a high Ni content. The grand mean for world soils is calculated to be 22 ppm, and 19 ppm was reported for U.S. soils.⁷⁰⁶

Ni recently has become a serious pollutant that is released in the emissions from metal processing operations and from the increasing combustion of coal and oil. The application of sludges and certain phosphate fertilizers also may be important sources of Ni. Anthropogenic sources of Ni, from industrial activity in particular, have resulted in a significant increase in the Ni content of soils (Table 168). In particular, Ni in sewage sludge that is present mainly in organic chelated forms is readily available to plants and therefore may be highly phytotoxic. Soil treatments, such as additions of lime, phosphate, or organic matter, are known to decrease Ni availability to plants. Ammonium acetate soluble Ni in soils of Finland did not change (ranges from 0.5 to 1. mg L⁻¹) during the period 1974 to 1987, which indicates that Ni pollution does not increase significantly in topsoils.¹⁴³¹ Nevertheless, Ni cycling under anthropogenic impact is of environmental concern.

B. Plants

1. Absorption and Biochemical Functions

There is no evidence of an essential role of Ni in plant metabolism, although the reported beneficial effects of Ni on plant growth have stimulated speculation that this metal may have some function in plants.^{531,542}

Welch⁸⁵⁹ discussed recent reports that Ni is an essential component of the enzyme urease, and thus Ni may be required by nodulated legumes that transport N from roots to tops in forms of ureide compounds. The stimulation effects of Ni on the nitrification and mineralization of N

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Podzols and sandy soils	Austria	1–1.5	_	6
,	Great Britain	3.5–110	20	944
	Canada	1.3–34	8	243
	Poland	1–52	7	382
	Romania	9–62	33	1127
	U.S.	<5–70	13	706
	Former S.U.	5–15	11	493, 580
	New Zealand ^a	6–370	—	861
Loess and silty soils	Poland	7–70	19	382
	U.S.	5–30	17	706
	Belarus	26.44	11	493
Learning and share a fla		3.0-44	_	001
Loamy and clay soils	Austria	13-15		6
	Canada	27-91	20	0/0 0/2
	Poland	10-104	25	243
	Romania	24-60	44	1127
	U.S.	5-50	21	706
	Former S.U.	_	24	493, 631, 714
	New Zealand ^a	9–110	_	861
Soils on glacial till	Denmark	_	6	801
3	Ireland	5–25	_	236
	Poland	2.4–50	9	974
Fluvisols	Austria	20–30	26	6
	Belarus	_	10	493
Gleysols	Austria	_	6	6
,	Chad	3–50	_	39
	Former S.U.	—	36	631
Rendzinas	Austria	_	39	6
	China	2–450	92	1124
	Ireland	20–45	—	236
	Poland	7–41	21	685
	U.S.	<5–70	18	706
Kastanozems and brown soils	Austria	6–25	18	6
	Burma	12–39	23	575
	Ireland	10-20	_	236
	Romania	11-61	32	1127
Only whether and a share sta	Former S.U.	10-34	20	580, 714
Solonchaks and solonetz	Burma	32-72	55	575
	Madagaaaar	10-50	_	39 5570
	Former S II	30-80 10-76	25	351 580
Chornozome		7 70	20	706
Chemozenis	0.3. Former S I I	14-40	20	4 351 580 714
Meadow soils	Former S II	27_75	42	631 71 <i>/</i>
Listanda and other organic soils	Conodo	27-75	42	031, 714
Histosols and other organic solis	Canada	6.6-119	29	243
	Denmark	1.9-5	4	1,801
	FUIAITU	0.2-50	9 12	91, 004 706
	0.3. Belarus	5-50	5	100
Forest soils	China	_	51	225
			22	706
	Former SU	22-55	33	4, 631, 714
Various soils	Austria	6_38	21	6
		0.00		(Continued)

Table 167	Nickel Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW)

Soil	Country	Range	Mean	Ref.
Various soils	Great Britain	_	23	100, 818
	Canada ^b	_	20	521
	Chad	3–30	_	39
	Denmark	_	8	801
	Germany	_	19	340
	Italy ^c	5–3240	14	946
	Japan	2–660	28	395
	Madagascar	_	20	557a
	Poland	1.3–68	9	1045
	Romania	5–25	15	43
	U.S.	<5–150	19	706

Table 167 Nickel Content of Surface Soils of Different Countries (ppm DW) (Continued)

^a Soils derived from basalts and andesites.

^b Data for whole soil profiles.

° Maximum values include contaminated soils which were excluded from mean content.

Site and Pollution Source	Mean or Range in Content	Country	Ref.
Soil over serpentine rocks	770	Australia	702
	1700–5000	New Zealand	495
	3563–7375	Rhodesia	566
Mine wastes	2–1150	Great Britain	289
Metal-processing industry	206-26,000	Canada	150, 245, 775
	500-600ª	Great Britain	38
	304–9288	Russia	1217
	26–36 ^b	Sweden	811
Sludged farmland	23–846	Great Britain	59, 959, 987
	31–101	Holland	314
	50-84	Germany	176

Table 168	Nickel Enrichment and	d Contamination in	n Surface	Soils (ppm	DW)
-----------	-----------------------	--------------------	-----------	------------	-----

^a Soluble in HCI.

^b Needle litter and humus layer, respectively.

compounds are emphasized.⁹⁷⁸ Dalton et al.⁹⁷⁵ reported that microorganisms that metabolize H_2 and urea are highly sensitive to the Ni nutrition. The studies of the uptake and chemical behavior of Ni in plants are related mainly to its toxicity having possible implications with respect to animals and man.

Cataldo et al.¹²⁴ studied in detail the absorption, distribution, and forms of Ni in soybean plants. These authors showed that when Ni is in the soluble phase, it is readily absorbed by roots. Ni uptake by plants is positively correlated with Ni concentrations in solutions, and the mechanism is multiphasic (Figure 102). A strain of *Pseudomonas* was investigated by Bordons and Jofre⁹⁵⁰ for its Ni accumulation capacity. Results indicate an extracellular, metabolically independent adsorption, and a possible displacement by Ni²⁺ of Mg²⁺ from the membrane. All Ni²⁺ retained by bacteria was accumulated externally to cells.

Like other divalent cations (Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺), Ni²⁺ is known to form organic compounds and complexes. Cataldo et al.¹²⁴ reported that a large portion of Ni was composed of compounds with <10,000 mol wt, whereas Wiersma and Van Goor⁸⁷² found Ni complexing by compounds with a molecular weight in the range of 1000 to 5000, with a negative overall charge. Also Tiffin⁷⁸⁹ found Ni bound to anionic organic complexes in xylem exudates. Although the transport and storage of Ni seems to be metabolically controlled, this metal is mobile in plants and is likely to be accumulated in both leaves and seeds.^{176,301,860}

Ni is readily and rapidly taken up by plants from soils, and until certain Ni concentrations in plant tissues are reached, the adsorption is positively correlated with the soil Ni concentrations



Figure 102 Effects of Ni concentration in solution on Ni uptake by plants. (a) Molar Ni concentrations on the rate of Ni uptake 21-day-old intact soybeans (whole plant); (b) Ni concentration in solution on Ni content of tobacco leaves.^{124,860}

(Figure 103). Both plant and pedological factors affect the Ni uptake by plants, but the most pronounced factor is the influence of the soil pH. As Berrow and Burridge⁶⁷ found, increasing the soil pH from 4.5 to 6.5 decreased the Ni content of oats grain by a factor of about 8. Staunton et al.¹⁵⁰⁴ described that the secretion of organic anions, and modification of soil pH by roots and fungi in the rhizosphere may considerably decrease Ni adsorption by soil, and thus increase its bioavailability. The origin of the metal also plays a significant role in its availability to plants. Grupe and Kuntze¹⁰¹⁸ reported a much higher Ni uptake by cereals from the soil with added metal than from its lithogenic source.

Ni is easily extracted from soils by plants, especially by hyperaccumulator plants such as Alyssum sp. Chaney¹²⁵¹ was the first to suggest the possible phytoextraction of Ni from polluted soil. According to this author, and also others, Alyssum genotypes can accumulate over 400 kg Ni per ha.¹²⁵² Recently, hybrid poplars were tested for phytoremediating Ni-polluted sites.¹⁴⁶⁴ This is most promising for the phytoremediation of polluted soils and for phytomining and metal recovery. Using the isotopic (⁶³Ni) exchange method, Shallari et al.¹⁴⁸⁴ showed that the ability of red clover and Alyssum species to increase Ni phytoavailability was not significantly different among these plants. The mechanism of the high uptake efficiency of Alyssum to take up Ni from soil remains to be elucidated. Krämer et al.¹³⁷⁷ reported that exposing a species of *Alyssum* to Ni elicits a large increase in the levels of free histidine in a Ni-accumulator phenotype. Histidine is known to be coordinated with Ni in plant cells. The authors concluded that an enhanced production of histidine is responsible for the Ni hyperaccumulation in *Alyssum* species. Nicks and Chambers¹⁴⁴¹ described that individual plants of the same species, sampled at the same time, varied widely in Ni concentration. A great variation in Ni content (3280–7820 ppm) was also observed for the plant Streptanthus polygaloides (family Brassicaceae) that is endemic to serpentine soils in California, when sampled at three different times. The maximum plant mass and Ni concentration occurred just before the onset of flowering. It may be a potential plant for Ni phytomining.

The mechanism of Ni toxicity to plants is not well-understood, although the restricted growth of plants and injuries caused by an excess of this metal have been observed for quite a long time.



Figure 103 Ni concentrations in plants as a function of Ni content of soils. (a) Leaves of *Alyssum* sp., accumulating Ni; (b) leaves of *A. montanum*, nonaccumulating Ni; (c) wheat leaves; (d) barley grains; (e) carrot roots; (f) radish roots. The influence of soil pH on Ni concentration in (g) oat grains. *Ni concentrations in soils, leaves, and roots are given in powers of ten.^{67,176,553,616}

The biological effects of Ni are highly related to its species. However, Ni speciation in the plant extract indicated that regardless of the chemical form added to the soils, Ni was only found in neutral and negative complexes.¹⁵⁴³ Recent findings of $Molas^{1422}$ indicated that Ni fixed with glutamic acid was the most toxic to cabbage, and the least toxic was the Ni-EDTA complex. The most common symptom of Ni phytotoxicity is chlorosis, which seems to be Fe-induced chlorosis. Indeed, Foy et al.²⁴¹ reported a low foliar Fe level at toxic concentrations of Ni in the growth medium. With plants under Ni stress, the absorption of nutrients, root development, and metabolism are strongly retarded. Before the acute Ni toxicity symptoms are evident, elevated concentrations of this metal in plant tissues are known to inhibit photosynthesis and transpiration.⁵⁶ Also, low N₂ fixation by soybean plants was reported to be caused by Ni excesses.⁸²⁴

Under natural conditions, Ni toxicities are associated with serpentine or other Ni-rich soils. Anderson et al.²³ reported that oats, a Ni-sensitive crop, when affected by this metal, contained Ni in leaves ranging from 24 to 308 ppm (DW). The phytotoxic Ni concentrations range widely among plant species and cultivars and have been reported for various plants to be from 40 to 246 ppm (DW).²⁷⁹ Growth and survival of hybrid poplars show severe phytotoxicity above 1 mg Ni L⁻¹ in the growth media.¹⁴⁶⁴ Davis et al.¹⁷¹ found the toxic Ni content of barley seedlings to be as low as 26 ppm (DW), and Khalid and Tinsley³⁸⁹ found 50 ppm (DW) Ni in ryegrass to cause slight chlorosis. Ni is the most toxic metal to microfungi of soils. Increased Ni concentration in soil, up to 1 mg L⁻¹, decreases ciliate (Protozoa, *Copoda* sp.) by about 5% of the control value. When a phosphate buffer was added, the growth rate of soil ciliate was significantly improved.¹⁴⁴⁹

Generally, the range of excessive or toxic amounts of Ni in most plant species varies from 10 to 100 ppm (DW) (Table 36). Most sensitive species are affected by much lower Ni concentrations,

ranging from 10 to 30 ppm (DW).^{1052,1081} Several species are known for their great tolerance and hyperaccumulation of Ni. Usually these species, mainly of the Boraginaceae, Cruciferae, Myrtaceae, Leguminosae, and Caryophyllaceae families, are also Co accumulators. Native vegetation of serpentine soils was reported to contain up to 19,000 ppm (AW) Ni,⁴⁹⁴ and *Hybanthus floribundus* (Violaceae family) growing on acid ferralsols accumulated 6542 ppm (DW) Ni in leaves, 5490 ppm in stems, and 221 ppm in root bark.⁷⁰² The pronounced ability of some plant species to accumulate Ni when grown in soil over Ni ore bodies may make them useful biogeochemical indicators.^{417,553,899}

2. Interactions with Other Elements

The interaction between Ni and other trace metals, Fe in particular, is believed to be a common mechanism involved in Ni toxicity. Cataldo et al.¹²⁴ found that the absorption of Ni by soybean roots and Ni²⁺ translocation from roots to shoots were inhibited by the presence of Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Fe²⁺, whereas Wallace et al.⁸⁴⁴ stated that Fe³⁺ (as FeEDDHA) did not depress Ni concentrations in leaves of bush beans. Nevertheless, the excess of Ni is believed to cause an actual Fe deficiency by inhibiting the translocation of Fe from roots to tops. Khalid and Tinsley³⁸⁹ concluded that the Ni/Fe ratio, rather than the Ni and Fe concentrations in plants, has shown better relationships with the toxic effects of Ni. Both antagonistic and synergistic interactions have been observed between Ni and several trace metals (Figure 30).

3. Concentrations in Plants

The Ni content of plants growing on uncontaminated soils may vary considerably because it is reflecting both environmental and biological factors. However, the Ni concentrations in certain foodstuffs, cereal grains, and pasture herbage from different countries do not differ widely.

The mean levels of Ni in grasses range from around 0.1 to 1.7 ppm (DW) and in clovers range from 1.2 to 2.7 ppm (DW) (Table 169). There is not much information on Ni in vegetables. Shacklette⁷⁰⁵ showed that the Ni content of vegetables ranges from 0.2 to 3.7 ppm (DW) (Table 170).

Mean values for Ni in wheat grains ranged from around 0.2 to 0.6 ppm (DW) and seem to be relatively stable in grains from different countries (Table 171): Oat grains apparently contained somewhat higher amounts of Ni, from about 0.3 to 2.8 ppm. The Ni content of all cereals averaged 0.50 ppm (DW) if extremely high values for oats from Canada and Lithuania are not included. Also, in oat flakes, the Ni content is 0.20 ppm (FW), and is higher than in other grain products.¹⁴⁰²

miniature crowin stage nom Emerent countries (ppm Ew)					
	Grasses		Clovers		
Country	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Ref.
Belgium	0.9–1.3	1.1	_	_	684
Great Britain	0.84–2.4ª	_	1.3–6.2ª	_	67
Germany	1.3–2.5	1.7	1.0–1.3 [♭]	1.2	769
Finland	0.15-1.08	0.4	_	_	590
Hungary	_	_	1.4–2.4 ^b	1.9	769
Ireland	0.8-2.2	1.4	_	2.7	235
Poland	0.3-4.7	1.7	0.2-8.2	2.5	684, 381, 1045
U.S.	<0.07–0.67	0.13	<0.5–5	1.5°	200, 710
Lithuania	2.4-4.8 ^d	_	_	2.6 ^e	502

 Table 169
 Mean Levels and Ranges of Nickel in Grass and Clover at the Immature Growth Stage from Different Countries (ppm DW)

^a For freely and poorly drained soils, respectively.

^b For meadow and red clover, respectively.

° Calculated from AW basis for alfalfa.

^d For meadow hay and grass crop, respectively.

e Clover-timothy hay.

Table 170	Nickel	Content	of	Plant	Foodstuffs	(ppm)
-----------	--------	---------	----	-------	------------	------	---

	Tissue	FW Basis			DW Basis		AW Basis	
Plant	Sample	(547, 574)	(705, 710)	(696, 1187)	(705, 710)	(764)	(705, 710)	
Sweet corn	Grains	0.04	0.06	0.33	0.22-0.34	_	8.5	
Snap bean	Pods	0.02	0.18	_	1.7–3.7	_	24.0	
Kidney bean	Seeds	_	_	_	1.1ª	2.3 ^b	_	
Cabbage	Leaves	0.05	0.05	0.20	0.62-0.99	3.3	6.7	
Lettuce	Leaves	0.01	0.04	0.14	1.0–1.8	1.5	7.2	
Carrot	Roots	0.02	0.03	0.07	0.26-0.98	_	3.6	
Onion	Bulbs	_	0.06	0.04	0.59-0.84	_	13.0	
Potato	Tubers	0.04	0.06	0.56	0.29-1.0	_	7.0	
Tomato	Fruits	_	0.02	0.03	0.43-0.48	_	3.6	
Cucumber	Fruits	0.01	0.05	_	1.3–2.0	_	13.0	
Apple	Fruits	0.003	—	0.08	0.06	_	<10.0	
Orange	Fruits	0.008	—		0.39	1.2°	<10.0	

Note: References are given in parentheses.

^a From Reference 589.

^b Peas.

° Figs.

Country	Cerealª	Range	Mean	Ref.				
Canada	Oats	_	2.79	514				
Finland	Barley	0.13-0.14	0.14	829				
	Oats	0.43-0.46	0.44	829				
	Wheat (s)	0.21-0.27	0.24	829				
Germany	Barley	_	0.9	176				
-	Rye	_	1.0	176				
	Wheat	_	0.4	176				
Norway	Oats	0.29-2.62	1.23 [♭]	748				
Poland	Wheat (w)	0.2-0.5	0.4	267				
	Oats	0.2-8.0	1.4	1045				
	Rye	0.14-1.0	0.38	1045				
Sweden	Wheat (w)	0.3-0.7	0.5	21				
U.S.	Barley	0.10-0.67	0.20	200				
	Oats	<0.27-0.94	0.53	200				
	Rice	<0.2–1.2	0.29°	1187				
	Wheat (s)	0.17-0.67	0.32	200				
	Wheat (w)	0.18-0.47	0.25	21, 860, 906				
Lithuania	Oat meal	—	2.55	502				
	Wheat bran	—	3.60	502				

Table 171	Nickel Content of Cereal Grains from Different Countries (ppm DW)
-----------	---

^a Spring, s; winter, w.

^b Pot experiment.

° FW basis.

Oat grain accumulates more Ni than straw, while all other metals are usually accumulated more in cereal straw. The field study also showed a similar picture of Ni distribution in oats; the expected range for Ni in grain was 0.30 to 6.48 ppm, with a geometric mean of 1.39 ppm, whereas these values for oat straw were 0.55 to 3.14 ppm, and 1.31 ppm, respectively.¹⁰⁴⁵ Cereal grains collected in Poland during the period 1992 to 1996 had an average Ni content of 0.44 ppm, and potatoes—0.61 ppm. Ni in wheat flour of the U.S. is reported to be 0.18 ppm, (FW), and in rye grain of Germany—0.083 ppm (FW).^{1382,1514,1549}

The easy phytoavailability of Ni to plants is demonstrated by the results of an experiment with ⁶³Ni, where the isotopic composition in soil solution was the same as in plants, during the same time.¹²⁸⁶
	Mean or Range			
Site and Pollution Source	Plant and Part	of Content	Country	Ref.
Metal-processing industry	Blueberry	4.8-6.2	Sweden	811
	Blueberry, leaves	92	Canada	866
	Celery, stalks	29	Canada	775
	Horsetail, tops	140	Canada	745
	Lettuce, leaves	2.7	Australia	57
	Lettuce, leaves	84	Canada	775
	Lettuce, leaves	11	Germany	431
	Grass	3.9–9.0ª	Germany	769
	Oats, grain	1.5	Germany	431
	Cereal, leaves	230–250	Great Britain	38
	Grass, tops	1700-32,000	Great Britain	38
	Onion, bulbs	47	Canada	775
	Spruce, twigs	6–14 ^b	Sweden	811
Oil refinery	Clover, tops	2.8-14.8	Poland	605
	Grass, tops	2.4-13.3	Poland	605
Sludged soils or urban gardens	Grass, tops	15–19°	Holland	297
	Collard, leaves	0.1-11.6	U.S.	127
	Parsley, leaves	3.7	Poland	1015a
	Lettuce	1.8–5.8 ^d	Australia	831
	Lettuce	2.4–40.3 ^e	Great Britain	170
	Grass	10–24	Great Britain	68
	Cereal, straw	9.7-20.8	Germany	176
	Cereal, grain	1.6-5.2	Germany	176
	Soybean, seeds	7–26	U.S.	10
Other sources	Rice, leaves	11–20	Japan	395
	Oats, grain	60	Canada	301
	Alfalfa	44 ^e	Canada	301
	Sagebrush	30 ^f	U.S.	278

Table 172 Nickel Content of Plants Grown in Contaminated Sites (ppm DW)

^a Industrial emission and urban waste waters.

^b Five and one-year old, respectively.

° Nonwashed and washed leaves, respectively.

^d For field and greenhouse experiments, respectively.

e Pot experiment.

f AW basis.

Environmental Ni pollution greatly influences the concentrations of this metal in plants (Table 172). In ecosystems where Ni is an airborne pollutant, the tops of plants are likely to concentrate the most Ni, which can be washed from the leaf surfaces quite easily.³⁸ Sewage sludge has also been shown to be a serious source of Ni as a pollutant in plants. As Ni is easily mobile in plants, berries and grains are reported to contain elevated Ni concentrations.

The health hazards of exposure to Ni and its compounds have recently come to be recognized.⁷⁶⁴ Therefore, a redistribution of this metal in the environment from the burning of fossil fuel, application of sludges to agricultural lands, and by industrial emissions should be of concern.

V. PLATINUM-GROUP METALS

The available analytical data are very limited for evaluating the Pt metal abundance in the Earth's crust. A general estimation of the range in concentration of the Pt metals in rocks is as follows (in ppb): Pd, 0.1 to 200; Pt, 0.1 to 75; Ru, 0.01 to 60; Os, 0.06 to 50; Rh, 0.01 to 20; Ir, 0.01 to 20.

It should be emphasized, however, that data for the occurrence of Pt metals are extremely subject to errors derived both from sampling difficulties and analytical uncertainties.

Pt metals are concentrated mainly in ultramafic and mafic rocks and are also known to be associated with Ni-Cu ore bodies. In sedimentary and metamorphic rocks, the Pt metals occur at a much lower level, with the exception of some Cu-bearing shales.

Pt-group metal deposits have been described by Page and Carlson.⁵⁹⁵ The lodes usually are composed of all the Pt metals, with Pd and Pt by far the most abundant. Pt metals are both siderophile and chalcophile, and they are likely to be associated with oxide minerals. Most often, these metals occur naturally as alloys.

Pt metals are noble metals and manifest a marked resistance to oxidation and do not readily unite with other elements. Ru and Os may form easily volatile oxides (RuO_4 , OsO_4). However, as a result of new physical methods of analysis, some sulfide, arsenide, and antimonide minerals are described, as for example sperrylite ($PtAs_2$) and geversite ($PtSb_2$).

Some of the Pt metals apparently are readily absorbed by plants when they occur in easily soluble forms in substrata.²⁴⁸ Farago and Parsons⁹⁹¹ reported that all the platinum metals produced toxic symptoms in the test plant, water hyacinth. Toxicities of the metal species to this plant vary and decrease in the following order: $Pt^{2+} \simeq Pd^{2+} > Os^{4+} \simeq Ru^{3+} > Ir^{3+} \simeq Pt^{4+} > Rh^{3+}$.

A. Ruthenium

Ru is considered to be the least abundant of the platinum metals in the Earth's crust, at about 1 ppb. Ru is not a major component of platinum-metal alloys, but has been found in some Ir and Os alloys, and is associated with certain base metals (e.g., Fe, Cu). Some sulfide and arsenosulfide minerals of Ru have recently been found at very low concentrations in other sulfide and arsenide minerals.

There are not enough data to estimate Ru abundance in soils and plants. Bowen⁹⁴ reported Ru to occur in land plants at the concentration of 5 ppb (DW). Duke¹⁹⁷ reported Ru in food plants from a Central American tropical forest region to range from 0.4 to <200 ppb (DW).

The radionuclides ¹⁰³Ru and ¹⁰⁶Ru are released during nuclear reactions. Some studies of ¹⁰⁶Ru behavior in soil show that this radionuclide is largely accumulated in surface soil layers.⁶⁰³ In acid soils, however, ¹⁰⁶Ru is highly mobile and is likely to migrate down the soil profile.¹⁷ ¹⁰³Ru, and ¹⁰⁶Ru in particular, deposited on soils by the fallout from the reactor accident in Chernobyl, appeared to be mobile and easily migrated down the soil profiles.¹¹⁴⁰

The availability of this nuclide is reported to be relatively high, but a large proportion of the ¹⁰⁶Ru is concentrated in roots.^{393,698} Therefore, ¹⁰⁶Ru is not likely to be strongly transferred from soil to the food chain. Nevertheless, the transfer factors for ¹⁰⁶Ru from soils to wheat suggest that under specific conditions the uptake of this radionuclide may be fairly high.¹⁰¹⁶

B. Rhodium

Data on Rh are too scarce to permit evaluation of its environmental abundance. Some authors consider Rh as the least frequent element of the Pt metals, whereas Wright and Fleischer⁸⁸⁸ reported Rh in concentrations up to 20 ppb in black shales and as much as 7 ppm in pyrrhotites. This metal is known to be concentrated also in siderites on the order of 0.0X to 0.X ppm, and it may be expected to be elevated around some Fe processing industries. The only platinum-metal mineral that contains a higher amount of Rh is the arsenosulfide, hollingworthite. Rh reveals both siderophilic and chalcophilic character. Rh complexes revealed the lowest phytotoxicity among the other platinum metals.⁹⁹¹

C. Palladium

Pd is more abundant and more chemically reactive than the other Pt metals and is known to form minerals such as stibiopalladinite (Pd₃Sb), arsenopalladinite (Pd₃As), and others. In addition to platiniferous lodes, it is associated with Cu and Ni sulfide ores. Mn ores and Mn concentrations

are also known for their capacity to accumulate Pd, as well as coals and phosphorites that may contain large amounts of this metal. A current estimation of Pd abundance in the Earth's crust is 10 ppb.

Kothny⁴¹⁶ found Pd in two soils to be 40 and 140 ppb, and in leaves and twigs of various trees to range from 30 to 400 ppb (AW). This author reported a great seasonal variation in Pd concretions in leaves under different climatic conditions and stages of plant growth. Fuchs and Rose²⁴⁸ gave the range of Pd in surface soils to be from around 0.5 to 30 ppb, and in limber pine to range from 2 to 285 ppb (AW).

Since Pd seems to be easily taken up by plants when it occurs in soluble forms, Kothny⁴¹⁶ speculated that Pd^{2+} is able to replace Mn^{2+} in a metalloenzyme due to the similar ionic radii, and Fuchs and Rose²⁴⁸ suggested a significant Pd mobility in the organic cycle. Farago and Parsons⁹⁹¹ found Pd^{2+} to be very toxic to water hyacinth.

Smith et al.⁷⁴³ extensively reviewed information on the natural occurrence, technology, and environmental behavior of Pd. These authors concluded that losses of Pd to the environment, mainly from metal processing and use, are believed to be relatively innocuous and of little environmental hazard. The metal is only slightly phytotoxic; however, when the Pd concentration in the nutrient solution was high (from 1 to around 3 mg L⁻¹), damage to the structural units of cells was observed. The inhibition of some metabolic processes has also been observed under increased Pd content in plant tissues.

D. Osmium

Like other Pt metals, Os occurs mainly in native metal alloys of variable composition. The most common alloys are of Os and Ru. In the Ru mineral laurite (RuS_2), Os has been found at about 3%. Its atypical behavior is its easy reaction with oxygen and its variable oxidation states in compounds. Smith et al.⁷⁴³ summarized the available data on the geochemical, technological, and environmental behaviors of Os. There is no analytical information on Os contamination, even in the vicinity of likely sources of Os pollution. However, Os is known to be released to the environment as a volatile tetroxide (OsO₄) during metal processing. The authors concluded that Os is considered an inert metal and that OsO₄ is not a serious health hazard. When Os⁴⁺ occurs in soluble species, it is easily phytoavailable and relatively toxic.⁹⁹¹

E. Iridium

It is present in the Earth's crust at about 1 ppb. It is concentrated in Fe-Ni core and in meteorites. Increased content of Ir in sedimentary rocks at the border layer between Cretaceous and Tertiary deposits is apparently related to an effect of the great meteorite collision about 65 million years ago.¹²⁷¹

Generally, Ir is considerably more often associated with Fe, Cu, and Ni sulfide deposits than are the other Pt metals. The environmental abundance of Ir has not been studied yet, but Bowen⁹⁴ gave the Ir content of land plants to be below 20 ppb (DW) and reported the accumulation of ¹⁹²Ir in the leaf margins of corn treated with that radionuclide.

F. Platinum

Pt seems to be most reluctant to enter into chemical compounds with other elements and therefore occurs mainly in alloys known as "native platinum." However, several mineral forms, such as sperrylite ($PtAs_2$) and cooperite (PtS), occur in ore bodies.

There are but few reports on Pt occurrence in soils and plants. Govindaraju¹³¹³ presented only two analyses of Pt in the reference soils: 50 and 150 ppb. Fuchs and Rose²⁴⁸ reported the Pt content of surface silty soils to range from <20 to 75 ppb, being the highest in the soil over weathered norite. The magnetic fraction of these soils seemed to contain most of the Pt, from <860 to <3000.

Twigs of limber pine growing on these soils contained Pt concentrations ranging from 12 to 56 ppb (AW). Shacklette et al.⁷¹⁰ gave the range in Pt concentrations in herbage growing on metamorphic and Pt-bearing ultramafic rocks to be from 3500 to 6600 ppb (AW). Pt, as a chemically inert metal, is presumed unlikely to be of any environmental concern or health hazard. However, Pt^{2+} complexes are easily taken up into the plants and are accumulated mainly in roots. Farago and Parsons⁹⁹¹ described the high phytotoxicity of this metal, with Pt^{4+} species being less toxic than those of Pt^{2+} .

APPENDIX

The following are common plant names used in this book, with corresponding Latin names, and families (in parentheses) to which they are assigned.

Alamo switchgrass, Panicum virginatum L. (Graminaceae) Alfalfa, *Medicago sativa* L. (Leguminosae) Amarant, Amaranthus hypochondriacus L. (Amaranthaceae) Apple, Pvrus malus L. (Rosaceae) Apricot, Prunus armenica L. (Rosaceae) Asparagus, Asparagus officinalis L. (Liliaceae) Avocado, Persea americana Mill. (Lauraceae) Barley, Hordeum vulgare L. (Gramineae) Bean, *Phaseolus* sp. (Leguminosae) Beech, Fagus sp. (Fagales) Birch, Betula sp. (Betulaceae) Black gum, Nyssa silvatica Blueberry, Vaccinium sp. (Ericaceae) Brazil nuts, Bertholltia excelsa (Lecythidaceae) Broccoli, see Brussels sprouts Bromegrass, Bromus sp. (Gramineae) Broomsedge, Andropogon sp. (Gramineae) Brussels sprouts, Brassica oleraceae var. gemmifera Zenker (Cruciferae) Buckwheat, *Fagopyrum sagittatum* Gilib. (Polygonaceae) Bush bean, Phaseolus vulgaris L. (Leguminosae)

Cabbage, Brassica oleracea var. capitata L. (Cruciferae) Carnation, *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. (Caryophyllaceae) Carrot, Daucus carota L. (Umbelliferae) Cauliflower, Brassica oleracea var. botrvtis L. (Cruciferae) Celery, Apium graveolens var. dulce DC. (Umbelliferae) Chard, Beta vulgaris var. cicla L. (Chenopodiaceae) Cheatgrass, Bromus secalinus L. (Gramineae) Cherry, Prunus avium L. or P. cerasus L. (Rosaceae) Chicory, Cichorium intybus L. (Compositae) Chinese cabbage, Brassica pekinensis (Lour.) Ruprecht (Cruciferae) Chive, Allium schoenoprasu L. (Liliaceae) Chrysanthemum, Chrysanthemum sp. (Compositae) Clover, Trifolium sp. (generally, T. pratense L.) (Leguminosae) Coconut palm, Cocos nucifera (Arecaceae) Collard, Brassica oleracea var. viridis L. (Cruciferae) Comfrey, Symphytum officinale L. (Boraginaceae) Corn, Zea mays L. (Gramineae)

Cotton, *Gossypium* sp. (Malvaceae) Cottonwood, *Populus angustifolia* (Salicaceaa) Cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L. (Cucurbitaceae)

Dandelion, *Taraxicum officinale* Weber (Compositae) Douglas fir, *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco (Pinaceae)

Elders, Sambucus nigra L. (Caprifoliaceae)

False oat grass, Arrhenan thenum eliatus (Gramineae) Fescue, Festuca arundinaceae L. (Graminaceae) Flax, Linum usitatissimum L. (Linaceae) Fodder radish, see Radish

Garlic, *Allium sativum* L. (Liliaceae) Gladiolus, *Gladiolus* sp. (Iridaceae) Grape, *Vitis vinifera* L. or *V. labruscana* Bailey (Vitaceae)

Hairy milk-vetch, *Oxytropis pilosa* L. (Leguminosae) Heather, *Calluna vulgaris* L. (Erinaceae) Hickory tree, *Carya* sp. (Junglandaceae) Hops, *Humulus lupulus* L. (Moraceae) Horse bean, *Dolichos lablab* L. (Leguminosae) Horsetail, *Equisetum* sp. (Equisetaceae)

Indian mustard, Brassica juncea L. (Cruciferae)

Kenaf, Ketnia, *Hibiscus cannabinus* (Malvaceae) Kidney bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris* cultivar (Leguminosae)

Labrador tea, *Ledum palustre* L. (Ericaceae) Larch, *Larix* sp. (Pinaceae) Lettuce, *Lactuca sativa* L. (Compositae) Limber pine, *Pinus flexilis* James (Pinaceae) Linden, *Tilia* sp. L. (Tiliaceae) Lucerne, *Medicago sativa* L. (Leguminosae)

Maize, Zea mays L. (Gramineae)
Mangel, Beta vulgaris var. macrorhiza Hort. (Chenopodiaceae)
Maple, Acer sp. (Aceraceae)
Marsh bent grass, Agrostis stolonifera L. (Gramineae)
Mother wort, Artemisia semiarida L. (Compositae)
Mushroom, edible fleshy fungus of the Basidiomycetes
Mustard, Brassica nigra (L.) Koch or B. hirta Moench. (Cruciferae)

Narcissus, *Narcissus tazetta* L. (Amaryllidaceae) Nettle, *Urtica* sp. (Urticaceae) Norway spruce, *Picea abies* [L.] Karts. (Pinaceae)

Oak, *Quercus* sp. (Fagaceae) Oats, *Avena sativa* L. (Gramineae) Onion, *Allium cepa* L. (Liliaceae) Orange, *Citrus sinensis* Osbeck (Rutaceae) Orchardgrass, *Dactylis glomerata* L. (Gramineae) Pea, *Pisum sativum* L. (Leguminosae) Peanut, *Arachis hypogaea* L. (Leguminosae) Perennial ryegrass, *Lolium perenne* L. (Gramineae) Pine, *Pinus* sp. (Pinaceae), mainly *Pinus silvestris* Potato, *Solanum tuberosum* L. (Solanaceae) Pulses, edible seeds of various legumes

Radish, Raphanus sativus L. (Cruciferae)
Rape, Brassica rapa L. (Cruciferae)
Raya, Brassica juncea Coss. (Cruciferae)
Red beet, Beta vulgaris var. crassa Alef. (Chenopodiaceae)
Red clover, Trifolium pratense L. (Leguminosae)
Red maple, Acer rubrum L. (Aceraceae)
Rice, Oryza sativa L. (Gramineae)
Rose, Rosa sp. (Rosaceae)
Ryegrass, Lolium perenne L. or L. multiflorum Lam. (Gramineae)

Sagebrush, Artemisia tridentata Nutt. (Compositae) Scots pine, *Pinus sylvestric* L. (Pinaceae) Sedge, various genera and species of the Cyperaceae family Sesame, Sesamum sp. (Pedaliaceae) Snap bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cultivar (Leguminosae) Sorghum, Sorghum vulgare Pers. (Gramineae) Soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. (Leguminosae) Spinach, Spinacia oleracea L. (Chenopodiaceae) Spruce, *Picea* sp. (Pinaceae) Strawberry, Fragaria ananassia Duchesne (Rosaceae) Sudan grass, Sorghum sudanense (Piper) Stapf. (Gramineae) Sugarbeet, Beta vulgaris var. saccharinum Hort. (Chenopodiaceae) Sunflower, *Helianthus annuus* L. (Compositae) Sweetclover, Melilotus officinalis (L.) Lam. or M. alba Desr. (Leguminosae) Sweet corn, Zea mays var. rugosa Bonaf. (Leguminosae) Sweet vernal grass, Anthoxanthum odoratum L. (Gramineae)

Tagacanth, Astragalus bisulcatius L. (Leguminosae)
Tea, Thea sinensis L. (Theaceae)
Timothy, Phleum pratense L. (Gramineae)
Tobacco, Nicotiana tabacum L. (Solanaceae)
Tomato, Lycopersicum esculentum Mill. (Solanaceae)
Triticale, an artificial hybrid of wheat and rye
Turkish hazel, Corylus colurna L. (Betulaceae)
Turnip, Brassica napus L. (Cruciferae)

Valencia orange, Citrus sinensis Osbeck cultivar (Rutaceae)

Water hyacinth, *Eichhornia crassipes* L. (Pontederiaceae)
Wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L. (Gramineae)
White clover, *Trifolium repens* L. (Leguminosae)
Willow, *Salix* sp. (Salicaceae)
Wormwood, *Artemisia* sp. (Compositae)